# **Peddler Hill Soil Remediation**

At Peddler Hill Maintenance Station in Amador County 10-AMA-88-54.0 10-1L650/1020000044

# **Initial Study with Proposed Negative Declaration**

#### Volume 1 of 2



Prepared by the State of California Department of Transportation

June 2020



#### **General Information About This Document**

#### What's in this document:

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) has prepared this Initial Study, which examines the potential environmental impacts of alternatives being considered for the proposed project in Amador County in California. The document explains why the project is being proposed, the alternatives being considered for the project, the existing environment that could be affected by the project, potential impacts of each of the alternatives, and proposed avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation measures.

# What you should do:

- Please read the document. The document can be downloaded at the following website: https://dot.ca.gov/caltrans-near-me/district-10. If you prefer that a hard copy or CD of the document be sent to your home, please email Jaycee Azevedo, Senior Environmental Planner, at jaycee.azevedo@dot.ca.gov.
- Tell us what you think. If you have any comments regarding the proposed project, please send your written comments to Caltrans by the deadline. Submit comments via U.S. mail to: Jaycee Azevedo, Senior Environmental Planner, Central Region Environmental, California Department of Transportation, 1976 East Doctor Martin Luther King, Junior Boulevard, Stockton, California, 95205. Submit comments via email to: jaycee.azevedo@dot.ca.gov.
- Submit comments by the deadline: August 19, 2020.

#### What happens next:

After comments are received from the public and reviewing agencies, Caltrans may 1) give environmental approval to the proposed project, 2) do additional environmental studies, or 3) abandon the project. If the project is given environmental approval and funding is appropriated, Caltrans could design and construct all or part of the project.

Printing this document: To save paper, this document has been set up for two-sided printing (to print the front and back of a page). Blank pages occur where needed throughout the document to maintain proper layout of the chapters and appendices.

For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document can be made available in Braille, in large print, on audiocassette, or on computer disk. To obtain a copy in one of these alternate formats, please write to or call Caltrans, Attention: Jaycee Azevedo, Central Region Environmental, District 10, 1976 East Doctor Martin Luther King, Junior Boulevard, Stockton, California 95205; phone number (209) 941-1919 (Voice), or use the California Relay Service 1-800-735-2929 (TTY), 1-800-735-2929 (Voice), or 711.

Construct a temporary generator building, demolish existing generator building, and remediate soil underneath existing generator building at Peddler Hill Maintenance Station on State Route 88 at post mile 54.0 in Amador County

# INITIAL STUDY with Proposed Negative Declaration

Submitted Pursuant to: (State) Division 13, California Public Resources Code

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Department of Transportation

Philip Vallejo
Philip Vallejo
Environmental Office Chief, North
California Department of Transportation
CEQA Lead Agency

6/2/2020

Date

The following individual can be contacted for more information about this document:

Jaycee Azevedo, Senior Environmental Planner 1976 East Doctor Martin Luther King, Junior Boulevard Stockton, California 95205 (209) 941-1919

# Draft Proposed Negative Declaration

Pursuant to: Division 13, Public Resources Code

#### **Project Description**

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes to construct a temporary generator building, demolish existing generator building, and remediate soil underneath existing generator building at Peddler Hill Maintenance Station on State Route 88 at post mile 54.0 in Amador County.

#### Determination

This proposed Negative Declaration is included to give notice to interested agencies and the public that it is Caltrans' intent to adopt a Negative Declaration for this project. This does not mean that Caltrans' decision on the project is final. This Negative Declaration is subject to change based on comments received from interested agencies and the public.

Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study for this project and, pending public review, expects to determine from this study that the proposed project would not have a significant effect on the environment for the following reasons.

The project would have no effect on Aesthetics; Agriculture and Forest Resources; Air Quality; Biological Resources; Cultural Resources; Energy; Geology and Soils; Hydrology and Water Quality; Land Use and Planning; Mineral Resources; Noise; Population and Housing; Public Services; Recreation; Transportation; Tribal Cultural Resources; Utilities and Service Systems; and Wildfire.

The project would have no significant effect on Greenhouse Gas Emissions, and Hazards and Hazardous Materials.

