



Tentative Tract No. 36911

NOISE IMPACT ANALYSIS

CITY OF MENIFEE

PREPARED BY:

Bill Lawson, PE, INCE
blawson@urbanxroads.com
(949) 336-5979

Alex Wolfe, INCE
awolfe@urbanxroads.com
(949) 336-5977

APRIL 5, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
APPENDICES	IV
LIST OF EXHIBITS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	V
LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS.....	VI
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
On-Site Traffic Noise Analysis	1
Construction Noise and Vibration Analysis	4
1 INTRODUCTION.....	7
1.1 Site Location.....	7
1.2 Project Description.....	7
2 FUNDAMENTALS	11
2.1 Range of Noise	11
2.2 Noise Descriptors	12
2.3 Sound Propagation.....	12
2.4 Noise Control	13
2.5 Noise Barrier Attenuation.....	14
2.6 Land Use Compatibility With Noise	14
2.7 Community Response to Noise.....	14
2.8 Exposure to High Noise Levels	15
2.9 Vibration	15
3 REGULATORY SETTING.....	19
3.1 State of California Noise Requirements.....	19
3.2 State of California Building Code	19
3.3 City of Menifee General Plan Noise Element.....	19
3.4 Construction Noise Standards	22
3.5 Construction Vibration Standards.....	23
4 EXISTING NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	25
4.1 Measurement Procedure and Criteria	25
4.2 Noise Measurement Locations	25
4.3 Noise Measurement Results	26
5 METHODS AND PROCEDURES	29
5.1 FHWA Traffic Noise Prediction Model	29
5.2 On-Site Traffic Noise Prediction Model Inputs	29
5.3 Vibration Assessment	30
6 ON-SITE TRANSPORTATION NOISE IMPACTS	33
6.1 On-Site Exterior Noise Analysis.....	33
6.2 On-Site Interior Noise Analysis	34
7 RECEIVER LOCATIONS.....	37

8	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS.....	39
8.1	Construction Noise Levels.....	39
8.2	Construction Reference Noise Levels	39
8.3	Construction Noise Analysis.....	41
8.4	Construction Noise Level Compliance	47
8.5	Construction Vibration Impacts	47
8.6	Construction Noise and Vibration Abatement Measures.....	49
9	REFERENCES.....	51
10	CERTIFICATION.....	53

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 3.1: CITY OF MENIFEE MUNICIPAL CODE
APPENDIX 4.1: STUDY AREA PHOTOS
APPENDIX 4.2: NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT WORKSHEETS
APPENDIX 5.1: SITE PLAN
APPENDIX 6.1: ON-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE CALCULATIONS

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT ES-A: SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3
EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP.....	8
EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN.....	9
EXHIBIT 2-A: TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS.....	11
EXHIBIT 2-B: NOISE LEVEL INCREASE PERCEPTION	15
EXHIBIT 2-C: TYPICAL LEVELS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION.....	17
EXHIBIT 3-A: LAND USE COMPATIBILITY FOR COMMUNITY NOISE ENVIRONMENTS	21
EXHIBIT 4-A: NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS.....	28
EXHIBIT 7-A: RECEIVER LOCATIONS.....	38
EXHIBIT 8-A: CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY AND RECEIVER LOCATIONS	46

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 4-1: 24-HOUR AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	27
TABLE 5-1: ON-SITE ROADWAY PARAMETERS	30
TABLE 5-2: TIME OF DAY VEHICLE SPLITS.....	30
TABLE 5-3: DISTRIBUTION OF TRAFFIC FLOW BY VEHICLE TYPE (VEHICLE MIX).....	30
TABLE 5-4: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	31
TABLE 6-1: EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS (CNEL)	33
TABLE 6-2: FIRST FLOOR INTERIOR NOISE IMPACTS (CNEL)	35
TABLE 6-3: SECOND FLOOR INTERIOR NOISE IMPACTS (CNEL).....	35
TABLE 8-1: CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS	40
TABLE 8-2: SITE PREPARATION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS	41
TABLE 8-3: GRADING EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS.....	42
TABLE 8-4: BUILDING CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS	43
TABLE 8-5: PAVING EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS	44
TABLE 8-6: ARCHITECTURAL COATING EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS	45
TABLE 8-7: CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL SUMMARY (DBA LEQ)	47
TABLE 8-8: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT VIBRATION LEVELS	48

LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

(1)	Reference
ADT	Average Daily Traffic
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
Calveno	California Vehicle Noise
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CNEL	Community Noise Equivalent Level
dBA	A-weighted decibels
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
I-215	Interstate 215
INCE	Institute of Noise Control Engineering
Leq	Equivalent continuous (average) sound level
Lmax	Maximum level measured over the time interval
Lmin	Minimum level measured over the time interval
mph	Miles per hour
PPV	Peak Particle Velocity
Project	Tentative Tract No. 36911
REMEL	Reference Energy Mean Emission Level
RMS	Root-mean-square
VdB	Vibration Decibels

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Urban Crossroads, Inc. has prepared this noise study to determine the noise exposure and the necessary noise abatement measures for the proposed Tentative Tract No. 36911 development ("Project"). The Project site is located at the intersection of Valley Boulevard and Chambers Avenue in the City of Menifee. It is our understanding that the Project is proposed to include the development of up to 75 single-family detached residential dwelling units. This noise impact analysis was prepared to satisfy the City of Menifee noise level standards and ensure that adequate noise abatement measures are incorporated into the Project's development.

ON-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE ANALYSIS

To satisfy the City of Menifee 65 dBA CNEL exterior noise level standards for residential land use, the construction of 6-foot high noise barriers for lots 41 to 75 adjacent to Valley Boulevard is required. With the recommended noise barriers shown on Exhibit ES-A, the mitigated future exterior noise levels will range from 58.1 to 62.3 dBA CNEL. This noise analysis shows that the recommended noise barriers will satisfy the City of Menifee 65 dBA CNEL exterior noise level standards for residential land use. The effective noise barrier height recommendations represent the minimum wall and/or berm combination height required to satisfy the City of Menifee exterior noise level standards.

The planned noise control barriers shall be constructed so that the top of each wall and /or berm combination extends to the recommended height above the pad elevation of the lot it is shielding. When the road is elevated above the pad elevation, the barrier shall extend to the recommended height above the highest point between the residential home and the road. The barrier shall provide a weight of at least 4 pounds per square foot of face area with no decorative cutouts or line-of-sight openings between shielded areas and the roadways, and a minimum transmission loss of 20 dBA. (1) The noise barrier shall be constructed using the following materials:

- Masonry block
- Stucco veneer over wood framing (or foam core), or 1-inch-thick tongue and groove wood of sufficient weight per square foot
- Glass (1/4-inch-thick), or other transparent material with sufficient weight per square foot capable of providing a minimum transmission loss of 20 dBA.
- Earthen berm
- Any combination of these construction materials

The barrier shall consist of a solid face from top to bottom. Unnecessary openings or decorative cutouts shall not be made. All gaps (except for weep holes) should be filled with grout or caulking.

INTERIOR NOISE ABATEMENT

To satisfy the City of Menifee 45 dBA CNEL interior noise level criteria, lots adjacent to Valley Boulevard will require a Noise Reduction (NR) of up to 23.5 dBA and a windows closed condition requiring a means of mechanical ventilation (e.g. air conditioning). To meet the City of Menifee 45 dBA CNEL interior noise standards for residential land use the Project shall provide the following or equivalent noise abatement measures:

- Windows: All windows and sliding glass doors shall be well fitted, well weather-stripped assemblies and shall have a minimum sound transmission class (STC) rating of 27.
- Doors: All exterior doors shall be well weather-stripped and have minimum STC ratings of 25. Well-sealed perimeter gaps around the doors are essential to achieve the optimal STC rating. (2)
- Walls: At any penetrations of exterior walls by pipes, ducts, or conduits, the space between the wall and pipes, ducts, or conduits shall be caulked or filled with mortar to form an airtight seal.
- Residential Roofs: Roof sheathing of wood construction for all lots shall be well fitted or caulked plywood of at least one-half inch thick. Ceilings shall be well fitted, well-sealed gypsum board of at least one-half inch thick. Insulation with at least a rating of R-19 shall be used in the attic space.
- Ventilation: Arrangements for any habitable room shall be such that any exterior door or window can be kept closed when the room is in use and still receive circulated air. A forced air circulation system (e.g. air conditioning) or active ventilation system (e.g. fresh air supply) shall be provided which satisfies the requirements of the Uniform Building Code.

With the interior noise abatement measures provided in this study, the proposed Project is expected to satisfy the City of Menifee 45 dBA CNEL interior noise level standards for residential development. While not required, this noise study recommends an interior noise level design goal of 40 dBA CNEL using upgraded second floor windows with a minimum STC rating of 32 for windows facing Valley Boulevard of lots 41 to 75, as shown on Exhibit ES-A.

EXHIBIT ES-A: SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS



CONSTRUCTION NOISE AND VIBRATION ANALYSIS

Construction noise represents a short-term increase on the ambient noise levels. Construction-related noise impacts are expected to create temporary and intermittent high-level noise conditions at receivers surrounding the Project site when certain activities occur at the Project site boundary. Using sample reference noise levels to represent the planned construction activities of the Tentative Tract No. 36911 site, this analysis estimates the Project-related construction noise levels at nearby sensitive receiver locations. The construction noise analysis shows that the unmitigated daytime construction activities will satisfy the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health 85 dBA Leq noise level threshold at all receiver locations, and therefore, the noise level impacts will be *less than significant*.

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground vibration, depending on the equipment and methods used, distance to the affected structures and soil type. It is expected that ground-borne vibration from Project construction activities would cause only intermittent, localized intrusion. Based on the reference vibration levels provided by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), a large bulldozer represents the peak source of vibration with a reference velocity of 87 VdB at 25 feet. At distances ranging from 70 to 761 feet from the Project construction activities, construction vibration velocity levels are expected to approach 73.6 VdB. Based on the FTA vibration standards, the proposed Project site will not include or require equipment, facilities, or activities that would result in a *barely perceptible* human response (annoyance) for infrequent events.

Further, vibration levels at the site of the closest sensitive receiver are unlikely to be sustained during the entire construction period, but will occur rather only during the times that heavy construction equipment is operating close to the Project site perimeter. Moreover, construction at the Project site will be restricted to daytime hours consistent with City requirements thereby eliminating potential vibration impacts during the sensitive nighttime hours.

CONSTRUCTION NOISE AND VIBRATION ABATEMENT MEASURES

Though construction noise is temporary, intermittent and of short duration, and will not present any long-term impacts, the following practices would reduce noise level increases generated by the construction equipment to the nearby noise-sensitive residential land uses.

- Prior to approval of grading plans and/or issuance of building permits, plans shall include a note indicating that noise-generating Project construction activities shall only occur between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from June to September, and 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. from October to May, with no activity allowed on Sundays and nationally recognized holidays (Section 9.09.030(B) of the City of Menifee Municipal Code).
- During all Project site construction, the construction contractors shall equip all construction equipment, fixed or mobile, with properly operating and maintained mufflers, consistent with manufacturers' standards. The construction contractor shall place all stationary construction equipment so that emitted noise is directed away from the noise sensitive receptors nearest the Project site.

- The construction contractor shall locate equipment staging in areas that will create the greatest distance between construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receivers nearest the Project site during all Project construction (i.e., to the center).
- The construction contractor shall limit haul truck deliveries to the same hours specified for construction equipment (between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from June to September, and 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. from October to May, with no activity allowed on Sundays and nationally recognized holidays). The contractor shall design delivery routes to minimize the exposure of sensitive land uses or residential dwellings to delivery truck-related noise.

This page intentionally left blank

1 INTRODUCTION

This noise analysis has been completed to determine the noise impacts associated with the development of the proposed Tentative Tract No. 36911 (“Project”). This noise study describes the proposed Project, provides information regarding noise fundamentals, outlines the local regulatory setting, provides the study methods and procedures for traffic noise analysis, and evaluates the future exterior noise environment. In addition, this study includes an analysis of the potential Project-related short-term construction noise and vibration impacts.

1.1 SITE LOCATION

The proposed Tentative Tract No. 36911 Project is located at the intersection of Valley Boulevard and Chambers Avenue in the City of Menifee, as shown on Exhibit 1-A. The Project site is currently vacant. Residential land uses are located east of the Project site. The vacant land uses located north, south, and west of the Project site are designated as Residential. Interstate 215 (I-215) is located approximately 1.3 miles east of the Project site.


1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

It is our understanding that the Project is proposed to include the development of up to 75 single-family detached residential dwelling units, as shown on Exhibit 1-B.

EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP



EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN



This page intentionally left blank

2 FUNDAMENTALS

Noise has been simply defined as "unwanted sound." Sound becomes unwanted when it interferes with normal activities, when it causes actual physical harm or when it has adverse effects on health. Noise is measured on a logarithmic scale of sound pressure level known as a decibel (dB). A-weighted decibels (dBA) approximate the subjective response of the human ear to broad frequency noise source by discriminating against very low and very high frequencies of the audible spectrum. They are adjusted to reflect only those frequencies which are audible to the human ear. Exhibit 2-A presents a summary of the typical noise levels and their subjective loudness and effects that are described in more detail below.

EXHIBIT 2-A: TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS

COMMON OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES	COMMON INDOOR ACTIVITIES	A - WEIGHTED SOUND LEVEL dBA	SUBJECTIVE LOUDNESS	EFFECTS OF NOISE
THRESHOLD OF PAIN		140	INTOLERABLE OR DEAFENING	HEARING LOSS
NEAR JET ENGINE		130		
		120		
JET FLY-OVER AT 300m (1000 ft)	ROCK BAND	110		
LOUD AUTO HORN		100	VERY NOISY	SPEECH INTERFERENCE
GAS LAWN MOWER AT 1m (3 ft)		90		
DIESEL TRUCK AT 15m (50 ft), at 80 km/hr (50 mph)	FOOD BLENDER AT 1m (3 ft)	80		
NOISY URBAN AREA, DAYTIME	VACUUM CLEANER AT 3m (10 ft)	70	LOUD	
HEAVY TRAFFIC AT 90m (300 ft)	NORMAL SPEECH AT 1m (3 ft)	60		
QUIET URBAN DAYTIME	LARGE BUSINESS OFFICE	50	MODERATE	SLEEP DISTURBANCE
QUIET URBAN NIGHTTIME	THEATER, LARGE CONFERENCE ROOM (BACKGROUND)	40		
QUIET SUBURBAN NIGHTTIME	LIBRARY	30	FAINT	NO EFFECT
QUIET RURAL NIGHTTIME	BEDROOM AT NIGHT, CONCERT HALL (BACKGROUND)	20		
	BROADCAST/RECORDING STUDIO	10	VERY FAINT	
LOWEST THRESHOLD OF HUMAN HEARING	LOWEST THRESHOLD OF HUMAN HEARING	0		

Source: Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control, *Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety* (EPA/ONAC 550/9-74-004) March 1974.

