Form F

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #:		
Project Title:	City of Rocklin Streambed Alteration Routine Maintenance Agreement	
Lead Agency:	City of Rocklin	
Contact Name	e: Corinne Heisler	
Email: corinne	e.heisler@rocklin.ca.us	Phone Number: 916-625-5513
Project Locati	on: City of Rocklin	Placer County
	City	County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The City of Rocklin proposed to enter into a 12 year (with optional 5 year extension for a total of 17 years) Streambed Alteration Agreement with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) for the ongoing implementation of routine maintenance activities within jurisdictional improved and unimproved drainage channels and associated CDFW jurisdictional areas. Coverage of the City 's existing routine maintenance work would transition to the new Routine Maintenance Agreement (RMA). The City performs routine maintenance work to maintain functional and structural integrity of their facilities with the ultimate goal of flood protection.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Potentially significant effects to biological resources, specifically special status plant and wildlife species. Effects would be reduced to less than significant with proposed mitigation measures IV.-1 through IV.-12.

Potentially significant effects to cultural resources, specifically archeological resources and human remains. Effects would be reduced to less than significant with proposed mitigation measure V.-1.

Potentially signifiant effects to tribal cultural resources would be reduced to less than significant with proposed mitigation measures XVIII.-1 and XVIII.-2.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No known areas of controversy.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife