## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

11-SD-125	9.3/13.0		18000033		
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Projec		Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Proje	
<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION:</b> (Briefly describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.)					
On State Route 125 (SR-125) in San Diego County in and near Lemon Grove from 0.3 mile south of Elkelton Place					
undercrossing to 0.2 mile sout existing Portland Cement Cond longitudinal cracking) by repla remediation, as expansive soil planting and irrigation; ADA Co Detection Systems; Ramp meta are any changes Caltrans Envi contacts. No new right of way	h of SR-125/94 sepa crete (PCC) pavemen cement with a comp s have contributed to urb Ramps; Culvert l ers; LED lighting; fib ronmental shall be c	ration. The pro nt exhibiting m osite alternati o the pavemer Rehabilitation per optic cable	bject propose hajor distress ve of an over nt deterioratio ; Pavement s s; CCTV and	to rehabilitate distressed so (minor rutting; alligator crac lay and slab replacement, inc on. Also including sign panel houlder and dike; Concrete b bicycle and pedestrian impro	egments of the king and luding soil replacement; arrier; Vehicle ovements. If there
CALTRANS CEQA DETERM	INATION (Check one	)			
Not Applicable – Caltrans is n	ot the CEQA Lead Age	ncy 🗌 No Ei	nvironmental Ir	Caltrans has prepared an Initial npact Report under CEQA	Study or
Based on an examination of this prop			ove statements,	the project is:	
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)           Categorically Exempt. Class_1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)					
<ul> <li>Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:</li> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.</li> <li>There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.</li> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").</li> </ul>					
This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.					
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)					
Bruce L. April			Brooke Eme	ry	
Print Name: Senior Environ Environmental Branch Chie			Print Name: Proj	ect Manager	
	million	/30/2020			
Signature	0	Date	Signature		Date
NEPA COMPLIANCE					
<ul> <li>In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:</li> <li>does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>has considered unusual circumsta</li> </ul>					
CALTRANS NEPA DETERM	INATION (Check on	e)			
<ul> <li>23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:</li> <li>23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(<u>27</u>)</li> <li>23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()</li> </ul>					
Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State					
23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.					
Bruce L. April			Brooke Eme		
Print Name: Senior Enviror Environmental Branch Chie		-	Print Name: Pro	ject Manager/DLA Engineer	
p.p. Shaydynn	Manson 4	/30/2020			
Signature		Date	Signature		Date
Date of Categorical Exclusion Check	ist completion 4/302020	)	Date of FCR of	or equivalent: 4/302020	

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).