2.1 RANGE OF NOISE

Since the range of intensities that the human ear can detect is so large, the scale frequently used to measure intensity is a scale based on multiples of 10, the logarithmic scale. The scale for measuring intensity is the decibel scale. Each interval of 10 decibels indicates a sound energy ten times greater than before, which is perceived by the human ear as being roughly twice as loud. (3) The most common sounds vary between 40 dBA (very quiet) to 100 dBA (very loud). Normal conversation at three feet is roughly at 60 dBA, while loud jet engine noises equate to 110 dBA

at approximately 100 feet, which can cause serious discomfort. (4) Another important aspect of noise is the duration of the sound and the way it is described and distributed in time.

2.2 NOISE DESCRIPTORS

Environmental noise descriptors are generally based on averages, rather than instantaneous, noise levels. The most commonly used figure is the equivalent level (Leq). Equivalent sound levels are not measured directly but are calculated from sound pressure levels typically measured in A-weighted decibels (dBA). The equivalent sound level (Leq) represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period and is commonly used to describe the “average” noise levels within the environment.

Peak hour or average noise levels, while useful, do not completely describe a given noise environment. Noise levels lower than peak hour may be disturbing if they occur during times when quiet is most desirable, namely evening and nighttime (sleeping) hours. To account for this, the Day-Night Average Noise Level (LDN) and the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL), representing a composite 24-hour noise level is utilized. The LDN and CNEL are weighted averages of the intensity of a sound, with corrections for time of day, and averaged over 24 hours. The LDN time of day corrections include the addition of 10 decibels to dBA Leq sound levels at night between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. The CNEL time of day corrections require the addition of 5 decibels to dBA Leq sound levels in the evening from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m., in addition to the corrections for the LDN. These additions are made to account for the noise sensitive time periods during the evening and night hours when sound appears louder. LDN and CNEL do not represent the actual sound level heard at any time, but rather represent the total sound exposure. The City of Menifee relies on the 24-hour CNEL level to assess land use compatibility with transportation related noise sources, and therefore, this analysis uses the CNEL noise level to apply the more conservative evening hour corrections to the 24-hour noise levels.

2.3 SOUND PROPAGATION

When sound propagates over a distance, it changes in level and frequency content. The way noise reduces with distance depends on the following factors.

2.3.1 GEOMETRIC SPREADING

Sound from a localized source (i.e., a stationary point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. The sound level attenuates (or decreases) at a rate of 6 dB for each doubling of distance from a point source. Highways consist of several localized noise sources on a defined path and hence can be treated as a line source, which approximates the effect of several point sources. Noise from a line source propagates outward in a cylindrical pattern, often referred to as cylindrical spreading. Sound levels attenuate at a rate of 3 dB for each doubling of distance from a line source. (5)

2.3.2 GROUND ABSORPTION

The propagation path of noise from a highway to a receptor is usually very close to the ground. Noise attenuation from ground absorption and reflective wave canceling adds to the attenuation associated with geometric spreading. Traditionally, the excess attenuation has also been expressed in terms of attenuation per doubling of distance. This approximation is usually sufficiently accurate for distances of less than 200 ft. For acoustically hard sites (i.e., sites with a reflective surface between the source and the receptor, such as a parking lot or body of water), no excess ground attenuation is assumed. For acoustically absorptive or soft sites (i.e., those sites with an absorptive ground surface between the source and the receptor such as soft dirt, grass, or scattered bushes and trees), an excess ground attenuation value of 1.5 dB per doubling of distance is normally assumed. When added to the cylindrical spreading, the excess ground attenuation results in an overall drop-off rate of 4.5 dB per doubling of distance from a line source. (6)

2.3.3 ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS

Receptors located downwind from a source can be exposed to increased noise levels relative to calm conditions, whereas locations upwind can have lowered noise levels. Sound levels can be increased at large distances (e.g., more than 500 feet) due to atmospheric temperature inversion (i.e., increasing temperature with elevation). Other factors such as air temperature, humidity, and turbulence can also have significant effects. (5)

2.3.4 SHIELDING

A large object or barrier in the path between a noise source and a receptor can substantially attenuate noise levels at the receptor. The amount of attenuation provided by shielding depends on the size of the object and the frequency content of the noise source. Shielding by trees and other such vegetation typically only has an “out of sight, out of mind” effect. That is, the perception of noise impact tends to decrease when vegetation blocks the line-of-sight to nearby resident. However, for vegetation to provide a substantial, or even noticeable, noise reduction, the vegetation area must be at least 15 feet in height, 100 feet wide and dense enough to completely obstruct the line-of sight between the source and the receiver. This size of vegetation may provide up to 5 dBA of noise reduction. The FHWA does not consider the planting of vegetation to be a noise abatement measure. (6)

2.4 NOISE CONTROL

Noise control is the process of obtaining an acceptable noise environment for an observation point or receptor by controlling the noise source, transmission path, receptor, or all three. This concept is known as the source-path-receptor concept. In general, noise control measures can be applied to any and all of these three elements.

2.5 NOISE BARRIER ATTENUATION

Effective noise barriers can reduce noise levels by 10 to 15 dBA, cutting the loudness of traffic noise in half. A noise barrier is most effective when placed close to the noise source or receptor. Noise barriers, however, do have limitations. For a noise barrier to work, it must be high enough and long enough to block the path of the noise source. (6)

2.6 LAND USE COMPATIBILITY WITH NOISE

Some land uses are more tolerant of noise than others. For example, schools, hospitals, churches and residences are more sensitive to noise intrusion than are commercial or industrial developments and related activities. As ambient noise levels affect the perceived amenity or livability of a development, so too can the mismanagement of noise impacts impair the economic health and growth potential of a community by reducing the area's desirability as a place to live, shop and work. For this reason, land use compatibility with the noise environment is an important consideration in the planning and design process. The FHWA encourages State and Local government to regulate land development in such a way that noise-sensitive land uses are either prohibited from being located adjacent to a highway, or that the developments are planned, designed, and constructed in such a way that noise impacts are minimized. (7)


2.7 COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO NOISE

Community responses to noise may range from registering a complaint by telephone or letter, to initiating court action, depending upon each individual's susceptibility to noise and personal attitudes about noise. Several factors are related to the level of community annoyance including:

- Fear associated with noise producing activities;
- Socio-economic status and educational level;
- Perception that those affected are being unfairly treated;
- Attitudes regarding the usefulness of the noise-producing activity;
- Belief that the noise source can be controlled.

Approximately ten percent of the population has a very low tolerance for noise and will object to any noise not of their making. Consequently, even in the quietest environment, some complaints will occur. Another twenty-five percent of the population will not complain even in very severe noise environments. Thus, a variety of reactions can be expected from people exposed to any given noise environment. (8) Surveys have shown that about ten percent of the people exposed to traffic noise of 60 dBA will report being highly annoyed with the noise, and each increase of one dBA is associated with approximately two percent more people being highly annoyed. When traffic noise exceeds 60 dBA or aircraft noise exceeds 55 dBA, people may begin to complain. (8)

Despite this variability in behavior on an individual level, the population can be expected to exhibit the following responses to changes in noise levels as shown on Exhibit 2-B. An increase or decrease of 1 dBA cannot be perceived except in carefully controlled laboratory experiments, a change of 3 dBA are considered *barely perceptible*, and changes of 5 dBA are considered *readily perceptible*. (6)

EXHIBIT 2-B: NOISE LEVEL INCREASE PERCEPTION**2.8 EXPOSURE TO HIGH NOISE LEVELS**

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) sets legal limits on noise exposure in the workplace. The permissible exposure limit (PEL) for a worker over an eight-hour day is 90 dBA. The OSHA standard uses a 5 dBA exchange rate. This means that when the noise level is increased by 5 dBA, the amount of time a person can be exposed to a certain noise level to receive the same dose is cut in half. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) has recommended that all worker exposures to noise should be controlled below a level equivalent to 85 dBA for eight hours to minimize occupational noise induced hearing loss. NIOSH also recommends a 3 dBA exchange rate so that every increase by 3 dBA doubles the amount of the noise and halves the recommended amount of exposure time. (9)

OSHA has implemented requirements to protect all workers in general industry (e.g. the manufacturing and the service sectors) for employers to implement a Hearing Conservation Program where workers are exposed to a time weighted average noise level of 85 dBA or higher over an eight-hour work shift. Hearing Conservation Programs require employers to measure noise levels, provide free annual hearing exams and free hearing protection, provide training, and conduct evaluations of the adequacy of the hearing protectors in use unless changes to tools, equipment and schedules are made so that they are less noisy and worker exposure to noise is less than the 85 dBA. This noise study does not evaluate the noise exposure of workers within a project or construction site based on CEQA requirements, and instead, evaluates Project-related operational and construction noise levels at the nearby sensitive receiver locations in the Project study area. Further, periodic exposure to high noise levels in short duration, such as Project construction, is typically considered an annoyance and not impactful to human health. It would take several years of exposure to high noise levels to result in hearing impairment. (10)


2.9 VIBRATION

According to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) *Transit Noise Impact and Vibration Assessment* (11), vibration is the periodic oscillation of a medium or object. The rumbling sound caused by the vibration of room surfaces is called structure-borne noise. Sources of ground-borne vibrations include natural phenomena (e.g., earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, sea waves, landslides) or human-made causes (e.g., explosions, machinery, traffic, trains, construction equipment). Vibration sources may be continuous, such as factory machinery, or transient, such

as explosions. As is the case with airborne sound, ground-borne vibrations may be described by amplitude and frequency.

There are several different methods that are used to quantify vibration. The peak particle velocity (PPV) is defined as the maximum instantaneous peak of the vibration signal. The PPV is most frequently used to describe vibration impacts to buildings, but is not always suitable for evaluating human response (annoyance) because it takes some time for the human body to respond to vibration signals. Instead, the human body responds to average vibration amplitude often described as the root mean square (RMS). The RMS amplitude is defined as the average of the squared amplitude of the signal, and is most frequently used to describe the effect of vibration on the human body. Decibel notation (VdB) is commonly used to measure RMS. Decibel notation (VdB) serves to reduce the range of numbers used to describe human response to vibration. Typically, ground-borne vibration generated by man-made activities attenuates rapidly with distance from the source of the vibration. Sensitive receivers for vibration include structures (especially older masonry structures), people (especially residents, the elderly, and sick), and vibration-sensitive equipment.

The background vibration-velocity level in residential areas is generally 50 VdB. Ground-borne vibration is normally perceptible to humans at approximately 65 VdB. For most people, a vibration-velocity level of 75 VdB is the approximate dividing line between barely perceptible and distinctly perceptible levels. Typical outdoor sources of perceptible ground-borne vibration are construction equipment, steel-wheeled trains, and traffic on rough roads. If a roadway is smooth, the ground-borne vibration is rarely perceptible. The range of interest is from approximately 50 VdB, which is the typical background vibration-velocity level, to 100 VdB, which is the general threshold where minor damage can occur in fragile buildings. Exhibit 2-C illustrates common vibration sources and the human and structural response to ground-borne vibration.

EXHIBIT 2-C: TYPICAL LEVELS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION

* RMS Vibration Velocity Level in VdB relative to 10^{-6} inches/second

Source: Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transit Noise Impact and Vibration Assessment.

This page intentionally left blank

3 REGULATORY SETTING

To limit population exposure to physically and/or psychologically damaging as well as intrusive noise levels, the federal government, the State of California, various county governments, and most municipalities in the state have established standards and ordinances to control noise. In most areas, automobile and truck traffic is the major source of environmental noise. Traffic activity generally produces an average sound level that remains constant with time. Air and rail traffic, and commercial and industrial activities are also major sources of noise in some areas. Federal, state, and local agencies regulate different aspects of environmental noise. Federal and state agencies generally set noise standards for mobile sources such as aircraft and motor vehicles, while regulation of stationary sources is left to local agencies.

3.1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA NOISE REQUIREMENTS

The State of California regulates freeway noise, sets standards for sound transmission, provides occupational noise control criteria, identifies noise standards and provides guidance for local land use compatibility. State law requires that each county and city adopt a General Plan that includes a Noise Element which is to be prepared per guidelines adopted by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research. (12) The purpose of the Noise Element is to *limit the exposure of the community to excessive noise levels*. In addition, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that all known environmental effects of a project be analyzed, including the potential environmental noise impacts.

3.2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE

The State of California's noise insulation standards are codified in the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Building Standards Administrative Code, Part 2, and the California Building Code. These noise standards are applied to new construction in California for controlling interior noise levels resulting from exterior noise sources. The regulations specify that acoustical studies must be prepared when noise-sensitive structures, such as residential buildings, schools, or hospitals, are developed near major transportation noise sources, and where such noise sources create an exterior noise level of 60 dBA CNEL or higher. Acoustical studies that accompany building plans for noise-sensitive land uses must demonstrate that the structure has been designed to limit interior noise in habitable rooms to acceptable noise levels. For new residential buildings, schools, and hospitals, the acceptable interior noise limit for new construction is 45 dBA CNEL.

3.3 CITY OF MENIFEE GENERAL PLAN NOISE ELEMENT

The City of Menifee has adopted a Noise Element of the General Plan to control and abate environmental noise, and to protect the citizens of City of Menifee from excessive exposure to noise. (13) The Noise Element specifies the maximum allowable unmitigated exterior noise levels for new developments impacted by transportation noise sources such as arterial roads, freeways, airports, and railroads. In addition, the Noise Element identifies several policies to minimize the impacts of excessive noise levels throughout the community, and establishes noise level

requirements for all land uses. To protect City of Menifee residents from excessive noise, the Noise Element contains the following goal related to the Project:

N-1 Noise-sensitive land uses are protected from excessive noise and vibration exposure.


The noise policies specified in the City of Menifee Noise Element provide the guidelines necessary to satisfy this goal. Policy N-1.2 states that new developments are required to *comply with the noise standards of local, regional, and state building code regulations*, including the City's Municipal Code, Title 24 of the California code of Regulations, and the California Green Building Code, and this analysis has been prepared to satisfy the 45 dBA CNEL interior noise level standards of the Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations, previously discussed in Section 3.2. The Noise Element provides Policy N-1.11 to reduce excessive noise impacts from transportation and discourages the siting of noise-sensitive uses in areas in excess of 65 dBA CNEL without appropriate mitigation. (13)

The noise criteria identified in the City of Menifee Noise Element are guidelines to evaluate the land use compatibility of transportation related noise. The compatibility criteria, shown on Exhibit 3-A, provides the City with a planning tool to gauge the compatibility of land uses relative to existing and future exterior noise levels. Per the City's *Noise Element Background Document and Definitions, Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Environments* (Table N-b3), the single-family residential land use within the Project is considered *normally acceptable* with noise levels below 60 dBA CNEL. *Conditionally acceptable* single-family residential land uses experience noise levels approaching 70 dBA CNEL. For *conditionally acceptable* land use, *new construction or development should be undertaken only after a detailed analysis of the noise reduction requirements is made and the needed noise insulation features are included in the design. Conventional construction, but with closed windows and fresh air supply systems or air conditioning will normally suffice.*


Consistent with the land use compatibility guidelines and Noise Element Policy N-1.11, this noise study has been prepared to satisfy an exterior noise level of less than 65 dBA CNEL for single-family residential land use. An interior noise level of less than 45 dBA CNEL shall be required for residential uses within the Project. The 65 dBA CNEL exterior noise standards typically apply to outdoor areas where people congregate. In the case of residential projects, the standards typically apply to private yards of single-family homes and first floor patio areas for multi-family units.