Philip Vallejo Environmental Office Chief, North California Department of Transportation
Date

# **Table of Contents**

Draft Proposed Negative Declaration	iii
Chapter 1 Proposed Project	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Purpose and Need	
1.2.1 Purpose	1
1.2.2 Need	2
1.3 Project Description	
1.4 Project Alternatives	4
1.4.1 Build Alternatives	4
1.4.2 No-Build (No-Action) Alternative	5
1.5 Standard Measures and Best Management Practices Included in All	
Alternatives	
1.6 Permits and Approvals Needed	6
Chapter 2 CEQA Evaluation	7
2.1 CEQA Environmental Checklist	7
2.1.1 Aesthetics	
2.1.2 Agriculture and Forest Resources	8
2.1.3 Air Quality	9
2.1.4 Biological Resources	9
2.1.5 Cultural Resources	10
2.1.6 Energy	11
2.1.7 Geology and Soils	11
2.1.8 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
Affected Environment	12
Environmental Consequences	
Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	
2.1.9 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	
Affected Environment	
Environmental Consequences	
Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	
2.1.10 Hydrology and Water Quality	
2.1.11 Land Use and Planning	
2.1.12 Mineral Resources	
2.1.13 Noise	
2.1.14 Population and Housing	
2.1.15 Public Services	
2.1.16 Recreation	
2.1.17 Transportation	
2.1.18 Tribal Cultural Resources	
2.1.19 Utilities and Service Systems	
2.1.20 Wildfire	
2.1.21 Mandatory Findings of Significance	
Appendix A Title VI Policy Statement	23

Appendix B	Avoidance, Minimization, Mitigation, and/or Standard Measures	
	25	
Appendix C	Coordination2	7

# **Chapter 1** Proposed Project

#### 1.1 Introduction

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is the lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (known as CEQA). This project is funded under Caltrans' Minor A program and does not have a federal nexus.

Caltrans proposes to remediate soil under an existing generator room at the Peddler Hill Maintenance Station, located along State Route 88 at post mile 54.0 in Amador County. Work associated with this project would not take place on the State Highway System and as such the project is not included in the Amador County Regional Transportation Plan.

The Peddler Hill Maintenance Station operates using diesel generators fed by two 10,000-gallon capacity above ground storage tanks which provide on-site electricity. A diesel fuel leak was discovered on June 13, 2017, caused by an improperly installed fuel filter on one of the generators. An unknown amount of diesel fuel was released, leaking out of the building into the surrounding soil and under the generator building foundation. Caltrans initiated an emergency contract and about 841 cubic yards of diesel-impacting soil and asphalt was removed from the perimeter of the building between June 19, 2017 and July 25, 2017. Coordination with the Regional Water Quality Control Board determined that the remedial action which took place between June and July 2017 was not sufficient and additional soil removal was required. The site is listed in the State Water Resources Control Board's GeoTracker database.

A second Caltrans project, 10-1J020, would be initiated to construct a new, permanent generator building on the clean soil.

# 1.2 Purpose and Need

### 1.2.1 Purpose

The purpose of this project is to remediate the soil underneath the existing generator building to levels of agreed upon by Caltrans and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board.

#### 1.2.2 Need

There is a need to address the remedial action of clean up for fuel spills as stated in a letter from Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board dated September 13th, 2017.

#### 1.3 Project Description

This section describes the proposed action developed to meet the purpose and need of the project.

Peddler Hill Maintenance Station located in the El Dorado National Forest and is responsible for maintaining over 40 miles of State Route 88 in the high Sierra Nevada Mountains. During winter months the facility is responsible for keeping the road safe and passable for traffic. See Figures 1-1 and 1-2 for project vicinity and location maps.

Peddler Hill Maintenance Station currently operates with 12 existing buildings, including crew, storage, equipment, generator buildings and a fuel canopy. The project would first construct a concrete slab and metal building to receive two generators, a panel, and a switchboard, relocated from the existing generator room. Pull boxes would be installed to the switchboard and generators. The existing generator building and concrete slab would be demolished.

Following the demolition of the existing generator building, the soil beneath would be excavated to remove any contaminated soil. Confirmation samples would be taken to ensure all contamination is removed. Once the contaminated soil is removed, the excavated area would be backfilled with clean soil. Construction is anticipated to last six months.

Figure 1-1 Project Vicinity Map



Figure 1-2 Project Location Map



# 1.4 Project Alternatives

This section describes the proposed project alternatives developed to meet the purpose and need of the project, while avoiding or minimizing environmental impacts. The proposed project has one build and a no-build alternative.

#### 1.4.1 Build Alternatives

The proposed build alternative would construct a temporary generator building, demolish the existing generator building, remove the contaminated soil beneath the existing generator building, and back fill with clean soil.

This project contains a number of standardized project measures that are used on most, if not all, Caltrans projects and were not developed in response to any specific environmental impact resulting from the proposed project. These measures are listed later in this chapter under "Standard Measures and Best Management Practices Included in All Alternatives."

