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## Project Owner's Certification

This Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) has been prepared for Alere Property Group, LLC by Thienes Engineering, Inc. The WQMP is intended to comply with the requirements of the City of Chino and the NPDES Areawide Stormwater Program requiring the preparation of a WQMP.

The undersigned, while it owns the subject property, is responsible for the implementation of the provisions of this plan and will ensure that this plan is amended as appropriate to reflect up-to-date conditions on the site consistent with the San Bernardino County's Municipal Storm Water Management Program and the intent of the NPDES Permit for San Bernardino County and the incorporated cities of San Bernardino County within the Santa Ana Region. Once the undersigned transfers its interest in the property, its successors in interest and the city/county shall be notified of the transfer. The new owner will be informed of its responsibility under this WQMP. A copy of the approved WQMP shall be available on the subject site in perpetuity.
"I certify under a penalty of law that the provisions (implementation, operation, maintenance, and fund) of the WQMP have been accepted and that the plan will be transferred to future successors."

| Project Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permit/Application Number(s): |  | PL XX-XXXX | Grading Permit Number(s): |  |
| Tract/Parcel Map Number(s): |  |  | Building Permit Number(s): |  |
| CUP, SUP, and/or APN (Specify Lot Numbers if Portions of Tract): |  |  |  | APNs: 1016-271-003, -015, 026 \& 1016-281-002 and 009 |
| Owner's Signature |  |  |  |  |
| Owner Name: Clark Neuhoff |  |  |  |  |
| Title | Vice President of Development |  |  |  |
| Company | Alere Property Group, LLC |  |  |  |
| Address | 100 Bayview Circle, Suite 310, Newport Beach, CA 92660 |  |  |  |
| Email | cneuhoff@alerellc.com |  |  |  |
| Telephone \# | (949) 509-5000 |  |  |  |
| Signature |  |  | Date |  |

## Preparer's Certification

## Project Data

| Permit/Application <br> Number(s): | PL XX-XXXX | Grading Permit Number(s): |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tract/Parcel Map <br> Number(s): | Building Permit Number(s): |  |  |
| CUP, SUP, and/or APN (Specify Lot Numbers if Portions of Tract): | APNs: 1016-271-003, -015, - <br> 026 \& 1016-281-002 and - <br> 009 |  |  |

"The selection, sizing and design of stormwater treatment and other stormwater quality and quantity control measures in this plan were prepared under my oversight and meet the requirements of Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R8-2010-0036."
Engineer: Reinhard Stenzel
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## Section 1 Discretionary Permit(s)

| Form 1-1 Project Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name | County Road and East End Avenue |  |  |  |  |
| Project Owner Contact Name: | Clark Neuhoff |  |  |  |  |
| 100 Bayview Circle, Suite 310 Newport Beach, CA 92660 |  | E-mail Address: | cneuhoff@alerellc.com | Telephone: | (949) 509-5000 |
| Permit/Application Number(s): <br> Additional Information/ Comments: | PL XX-XXXX |  | Tract/Parcel Map Number(s): |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Description of Project: | The proposed project site consists of approximately 12.00 acres on the westerly side of East End Avenue and approximately 0.85 acres on the easterly side of East End Avenue. Proposed improvements on the larger lot include three warehouse type buildings (Buildings 1-3) ranging in size between 15,340 to 212,251 square feet. Building 1 will have a truck yard along the west side of the proposed building. Vehicle parking will be located throughout the site. The remainder of the site will be reserved for landscaping. The smaller lot will have one building (Building 4) of approximately 15,252 square feet. There is vehicle parking areas west and north of the building and landscaping adjacent to the street. <br> Runoff from Buildings 1-3 will drain to catch basins onsite. Two onsite storm drain systems are proposed to convey runoff southerly to the proposed public storm drain in County Road which will discharge to the existing S.B.C.F.C.D. channel on the southerly side of County Road. <br> Runoff from Building 4 will also drain to catch basins onsite before being conveyed to the existing 24 " storm drain in East End Avenue via a proposed storm drain system. <br> A small portion near the northwest corner will utilize a riser to capture run-on from the rail road. These flows will not commingle with onsite flows and therefore will not be a part of the DCV calculations. <br> Runoff from areas fronting County Road, East End Avenue and from the northwest corner ( 0.80 acres), which are mainly comprised of landscaped areas and some driveways, will drain offsite without being routed to the onsite BMPs. The landscape areas are considered selftreating areas. <br> Since infiltration dependent BMPs were deemed feasible for the project, underground infiltration chambers will be proposed to retain the DCV. |  |  |  |  |
| Provide summary of Conceptual WQMP conditions (if previously submitted and approved). Attach complete copy. | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Section 2 Project Description