EXHIBIT 3-A: LAND USE COMPATIBILITY FOR COMMUNITY NOISE ENVIRONMENTS


Land Uses	CNEL (dBA)					
	55	60	65	70	75	80
Residential-Low Density Single Family, Duplex, Mobile Homes						
Residential- Multiple Family						
Transient Lodging, Motels, Hotels						
Schools, Libraries, Churches, Hospitals, Nursing Homes						
Auditoriums, Concert Halls, Amphitheaters						
Sports Arena, Outdoor Spectator Sports						
Playgrounds, Neighborhood Parks						
Golf Courses, Riding Stables, Water Recreation, Cemeteries						
Office Buildings, Businesses, Commercial and Professional						
Industrial, Manufacturing, Utilities, Agricultural						



Normally Acceptable:
Specified land use is satisfactory based upon the assumption that any buildings involved are of normal conventional construction, without any special noise insulation requirements.



Conditionally Acceptable:
New construction or development should be undertaken only after a detailed analysis of the noise reduction requirements is made and the needed noise insulation features included in the design. Conventional construction, but with closed windows and fresh air supply systems or air conditioning will normally suffice.



Normally Unacceptable:
New construction or development should generally be discouraged. If new construction does proceed, a detailed analysis of the noise reduction requirements must be made and needed noise insulation features included in the design.



Clearly Unacceptable:
New construction or development generally should not be undertaken.

Source: California Office of Noise Control. Guidelines for the Preparation and Content of Noise Elements of the General Plan. February 1976.
Adapted from the US EPA Office of Noise Abatement Control, Washington D.C. Community Noise. Prepared by Wyle Laboratories.
December 1971.

Source: City of Menifee General Plan, Noise Background Document and Definitions, Table N-b3.

3.4 CONSTRUCTION NOISE STANDARDS

To control noise impacts associated with the construction of the proposed Project, the City has established limits to the hours of operation. Section 9.09.030(B) of the City's Municipal Code indicates that private construction projects, located within one-quarter of a mile from an occupied residence, are considered exempt from the Municipal Code noise standards if they occur within the permitted hours of 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from June to September, and 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. from October to May, with no activity allowed on Sundays and nationally recognized holidays. (14) However, the City's General Plan and Municipal Code do not establish numeric maximum acceptable construction source noise levels at potentially affected receivers, which would allow for a quantified determination of what CEQA constitutes as the *generation of noise levels in excess of standards* or as a *substantial temporary or periodic noise increase*, the following construction noise level thresholds are used in this noise study.

3.4.1 CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE THRESHOLD

To evaluate whether the Project will generate potentially significant temporary construction noise levels at off-site sensitive receiver locations, a construction-related noise level threshold is adopted from the *Criteria for Recommended Standard: Occupational Noise Exposure* prepared by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). (15) A division of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, NIOSH identifies a noise level threshold based on the duration of exposure to the source. The construction related noise level threshold starts at 85 dBA for more than eight hours per day, and for every 3 dBA increase, the exposure time is cut in half. This results in noise level thresholds of 88 dBA for more than four hours per day, 92 dBA for more than one hour per day, 96 dBA for more than 30 minutes per day, and up to 100 dBA for more than 15 minutes per day. (15) For the purposes of this analysis, the lowest, more conservative construction noise level threshold of 85 dBA Leq is used as an acceptable threshold for construction noise at the nearby sensitive receiver locations. Since this construction-related noise level threshold represents the energy average of the noise source over a given time period, they are expressed as Leq noise levels. Therefore, the noise level threshold of 85 dBA Leq over a period of eight hours or more is used to evaluate the potential Project-related construction noise level impacts at the nearby sensitive receiver locations.

3.4.2 CONSTRUCTION-RELATED HEARING CONSERVATION

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requires hearing protection be provided by employers in workplaces where the noise levels may, over long periods of exposure to high noise levels, endanger the hearing of their employees. Standard 29 CFR, Part 1910 indicates the noise levels under which a hearing conservation program is required to be provided to workers exposed to high noise levels. (9) This analysis does not evaluate the noise exposure of construction workers within the Project site based on CEQA requirements, and instead, evaluates the Project-related construction noise levels at the nearby sensitive receiver locations in the Project study area. Further, periodic exposure to high noise levels in short duration, such as Project construction, is typically considered an annoyance and not impactful to human health. It would take several years of exposure to high noise levels to result in hearing impairment. (10)

3.5 CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION STANDARDS

The City of Menifee has not identified or adopted vibration standards. However, the United States Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration (FTA) provides guidelines for maximum-acceptable vibration criteria for different types of land uses. These guidelines allow 80 VdB for residential uses and buildings where people normally sleep. (16)

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground-borne vibration, depending on the equipment and methods used, distance to the affected structures and soil type. Construction vibration is generally associated with pile driving and rock blasting. Other construction equipment such as air compressors, light trucks, hydraulic loaders, etc., generates little or no ground vibration. Occasionally large bulldozers and loaded trucks can cause perceptible vibration levels at close proximity. While not enforceable regulations within the City of Menifee, the FTA guidelines of 80 VdB for sensitive land uses provide the basis for determining the relative significance of potential Project-related vibration impacts.

This page intentionally left blank

4 EXISTING NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

To assess the existing noise level environment, three 24-hour noise level measurements were taken at sensitive receiver locations in the Project study area. The receiver locations were selected to describe and document the existing noise environment within the Project study area. Exhibit 4-A provides the boundaries of the Project study area and the noise level measurement locations. To fully describe the existing noise conditions, noise level measurements were collected by Urban Crossroads, Inc. on Wednesday, June 1st, 2017. Appendix 4.1 includes study area photos.

4.1 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE AND CRITERIA

To describe the existing noise environment, the hourly noise levels were measured during typical weekday conditions over a 24-hour period. By collecting individual hourly noise level measurements, it is possible to describe the daytime and nighttime hourly noise levels and calculate the 24-hour CNEL. The long-term noise readings were recorded using Piccolo Type 2 integrating sound level meter and dataloggers. The Piccolo sound level meters were calibrated using a Larson-Davis calibrator, Model CAL 150. All noise meters were programmed in "slow" mode to record noise levels in "A" weighted form. The sound level meters and microphones were equipped with a windscreen during all measurements. All noise level measurement equipment satisfies the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard specifications for sound level meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013. (17)

4.2 NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS

The long-term noise level measurements were positioned as close to the nearest sensitive receiver locations as possible to assess the existing ambient hourly noise levels surrounding the Project site. Both Caltrans and the FTA recognize that it is not reasonable to collect noise level measurements that can fully represent any part of a private yard, patio, deck, or balcony normally used for human activity when estimating impacts for new development projects. This is demonstrated in the Caltrans general site location guidelines which indicate that, *sites must be free of noise contamination by sources other than sources of interest. Avoid sites located near sources such as barking dogs, lawnmowers, pool pumps, and air conditioners unless it is the express intent of the analyst to measure these sources.* (5) Further, FTA guidance states, *that it is not necessary nor recommended that existing noise exposure be determined by measuring at every noise-sensitive location in the project area. Rather, the recommended approach is to characterize the noise environment for clusters of sites based on measurements or estimates at representative locations in the community.* (11)

Based on recommendations of Caltrans and the FTA, it is not necessary to collect measurements at each individual building or residence, because each receiver measurement represents a group of buildings that share acoustical equivalence. (11) In other words, the area represented by the receiver shares similar shielding, terrain, and geometric relationship to the reference noise source. Receivers represent a location of noise sensitive areas and are used to estimate the future noise level impacts. Collecting reference ambient noise level measurements at the nearby

sensitive receiver locations allows for a comparison of the before and after Project noise levels and is necessary to assess potential noise impacts due to the Project's contribution to the ambient noise levels.

4.3 NOISE MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The noise measurements presented below focus on the average or equivalent sound levels (Leq). The equivalent sound level (Leq) represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period. Table 4-1 identifies the hourly daytime (7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) and nighttime (10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.) noise levels at each noise level measurement location. Appendix 4.2 provides a summary of the existing hourly ambient noise levels described below:

- Location L1 represents the noise levels north of the Project site at the southeast corner of Thornton Avenue and Valley Boulevard near existing residential homes. The noise level measurements collected show an overall 24-hour exterior noise level of 54.4 dBA CNEL. The hourly noise levels measured at location L1 ranged from 42.8 to 58.6 dBA Leq during the daytime hours and from 39.3 to 48.0 dBA Leq during the nighttime hours. The energy (logarithmic) average daytime noise level was calculated at 51.9 dBA Leq with an average nighttime noise level of 45.9 dBA Leq.
- Location L2 represents the noise levels east of the Project site across Valley Boulevard adjacent to existing residential homes. The noise level measurements collected show an overall 24-hour exterior noise level of 59.6 dBA CNEL. The hourly noise levels measured at location L2 ranged from 49.2 to 64.6 dBA Leq during the daytime hours and from 53.3 to 59.9 dBA Leq during the nighttime hours. The energy (logarithmic) average daytime noise level was calculated at 59.6 dBA Leq with an average nighttime noise level of 56.8 dBA Leq.
- Location L3 represents the noise levels east of the Project site across Valley Boulevard adjacent to existing residential homes. The 24-hour CNEL indicates that the overall exterior noise level is 58.5 dBA CNEL. At location L3 the background ambient noise levels ranged from 52.6 to 56.7 dBA Leq during the daytime hours to levels of 44.2 to 55.7 dBA Leq during the nighttime hours. The energy (logarithmic) average daytime noise level was calculated at 55.1 dBA Leq with an average nighttime noise level of 50.4 dBA Leq.

Table 4-1 provides the (energy average) noise levels used to describe the daytime and nighttime ambient conditions. These daytime and nighttime energy average noise levels represent the average of all hourly noise levels observed during these time periods expressed as a single number. Appendix 4.2 provides summary worksheets of the noise levels for each hour as well as the minimum, maximum, L₁, L₂, L₅, L₈, L₂₅, L₅₀, L₉₀, L₉₅, and L₉₉ percentile noise levels observed during the daytime and nighttime periods.

The background ambient noise levels in the Project study area are dominated by the transportation-related noise associated with the arterial roadway network. The 24-hour existing noise level measurements shown on Table 4-1 present the existing ambient noise conditions.

TABLE 4-1: 24-HOUR AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

Location ¹	Distance to Project Boundary (Feet)	Description	Energy Average Hourly Noise Level (dBA Leq) ²		CNEL
			Daytime	Nighttime	
L1	330'	Located north of the Project site at the southeast corner of Thornton Avenue and Valley Boulevard near existing residential homes.	51.9	45.9	54.4
L2	80'	Located east of the Project site across Valley Boulevard adjacent to existing residential homes.	59.6	56.8	63.8
L3	50'	Located east of the Project site across Valley Boulevard adjacent to existing residential homes.	55.1	50.4	58.5

¹ See Exhibit 5-A for the noise level measurement locations.

² Energy (logarithmic) average hourly levels. The long-term 24-hour measurement printouts are included in Appendix 5.2.

"Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

EXHIBIT 4-A: NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS



5 METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The following section outlines the methods and procedures used to model and analyze the future traffic noise environment.

5.1 FHWA TRAFFIC NOISE PREDICTION MODEL

The estimated roadway noise impacts from vehicular traffic were calculated using a computer program that replicates the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Traffic Noise Prediction Model- FHWA-RD-77-108. (18) The FHWA Model arrives at a predicted noise level through a series of adjustments to the Reference Energy Mean Emission Level (REMEL). In California the national REMELs are substituted with the California Vehicle Noise (Calveno) Emission Levels. (19) Adjustments are then made to the REMEL to account for: the roadway classification (e.g., collector, secondary, major or arterial), the roadway active width (i.e., the distance between the center of the outermost travel lanes on each side of the roadway), the total average daily traffic (ADT), the travel speed, the percentages of automobiles, medium trucks, and heavy trucks in the traffic volume, the roadway grade, the angle of view (e.g., whether the roadway view is blocked), the site conditions ("hard" or "soft" relates to the absorption of the ground, pavement, or landscaping), and the percentage of total ADT which flows each hour throughout a 24-hour period.

5.2 ON-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE PREDICTION MODEL INPUTS

The on-site roadway parameters including the ADT volumes used for this analysis are presented on Table 5-1. Based on the City of Menifee General Plan Circulation Element, Exhibit C-3, Valley Boulevard classified as a 4-lane Arterial. (20) To predict the future on-site noise environment at the Project site, the City of Menifee General Plan Circulation Element Traffic Impact Analysis future daily roadway capacity traffic volumes were used. (21) The traffic volumes shown on Table 5-1 reflect future long-range traffic conditions needed to assess the future on-site traffic noise environment and to identify potential abatement measures (if any) that address the worst-case future conditions. For the purposes of this analysis, soft site conditions were used to analyze the on-site traffic noise impacts for the Project study area. Soft site conditions account for the sound propagation loss over natural surfaces such as normal earth and ground vegetation. Research conducted by Caltrans has shown that the use of soft site conditions is appropriate for the application of the FHWA traffic noise prediction model used in this analysis. (22)

Table 5-2 presents the time of day vehicle splits by vehicle type, and Table 5-3 presents the total traffic flow distributions (vehicle mixes) used for this analysis. The vehicle mix provides the hourly distribution percentages of automobile, medium trucks, and heavy trucks for input into the FHWA Model based on roadway types.

TABLE 5-1: ON-SITE ROADWAY PARAMETERS

Roadway	Lanes	Classification ¹	Average Daily Traffic Volume ²	Speed Limits (mph) ³	Site Conditions
Valley Bl.	4	Arterial	35,900	45	Soft

¹ Source: City of Menifee General Plan Circulation Element, Exhibit C-3.

² Source: City of Menifee General Plan Circulation Element Traffic Study, Table 2-1.

³ Speed limit is based on the Ordinance No. 2008-16 speed limit for Valley Boulevard south of McCall Boulevard.

To predict the future noise environment at lots within the Project site, coordinate information was collected to identify the noise transmission path between the noise source and receiver. The coordinate information is based on the Project site plan showing the plotting of the residential lots in relationship to Valley Boulevard as shown in Appendix 5.1.

The exterior noise level impacts at the outdoor living area receivers were placed five feet above the pad elevation and ten feet from the proposed barrier location or at the proposed building façade if less than ten feet from the proposed barrier location. Second floor receiver locations are located at 14 feet above the proposed finish floor elevations.

TABLE 5-2: TIME OF DAY VEHICLE SPLITS

Time Period	Vehicle Type		
	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks
Daytime (7:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m.)	77.5%	84.8%	86.5%
Evening (7:00 p.m. - 10:00 p.m.)	12.9%	4.9%	2.7%
Nighttime (10:00 p.m. - 7:00 a.m.)	9.6%	10.3%	10.8%
Total:	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

TABLE 5-3: DISTRIBUTION OF TRAFFIC FLOW BY VEHICLE TYPE (VEHICLE MIX)

Roadway	Total % Traffic Flow			Total
	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	
All Roadways	97.42%	1.84%	0.74%	100.00%

5.3 VIBRATION ASSESSMENT

This analysis focuses on the potential ground-borne vibration associated with vehicular traffic and construction activities. Ground-borne vibration levels from automobile traffic are generally overshadowed by vibration generated by heavy trucks that roll over the same uneven roadway surfaces. However, due to the rapid drop-off rate of ground-borne vibration and the short duration of the associated events, vehicular traffic-induced ground-borne vibration is rarely

perceptible beyond the roadway right-of-way, and rarely results in vibration levels that cause damage to buildings in the vicinity.