#### 1.4.2 No-Build (No-Action) Alternative

Under the no-build the existing generator building would remain in its current condition, and the soil beneath the existing generator building would remain contaminated.

# 1.5 Standard Measures and Best Management Practices Included in All Alternatives

- **AQ-1** Caltrans Standard Specifications Section 14-9.02, Air Pollution Control will be added to the construction contract.
- **AQ-2** Caltrans Standard Specifications Section 10-5, Dust Control, will be added to the construction contract.
- **BIO-1** Caltrans Standard Special Provision Section 14-6.03B, Bird Protection, will be added to the construction contract.
- **BIO-2** A preconstruction survey for migratory birds and raptors will be required no more than 14 days prior to construction, if construction activities occur within the migratory bird nesting season of February 1 through September 30. If migratory birds or raptors are observed nesting adjacent to any work area during construction activities, environmentally sensitive area buffers would be required. This would be determined by a qualified biologist.
- **BIO-3** The Caltrans project biologist will be notified by the Resident Engineer at least 14 days prior to the start of construction, so preconstruction surveys and avoidance measures can be implemented.
- **ER-1** Caltrans Standard Specification 7-1.02C, Emissions Reduction, will be added to the construction contract.
- **GEN-1** Caltrans Standard Specification 7-1.02A, General Laws, will be added to the construction contract.
- **HW-1** A survey for Asbestos Containing Materials will be required prior to building demolition.
- **HW-2** A project-specific Lead Based Paint survey will be required prior to any demolition activities.

- **HW-3** Caltrans Standard Special Provision 14-11.14, Treated Wood Waste, will be added to the construction contract.
- **NQ-1** Construction will be conducted in accordance with Caltrans Standard Specifications Section 14-8.02, Noise Control.
- **NQ-2** All equipment will have sound-control devices that are no less effective than those provided on the original equipment.
- **NQ-3** No equipment will have an unmuffled exhaust.
- **WQ-1** Caltrans Standard Specifications Section 13-1, Water Pollution Control, will be added to the construction contract. The contractor must abide by best management practices and address all potential water quality impacts that may occur during construction.

# 1.6 Permits and Approvals Needed

The following permits, licenses, agreements, and certifications are required for project construction:

Agency	Permit/Approval	Status
Regional Water Quality Control Board	Soil Remediation Work Plan	Pending, approval expected during Plans, Specifications, and Estimates phase.
Regional Water Quality Control Board	Site Closure/No Further Action Letter	Pending; approval expected following project close-out

# **Chapter 2** CEQA Evaluation

#### 2.1 CEQA Environmental Checklist

This checklist identifies physical, biological, social, and economic factors that might be affected by the proposed project. Potential impact determinations include Potentially Significant Impact, Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated, Less Than Significant Impact, and No Impact. In many cases, background studies performed in connection with a project will indicate that there are no impacts to a particular resource. A No Impact answer reflects this determination. The questions in this checklist are intended to encourage the thoughtful assessment of impacts and do not represent thresholds of significance.

Project features, which can include both design elements of the project and standardized measures that are applied to all or most Caltrans projects such as Best Management Practices and measures included in the Standard Plans and Specifications or as Standard Special Provisions, are considered to be an integral part of the project and have been considered prior to any significance determinations documented below.

"No Impact" determinations in each section are based on the scope, description, and location of the proposed project as well as the appropriate technical report (bound separately in Volume 2), and no further discussion is included in this document.

#### 2.1.1 Aesthetics

Considering that the project is located within an existing Caltrans maintenance facility, the following significance determinations have been made:

Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Aesthetics
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	No Impact
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	No Impact
c) In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that	No Impact

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Aesthetics
are experienced from a publicly accessible vantage point.) If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?	
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	No Impact

#### 2.1.2 Agriculture and Forest Resources

In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment Project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board.

Considering information included in the Amador County General Plan and Caltrans Geographic Information System Library; and that the project would take place entirely within the footprint of an existing Caltrans maintenance facility, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Agriculture and Forest Resources
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	No Impact
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	No Impact
c) Conflict with existing zoning, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))?	No Impact

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Agriculture and Forest Resources
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	No Impact
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	No Impact

# 2.1.3 Air Quality

Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations.