### 2.1 Project Information

This section of the WQMP should provide the information listed below. The information provided for Conceptual/ Preliminary WQMP should give sufficient detail to identify the major proposed site design and LID BMPs and other anticipated water quality features that impact site planning. Final Project WQMP must specifically identify all BMP incorporated into the final site design and provide other detailed information as described herein.

The purpose of this information is to help determine the applicable development category, pollutants of concern, watershed description, and long term maintenance responsibilities for the project, and any applicable water quality credits. This information will be used in conjunction with the information in Section 3, Site Description, to establish the performance criteria and to select the LID BMP or other BMP for the project or other alternative programs that the project will participate in, which are described in Section 4.

## Form 2.1-1 Description of Proposed Project

${ }^{1}$ Development Category (Select all that apply):
$\square$ Significant
re-development involving the addition or replacement of $5,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ or more of impervious surface on an already developed site $\square \quad$ Hillside developments of $5,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ or more which are located on areas with known erosive soil conditions or where the natural slope is 25 percent or more

| 区 New development involving the creation of $10,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ or more of impervious surface collectively over entire site | Automotive repair shops with standard industrial classification (SIC) codes 5013, 5014, 5541, 75327534, 7536-7539 | Restaurants (with SIC code 5812) where the land area of development is $5,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ or more |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Developments of 2,500 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of impervious surface or more adjacent to (within 200 ft ) or discharging directly into environmentally sensitive areas or waterbodies listed on the CWA Section 303(d) list of impaired waters. | $\boxtimes \quad$ Parking lots of 5,000 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ or more exposed to storm water | $\square \quad$ Retail gasoline outlets that are either $5,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ or more, or have a projected average daily traffic of 100 or more vehicles per day |

Non-Priority / Non-Category Project
May require source control LID BMPs and other LIP requirements. Please consult with local jurisdiction on specific requirements.

|  <br>  <br> $($ Project Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right):$ | $559,746^{*}$ <br> $(12.85$ acres $)$ | ${ }^{3}$ Number of <br> Dwelling Units: | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | ${ }^{4}$ SIC Code: | 4225 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{5}$ Is Project going to be phased? $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No
If yes, ensure that the WQMP evaluates each phase as a distinct DA, requiring LID BMPs to address runoff at time of completion.
${ }^{6}$ Does Project include roads? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
If yes, ensure that applicable requirements for road projects are addressed (see Appendix A of TGD for WQMP)
*Includes 0.80 acres of areas fronting County Road, East End Avenue and from the northwest corner, (mainly comprised of landscaped areas and some driveways) that drain offsite without being routed to the onsite BMPs. The landscape areas are considered self-treating areas.

### 2.2 Property Ownership/Management

Describe the ownership/management of all portions of the project and site. State whether any infrastructure will transfer to public agencies (City, County, Caltrans, etc.) after project completion. State if a homeowners or property owners association will be formed and be responsible for the long-term maintenance of project stormwater facilities. Describe any lot-level stormwater features that will be the responsibility of individual property owners.

## Form 2.2-1 Property Ownership/Management

Describe property ownership/management responsible for long-term maintenance of WQMP stormwater facilities:
Alere Property Group, LLC
100 Bayview Circle, Suite 310
Newport Beach, CA 92660
Phone: (949) 509-5000
Contact: Clark Neuhoff
No infrastructure will be transferred to a public agency after project completion.
A property owner's association (POA) will be formed for long-term maintenance of project stormwater facility.