However, while vehicular traffic is rarely perceptible, construction has the potential to result in varying degrees of temporary ground vibration, depending on the specific construction activities and equipment used. Ground vibration levels associated with various types of construction equipment are summarized on Table 5-4. Based on the representative vibration levels presented for various construction equipment types, it is possible to estimate the human response (annoyance) using the following vibration assessment methods defined by the FTA. To describe the human response (annoyance) associated with vibration impacts the FTA provides the following equation (16): $L_{VdB}(D) = L_{VdB}(25 \text{ ft}) - 30\log(D/25)$

TABLE 5-4: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

Equipment	Vibration Decibels (VdB) at 25 feet
Small bulldozer	58
Jackhammer	79
Loaded Trucks	86
Large bulldozer	87

Source: Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, May 2006.

This page intentionally left blank

6 ON-SITE TRANSPORTATION NOISE IMPACTS

An on-site exterior noise impact analysis has been completed to determine the traffic noise exposure and to identify potential necessary noise abatement measures for the proposed Tentative Tract No. 36911 Project. It is expected that the primary source of noise impacts to the Project site will be traffic noise from Valley Boulevard. The Project will also experience some background traffic noise impacts from the Project's internal local streets, however, due to the low traffic volume/speeds, traffic noise from these roads will not make a significant contribution to the noise environment beyond of the right-of-way of the roadways.

6.1 ON-SITE EXTERIOR NOISE ANALYSIS

Using the FHWA traffic noise prediction model and the parameters outlined in Tables 5-1 to 5-3, the expected future exterior noise levels at the outdoor living areas (backyards) of the single-family residential lots were calculated. Table 6-1 presents a summary of future exterior noise level impacts in the outdoor living areas (backyards) of lots facing Valley Boulevard. The on-site traffic noise level impacts indicate that the lots facing Valley Boulevard will experience unmitigated exterior noise levels ranging from 67.1 to 69.4 dBA CNEL. The on-site traffic noise analysis calculations are provided in Appendix 6.1.

To satisfy the City of Menifee 65 dBA CNEL exterior noise level standards for residential land use, the construction of 6-foot high noise barriers for lots 41 to 75 adjacent to Valley Boulevard is required. With the recommended noise barriers shown on Exhibit ES-A, the mitigated future exterior noise levels will range from 58.1 to 62.3 dBA CNEL. This noise analysis shows that the recommended noise barriers will satisfy the City of Menifee 65 dBA CNEL exterior noise level standards for residential land use. The effective noise barrier height recommendations represent the minimum wall and/or berm combination height required to satisfy the City of Menifee exterior noise level standards.

TABLE 6-1: EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS (CNEL)

Lot Number	Roadway	Unmitigated Noise Level (dBA CNEL)	Mitigated Noise Level (dBA CNEL)	Barrier Height (Feet)	Top of Barrier Elevation (Feet)
42	Valley Bl.	67.1	58.1	6.0'	1533.0'
48	Valley Bl.	67.8	59.1	6.0'	1522.0'
54	Valley Bl.	67.3	58.7	6.0'	1508.0'
62	Valley Bl.	69.4	62.3	6.0'	1493.0'
75	Valley Bl.	67.4	58.9	6.0	1509.0

6.2 ON-SITE INTERIOR NOISE ANALYSIS

To ensure that the interior noise levels comply with the City of Menifee interior noise level standards, future noise levels were calculated at the first and second-floor building facades.

6.2.1 NOISE REDUCTION METHODOLOGY

The interior noise level is the difference between the predicted exterior noise level at the building facade and the noise reduction of the structure. Typical building construction will provide a Noise Reduction (NR) of approximately 12 dBA with "windows open" and a minimum 25 dBA noise reduction with "windows closed." However, sound leaks, cracks and openings within the window assembly can greatly diminish its effectiveness in reducing noise. Several methods are used to improve interior noise reduction, including: (1) weather-stripped solid core exterior doors; (2) upgraded dual glazed windows; (3) mechanical ventilation/air conditioning; and (4) exterior wall/roof assemblies free of cut outs or openings.

6.2.2 INTERIOR NOISE LEVEL ASSESSMENT

To provide the necessary interior noise level reduction, Table 6-2 indicates that lots facing Valley Boulevard will require a windows closed condition and a means of mechanical ventilation (e.g. air conditioning). Table 6-2 shows that the future unmitigated noise levels at the first-floor building façade are expected to range from 56.8 to 61.4 dBA CNEL, and standard windows with a minimum STC rating of 27 will satisfy the City of Menifee 45 dBA CNEL interior noise level standards. Table 6-3 shows that the future unmitigated noise levels at the second-floor building façade are expected to range from 66.4 to 68.5 dBA CNEL, and standard windows with a minimum STC rating of 27 will satisfy the City of Menifee 45 dBA CNEL interior noise level standards. The interior noise analysis shows that with the recommended interior noise abatement measures described in the Executive Summary the Project will satisfy the City of Menifee 45 dBA CNEL interior noise level standards for residential development. While not required, this noise study recommends an interior noise level design goal of 40 dBA CNEL using upgraded second floor windows with a minimum STC rating of 32 for windows facing Valley Boulevard of lots 41 to 75, as shown on Exhibit ES-A.

TABLE 6-2: FIRST FLOOR INTERIOR NOISE IMPACTS (CNEL)

Lot Number	Noise Level at Façade ¹	Required Interior Noise Reduction ²	Estimated Interior Noise Reduction ³	Upgraded Windows ⁴	Interior Noise Level ⁵
42	56.8	11.8	25.0	No	31.8
48	57.8	12.8	25.0	No	32.8
54	57.5	12.5	25.0	No	32.5
62	61.4	16.4	25.0	No	36.4
75	57.6	12.6	25.0	No	32.6

¹ Exterior noise level at the facade with a windows closed condition requiring a means of mechanical ventilation (e.g. air conditioning).

² Noise reduction required to satisfy the 45 dBA CNEL interior noise standards.

³ A minimum of 25 dBA noise reduction is assumed with standard building construction.

⁴ Does the required interior noise reduction trigger upgraded windows with a minimum STC rating of greater than 27?

⁵ Estimated interior noise level with minimum STC rating for all windows.

TABLE 6-3: SECOND FLOOR INTERIOR NOISE IMPACTS (CNEL)

Lot Number	Noise Level at Façade ¹	Required Interior Noise Reduction ²	Estimated Interior Noise Reduction ³	Upgraded Windows ⁴	Interior Noise Level ⁵
42	66.4	21.4	25.0	No	41.4
48	67.0	22.0	25.0	No	42.0
54	66.6	21.6	25.0	No	41.6
62	68.5	23.5	25.0	No	43.5
75	66.6	21.6	25.0	No	41.6

¹ Exterior noise level at the facade with a windows closed condition requiring a means of mechanical ventilation (e.g. air conditioning).

² Noise reduction required to satisfy the 45 dBA CNEL interior noise standards.

³ A minimum of 25 dBA noise reduction is assumed with standard building construction.

⁴ Does the required interior noise reduction trigger upgraded windows with a minimum STC rating of greater than 27?

⁵ Estimated interior noise level with minimum STC rating for all windows.

This page intentionally left blank

7 RECEIVER LOCATIONS

To assess the potential for short-term construction noise impacts, the following five receiver locations as shown on Exhibit 7-A were identified as representative locations for focused analysis. Sensitive receivers are generally defined as locations where people reside or where the presence of unwanted sound could otherwise adversely affect the use of the land. Noise-sensitive land uses are generally considered to include: schools, hospitals, single-family dwellings, mobile home parks, churches, libraries, and recreation areas. Moderately noise-sensitive land uses typically include: multi-family dwellings, hotels, motels, dormitories, out-patient clinics, cemeteries, golf courses, country clubs, athletic/tennis clubs, and equestrian clubs. Land uses that are considered relatively insensitive to noise include business, commercial, and professional developments. Land uses that are typically not affected by noise include: industrial, manufacturing, utilities, agriculture, natural open space, undeveloped land, parking lots, warehousing, liquid and solid waste facilities, salvage yards, and transit terminals.

Sensitive receivers near the Project site include the existing single-family residential homes located at receiver locations R1 to R4, and future, undeveloped residential use at location R5. Other sensitive land uses in the Project study area that are located at greater distances than those identified in this noise study will experience lower noise levels than those presented in this report due to the additional attenuation from distance and the shielding of intervening structures.

- R1: Located approximately 237 feet north of the Project site, R1 represents existing residential homes on Prominence Road.
- R2: Located approximately 61 feet east of the Project site, R2 represents the existing residential homes on Prominence Road across Valley Boulevard.
- R3: Location R3 represents the existing single-family residential homes located roughly 68 feet east of the Project site on Genevieve Drive.
- R4: Located approximately 706 feet south of the Project site, R4 represents the existing residential homes south of McCall Boulevard.
- R5: Location R5 represents the future, undeveloped single-family residential homes planned roughly 54 feet west of the Project site.

EXHIBIT 7-A: RECEIVER LOCATIONS



LEGEND:

- Receiver Locations
- Distance from receiver to Project site boundary (in feet)
- Existing Barrier Height (in feet)
- Existing Barrier

8 CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

This section analyzes potential impacts at the sensitive receiver locations, previously identified in Section 7, resulting from the short-term construction activities associated with the development of the Project.

8.1 CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVELS

Noise generated by the Project construction equipment will include a combination of trucks, power tools, concrete mixers and portable generators that when combined can reach high levels. The number and mix of construction equipment is expected to occur in the following stages:

- Site Preparation
- Grading
- Building Construction
- Paving
- Architectural Coating

This construction noise analysis was prepared using reference noise level measurements taken by Urban Crossroads, Inc. to describe typical construction activity noise levels. Noise levels generated by heavy construction equipment can approach roughly 80 dBA when measured at 50 feet. Hard site conditions are used in the construction noise analysis which result in noise levels that attenuate (or decrease) at a rate of 6 dBA for each doubling of distance from a point source (construction equipment). For example, a noise level of 80 dBA measured at 50 feet from the noise source to the receiver would be reduced to 74 dBA at 100 feet from the source to the receiver, and would be further reduced to 68 dBA at 200 feet from the source to the receiver. The construction stages used in this analysis are consistent with the data used to support the construction emissions in *Tentative Tract No. 36911 Air Quality Impact Analysis* prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc. (23)

8.2 CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

To describe the Project construction noise levels, measurements were collected for similar activities at several construction sites. The short-term reference noise level measurements were collected using Type 1 and Type 2 sound level meters, including a Larson Davis SoundTrack LxT Type 1 precision sound level meter and Piccolo Type 2 sound level meters. Table 8-1 shows the durations of each reference noise level measurement during actual activity of each piece(s) of equipment, and as such, do not include any periods of inactivity for the given construction equipment and/or activity being described. All noise meters were programmed in "slow" mode to record noise levels in "A" weighted form. The sound level meters and microphones were equipped with a windscreen during all measurements. All noise level measurement equipment satisfies the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard specifications for sound level meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013. (17)

TABLE 8-1: CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

ID	Noise Source	Reference Meas. Duration (h:mm:ss)	Reference Distance From Source (Feet)	Reference Noise Levels @ Reference Distance (dBA Leq)	Reference Noise Levels @ 50 Feet (dBA Leq) ⁶
1	Truck Pass-Bys & Dozer Activity ¹	0:01:15	30'	63.6	59.2
2	Dozer Activity ¹	0:01:00	30'	68.6	64.2
3	Construction Vehicle Maintenance Activities ²	0:01:00	30'	71.9	67.5
4	Foundation Trenching ²	0:01:01	30'	72.6	68.2
5	Rough Grading Activities ²	0:05:00	30'	77.9	73.5
6	Residential Framing ³	0:02:00	30'	66.7	62.3
7	Water Truck Pass-By & Backup Alarm ⁴	0:00:45	30'	76.3	71.9
8	Dozer Pass-By ⁴	0:00:32	30'	84.0	79.6
9	Two Scrapers & Water Truck Pass-By ⁴	0:00:32	30'	83.4	79.0
10	Two Scrapers Pass-By ⁴	0:00:30	30'	83.7	79.3
11	Scraper, Water Truck, & Dozer Activity ⁴	0:30:00	30'	79.7	75.3
12	Concrete Mixer Truck Movements ⁵	0:01:00	50'	71.2	71.2
13	Concrete Paver Activities ⁵	0:01:00	30'	70.0	65.6
14	Concrete Mixer Pour & Paving Activities ⁵	0:01:00	30'	70.3	65.9
15	Concrete Mixer Backup Alarms & Air Brakes ⁵	0:00:20	50'	71.6	71.6
16	Concrete Mixer Pour Activities ⁵	1:00:00	50'	67.7	67.7

¹ As measured by Urban Crossroads, Inc. on 10/14/15 at a business park construction site located at the northwest corner of Barranca Parkway and Alton Parkway in the City of Irvine.

² As measured by Urban Crossroads, Inc. on 10/20/15 at a construction site located in Rancho Mission Viejo.

³ As measured by Urban Crossroads, Inc. on 10/20/15 at a residential construction site located in Rancho Mission Viejo.

⁴ As measured by Urban Crossroads, Inc. on 10/30/15 during grading operations within an industrial construction site located in the City of Ontario.

⁵ Reference noise level measurements were collected from a nighttime concrete pour at an industrial construction site, located at 27334 San Bernardino Avenue in the City of Redlands, between 1:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. on 7/1/15.

⁶ Reference noise levels are calculated at 50 feet using a drop off rate of 6 dBA per doubling of distance (point source).

8.3 CONSTRUCTION NOISE ANALYSIS

Tables 8-2 to 8-6 show the reference construction equipment noise levels during each stage of Project construction and provides a summary of the noise levels at each of the sensitive receiver locations. Based on the reference construction noise levels, the Project-related construction noise levels when the peak reference noise level is operating at a single point nearest the sensitive receiver location will range from 50.9 to 65.0 dBA Leq at the sensitive receiver locations, as shown on Table 8-7.

TABLE 8-2: SITE PREPARATION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS

Reference Construction Activity ¹	Reference Noise Level @ 50 Feet (dBA Leq)
Truck Pass-Bys & Dozer Activity	59.2
Dozer Activity	64.2
Dozer Pass-By	79.6
Peak Reference Noise Level at 50 Feet (dBA Leq):	79.6

Receiver Location	Distance To Construction Activity (Feet) ²	Distance Attenuation (dBA Leq) ³	Estimated Noise Barrier Attenuation (dBA Leq) ⁴	Construction Noise Level (dBA Leq)
R1	298'	-15.5	-5.0	59.1
R2	150'	-9.5	-5.0	65.0
R3	152'	-9.7	-5.0	64.9
R4	761'	-23.6	-5.0	50.9
R5	70'	-2.9	-5.0	71.6

¹ Reference construction noise level measurements taken by Urban Crossroads, Inc.

² Distance from the nearest point of construction activity to the nearest receiver.