Considering the information included in the Air Quality Memorandum dated April 13, 2020 the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Air Quality
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	No Impact
b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?	No Impact
c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	No Impact
d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?	No Impact

#### 2.1.4 Biological Resources

Considering the information included in the No Effects Memorandum dated February 5, 2020, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Biological Resources
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, or National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries?	No Impact

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Biological Resources
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	No Impact
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	No Impact
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	No Impact
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	No Impact
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	No Impact

#### 2.1.5 Cultural Resources

Considering the information included in the Screened Undertaking Memorandum dated February 4, 2020, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Cultural Resources
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to Section15064.5?	No Impact
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section15064.5?	No Impact
c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries?	No Impact

# 2.1.6 Energy

Considering the scope and anticipated duration of the project, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Energy
a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during project construction or operation?	No Impact
b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?	No Impact

# 2.1.7 Geology and Soils

Considering information in the California Department of Conservation Regulatory Map Portal, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Geology and Soils
a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:	
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	No Impact
a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:  ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	No Impact
a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:  iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	No Impact
a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:	No Impact
iv) Landslides?	
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	No Impact

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Geology and Soils
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in onor off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	No Impact
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	No Impact
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	No Impact
f) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	No Impact

#### 2.1.8 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Considering the information included in the Climate Change Study, dated May 20, 2020 the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Greenhouse Gas Emissions
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	Less Than Significant Impact
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	Less Than Significant Impact

#### Affected Environment

The proposed project is in a rural forested area of Amador County within the existing Caltrans Peddler Hill Maintenance Station. The Amador County General Plan Conservation element addresses climate change and greenhouse gases in the project area.

#### **Environmental Consequences**

The proposed project would not increase operational emissions. Temporary carbon dioxide emissions generated from construction equipment were estimated using the Caltrans Construction Emissions Tool. The estimated carbon dioxide emissions for the project would be 31 tons, using 2,554 gallons of diesel and 1,712 gallons of gasoline for the proposed six months of construction.

#### Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures

The following measures would be implemented in the project to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the project.

- **GHG-1** Caltrans staff will enhance the environmental training provided for contractor staff by adding a module on greenhouse gas reduction strategies.
- **GHG-2** The contractor will reduce construction waste and maximize the use of recycled materials.
- **GHG-3** The contractor will incorporate measures to reduce the use of potable water.
- **GHG-4** Idling will be limited to five minutes for delivery and dump trucks and other diesel-powered equipment.
- **GHG-5** The contractor would seek to operate construction equipment with improved fuel efficiency by:
- Properly tuning and maintaining equipment
- Using the right-size equipment for the job
- Use equipment with new technologies

The project would not conflict with any applicable greenhouse gas reduction plan, policy, or regulation. In compliance with Caltrans policy and Executive Order B-30-15, the project would use the measures noted above to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the project to meet statewide and agency goals.

#### 2.1.9 Hazards and Hazardous Materials

Considering the information included in the Initial Site Assessment dated January 7, 2020, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Hazards and Hazardous Materials
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	No Impact
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	No Impact

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Hazards and Hazardous Materials
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	No Impact
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	Less Than Significant Impact
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area?	No Impact
f) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	No Impact
g) Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?	No Impact

#### Affected Environment

An Initial Site Assessment was completed for the proposed project on January 7, 2020. Staff reviewed departmental records, the State Water Resources Control Board Geotracker Database, and the Department of Toxic Substances Control Cortese List and EnviroStor Database.

Peddler Hill Maintenance Station operates using two diesel generators fueled by two 10,000-gallon capacity above ground storage tanks to supply electricity on-site. A diesel fuel leak was discovered from one of the two generators on June 13, 2017, caused by an improperly installed fuel filter. The generators were shut down to stop the leak. An unknown amount of diesel fuel was released, leaking out of the building into the surrounding soil and into the soil under the generator building foundation.

An emergency contract was initiated and between June 19, 2017 and July 25, 2017. Tier 1 Environmental Screening Levels were set as cleanup goals, and approximately 841 cubic yards of diesel-impacted soil and asphalt were removed from the perimeter of the building. The excavated soil was categorized as non-hazardous waste and transported to the US Ecology, Inc. landfill near Beatty, Nevada for disposal.

Confirmation samples of the non-excavated soil were collected to analyze remaining contaminants. The results indicated 12 locations with soil

containing diesel fuel at levels higher than the Tier 1 Environmental Screening Levels. In correspondence dated September 13, 2017, the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board requested that Caltrans present a plan to address impacted soil that remained inaccessible underneath the generator building.

#### **Environmental Consequences**

#### Diesel Impacted Soil

Peddler Hill Maintenance Station is listed as an open site on the State Water Resources Board GeoTracker database, which has been compiled pursuant to Government Code 65962.5. The project would excavate contaminated soil underneath the existing generator room then backfill with clean soil.