### 2.3 Potential Stormwater Pollutants

Determine and describe expected stormwater pollutants of concern based on land uses and site activities (refer to Table 3-3 in the TGD for WQMP).

| Form 2.3-1 Pollutants of Concern |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pollutant | Circle One: $\mathrm{E}=$ Expected, $\mathrm{N}=$ Not Expected |  | Listed for Receiving Water | Additional Information and Comments |
| Pathogens (Bacterial / Virus) | E | N | X | Including petroleum hydrocarbons. Bacterial indicators are routinely detected in pavement runoff. |
| Phosphorous | E | N |  |  |
| Nitrogen | E | N | X | Expected pollutant if landscaping exists on-site. |
| Sediment | E | N |  | Expected pollutant if landscaping exists on-site. |
| Metals | E | N | X |  |
| Oil and Grease | E | N |  |  |
| Trash / Debris | E | N |  |  |
| Pesticides / Herbicides | E | N |  |  |
| Organic Compounds | E | N |  | Expected pollutant if landscaping exists on-site. Including petroleum hydrocarbons and solvents. |
| Other: |  |  |  |  |

The expected POCs for the project site are Pathogens, Nitrogen, and Metals.

### 2.4 Water Quality Credits

A water quality credit program is applicable for certain types of development projects if it is not feasible to meet the requirements for on-site LID. Proponents for eligible projects, as described below, can apply for water quality credits that would reduce project obligations for selecting and sizing other treatment BMP or participating in other alternative compliance programs. Refer to Section 6.2 in the TGD for WQMP to determine if water quality credits are applicable for the project.

## Form 2.4-1 Water Quality Credits

${ }^{1}$ Project Types that Qualify for Water Quality Credits: Select all that apply
$\square$ Redevelopment projects that reduce the overall impervious footprint of the project site. [Credit $=\%$ impervious reduced]

Redevelopment projects in established historic district, historic preservation area, or similar significant core city center areas [10\%]

Higher density development projects
$\square \quad$ Vertical density [20\%] $\square 7$ units/ acre [5\%]
 developments (mixed use residential or commercial area designed to maximize access to public transportation) [20\%]

Mixed use development, (combination of residential, commercial, industrial, office, institutional, or other land uses which incorporate design principles that demonstrate environmental benefits not realized through single use projects) [20\%]

In-fill projects (conversion of empty lots \& other underused spaces < 5 acres, substantially surrounded by urban land uses, into more beneficially used spaces, such as residential or commercial areas) [10\%]
${ }^{2}$ Total Credit \%: n/a
(Total all credit percentages up to a maximum allowable credit of 50 percent)
Description of Water Quality
Credit Eligibility (if applicable)
The proposed project will not utilize any water quality credits.

## Section 3 Site and Watershed Description

Describe the project site conditions that will facilitate the selection of BMP through an analysis of the physical conditions and limitations of the site and its receiving waters. Identify distinct drainage areas (DA) that collect flow from a portion of the site and describe how runoff from each DA (and subwatershed DMAs) is conveyed to the site outlet(s). Refer to Section 3.2 in the TGD for WQMP. Complete form 3.2 for each DA on the project site.

## Form 3-1 Site Location and Hydrologic Features

| Site coordinates <br> Take GPS measurement at approximate center of site | Latitude: 33.027684 | Longitude: -117.72617 | Thomas Bros Map page: Page 641 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1}$ San Bernardino County climatic region: $\triangle$ Valley $\square$ Mountain $\square$ Desert |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Does the site have mo <br> If no, proceed to Form 3-2. to the site outlet(s). An exa may be attached. | one drainage area (DA) <br> use this form to show povided below that can | $\square$ No <br> schematic describing DM or proposed project or a dr | rologic feature connecting rly showing DMA and flow |



| DA 1 to Outlet 1 | This area will be treated via an infiltration system. The DCV will be retained <br> in the underground infiltration chambers. |
| :--- | :--- |
| DA 2 to Outlet 2 | This area will be treated via an infiltration system. The DCV will be retained <br> in the underground infiltration chambers. |
| DA 3 to Outlet 3 | This area will be treated via an infiltration system. The DCV will be retained <br> in the underground infiltration chambers. |