³ Point (stationary) source drop off rate of 6.0 dBA per doubling of distance.

⁴ Estimated barrier/berm attenuation from existing barriers/berms in the Project study area. Future undeveloped residential use at location R5 is anticipated to have a 6-foot high perimeter wall between it and the Project site.

TABLE 8-3: GRADING EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS

Reference Construction Activity ¹	Reference Noise Level @ 50 Feet (dBA Leq)
Truck Pass-Bys & Dozer Activity	59.2
Dozer Activity	64.2
Rough Grading Activities	73.5
Dozer Pass-By	79.6
Two Scrapers Pass-By	79.3
Peak Reference Noise Level at 50 Feet (dBA Leq):	79.6

Receiver Location	Distance To Construction Activity (Feet) ²	Distance Attenuation (dBA Leq) ³	Estimated Noise Barrier Attenuation (dBA Leq) ⁴	Construction Noise Level (dBA Leq)
R1	298'	-15.5	-5.0	59.1
R2	150'	-9.5	-5.0	65.0
R3	152'	-9.7	-5.0	64.9
R4	761'	-23.6	-5.0	50.9
R5	70'	-2.9	-5.0	71.6

¹ Reference construction noise level measurements taken by Urban Crossroads, Inc.

² Distance from the nearest point of construction activity to the nearest receiver.

³ Point (stationary) source drop off rate of 6.0 dBA per doubling of distance.

⁴ Estimated barrier/berm attenuation from existing barriers/berms in the Project study area. Future undeveloped residential use at location R5 is anticipated to have a 6-foot high perimeter wall between it and the Project site.

TABLE 8-4: BUILDING CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS

Reference Construction Activity ¹	Reference Noise Level @ 50 Feet (dBA Leq)
Construction Vehicle Maintenance Activities	67.5
Foundation Trenching	68.2
Residential Framing	62.3
Peak Reference Noise Level at 50 Feet (dBA Leq):	68.2

Receiver Location	Distance To Construction Activity (Feet) ²	Distance Attenuation (dBA Leq) ³	Estimated Noise Barrier Attenuation (dBA Leq) ⁴	Construction Noise Level (dBA Leq)
R1	298'	-15.5	-5.0	47.7
R2	150'	-9.5	-5.0	53.6
R3	152'	-9.7	-5.0	53.5
R4	761'	-23.6	-5.0	39.5
R5	70'	-2.9	-5.0	60.2

¹ Reference construction noise level measurements taken by Urban Crossroads, Inc.

² Distance from the nearest point of construction activity to the nearest receiver.

³ Point (stationary) source drop off rate of 6.0 dBA per doubling of distance.

⁴ Estimated barrier/berm attenuation from existing barriers/berms in the Project study area. Future undeveloped residential use at location R5 is anticipated to have a 6-foot high perimeter wall between it and the Project site.

TABLE 8-5: PAVING EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS

Reference Construction Activity¹	Reference Noise Level @ 50 Feet (dBA Leq)
Concrete Mixer Truck Movements	71.2
Concrete Paver Activities	65.6
Concrete Mixer Pour & Paving Activities	65.9
Concrete Mixer Backup Alarms & Air Brakes	71.6
Concrete Mixer Pour Activities	67.7
Peak Reference Noise Level at 50 Feet (dBA Leq):	71.6

Receiver Location	Distance To Construction Activity (Feet)²	Distance Attenuation (dBA Leq)³	Estimated Noise Barrier Attenuation (dBA Leq)⁴	Construction Noise Level (dBA Leq)
R1	298'	-15.5	-5.0	51.1
R2	150'	-9.5	-5.0	57.1
R3	152'	-9.7	-5.0	56.9
R4	761'	-23.6	-5.0	43.0
R5	70'	-2.9	-5.0	63.7

¹ Reference construction noise level measurements taken by Urban Crossroads, Inc.

² Distance from the nearest point of construction activity to the nearest receiver.

³ Point (stationary) source drop off rate of 6.0 dBA per doubling of distance.

⁴ Estimated barrier/berm attenuation from existing barriers/berms in the Project study area. Future undeveloped residential use at location R5 is anticipated to have a 6-foot high perimeter wall between it and the Project site.

TABLE 8-6: ARCHITECTURAL COATING EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVELS

Reference Construction Activity ¹	Reference Noise Level @ 50 Feet (dBA Leq)
Construction Vehicle Maintenance Activities	67.5
Peak Reference Noise Level at 50 Feet (dBA Leq):	67.5

Receiver Location	Distance To Construction Activity (Feet) ²	Distance Attenuation (dBA Leq) ³	Estimated Noise Barrier Attenuation (dBA Leq) ⁴	Construction Noise Level (dBA Leq)
R1	298'	-15.5	-5.0	47.0
R2	150'	-9.5	-5.0	52.9
R3	152'	-9.7	-5.0	52.8
R4	761'	-23.6	-5.0	38.8
R5	70'	-2.9	-5.0	59.5

¹ Reference construction noise level measurements taken by Urban Crossroads, Inc.

² Distance from the nearest point of construction activity to the nearest receiver.

³ Point (stationary) source drop off rate of 6.0 dBA per doubling of distance.

⁴ Estimated barrier/berm attenuation from existing barriers/berms in the Project study area. Future undeveloped residential use at location R5 is anticipated to have a 6-foot high perimeter wall between it and the Project site.

EXHIBIT 8-A: CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY AND RECEIVER LOCATIONS



8.4 CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

The construction noise analysis shows that the highest construction noise levels will occur when construction activities take place near the edge of the Project site. As shown on Table 8-7, the unmitigated construction noise levels are expected to range from 50.9 to 65.0 dBA Leq at the sensitive receiver locations, which will satisfy the 85 dBA Leq significance threshold during temporary Project construction activities.

TABLE 8-7: CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL SUMMARY (DBA LEQ)

Receiver Location ¹	Construction Phase Hourly Noise Level (dBA Leq)					
	Site Preparation	Grading	Building Construction	Paving	Architectural Coating	Peak Activity ²
R1	59.1	59.1	47.7	51.1	47.0	59.1
R2	65.0	65.0	53.6	57.1	52.9	65.0
R3	64.9	64.9	53.5	56.9	52.8	64.9
R4	50.9	50.9	39.5	43.0	38.8	50.9
R5	71.6	71.6	60.2	63.7	59.5	71.6

¹ Noise receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 8-A.

² Estimated construction noise levels during peak operating conditions.

8.5 CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION IMPACTS

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground vibration, depending on the equipment and methods used, distance to the affected structures and soil type. It is expected that ground-borne vibration from Project construction activities would cause only intermittent, localized intrusion. The proposed Project's construction activities most likely to cause vibration impacts are:

- **Heavy Construction Equipment:** Although all heavy mobile construction equipment has the potential of causing at least some perceptible vibration while operating close to building, the vibration is usually short-term and is not of sufficient magnitude to cause building damage. It is not expected that heavy equipment such as large bulldozers would operate close enough to any residences to cause a vibration impact.
- **Trucks:** Trucks hauling building materials to construction sites can be sources of vibration intrusion if the haul routes pass through residential neighborhoods on streets with bumps or potholes. Repairing the bumps and potholes generally eliminates the problem.

Ground-borne vibration levels resulting from construction activities occurring within the Project site were estimated by data published by the Federal Transit Administration. Construction activities that would have the potential to generate low levels of ground-borne vibration within the Project site include grading. Using the vibration source level of construction equipment provided on Table 5-4 and the construction vibration assessment methodology published by the

FTA, it is possible to estimate the Project vibration impacts. Table 8-8 presents the expected Project related vibration levels at each of the sensitive receiver locations.

Based on the reference vibration levels provided by the FTA, a large bulldozer represents the peak source of vibration with a reference velocity of 87 VdB at 25 feet. At distances ranging from 70 to 761 feet from the Project construction activities, construction vibration velocity levels are expected to approach 73.6 VdB, as shown on Table 8-8. Based on the FTA vibration standards, the proposed Project site will not include or require equipment, facilities, or activities that would result in a *barely perceptible* human response (annoyance) for infrequent events.

Further, vibration levels at the site of the closest sensitive receiver are unlikely to be sustained during the entire construction period, but will occur rather only during the times that heavy construction equipment is operating close to the Project site perimeter. Moreover, construction at the Project site will be restricted to daytime hours consistent with City requirements thereby eliminating potential vibration impacts during the sensitive nighttime hours.

TABLE 8-8: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT VIBRATION LEVELS

Receiver Location ¹	Distance to Construction Activity (Feet)	Receiver Vibration Levels (VdB) ²					Threshold Exceeded? ³
		Small Bulldozer	Jackhammer	Loaded Trucks	Large Bulldozer	Peak Vibration	
R1	298'	25.7	46.7	53.7	54.7	54.7	No
R2	150'	34.7	55.7	62.7	63.7	63.7	No
R3	152'	34.5	55.5	62.5	63.5	63.5	No
R4	761'	13.5	34.5	41.5	42.5	42.5	No
R5	70'	44.6	65.6	72.6	73.6	73.6	No

¹ Noise receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 8-A.

² Based on the Vibration Source Levels of Construction Equipment included on Table 5-4.

³ Does the Peak Vibration exceed the FTA maximum acceptable vibration standard of 80 VdB?

8.6 CONSTRUCTION NOISE AND VIBRATION ABATEMENT MEASURES

Though construction noise is temporary, intermittent and of short duration, and will not present any long-term impacts, the following practices would reduce noise level increases generated by the construction equipment to the nearby noise-sensitive residential land uses.

- Prior to approval of grading plans and/or issuance of building permits, plans shall include a note indicating that noise-generating Project construction activities shall only occur between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from June to September, and 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. from October to May, with no activity allowed on Sundays and nationally recognized holidays (Section 9.09.030(B) of the City of Menifee Municipal Code).
- During all Project site construction, the construction contractors shall equip all construction equipment, fixed or mobile, with properly operating and maintained mufflers, consistent with manufacturers' standards. The construction contractor shall place all stationary construction equipment so that emitted noise is directed away from the noise sensitive receptors nearest the Project site.
- The construction contractor shall locate equipment staging in areas that will create the greatest distance between construction-related noise sources and noise-sensitive receivers nearest the Project site during all Project construction (i.e., to the center).
- The construction contractor shall limit haul truck deliveries to the same hours specified for construction equipment (between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. from June to September, and 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. from October to May, with no activity allowed on Sundays and nationally recognized holidays). The contractor shall design delivery routes to minimize the exposure of sensitive land uses or residential dwellings to delivery truck-related noise.

This page intentionally left blank

9 REFERENCES

1. **U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration.** Acoustical Consideration. *Noise Barrier Design Handbook*. [Online] [Cited: November 28, 2016.] https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/noise_barriers/design_construction/design/design03.cfm.
2. **Harris, Cyril M.** *Noise Control in Buildings*. s.l. : McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1994.
3. **California Department of Transportation Environmental Program.** *Technical Noise Supplement - A Technical Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol*. Sacramento, CA : s.n., September 2013.
4. **Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control.** *Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety*. March 1974. EPA/ONAC 550/9/74-004.
5. **California Department of Transportation Environmental Program.** *Technical Noise Supplement - A Technical Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol*. Sacramento, CA : s.n., September 2013.
6. **U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning, Noise and Air Quality Branch.** *Highway Traffic Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy and Guidance*. June, 1995.
7. **U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.** *Highway Traffic Noise in the United States, Problem and Response*. April 2000. p. 3.
8. **U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control.** *Noise Effects Handbook-A Desk Reference to Health and Welfare Effects of Noise*. October 1979 (revised July 1981). EPA 550/9/82/106.
9. **Occupational Safety and Health Administration.** *Standard 29 CRF, Part 1910*.
10. **Center for Disease Control and Prevention.** About Hearing Loss. [Online] [Cited: 04 15, 2016.] <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyschools/noise/signs.htm>.
11. **U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration.** *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment*. May 2006. FTA-VA-90-1003-06.
12. **Office of Planning and Research.** *State of California General Plan Guidelines 2003*. October 2003.
13. **City of Menifee.** *General Plan Noise Element*. July 2015.
14. —. *Municipal Code, Chapter 9.09 Noise Control Regulations*.
15. **National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.** *Criteria for Recommended Standard: Occupational Noise Exposure*. June 1998.
16. **U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration.** *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment*. May 2006. FTA-VA-90-1003-06.
17. **American National Standards Institute (ANSI).** *Specification for Sound Level Meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013*.
18. **U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.** *FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model*. December 1978. FHWA-RD-77-108.
19. **California Department of Transportation Environmental Program, Office of Environmental Engineering.** *Use of California Vehicle Noise Reference Energy Mean Emission Levels (Calveno REMELs) in FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction*. September 1995. TAN 95-03.

20. **City of Menifee.** *General Plan Circulation Element*. July 2015.
21. —. *General Plan Circulation Element Traffic Impact Analysis*. July 2013.
22. **California Department of Transportation.** *Traffic Noise Attenuation as a Function of Ground and Vegetation Final Report*. June 1995. FHWA/CA/TL-95/23.
23. **Urban Crossroads, Inc.** *Tentative Tract No. 36911 Air Quality Impact Analysis*. May 2017.

10 CERTIFICATION

The contents of this noise study report represent an accurate depiction of the noise environment and impacts associated with the proposed Tentative Tract No. 36911 Project. The information contained in this noise study report is based on the best available data at the time of preparation. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at (949) 336-5979.

Bill Lawson, P.E., INCE
Principal
URBAN CROSSROADS, INC.
260 E. Baker Street, Suite 200
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
(949) 336-5979
blawson@urbanxroads.com



EDUCATION

Master of Science in Civil and Environmental Engineering
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo • December, 1993

Bachelor of Science in City and Regional Planning
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo • June, 1992

PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATIONS

PE – Registered Professional Traffic Engineer – TR 2537 • January, 2009
AICP – American Institute of Certified Planners – 013011 • June, 1997–January 1, 2012
PTP – Professional Transportation Planner • May, 2007 – May, 2013
INCE – Institute of Noise Control Engineering • March, 2004

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

ASA – Acoustical Society of America
ITE – Institute of Transportation Engineers

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS

Certified Acoustical Consultant – County of Orange • February, 2011
FHWA-NHI-142051 Highway Traffic Noise Certificate of Training • February, 2013

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 3.1:

CITY OF MENIFEE MUNICIPAL CODE

This page intentionally left blank

Print

Menifee, CA Code of Ordinances

CHAPTER 9.09: NOISE CONTROL REGULATIONS

Section

- 9.09.010 Intent
- 9.09.020 General exemptions
- 9.09.030 Construction-related exemptions
- 9.09.040 Definitions
- 9.09.050 General sound level standards
- 9.09.060 Sound level measurement methodology
- 9.09.070 Special sound sources standards
- 9.09.080 Duty to cooperate

§ 9.09.010 INTENT.

At certain levels, sound becomes noise and may jeopardize the health, safety or general welfare of city residents and degrade their quality of life. Pursuant to its police power, the City Council hereby declares that noise shall be regulated in the manner described herein. This chapter is intended to establish city wide standards regulating noise. This chapter is not intended to establish thresholds of significance for the purpose of any analysis required by the CEQA and no such thresholds are hereby established.