Asbestos Containing Material, Lead Based Paint, and Treated Wood Waste The proposed project would demolish the existing generator room and concrete slab. Asbestos containing materials are known to occur in older buildings, and it is unknown if painted surfaces on or in the building contain lead.

#### Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures

The following standard measures would be incorporated into the project:

- **HW-1** A survey for Asbestos Containing Materials would be required prior to building demolition.
- **HW-2** A project-specific Lead Based Paint survey would be required prior to any demolition activities.
- **HW-3** Caltrans Standard Special Provision 14-11.14, Treated Wood Waste would be added to the construction contract.
- **HW-4** Additional sampling would be required to determine the extent of contamination that remains under and around the generator building. A work plan would be developed and approved by the Central Valley regional Water Quality Control Board to close the site.

#### 2.1.10 Hydrology and Water Quality

Considering the information included in the Water Compliance Memorandum dated October 31, 2019 the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Hydrology and Water Quality
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise	No Impact

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Hydrology and Water Quality
substantially degrade surface or ground water quality?	
b) Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?	No Impact
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:	No Impact
(i) result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site;	
(ii) substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on-site or off-site;	No Impact
(iii) create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or	No Impact
(iv) impede or redirect flood flows?	No Impact
d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?	No Impact
e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?	No Impact

# 2.1.11 Land Use and Planning

Considering information included in the Amador County General Plan, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Land Use and Planning
a) Physically divide an established community?	No Impact
b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	No Impact

#### 2.1.12 Mineral Resources

Considering the information included in the Amador County General Plan and that the project location is within an existing Caltrans maintenance facility, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Mineral Resources		
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	No Impact		
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	No Impact		

#### 2.1.13 Noise

Considering the information included in the Noise Compliance Memorandum dated January 14, 2020 the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project result in:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Noise			
a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	No Impact			
b) Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	No Impact			
c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	No Impact			

# 2.1.14 Population and Housing

Considering the scope and location of the proposed project within an existing Caltrans Maintenance facility, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Population and Housing		
a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	No Impact		
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	No Impact		

#### 2.1.15 Public Services

Considering the proposed project scope and location within an existing Caltrans maintenance facility, the following significance determination have been made:

Question:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Public Services		
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:	No Impact		
Fire protection?			
Police protection?	No Impact		
Schools?	No Impact		
Parks?	No Impact		
Other public facilities?	No Impact		

#### 2.1.16 Recreation

Considering the project scope and location within an existing Caltrans maintenance facility, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Recreation		
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	No Impact		
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	No Impact		

#### 2.1.17 Transportation

Considering information in the Amador County Regional Transportation plan and given the project scope and location within an existing Caltrans maintenance facility, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Transportation		
a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?	No Impact		
b) Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?	No Impact		
c) Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	No Impact		
d) Result in inadequate emergency access?	No Impact		

#### 2.1.18 Tribal Cultural Resources

Considering the information included in the Screened Undertaking Memorandum dated February 4, 2020, the following significance determinations have been made:

Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as

either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:

Question:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Tribal Cultural Resources		
a) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k), or	No Impact		
b) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.	No Impact		

# 2.1.19 Utilities and Service Systems

Considering the project scope and location within an existing Caltrans maintenance facility, the following significance determinations have been made:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Utilities and Service Systems		
a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects?	No Impact		
b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years?	No Impact		
c) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	No Impact		
d) Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals?	No Impact		
e) Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	No Impact		

#### 2.1.20 Wildfire

Considering information in the California Fire Hazard Zone Severity Map and given the project scope and location within an existing Caltrans maintenance facility, the following significance determinations have been made:

If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones:

Question—Would the project:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Wildfire		
a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	No Impact		
b) Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?	No Impact		
c) Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?	No Impact		
d) Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?	No Impact		

# 2.1.21 Mandatory Findings of Significance

Question:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Mandatory Findings of Significance		
a) Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	No Impact—The proposed project would not have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment. With standard measures incorporated, the project does not have the potential to impact biological resources or cultural resources.		
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of	No Impact—The proposed project would take place within an existing maintenance facility and would not have impacts that are cumulatively considerable.		

#### Chapter 2 • CEQA Evaluation

Question:	CEQA Significance Determinations for Mandatory Findings of Significance		
other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?			
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	No Impact—The proposed project would take place within an existing maintenance facility. With standard measures incorporated the project would a less than significant impact on human beings, either directly or indirectly.		