## Form 3-2 Existing Hydrologic Characteristics for Drainage Area (DA)

| For each drainage area's sub-watershed DMA, provide the following characteristics | Hydrology Nodes 100-101 | Hydrology Nodes 120-121 | Hydrology Nodes 120-121 | Hydrology Nodes 300-310 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1}$ DMA drainage area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) | 135,036 (3.10 acres)* | 226,512 (5.20 acres)* | 161,172 (3.70 acres)* | 37,026 (0.85 acres)* |
| ${ }^{2}$ Existing site impervious area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) | 8,712 | 132,214 | 5,000 | 0 |
| ${ }^{3}$ Antecedent moisture condition <br> For desert areas, use http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/floodcontrol/pdf/2 0100412 map.pdf | AMC II | AMC II | AMC II | AMC II |
| ${ }^{4}$ Hydrologic soil group <br> Refer to Watershed Mapping Tool http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP | HSG B \& C | HSG B \& C | HSG B \& C | HSG B |
| ${ }^{5}$ Longest flowpath length (ft) | 674 | 734 | 769 | 198 |
| ${ }^{6}$ Longest flowpath slope (ft/ft) | 0.0150 | 0.0126 | 0.0087 | 0.0075 |
| ${ }^{7}$ Current land cover type(s) Select from Fig C-3 of Hydrology Manual | Open Brush/Residential | Open Brush/Residential | Open Brush/Residential | Open Brush |
| ${ }^{8}$ Pre-developed pervious area condition: <br> Based on the extent of wet season vegetated cover good >75\%; Fair 50-75\%; Poor $<50 \%$ See Attachment A for photos of site to support rating | Poor | Poor | Poor | Poor |

*Includes 0.80 acres of areas fronting County Road, East End Avenue and located at the northwest corner (mainly comprised of landscaped areas and some driveways) that drain offsite without being routed to the onsite BMPs.

## Form 3-3 Watershed Description

| Receiving Waters <br> Refer to Watershed Mapping Tool http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP <br> See 'Drainage Facilities" link at this website | Chino Creek, Reach 1B <br> Chino Creek, Reach 1A <br> Santa Ana River, Reach 3 <br> Prado Dam <br> Santa Ana River, Reach 2 <br> Santa Ana River, Reach 1 <br> Pacific Ocean |
| :---: | :---: |
| Applicable TMDLs <br> Refer to Local Implementation Plan | Chino Creek, Reach 1B: Pathogens <br> Chino Creek, Reach 1A: Pathogens <br> Santa Ana River, Reach 3: Pathogens, Nitrate <br> Prado Dam: Pathogens <br> Santa Ana River, Reach 2: None <br> Santa Ana River, Reach 1: None <br> Pacific Ocean: None |
| 303(d) listed impairments <br> Refer to Local Implementation Plan and Watershed Mapping Tool http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP and State Water Resources Control Board website http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/water iss ues/programs/tmdl/index.shtml | Chino Creek, Reach 1B: Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Indicator Bacteria, Nutrients <br> Chino Creek, Reach 1A: Indicator Bacteria, Nutrients <br> Santa Ana River, Reach 3: Copper, Indicator Bacteria <br> Prado Dam: pH <br> Santa Ana River, Reach 2: None <br> Santa Ana River, Reach 1: None <br> Pacific Ocean: None |
| Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESA) <br> Refer to Watershed Mapping Tool http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Unlined Downstream Water Bodies <br> Refer to Watershed Mapping Tool http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP | Chino Creek <br> Santa Ana River |
| Hydrologic Conditions of Concern | 区 Yes <br> Complete Hydrologic Conditions of Concern (HCOC) Assessment. Include Forms 4.2-2 through Form 4.2-5 and Hydromodification BMP Form 4.3-10 in submittal No |
| Watershed-based BMP included in a RWQCB approved WAP | Yes <br> Attach verification of regional BMP evaluation criteria in WAP <br> - More Effective than On-site LID <br> - Remaining Capacity for Project DCV <br> - Upstream of any Water of the US <br> - Operational at Project Completion <br> - Long-Term Maintenance Plan <br> No |

## Section 4 Best Management Practices (BMP)

### 4.1 Source Control BMP

### 4.1.1 Pollution Prevention

Non-structural and structural source control BMP are required to be incorporated into all new development and significant redevelopment projects. Form 4.1-1 and 4.1-2 are used to describe specific source control BMPs used in the WQMP or to explain why a certain BMP is not applicable. Table 7-3 of the TGD for WQMP provides a list of applicable source control BMP for projects with specific types of potential pollutant sources or activities. The source control BMP in this table must be implemented for projects with these specific types of potential pollutant sources or activities.