(Ord. 2014-155, passed 10-1-2014)

§ 9.09.020 GENERAL EXEMPTIONS.

Sound emanating from the following sources are exempt from the provisions of this chapter:

- (A) Facilities owned or operated by or for a governmental agency.
- (B) Capital improvement projects of a governmental agency.
- (C) The maintenance or repair of public properties.
- (D) Public safety personnel in the course of executing their official duties, including, but not limited to, sworn peace officers, emergency personnel and public utility personnel. This exemption includes, without limitation, sound emanating from all equipment used by such personnel, whether stationary or mobile.
- (E) Public and private schools and school- sponsored activities.
- (F) Agricultural operations on land designated Agriculture in the city's General Plan, or land zoned A-1 (Light Agriculture), A-P (Light Agriculture With Poultry), A-2 (Heavy Agriculture), A-D (Agriculture-Dairy) or C/V (Citrus/Vineyard), provided such operations are carried out in a manner consistent with accepted industry standards. This exemption includes, without limitation, sound emanating from all equipment used during such operations, whether stationary or mobile.
- (G) Wind energy conversion systems (WECS), provided such systems comply with the noise provisions of Menifee Municipal Code.
- (H) Property maintenance, including, but not limited to, the operation of lawnmowers, leaf blowers, etc., provided such maintenance occurs between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m.

(I) Motor vehicles (factory equipped), other than off-highway vehicles. This exemption does not include sound emanating from motor vehicle sound systems.

(J) Heating and air conditioning equipment in proper repair.

(K) Safety, warning and alarm devices, including, but not limited to, house and car alarms, and other warning devices that are designed to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

(L) The discharge of firearms consistent with all state laws.

(M) Bars, nightclubs, cocktail lounges, cabarets, billiards/pool halls, restaurants, drive-ins and eating establishments that have a conditional use permit for on-site alcohol sales and live entertainment (interior noise). Outdoor patios and similar areas shall be subject to the requirements of this chapter, unless conditioned otherwise under conditional use permit review.

(N) *Single event exceptions.* A single event exception shall be considered a minor temporary use as defined in Chapter 9.06 of this code. An application for a single event exception shall be made using the temporary use application provided by the Community Development Director in Chapter 9.06 of this code.

(O) *Continuous events exceptions.* A continuous events exception shall be considered a major temporary use as defined in Chapter 9.06 of this code. An application for a continuous events exception shall be made using the temporary use application provided by the Community Development Director in Chapter 9.06.

(P) *Procedures, required findings, conditions of approval, and enforcement.* The application procedures, required findings, conditions of approval, and enforcement of the permit issued under this section shall be governed by provisions in Chapter 9.06 of this code.

(Q) The exemptions noted above shall only be granted under a temporary use permit application where the following can be demonstrated:

(1) That granting the exemption shall not create, in the opinion of the Community Development Director, either short or long term detrimental disturbances to the adjoining or surrounding properties, or to the community as a whole;

(2) That such exemption shall not create a precedent that may be cited by others to justify further exemptions;

(3) That if an exception is granted, reasonable conditions of approval may be imposed to minimize the public detriment, including, but not limited to, restrictions on sound level, sound duration and operating hours; and

(4) That a procedure shall be set in place (a contact person, phone number and address) that has the ability and authority to immediately terminate the sound creating event or activity if found to be either a short or long term detrimental disturbance or being conducted in a manner that is inconsistent with the TUP approval or any applied conditions of approval.

(Ord. 2014-155, passed 10-1-2014)

§ 9.09.030 CONSTRUCTION-RELATED EXEMPTIONS.

Exceptions may be requested from the standards set forth in § 9.09.040 or 9.09.060 of this chapter and may be characterized as construction-related, single event or continuous events exceptions.

(A) Private construction projects, with or without a building permit, located one-quarter of a mile or more from an inhabited dwelling.

(B) Private construction projects, with or without a building permit, located within one-quarter of a mile from an inhabited dwelling, provided that:

(1) Construction does not occur between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. the following morning during the months of June through September; and

(2) Construction does not occur between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. the following morning during the months of October through May.

(C) *Construction-related exceptions.* A construction-related exception shall be considered either a minor temporary use or a major temporary use as defined in Chapter 9.06 of this code. An application for a construction-related exception shall be made using the temporary use application provided by the Community Development Director in Chapter 9.06 of this code. For construction activities on Sunday or nationally recognized holidays, § 8.01.010 shall prevail.

(Ord. 2014-155, passed 10-1-2014)

§ 9.09.040 DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this chapter the following definitions shall apply unless the context clearly indicates or requires a different meaning.

AUDIO EQUIPMENT. A television, stereo, radio, tape player, compact disc player, mp3 player, I-POD, music equipment/instrument or other similar device.

DECIBEL (DB). A unit for measuring the relative amplitude of a sound equal approximately to the smallest difference normally detectable by the human ear, the range of which includes approximately 130 decibels on a scale beginning with zero decibels for the faintest detectable sound. Decibels are measured with a sound level meter using different methodologies as defined below:

(1) **A-WEIGHTING (dBA).** The standard A-weighted frequency response of a sound level meter, which de-emphasizes low and high frequencies of sound in a manner similar to the human ear for moderate sounds.

(2) **EQUIVALENT CONTINUOUS NOISE LEVEL (L_{eq}).** The noise level energy averaged over the measurement period. For example, a ten-minute L_{eq} would be averaged over a ten-minute period.

GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY. The United States, the State of California, Riverside County, the City of Menifee, any city within Riverside County, any special district within Riverside County or any combination of these agencies.

LAND USE PERMIT. A discretionary permit issued by the city pursuant to the Menifee Municipal Code allowing a specific activity to be conducted on an individual property.

MOTOR VEHICLE. A vehicle that is self-propelled by a motor or engine.

MOTOR VEHICLE SOUND SYSTEM. A television, stereo, radio, tape player, compact disc player, mp3 player, I-POD, music equipment/ instrument or other similar device attached to or installed within the vehicle.

NOISE. Any loud, discordant or disagreeable sound.

OCCUPIED PROPERTY. Property upon which is located a residence, business, or industrial or manufacturing use. Property where a residential, commercial, business, industrial, manufacturing or storage activity is taking place.

OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE. A motor vehicle as defined in Cal. Vehicle Code § 38006 including without limitation off-highway motorcycle, sand buggy, dune buggy, all-terrain vehicle, or jeep.

PUBLIC or PRIVATE SCHOOL. An institution conducting academic instruction at the preschool, elementary school, junior high school, high school, or college level.

PUBLIC PROPERTY. Property owned by a governmental agency or held open to the public, including, but not limited to, parks, streets, sidewalks, and alleys.

SENSITIVE RECEPTOR. A living organism or land use that is identified as sensitive to noise in the Noise Element of the city's General Plan, including, but not limited to, residences, schools, hospitals, churches, rest homes, cemeteries or public libraries.

SOUND AMPLIFYING EQUIPMENT. A loudspeaker, microphone, megaphone or other similar device.

SOUND GENERATING EQUIPMENT. Musical instrument/device, motor, generator or other mechanical equipment or device capable of generating sound not otherwise defined herein.

SOUND LEVEL METER. An instrument meeting the standards of the American National Standards Institute for Type 1 or Type 2 sound level meters or an instrument that provides equivalent data.

(Ord. 2014-155, passed 10-1-2014)

§ 9.09.050 GENERAL SOUND LEVEL STANDARDS.

No person shall create any sound, or allow the creation of any sound, on any property that causes the exterior and interior sound level on any other occupied property to exceed the sound level standards set forth in Table 1.

<p align="center">Table 1 Stationary Source Noise Standards</p>		
Land Use	Interior Standards	Exterior Standards
Residential*		
10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.	40 L _{eq} (10 minute)	45 L _{eq} (10 minute)
7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.	55 L _{eq} (10 minute)	65 L _{eq} (10 minute)
* Excepted as permitted under § 9.09.020, Exceptions.		

§ 9.09.060 SOUND LEVEL MEASUREMENT METHODOLOGY.

Sound level measurements may be made anywhere within the boundaries of an occupied property. The actual location of a sound level measurement shall be at the discretion of the enforcement officials identified in § 9.09.080. Sound level measurements shall be made with a sound level meter. Immediately before a measurement is made, the sound level meter shall be calibrated utilizing an acoustical calibrator meeting the standards of the American National Standards Institute. Following a sound level measurement, the calibration of the sound level meter shall be re-verified. Sound level meters and calibration equipment shall be certified annually.

(Ord. 2014-155, passed 10-1-2014)

§ 9.09.070 SPECIAL SOUND SOURCES STANDARDS.

The general sound level standards set forth in § 9.09.040 apply to sound emanating from all sources, including the following special sound sources, and the person creating, or allowing the creation of, the sound is subject to the requirements of that section. The following special sound sources are also subject to the following additional standards, the failure to comply with which constitute separate violations of this chapter.

(A) *Motor vehicles.*

(1) *Off-highway vehicles.*

(a) No person shall operate an off-highway vehicle unless it is equipped with a USDA qualified spark arrester and a constantly operating and properly maintained muffler. A muffler is not considered constantly operating and properly maintained if it is equipped with a cutout, bypass or similar device.

(b) No person shall operate an off-highway vehicle unless the noise emitted by the vehicle is not more than 96 dBA if the vehicle was manufactured on or after January 1, 1986 or is not more than 101 dBA if the vehicle was manufactured before January 1, 1986. For purposes of this division, emitted noise shall be measured a distance of 20 inches from the vehicle tailpipe using test procedures established by the Society of Automotive Engineers under Standard J-1287.

(2) *Sound systems.* No person shall operate a motor vehicle sound system, whether affixed to the vehicle or not, between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. the following morning, such that the sound system is audible to the human ear inside any inhabited dwelling. No person shall operate a motor vehicle sound system, whether affixed to the vehicle or not, at any other time such that the sound system is audible to the human ear at a distance greater than 100 feet from the vehicle.

(3) *Power tools and equipment.* No person shall operate any power tools or equipment between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. the following morning during the months of June through September and 6:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. the following morning during the months of October through May such that the power tools or equipment are audible to the human ear inside an inhabited dwelling other than a dwelling in which the power tools or equipment may be located. No person shall operate any power tools or equipment at any other time such that the power tools or equipment are audible to the human ear at a distance greater than 100 feet from the power tools or equipment.

(4) *Audio equipment.* No person shall operate any audio equipment, whether portable or not, between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. the following morning such that the equipment is audible to the human ear inside an inhabited dwelling other than a dwelling in which the equipment may be located. No person shall operate any audio equipment, whether portable or not, at any other time such that the equipment is audible to the human ear at a distance greater than 100 feet from the equipment.

(5) *Sound amplifying equipment and live music.* No person shall install, use or operate sound amplifying equipment, or perform, or allow to be performed, live music unless such activities comply with the following requirements. To the extent that these requirements conflict with any conditions of approval attached to an underlying land use permit, these requirements shall control.

(a) Sound amplifying equipment or live music is prohibited between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. the following morning on Sunday through Thursday and 11:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. the following morning on Friday and Saturday.

(b) Sound emanating from sound amplifying equipment or live music at any other time shall not be audible to the human ear at a distance greater than 200 feet from the equipment or music.

(Ord. 2014-155, passed 10-1-2014)

§ 9.09.080 DUTY TO COOPERATE.

No person shall refuse to cooperate with, or obstruct, any peace officer or Code Enforcement officer when they are engaged in the process of enforcing the provisions of this chapter. This duty to cooperate may require a person to extinguish a sound source so that it can be determined whether sound emanating from the source violates the provisions of this chapter.

(Ord. 2014-155, passed 10-1-2014)

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 4.1:

STUDY AREA PHOTOS

This page intentionally left blank



L1_E
33, 43' 29.020000", 117, 12' 51.160000"



L1_N
33, 43' 28.920000", 117, 12' 51.130000"



L1_S
33, 43' 28.940000", 117, 12' 51.130000"



L1_W
33, 43' 28.940000", 117, 12' 51.130000"



L2_N
33, 43' 17.480000", 117, 12' 48.030000"



L2_NW
33, 43' 17.630000", 117, 12' 48.030000"



L2_SE
33, 43' 17.680000", 117, 12' 48.080000"



L2_SW
33, 43' 17.610000", 117, 12' 48.030000"



L3_E
33, 43' 8.600000", 117, 12' 47.720000"



L3_N
33, 43' 8.460000", 117, 12' 47.720000"



L3_SW
33, 43' 8.500000", 117, 12' 47.720000"



L3_W
33, 43' 8.520000", 117, 12' 47.720000"

APPENDIX 4.2:

NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT WORKSHEETS

This page intentionally left blank

24-Hour Noise Level Measurement Summary

Project Name: Tract No. 36911

JN: 10473

24-Hour

Location: L1 - Located north of the Project site at the southeast corner of Thornton Avenue
and Valley Boulevard near existing residential homes.

Analyst: A. Wolfe

Energy Average Leq

Day

Night

CNEL


Date: 6/1/2017

51.9

45.9

54.4

Hourly Leq dBA Readings (unadjusted)



Hour Beginning

Time Period	Hour	Leq	Lmax	Lmin	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%
Day	Min	42.8	65.4	36.2	53.0	51.0	45.0	43.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	Max	58.6	89.0	40.9	66.0	60.0	53.0	51.0	50.0	49.0	43.0	43.0	42.0
	Energy Average:	51.9	Average:		58.1	54.7	49.9	48.0	43.2	40.5	37.7	37.3	37.1
Night	Min	39.3	48.0	36.2	44.0	43.0	42.0	41.0	39.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	Max	48.0	69.3	42.6	57.0	53.0	50.0	50.0	47.0	46.0	44.0	44.0	43.0
	Energy Average:	45.9	Average:		51.2	49.1	47.2	46.6	44.7	43.3	40.1	39.9	39.7

Hourly Summary

Night	0	46.2	68.0	36.2	52.0	50.0	49.0	48.0	47.0	44.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	1	46.2	66.8	36.2	55.0	51.0	47.0	46.0	45.0	44.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	2	39.3	48.0	36.2	44.0	43.0	42.0	41.0	39.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	3	41.7	52.4	38.9	47.0	46.0	45.0	44.0	42.0	40.0	39.0	39.0	39.0
	4	45.8	69.1	40.6	49.0	46.0	45.0	45.0	44.0	43.0	41.0	40.0	40.0
	5	46.5	67.5	42.1	51.0	50.0	48.0	47.0	46.0	45.0	43.0	43.0	43.0
	6	47.4	69.3	42.6	54.0	52.0	49.0	49.0	46.0	44.0	43.0	43.0	43.0
Day	7	47.8	66.1	39.1	56.0	53.0	50.0	50.0	48.0	45.0	43.0	42.0	40.0
	8	47.4	72.9	36.2	57.0	53.0	49.0	46.0	41.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	9	42.8	67.2	36.2	53.0	51.0	45.0	43.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	10	58.6	85.8	36.2	66.0	60.0	51.0	47.0	40.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	11	49.8	75.4	36.2	60.0	58.0	53.0	51.0	43.0	40.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	12	46.0	65.4	36.2	60.0	55.0	51.0	49.0	42.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	13	48.6	76.6	36.2	59.0	55.0	50.0	48.0	42.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	14	45.4	67.2	36.2	56.0	54.0	50.0	48.0	42.0	38.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	15	50.9	79.3	36.2	60.0	56.0	51.0	50.0	45.0	42.0	39.0	36.0	36.0
	16	57.1	89.0	36.2	61.0	57.0	50.0	48.0	45.0	40.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	17	51.8	79.8	36.2	59.0	53.0	47.0	44.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	18	43.4	67.0	36.2	53.0	51.0	47.0	45.0	40.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	19	51.6	77.9	36.8	61.0	57.0	52.0	50.0	43.0	41.0	39.0	39.0	39.0
	20	49.8	68.9	39.0	56.0	54.0	52.0	51.0	50.0	49.0	41.0	40.0	39.0
	21	51.7	79.3	40.9	57.0	53.0	51.0	50.0	49.0	46.0	43.0	43.0	42.0
	22	48.0	65.6	41.9	57.0	53.0	50.0	50.0	47.0	46.0	44.0	44.0	43.0
	23	46.4	60.2	39.2	52.0	51.0	50.0	49.0	46.0	45.0	42.0	42.0	41.0



24-Hour Noise Level Measurement Summary

Project Name: Tract No. 36911

JN: 10473

24-Hour
CNEL

Location: L2 - Located east of the Project site across Valley Boulevard adjacent to existing residential homes.