# **Appendix A** Title VI Policy Statement

STATE OF CALIFORNIA—CALIFORNIA STATE TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

Gavin Newsom, Governor

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR P.O. BOX 942873, MS-49 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 PHONE (916) 654-6130 FAX (916) 653-5776 TTY 711 www.dot.ca.gov



November 2019

# NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY STATEMENT

The California Department of Transportation, under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, ensures "No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance."

Related federal statutes, remedies, and state law further those protections to include sex, disability, religion, sexual orientation, and age.

For information or guidance on how to file a complaint, or obtain more information regarding Title VI, please contact the Title VI Branch Manager at (916) 324-8379 or visit the following web page: https://dot.ca.gov/programs/business-and-economic-opportunity/title-vi.

To obtain this information in an alternate format such as Braille or in a language other than English, please contact the California Department of Transportation, Office of Business and Economic Opportunity, at 1823 14th Street, MS-79, Sacramento, CA 95811; (916) 324-8379 (TTY 711); or at Title.VI@dot.ca.gov.

Toks Omishakin Director

"Provide a safe, sustainable, integrated and efficient transportation system to enhance California's economy and livability"

# **Appendix B** Avoidance, Minimization, Mitigation, and/or Standard Measures

The project contains standardized project measures included as part of the project description, and project-specific avoidance and minimization measures. No compensatory mitigation measures were proposed for this project.

#### STANDARD MEASURES

- AQ-1 Caltrans Standard Specifications Section 14-9.02, Air Pollution Control
- **AQ-2** Caltrans Standard Specifications Section 10-5, Dust Control
- **BIO-1** Caltrans Standard Special Provision 14-6.03B, Bird Protection
- **BIO-2** A preconstruction survey for migratory birds and raptors will be required no more than 14 days prior to construction, if construction activities occur within the migratory bird nesting season of February 1 through September 30. If migratory birds or raptors are observed nesting adjacent to any work area during construction activities, environmentally sensitive area buffers will be required. This would be determined by a qualified biologist.
- **BIO-3** The Caltrans project biologist will be notified by the Resident Engineer at least 14 days prior to the start of construction, so preconstruction surveys and avoidance measures can be implemented.
- **ER-1** Caltrans Standard Specification 7-1.02C
- **GEN-1** Caltrans Standard Specification 7-1.02A, General Laws
- **HW-**1 A survey for Asbestos Containing Materials will be required prior to building demolition.
- **HW-2** A project-specific Lead Based Paint survey will be required prior to any demolition activities.
- HW-3 Caltrans Standard Special Provision 14-11.14, Treated Wood Waste
- NQ-1 Caltrans Standard Specifications Section 14-8.02, Noise Control.
- **NQ-2** All equipment will have sound-control devices that are no less effective than those provided on the original equipment.
- **NQ-3** No equipment will have an unmuffled exhaust.

**WQ-1** Caltrans Standard Specifications Section 13-1, Water Pollution Control will be added to the construction contract. The contractor must abide by best management practices and address all potential water quality impacts that may occur during construction.

#### PROJECT-SPECIFIC AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES

- **GHG-1** Caltrans staff will enhance the environmental training provided for contractor staff by adding a module on greenhouse gas reduction strategies.
- **GHG-2** The contractor will reduce construction waste and maximize the use of recycled materials.
- **GHG-3** The contractor will incorporate measures to reduce the use of potable water.
- **GHG-4** Idling will be limited to five minutes for delivery and dump trucks and other diesel-powered equipment.
- **GHG-5** The contractor will seek to operate construction equipment with improved fuel efficiency by:
- Properly tuning and maintaining equipment
- Using the right-size equipment for the job
- Use equipment with new technologies

**HW-4** Additional sampling would be required to determine the extent of contamination that remains under and around the generator building. A work plan would be developed and approved by the Central Valley regional Water Quality Control Board to close the site.

# **Appendix C** Coordination

This section contains correspondence involved in coordination of the proposed project.

Letter Correspondence from Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board to Caltrans





Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board

13 September 2017

Stan Oshita, P.E. California Department of Transportation, District 10 850 South Guild Avenue, Suite 107 Lodi, CA 95240

# REMEDIAL ACTION REPORT REVIEW, PEDDLER HILL MAINTENANCE STATION, 41951 HIGHWAY 88, GRIZZLY FLATS, EL DORADO COUNTY

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Water Board) staff reviewed the 21 August 2017 Remedial Action Report (Report) submitted by Cook Environmental Services, Inc. (Cook) on behalf of the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) for the petroleum hydrocarbon release at the Peddler Hill Maintenance Station at 41951 Highway 88 in Grizzly Flats, El Dorado County (Site). The Report summarizes investigation and cleanup activities associated with a 12 June 2017 diesel fuel release. The Report also request regulatory closure for the case. On 21 August 2017, Mr. Robert Lauritzen of El Dorado County Environmental Management (EDCEM) formally transferred regulatory oversight of the case to the Central Valley Water Board.