The preparers of this WQMP have reviewed the source control BMP requirements for new development and significant redevelopment projects. The preparers have also reviewed the specific BMP required for project as specified in Forms 4.1-1 and 4.1-2. All applicable non-structural and structural source control BMP shall be implemented in the project.

## Form 4.1-1 Non-Structural Source Control BMPs

| Identifier | Name | Check One |  | Describe BMP Implementation OR, if not applicable, state reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Included | Not Applicable |  |
| N1 | Education of Property Owners, Tenants and Occupants on Stormwater BMPs | X |  | Property owner will familiarize him/herself with the educational materials in Attachment " E " and the contents of the WQMP. |
| N2 | Activity Restrictions | X |  | No outdoor work areas, processing, storage or wash area. Activities are restricted to only those for which a BMP has been implemented. |
| N3 | Landscape Management BMPs | X |  | Irrigation must be consistent with City's Water Conservation Ordinance. Fertilizer and pesticide usage will be consistent with County Management Guidelines for Use of Fertilizers and Pesticides. |
| N4 | BMP Maintenance | X |  | BMP maintenance, implementation schedules, and responsible parties are included with each specific BMP narrative. |
| N5 | Title 22 CCR Compliance (How development will comply) |  | X | No hazardous wastes onsite. |
| N6 | Local Water Quality Ordinances | X |  | Owner/tenant will be in compliance with Local Water Quality Ordinance. |
| N7 | Spill Contingency Plan | X |  | Owner/tenant will have a spill contingency plan based on individual site needs. |
| N8 | Underground Storage Tank Compliance |  | X | No USTs onsite. |
| N9 | Hazardous Materials Disclosure Compliance |  | X | No hazardous materials onsite. |
| N10 | Uniform Fire Code Implementation | X |  | Owner will comply with Article 80 of the Uniform Fire Code enforced by the fire protection agency. |
| N11 | Litter/Debris Control Program | X |  | Contract with their landscape maintenance firm to provide this service during regularly schedule maintenance. |
| N12 | Employee Training | X |  | The owner will ensure that tenants are also familiar with onsite BMPs and necessary maintenance required of the tenants. Owner will check with City and County at least once a year to obtain new or updated educational materials and provide these materials to tenants. Employees shall be trained to clean up spills and participate in ongoing maintenance. The WQMP requires annual employee training and new hires within 2 months. |
| N13 | Housekeeping of Loading Docks | X |  | Keep all fluids indoors. Clean up spills immediately and keep spills from entering storm drain system. No direct discharges into the storm drain system. Area shall be inspected weekly for proper containment and practices with spills cleaned up immediately and disposed of properly. |
| N14 | Catch Basin Inspection Program | X |  | Monthly inspection by property owner's designee. Catch basins will be vacuumed when sediment or trash becomes 2-inches deep and dispose of properly; and/or before and after any rain event. |

## Form 4.1-1 Non-Structural Source Control BMPs

| Identifier | Name | Check One |  | Describe BMP Implementation OR, if not applicable, state reason |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Included | Not <br> Applicable |  |
| N15 | Vacuum Sweeping of Private Streets and <br> Parking Lots | X |  | All landscape maintenance contractors will be required to sweep up all landscape cuttings, <br> mowings and fertilizer materials off paved areas weekly and dispose of properly. Parking <br> areas and drive ways will be swept monthly by sweeping contractor. |
| N16 | Other Non-structural Measures for <br> Public Agency Projects | X | Not a public agency project. |  |
| N17 | Comply with all other applicable NDPES <br> permits | X |  | Will comply with Construction General Permit and Industrial General Permit (may apply for <br> No Exposure Certification/NEC). |