Analyst: A. Wolfe

Date: 6/1/2017

Energy Average Leq

Day


Night

59.6

56.8

63.8

Hourly Leq dBA Readings (unadjusted)



Hour Beginning

Time Period	Hour	Leq	Lmax	Lmin	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%
Day	Min	49.2	67.6	37.5	58.0	56.0	55.0	52.0	43.0	38.0	37.0	37.0	37.0
	Max	64.6	93.6	53.3	75.0	72.0	68.0	65.0	62.0	56.0	54.0	54.0	53.0
	Energy Average:	59.6	Average:	Average:	66.1	63.1	59.1	56.5	50.0	45.6	42.4	42.1	41.5
Night	Min	53.3	56.4	52.7	54.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	52.0
	Max	59.9	77.5	56.8	68.0	66.0	64.0	64.0	59.0	58.0	58.0	57.0	57.0
	Energy Average:	56.8	Average:	Average:	58.6	57.9	57.2	57.1	55.3	55.0	54.4	54.2	54.0

Hourly Summary

Night	0	59.0	63.6	56.8	61.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	59.0	58.0	58.0	57.0	57.0
	1	56.1	65.3	53.4	58.0	57.0	57.0	57.0	56.0	56.0	55.0	54.0	53.0
	2	53.3	56.4	52.7	54.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	52.0
	3	53.8	59.9	53.0	55.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0
	4	54.0	67.6	53.0	55.0	55.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0
	5	54.5	61.1	53.3	55.0	55.0	55.0	55.0	54.0	54.0	53.0	53.0	53.0
	6	54.3	63.8	53.1	56.0	56.0	55.0	55.0	54.0	54.0	53.0	53.0	53.0
Day	7	54.3	67.6	52.7	59.0	57.0	55.0	54.0	54.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0
	8	54.6	76.3	52.7	58.0	56.0	55.0	54.0	54.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	52.0
	9	57.6	75.3	53.3	64.0	62.0	59.0	58.0	57.0	56.0	54.0	54.0	53.0
	10	64.6	88.0	48.6	73.0	71.0	66.0	65.0	62.0	56.0	51.0	50.0	49.0
	11	63.0	91.8	37.5	75.0	72.0	67.0	64.0	54.0	48.0	42.0	40.0	39.0
	12	61.2	87.3	37.5	73.0	72.0	68.0	62.0	51.0	45.0	38.0	37.0	37.0
	13	62.7	93.6	37.5	72.0	67.0	61.0	56.0	48.0	43.0	37.0	37.0	37.0
	14	56.1	81.6	37.5	68.0	64.0	55.0	52.0	43.0	38.0	37.0	37.0	37.0
	15	56.0	85.5	37.5	64.0	59.0	55.0	52.0	43.0	40.0	37.0	37.0	37.0
	16	60.3	90.2	37.5	70.0	64.0	58.0	56.0	46.0	40.0	37.0	37.0	37.0
	17	61.9	92.7	37.5	66.0	61.0	57.0	55.0	47.0	41.0	37.0	37.0	37.0
	18	56.9	87.2	37.5	61.0	58.0	55.0	53.0	46.0	42.0	39.0	39.0	37.0
	19	52.6	73.0	38.7	64.0	60.0	56.0	54.0	47.0	43.0	40.0	40.0	40.0
	20	49.2	67.6	37.5	60.0	59.0	56.0	54.0	45.0	41.0	40.0	40.0	38.0
	21	55.7	75.6	38.5	65.0	64.0	63.0	59.0	53.0	45.0	40.0	40.0	40.0
Night	22	59.9	77.5	56.0	68.0	66.0	63.0	62.0	58.0	57.0	56.0	56.0	56.0
	23	59.2	69.5	56.1	65.0	65.0	64.0	64.0	57.0	57.0	56.0	56.0	56.0

24-Hour Noise Level Measurement Summary

Project Name: Tract No. 36911

JN: 10473

24-Hour

Location: L3 - Located east of the Project site across Valley Boulevard adjacent to existing residential homes.

Analyst: A. Wolfe

Energy Average Leq

Day

Night

CNEL


Date: 6/1/2017

55.1

50.4

58.5

Hourly Leq dBA Readings (unadjusted)



Hour Beginning

Time Period	Hour	Leq	Lmax	Lmin	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%
Day	Min	52.6	74.5	36.3	65.0	60.0	52.0	49.0	43.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	Max	56.7	84.4	40.9	70.0	66.0	60.0	57.0	49.0	46.0	42.0	42.0	41.0
	Energy Average:	55.1	Average:		67.7	63.9	57.2	54.0	46.0	41.8	38.7	38.3	37.3
Night	Min	44.2	70.3	36.3	49.0	42.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	Max	55.7	86.7	36.3	63.0	60.0	57.0	53.0	43.0	40.0	39.0	39.0	38.0
	Energy Average:	50.4	Average:		57.0	50.1	44.4	42.6	38.1	37.0	36.3	36.3	36.2

Hourly Summary

Night	0	48.5	76.1	36.3	56.0	48.0	44.0	42.0	37.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	1	55.7	86.7	36.3	60.0	55.0	46.0	43.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	2	51.2	80.8	36.3	57.0	43.0	37.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	3	46.0	73.3	36.3	49.0	42.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	4	44.2	70.3	36.3	52.0	44.0	39.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	5	45.7	74.0	36.3	55.0	53.0	47.0	43.0	37.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	6	51.6	75.9	36.3	63.0	60.0	57.0	53.0	43.0	38.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
Day	7	55.2	78.0	36.3	67.0	64.0	59.0	57.0	46.0	40.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	8	53.8	74.5	36.3	68.0	63.0	56.0	54.0	47.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	9	55.8	80.2	36.3	68.0	63.0	58.0	56.0	47.0	41.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	10	55.9	78.0	36.3	70.0	66.0	58.0	54.0	46.0	42.0	39.0	38.0	36.0
	11	54.4	76.7	36.3	68.0	64.0	57.0	54.0	48.0	44.0	39.0	39.0	36.0
	12	53.4	74.6	36.3	68.0	63.0	56.0	53.0	45.0	42.0	39.0	37.0	36.0
	13	52.6	75.1	36.3	65.0	63.0	56.0	53.0	46.0	42.0	39.0	39.0	36.0
	14	53.0	76.2	36.3	66.0	62.0	57.0	53.0	44.0	41.0	39.0	38.0	36.0
	15	56.0	77.8	36.3	69.0	66.0	60.0	56.0	47.0	41.0	39.0	38.0	36.0
	16	55.7	82.6	36.3	68.0	65.0	57.0	52.0	43.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
	17	55.3	79.3	38.0	68.0	66.0	59.0	56.0	46.0	42.0	39.0	39.0	39.0
	18	56.7	84.4	40.9	68.0	64.0	59.0	56.0	49.0	46.0	42.0	42.0	41.0
	19	56.0	77.5	39.3	69.0	65.0	59.0	57.0	49.0	44.0	42.0	41.0	41.0
	20	55.6	82.9	39.3	66.0	60.0	52.0	49.0	44.0	43.0	41.0	40.0	39.0
	21	54.5	78.8	39.1	68.0	64.0	55.0	50.0	43.0	41.0	39.0	39.0	39.0
	22	47.1	71.3	36.3	58.0	50.0	46.0	45.0	41.0	40.0	39.0	39.0	38.0
	23	50.4	74.1	36.3	63.0	56.0	48.0	46.0	41.0	39.0	36.0	36.0	36.0

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 5.1:

SITE PLAN

This page intentionally left blank

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 6.1:

ON-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE CALCULATIONS

This page intentionally left blank

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: Backyard With Wall
Road Name: Valley Bl.
Lot No: 42

Project Name: Tract 36911
Job Number: 10473
Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA				NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data				Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles				Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%				Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles				Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph				Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet				VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data				Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet				Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0				Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 100.0 feet				Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 110.0 feet				Autos: 1,505.000				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 10.0 feet				Medium Trucks: 1,507.297				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Heavy Trucks: 1,513.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
Pad Elevation: 1,527.0 feet				Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
Road Elevation: 1,505.0 feet				Autos: 109.764				
Barrier Elevation: 1,527.0 feet				Medium Trucks: 109.144				
Road Grade: 0.0%				Heavy Trucks: 107.819				
FHWA Noise Model Calculations								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten	
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-5.23	-1.20	0.62	-9.060	-12.060	
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-5.19	-1.20	0.55	-8.750	-11.750	
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-5.11	-1.20	0.39	-7.950	-10.950	
Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)								
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL		
Autos:	66.5	64.6	62.9	56.8	65.4	66.0		
Medium Trucks:	57.6	56.1	49.7	48.2	56.6	56.9		
Heavy Trucks:	58.2	56.8	47.8	49.0	57.4	57.5		
Vehicle Noise:	67.6	65.8	63.2	58.0	66.5	67.0		
Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)								
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL		
Autos:	57.5	55.6	53.8	47.7	56.4	57.0		
Medium Trucks:	48.8	47.3	41.0	39.4	47.9	48.1		
Heavy Trucks:	50.3	48.9	39.8	41.1	49.4	49.6		
Vehicle Noise:	58.7	56.9	54.2	49.1	57.6	58.1		
Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)				70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA	
CNEL:				70	150	324	698	

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: Backyard With Wall
 Road Name: Valley Bl.
 Lot No: 48

Project Name: Tract 36911
 Job Number: 10473
 Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 89.0 feet		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 99.0 feet		Autos: 1,498.000				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 10.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 1,500.297				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 1,506.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
Pad Elevation: 1,516.0 feet		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
Road Elevation: 1,498.0 feet		Autos: 97.548				
Barrier Elevation: 1,516.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 96.946				
Road Grade: 0.0%		Heavy Trucks: 95.699				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-4.46	-1.20	0.58	-8.900	-11.900
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-4.42	-1.20	0.51	-8.550	-11.550
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-4.33	-1.20	0.34	-7.700	-10.700

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	67.3	65.4	63.6	57.6	66.2	66.8
Medium Trucks:	58.4	56.9	50.5	49.0	57.4	57.6
Heavy Trucks:	59.0	57.6	48.6	49.8	58.2	58.3
Vehicle Noise:	68.3	66.5	64.0	58.7	67.3	67.8

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	58.4	56.5	54.7	48.7	57.3	57.9
Medium Trucks:	49.8	48.3	41.9	40.4	48.9	49.1
Heavy Trucks:	51.3	49.9	40.9	42.1	50.5	50.6
Vehicle Noise:	59.6	57.9	55.1	50.0	58.6	59.1

Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
CNEL:	71	152	328	707

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: Backyard With Wall
Road Name: Valley Bl.
Lot No: 54

Project Name: Tract 36911
Job Number: 10473
Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 96.0 feet		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 106.0 feet		Autos: 1,483.000				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 10.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 1,485.297				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 1,491.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
Pad Elevation: 1,502.0 feet		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
Road Elevation: 1,483.0 feet		Autos: 104.918				
Barrier Elevation: 1,502.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 104.339				
Road Grade: 0.0%		Heavy Trucks: 103.129				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-4.93	-1.20	0.56	-8.800	-11.800
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-4.90	-1.20	0.49	-8.450	-11.450
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-4.82	-1.20	0.34	-7.700	-10.700

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.8	64.9	63.1	57.1	65.7	66.3
Medium Trucks:	57.9	56.4	50.0	48.5	56.9	57.2
Heavy Trucks:	58.5	57.1	48.1	49.3	57.7	57.8
Vehicle Noise:	67.9	66.1	63.5	58.2	66.8	67.3

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	58.0	56.1	54.3	48.3	56.9	57.5
Medium Trucks:	49.4	47.9	41.6	40.0	48.5	48.7
Heavy Trucks:	50.8	49.4	40.4	41.6	50.0	50.1
Vehicle Noise:	59.3	57.5	54.7	49.6	58.2	58.7

Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
CNEL:	70	152	327	704

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: Backyard With Wall
 Road Name: Valley Bl.
 Lot No: 62

Project Name: Tract 36911
 Job Number: 10473
 Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 71.0 feet		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 81.0 feet		Autos: 1,482.000				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 10.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 1,484.297				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 1,490.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
Pad Elevation: 1,487.0 feet		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
Road Elevation: 1,482.0 feet		Autos: 75.784				
Barrier Elevation: 1,487.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 75.439				
Road Grade: 0.0%		Heavy Trucks: 74.926				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-2.81	-1.20	0.28	-7.360	-10.360
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-2.78	-1.20	0.21	-6.870	-9.870
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-2.74	-1.20	0.09	-5.900	-8.900

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	68.9	67.0	65.3	59.2	67.8	68.4
Medium Trucks:	60.0	58.5	52.1	50.6	59.0	59.3
Heavy Trucks:	60.6	59.2	50.2	51.4	59.8	59.9
Vehicle Noise:	70.0	68.2	65.6	60.4	68.9	69.4

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	61.6	59.7	57.9	51.9	60.5	61.1
Medium Trucks:	53.1	51.6	45.3	43.7	52.2	52.4
Heavy Trucks:	54.7	53.3	44.3	45.5	53.9	54.0
Vehicle Noise:	62.9	61.1	58.3	53.3	61.8	62.3

Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
CNEL:	74	160	345	744

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: Backyard With Wall
 Road Name: Valley Bl.
 Lot No: 75

Project Name: Tract 36911
 Job Number: 10473
 Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 96.0 feet		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 106.0 feet		Autos: 1,485.000				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 10.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 1,487.297				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 1,493.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
Pad Elevation: 1,503.0 feet		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
Road Elevation: 1,485.0 feet		Autos: 104.660				
Barrier Elevation: 1,503.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 104.103				
Road Grade: 0.0%		Heavy Trucks: 102.952				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-4.92	-1.20	0.53	-8.650	-11.650
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-4.88	-1.20	0.46	-8.300	-11.300
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-4.81	-1.20	0.31	-7.550	-10.550