#### **Case Summary**

According to the Report, the site is an active Caltrans vehicle and equipment maintenance facility, which utilizes two 10.000-gallon above ground storage tanks (ASTs) to store fuel for on-Site electricity generation. On 13 June 2017, a Caltrans technician discovered a diesel fuel leak caused by an improperly installed fuel filter on one of the generators. Caltrans staff shut down the generator on 13 June 2017 following observation of the leak. From 19 June 2017 to 25 July 2017, Caltrans excavated approximately 841 cubic yards of diesel-impacted soil and asphalt. Caltrans utilized a photo-ionization detector

(PID) to guide excavation work. Caltrans profiled the excavated material as non-hazardous waste and transported it to the US Ecology, Inc. landfill near Beatty, Nevada for disposal.

The Report identified San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board Tier 1 Environmental Screening Levels (Tier 1 ESLs) as soil cleanup goals, with the exception of diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHd), for which the Report identified a cleanup goal of 1,000 milligrams per kilogram. The Tier 1 ESL for TPHd is 230 mg/kg. The Report stated that soil cleanup levels were "based on Tier 1 Environmental Screening Levels...and were revised for the Site by the EDCEM." During an 11 September 2017 telephone conservation with Robert Lauritzen of EDCEM, Mr. Lauritzen stated that EDCEM did not revise soil cleanup levels. Based on this information, Central Valley Water Board staff considers Tier 1 ESLs to be the appropriate cleanup levels for the Site.

On 6 July 2017, Caltrans collected 24 confirmation soil samples. Mr. Lauritzen was on-Site to oversee the selection of confirmation sample locations. Caltrans analyzed confirmation samples for TPHd, motor oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHm), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (collectively "BTEX"), and naphthalene. Following receipt of the 6 July 2017 sample results, Caltrans conducted additional excavation and confirmation sample collection on 17 July 2017. Cook concluded that four soil samples that were not excavated contain TPHd above its cleanup goal. Central Valley Water Board staff does not concur with this conclusion; confirmation sample results for left-in-place soil exceeding Tier 1 ESLs are summarized below.

Table 1

Left-In-Place Soil Sample Results Exceeding Tier 1 ESLs

(results in milligrams per kilogram [mg/kg])

(results in minigrams per knogram [mg/kg])								
Sample ID	Sample Date	TPHd	TPHm	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	Naph- thalene
	Tier 1 ESL:	230	5,100	0.044	2.9	1.4	2.3	0.033
A-3	7/6/2017	290	180	<0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
A-3 SW	7/6/2017	27,000	8,000	<0.050	0.17	<0.050	1.1	<0.050
E-3A	7/17/2017	560	280	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
E-8	7/6/2017	520	160	<0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
G-3 SW	7/6/2017	25,000	9,400	<0.010	<0.01	<0.010	0.27	<0.010
1-3	7/6/2017	1,100	1,000	<0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
1-8	7/6/2017	460	200	<0.050	<0.05	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
I-8 NW	7/6/2017	11,000	2,900	<0.050	0.11	0.30	1.6	<0.050
L-7	7/6/2017	390	160	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	0.034	<0.0050
M-3	7/6/2017	280	590	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
Q-3	7/6/2017	540	310	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
Q-12	7/6/2017	740	490	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050

Tier 1 ESL = San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board Tier 1 Environmental Screening Level

TPHd = Diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons TPHm = Motor oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons

<sup>&</sup>lt; = Non-detect at or above laboratory reporting limits

Tier 1 ESL exceedances are shown in bold.

The sample points listed in Table 1 are located adjacent to the generator building, with the exception of points Q-3 and Q-12, which are located approximately 30 to 35 feet east and southeast, respectively, of the generator building. The Report states that there were several areas beneath the generator building foundation where the impacted soil was left in place. The Report also states that the final remedy for these soils will be addressed later. Based on the confirmation sample results, Caltrans concluded that the hydrocarbon concentrations in soil do not constitute a threat to human health or the environment, and regulatory closure should be granted for the case.