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.8	64.9	63.2	57.1	65.7	66.3
Medium Trucks:	57.9	56.4	50.0	48.5	56.9	57.2
Heavy Trucks:	58.5	57.1	48.1	49.3	57.7	57.8
Vehicle Noise:	67.9	66.1	63.5	58.3	66.8	67.3

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	58.2	56.3	54.5	48.5	57.1	57.7
Medium Trucks:	49.6	48.1	41.7	40.2	48.6	48.9
Heavy Trucks:	51.0	49.6	40.5	41.8	50.1	50.3
Vehicle Noise:	59.4	57.6	54.9	49.8	58.4	58.9

Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
CNEL:	71	152	327	705

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: First Floor With Wall
 Road Name: Valley Bl.
 Lot No: 42

Project Name: Tract 36911
 Job Number: 10473
 Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 100.0 feet		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 120.0 feet		Autos: 1,505.000				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 20.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 1,507.297				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 1,513.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
Pad Elevation: 1,527.0 feet		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
Road Elevation: 1,505.0 feet		Autos: 119.740				
Barrier Elevation: 1,527.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 119.119				
Road Grade: 0.0%		Heavy Trucks: 117.794				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-5.79	-1.20	0.85	-9.850	-12.850
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-5.76	-1.20	0.74	-9.460	-12.460
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-5.69	-1.20	0.50	-8.500	-11.500

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.0	64.1	62.3	56.2	64.9	65.5
Medium Trucks:	57.0	55.5	49.2	47.6	56.1	56.3
Heavy Trucks:	57.7	56.2	47.2	48.5	56.8	56.9
Vehicle Noise:	67.0	65.2	62.6	57.4	66.0	66.5

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	56.1	54.2	52.4	46.4	55.0	55.6
Medium Trucks:	47.6	46.1	39.7	38.2	46.6	46.8
Heavy Trucks:	49.2	47.7	38.7	40.0	48.3	48.4
Vehicle Noise:	57.4	55.6	52.8	47.8	56.3	56.8

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: First Floor With Wall
Road Name: Valley Bl.
Lot No: 48

Project Name: Tract 36911
Job Number: 10473
Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 89.0 feet		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 109.0 feet		Autos: 1,498.000				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 20.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 1,500.297				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 1,506.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
Pad Elevation: 1,516.0 feet		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
Road Elevation: 1,498.0 feet		Autos: 107.524				
Barrier Elevation: 1,516.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 106.922				
Road Grade: 0.0%		Heavy Trucks: 105.674				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-5.09	-1.20	0.79	-9.660	-12.660
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-5.05	-1.20	0.67	-9.210	-12.210
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-4.98	-1.20	0.42	-8.100	-11.100

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.7	64.8	63.0	56.9	65.6	66.2
Medium Trucks:	57.7	56.2	49.9	48.3	56.8	57.0
Heavy Trucks:	58.4	56.9	47.9	49.2	57.5	57.6
Vehicle Noise:	67.7	65.9	63.3	58.1	66.7	67.2

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	57.0	55.1	53.3	47.3	55.9	56.5
Medium Trucks:	48.5	47.0	40.6	39.1	47.6	47.8
Heavy Trucks:	50.3	48.8	39.8	41.1	49.4	49.5
Vehicle Noise:	58.3	56.5	53.7	48.7	57.3	57.8

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: First Floor With Wall
Road Name: Valley Bl.
Lot No: 54

Project Name: Tract 36911
Job Number: 10473
Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet						
Site Data		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 96.0 feet Centerline Dist. to Observer: 116.0 feet Barrier Distance to Observer: 20.0 feet Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Pad Elevation: 1,502.0 feet Road Elevation: 1,483.0 feet Barrier Elevation: 1,502.0 feet Road Grade: 0.0%		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
		Autos: 1,483.000				
		Medium Trucks: 1,485.297				
		Heavy Trucks: 1,491.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
		Autos: 114.893				
		Medium Trucks: 114.314				
		Heavy Trucks: 113.105				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-5.52	-1.20	0.75	-9.500	-12.500
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-5.49	-1.20	0.65	-9.150	-12.150
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-5.42	-1.20	0.41	-8.050	-11.050

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.2	64.3	62.6	56.5	65.1	65.7
Medium Trucks:	57.3	55.8	49.4	47.9	56.3	56.6
Heavy Trucks:	57.9	56.5	47.5	48.7	57.1	57.2
Vehicle Noise:	67.3	65.5	62.9	57.7	66.2	66.7

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	56.7	54.8	53.1	47.0	55.6	56.2
Medium Trucks:	48.1	46.6	40.3	38.7	47.2	47.4
Heavy Trucks:	49.9	48.5	39.4	40.7	49.0	49.2
Vehicle Noise:	58.0	56.2	53.5	48.4	57.0	57.5

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: First Floor With Wall
 Road Name: Valley Bl.
 Lot No: 62

Project Name: Tract 36911
 Job Number: 10473
 Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph						
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet						
Site Data		Vehicle Mix				
		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
		Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	97.42%
		Medium Trucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	1.84%
		Heavy Trucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	0.74%
		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
		Autos: 1,482.000				
		Medium Trucks: 1,484.297				
		Heavy Trucks: 1,490.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
		Autos: 85.759				
		Medium Trucks: 85.414				
		Heavy Trucks: 84.902				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-3.62	-1.20	0.32	-7.600	-10.600
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-3.59	-1.20	0.23	-7.010	-10.010
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-3.55	-1.20	0.06	-5.600	-8.600

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	68.1	66.2	64.5	58.4	67.0	67.6
Medium Trucks:	59.2	57.7	51.3	49.8	58.2	58.5
Heavy Trucks:	59.8	58.4	49.3	50.6	58.9	59.1
Vehicle Noise:	69.2	67.4	64.8	59.6	68.1	68.6

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	60.5	58.6	56.9	50.8	59.4	60.0
Medium Trucks:	52.2	50.7	44.3	42.8	51.2	51.5
Heavy Trucks:	54.2	52.8	43.7	45.0	53.3	53.5
Vehicle Noise:	61.9	60.2	57.3	52.3	60.9	61.4

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: First Floor With Wall
Road Name: Valley Bl.
Lot No: 75

Project Name: Tract 36911
Job Number: 10473
Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 96.0 feet		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 116.0 feet		Autos: 1,485.000				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 20.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 1,487.297				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 1,493.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
Pad Elevation: 1,503.0 feet		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
Road Elevation: 1,485.0 feet		Autos: 114.635				
Barrier Elevation: 1,503.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 114.078				
Road Grade: 0.0%		Heavy Trucks: 112.927				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-5.51	-1.20	0.71	-9.340	-12.340
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-5.48	-1.20	0.60	-9.000	-12.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-5.41	-1.20	0.37	-7.850	-10.850

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.2	64.3	62.6	56.5	65.1	65.7
Medium Trucks:	57.3	55.8	49.4	47.9	56.4	56.6
Heavy Trucks:	57.9	56.5	47.5	48.7	57.1	57.2
Vehicle Noise:	67.3	65.5	62.9	57.7	66.2	66.8

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	56.9	55.0	53.2	47.2	55.8	56.4
Medium Trucks:	48.3	46.8	40.4	38.9	47.4	47.6
Heavy Trucks:	50.1	48.7	39.6	40.9	49.2	49.4
Vehicle Noise:	58.2	56.4	53.6	48.6	57.1	57.6

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: Second Floor With Wall
Road Name: Valley Bl.
Lot No: 42

Project Name: Tract 36911
Job Number: 10473
Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA				NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data				Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles				Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%				Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles				Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph				Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet				VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data Barrier Height: 6.0 feet Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 100.0 feet Centerline Dist. to Observer: 120.0 feet Barrier Distance to Observer: 20.0 feet Observer Height (Above Pad): 14.0 feet Pad Elevation: 1,527.0 feet Road Elevation: 1,505.0 feet Barrier Elevation: 1,527.0 feet Road Grade: 0.0%				Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
				Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
				Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
				Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
				Autos: 1,505.000				
				Medium Trucks: 1,507.297				
				Heavy Trucks: 1,513.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
				Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
				Autos: 121.881				
				Medium Trucks: 121.222				
				Heavy Trucks: 119.761				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-5.91	-1.20	-0.10	0.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-5.87	-1.20	-0.14	0.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-5.79	-1.20	-0.29	0.000	0.000

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	65.8	63.9	62.2	56.1	64.7	65.3
Medium Trucks:	56.9	55.4	49.0	47.5	56.0	56.2
Heavy Trucks:	57.6	56.1	47.1	48.3	56.7	56.8
Vehicle Noise:	66.9	65.1	62.5	57.3	65.8	66.4

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	65.8	63.9	62.2	56.1	64.7	65.3
Medium Trucks:	56.9	55.4	49.0	47.5	56.0	56.2
Heavy Trucks:	57.6	56.1	47.1	48.3	56.7	56.8
Vehicle Noise:	66.9	65.1	62.5	57.3	65.8	66.4

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: Second Floor With Wall
 Road Name: Valley Bl.
 Lot No: 48

Project Name: Tract 36911
 Job Number: 10473
 Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet						
Site Data		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 89.0 feet Centerline Dist. to Observer: 109.0 feet Barrier Distance to Observer: 20.0 feet Observer Height (Above Pad): 14.0 feet Pad Elevation: 1,516.0 feet Road Elevation: 1,498.0 feet Barrier Elevation: 1,516.0 feet Road Grade: 0.0%		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
		Autos: 1,498.000				
		Medium Trucks: 1,500.297				
		Heavy Trucks: 1,506.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
		Autos: 109.836				
		Medium Trucks: 109.189				
		Heavy Trucks: 107.776				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-5.23	-1.20	-0.12	0.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-5.19	-1.20	-0.17	0.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-5.11	-1.20	-0.35	0.000	0.000

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.5	64.6	62.8	56.8	65.4	66.0
Medium Trucks:	57.6	56.1	49.7	48.2	56.6	56.9
Heavy Trucks:	58.2	56.8	47.8	49.0	57.4	57.5
Vehicle Noise:	67.6	65.8	63.2	58.0	66.5	67.0

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.5	64.6	62.8	56.8	65.4	66.0
Medium Trucks:	57.6	56.1	49.7	48.2	56.6	56.9
Heavy Trucks:	58.2	56.8	47.8	49.0	57.4	57.5
Vehicle Noise:	67.6	65.8	63.2	58.0	66.5	67.0

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: Second Floor With Wall
 Road Name: Valley Bl.
 Lot No: 54

Project Name: Tract 36911
 Job Number: 10473
 Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 96.0 feet		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 116.0 feet		Autos: 1,483.000				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 20.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 1,485.297				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 14.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 1,491.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
Pad Elevation: 1,502.0 feet		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
Road Elevation: 1,483.0 feet		Autos: 117.064				
Barrier Elevation: 1,502.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 116.437				
Road Grade: 0.0%		Heavy Trucks: 115.064				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-5.65	-1.20	-0.14	0.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-5.61	-1.20	-0.19	0.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-5.53	-1.20	-0.36	0.000	0.000

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.1	64.2	62.4	56.4	65.0	65.6
Medium Trucks:	57.2	55.7	49.3	47.8	56.2	56.5
Heavy Trucks:	57.8	56.4	47.4	48.6	57.0	57.1
Vehicle Noise:	67.2	65.4	62.8	57.5	66.1	66.6

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.1	64.2	62.4	56.4	65.0	65.6
Medium Trucks:	57.2	55.7	49.3	47.8	56.2	56.5
Heavy Trucks:	57.8	56.4	47.4	48.6	57.0	57.1
Vehicle Noise:	67.2	65.4	62.8	57.5	66.1	66.6

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: Second Floor With Wall
 Road Name: Valley Bl.
 Lot No: 62

Project Name: Tract 36911
 Job Number: 10473
 Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data		Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles		Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%		Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph		Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet		VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data		Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
Barrier Height: 6.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 71.0 feet		Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 91.0 feet		Autos: 1,482.000				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 20.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 1,484.297				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 14.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 1,490.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
Pad Elevation: 1,487.0 feet		Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
Road Elevation: 1,482.0 feet		Autos: 88.323				
Barrier Elevation: 1,487.0 feet		Medium Trucks: 87.858				
Road Grade: 0.0%		Heavy Trucks: 86.953				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-3.81	-1.20	-0.42	0.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-3.78	-1.20	-0.54	0.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-3.71	-1.20	-0.92	0.000	0.000

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	67.9	66.0	64.3	58.2	66.8	67.4
Medium Trucks:	59.0	57.5	51.1	49.6	58.1	58.3
Heavy Trucks:	59.6	58.2	49.2	50.4	58.8	58.9
Vehicle Noise:	69.0	67.2	64.6	59.4	67.9	68.5

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	67.9	66.0	64.3	58.2	66.8	67.4
Medium Trucks:	59.0	57.5	51.1	49.6	58.1	58.3
Heavy Trucks:	59.6	58.2	49.2	50.4	58.8	58.9
Vehicle Noise:	69.0	67.2	64.6	59.4	67.9	68.5

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY NOISE PREDICTION MODEL (CALVENO) - 6/2/2013

Scenario: Second Floor With Wall
Road Name: Valley Bl.
Lot No: 75

Project Name: Tract 36911
Job Number: 10473
Analyst: A. Wolfe

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA				NOISE MODEL INPUTS				
Highway Data				Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)				
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 35,900 vehicles				Autos: 15				
Peak Hour Percentage: 10%				Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15				
Peak Hour Volume: 3,590 vehicles				Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15				
Vehicle Speed: 45 mph				Vehicle Mix				
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet				VehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data Barrier Height: 6.0 feet Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 96.0 feet Centerline Dist. to Observer: 116.0 feet Barrier Distance to Observer: 20.0 feet Observer Height (Above Pad): 14.0 feet Pad Elevation: 1,503.0 feet Road Elevation: 1,485.0 feet Barrier Elevation: 1,503.0 feet Road Grade: 0.0%				Autos: 77.5% 12.9% 9.6% 97.42%				
				Medium Trucks: 84.8% 4.9% 10.3% 1.84%				
				Heavy Trucks: 86.5% 2.7% 10.8% 0.74%				
				Noise Source Elevations (in feet)				
				Autos: 1,485.000				
				Medium Trucks: 1,487.297				
				Heavy Trucks: 1,493.006 Grade Adjustment: 0.0				
				Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)				
				Autos: 116.786				
				Medium Trucks: 116.178				
				Heavy Trucks: 114.851				

FHWA Noise Model Calculations

VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos:	69.34	3.60	-5.63	-1.20	-0.16	0.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.62	-13.64	-5.60	-1.20	-0.22	0.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.14	-17.59	-5.52	-1.20	-0.40	0.000	0.000

Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.1	64.2	62.4	56.4	65.0	65.6
Medium Trucks:	57.2	55.7	49.3	47.8	56.2	56.5
Heavy Trucks:	57.8	56.4	47.4	48.6	57.0	57.1
Vehicle Noise:	67.2	65.4	62.8	57.5	66.1	66.6

Mitigated Noise Levels (with Topo and barrier attenuation)

VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Evening	Leq Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	66.1	64.2	62.4	56.4	65.0	65.6
Medium Trucks:	57.2	55.7	49.3	47.8	56.2	56.5
Heavy Trucks:	57.8	56.4	47.4	48.6	57.0	57.1
Vehicle Noise:	67.2	65.4	62.8	57.5	66.1	66.6

This page intentionally left blank