#### Comments

Confirmation soil sample results indicate that left-in-place soil contains diesel fuel at concentrations exceeding Tier 1 ESL concentrations at 12 locations. Accordingly, Caltrans' recommendation of case closure is not warranted, and is denied. Additional soil remediation is needed near the generator building. By **15 November 2017**, please submit a work plan presenting your approach for addressing soil exceeding cleanup levels that has been left in place near the generator building. If Caltrans identifies additional excavation and disposal as the selected remedy, then a brief letter proposal may be submitted. The proposal should identify the areas to be targeted for excavation and a schedule for implementation.

As noted in Report, impacted soil is also present in an inaccessible area beneath the generator building. Institutional controls may be appropriate to restrict certain land uses at the Site while this soil remains in place. In the work plan, please present Caltrans' plans to either implement institutional controls at the Site, or remediate impacted soil underneath the generator building.

If you have any questions or comments about this letter, please contact me at (916) 464-4707 or via e-mail at geoff.rader@waterboards.ca.gov.

Geoffrey Rader, P.E. Number C80249

Water Resource Control Engineer

Cc: Mr. Robert Lauritzen, El Dorado County Environmental Management,

Placerville

#### Letter Correspondence from Caltrans to Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board

STATE OF CALIFORNIA—CALIFORNIA STATE TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

EDMUND G. BROWN Jr., Governor

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

1976 E. DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. BOULEVARD 95205
PHONE (209) 948-7259
FAX (209) 948-3607
TTY 711
www.dot.ca.gov

RECEIVED SACRAMENTO CVRWOCE

2017 SEP 27 AM 11: 59

Making Conservation a California Way of Life.

September 25, 2017

Mr. Geoffrey Rader, P.E. Water Resource Control Engineer Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board 11020 Sun Center Drive, Number 200 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Dear Mr. Rader:

Thank you for working with Caltrans on a remediation plan to address the concerns that Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board has brought up. In response to the letter received last September 13, 2017, the following are my reply to the topics of concern:

- Diesel Generator Building—Caltrans has initiated an Engineer's Conceptual Report to demolish and replace the present generator building at Peddler Hill. The Program Advisor Engineer, District Asset Manager, and the Deputy District Director of Maintenance and Operations have reviewed and approved this document. This report includes the removal of the existing concrete slab of the generator building and removal of all diesel fuel contaminated soil underneath the existing generator building and slab. The project could cost Caltrans up to \$1.3 Million and will have to be programmed into the Caltrans Headquarters cycle for action. It is estimated to take about three years for the work to start, probably no earlier than the summer of 2020. Both Caltrans District 10 Engineering and Maintenance staff will stay focused and committed to ensure the building, slab, and contaminated soil are replaced in a prudent and timely fashion.
- Truck Parking Lot—Caltrans will request a project to commence next summer to replace the diesel-contaminated soil in the sites outside the generator building highlighted on the attached map. This project will either be combined with the replacement of the generator building or executed

as a separate project. Caltrans understands the urgent nature of the work and the fact that needs to be addressed as soon as possible. However, with the winter season approaching and the fact that Peddler Hill Maintenance typically receives several feet of snow a year, the work will have to be planned for the future. The facility is a 24/7 operational yard that is responsible to maintain over 40 miles of Route 88 in the high Sierra Mountains during the winter and keep the road safe and passable for traffic to Kirkwood and Lake Tahoe resorts.

If there are any questions, please contact Mr. Juan Armendarez, Caltrans Hazmat Manager at (209) 948-3723 or juan.armendarez@dot.ca.gov.

Thank you once again for your cooperation regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Kent Kibble

Jan C. Release

Region Maintenance Manager II, Mountain Region (A)

Cc: Samuel Jordan, Deputy District Director, Maintenance and Operations Division (A)

Ali Juma, Maintenance Engineering Branch Chief Juan Armendarez, Hazmat Manager

# **List of Technical Studies Bound Separately (Volume 2)**

Air Quality Memorandum

Noise Compliance Memorandum

Water Compliance Memorandum

No Effects Memorandum

Screened Undertaking Memorandum

**Initial Site Assessment** 

Climate Change Memorandum

To obtain a copy of one or more of these technical studies/reports or the Initial Study, please send your request to:

Jaycee Azevedo

Central Region Environmental, California Department of Transportation

1976 Doctor Martin Luther King, Junior Boulevard, Stockton, California 95205

Or send your request via email to: jaycee.azevedo@dot.ca.gov Or call: (209) 941-1919

Please provide the following information in your request:
Project title
General location information
District number-county code-route-post mile
Project ID number