| Form 4.1-2 Structural Source Control BMPs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identifier | Name | Check One |  | Describe BMP Implementation OR, if not applicable, state reason |
|  |  | Included | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Not } \\ \text { Applicable } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| S1 | Provide storm drain system stenciling and signage (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-13) | x |  | "No Dumping - Drains to River" stencils will be applied. Legibility of stencil will be maintained on a yearly basis. |
| S2 | Design and construct outdoor material storage areas to reduce pollution introduction (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-34) |  | x | No outdoor material storage areas onsite. |
| S3 | Design and construct trash and waste storage areas to reduce pollution introduction (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-32) | x |  | Paved with an impervious surface, designed not to allow run-on from adjoining areas, designed to divert drainage from adjoining roofs and pavements diverted around the area, screened or walled to prevent off-site transport of trash. |
| S4 | Use efficient irrigation systems \& landscape design, water conservation, smart controllers, and source control (Statewide Model Landscape Ordinance; CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-12) | x |  | Irrigation systems shall include reducers or shutoff valves triggered by a pressure drop to control water loss in the event of broken sprinkler heads or lines. Timers will be used to avoid over watering and watering cycles and duration shall be adjusted seasonally by the landscape maintenance contractor. The landscaping areas will be grouped with plants that have similar water requirements. Native or drought tolerant species shall also be used where appropriate to reduce excess irrigation runoff and promote surface filtration. |
| 55 | Finish grade of landscaped areas at a minimum of 1-2 inches below top of curb, sidewalk, or pavement | x |  | Landscaped areas will be depressed in order to increase retention of stormwater/irrigation water and promote infiltration. |
| S6 | Protect slopes and channels and provide energy dissipation (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-10) |  | x | No slopes proposed. |
| 57 | Covered dock areas (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-31) |  | x | Finished goods being loaded and unloaded at the docks does not have the potential to contribute to stormwater pollution. No direct connections will be made to a MS4. |
| 58 | Covered maintenance bays with spill containment plans (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-31) |  | x | No maintenance bays onsite. |
| s9 | Vehicle wash areas with spill containment plans (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-33) |  | x | No vehicle wash areas onsite. |
| S10 | Covered outdoor processing areas (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-36) |  | x | No outdoor processing areas onsite. |


| Form 4.1-2 Structural Source Control BMPs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identifier | Name | Che | One | Describe BMP Implementation OR, if not applicable, state reason |
|  |  | Included | Not Applicable |  |
| S11 | Equipment wash areas with spill containment plans (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-33) |  | X | No equipment wash areas onsite. |
| S12 | Fueling areas (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-30) |  | X | No fueling areas onsite. |
| S13 | Hillside landscaping (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-10) |  | X | No hillsides onsite. |
| S14 | Wash water control for food preparation areas |  | X | No food preparation onsite. |
| S15 | Community car wash racks (CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD-33) |  | X | No community cars wash racks onsite. |

## 4．1．2 Preventive LID Site Design Practices

Site design practices associated with new LID requirements in the MS4 Permit should be considered in the earliest phases of a project．Preventative site design practices can result in smaller DCV for LID BMP and hydromodification control BMP by reducing runoff generation．Describe site design and drainage plan including：
－A narrative of site design practices utilized or rationale for not using practices
－A narrative of how site plan incorporates preventive site design practices
－Include an attached Site Plan layout which shows how preventative site design practices are included in WQMP

Refer to Section 5.2 of the TGD for WQMP for more details．

## Form 4．1－3 Preventive LID Site Design Practices Checklist

## Site Design Practices

If yes，explain how preventative site design practice is addressed in project site plan．If no，other LID BMPs must be selected to meet targets．

| Minimize impervious areas：$\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No | Not applicable，development consists of a light industrial facilities．Most areas will be paved；however，disturbed areas will be collected by the underground infiltration chambers for treatment． |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maximize natural infiltration capacity：区Yes $\square$ No | Underground infiltration chambers will be implemented in order to maximize natural infiltration． |
| Preserve existing drainage patterns and time of concentration：$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No | Post－development drainage patterns will mimic pre－development conditions． |
| Disconnect impervious areas：区Yes $\square$ No | The underground infiltration chambers will disconnect impervious areas before discharging offsite． |
| Protect existing vegetation and sensitive areas：$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes N o$ | Not applicable．No sensitive areas to protect．The site is being developed into a light industrial facility． |
| Re－vegetate disturbed areas：$\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No | Not applicable，development consists of light industrial facilities．Most of the disturbed areas will be paved；however，disturbed areas will be collected by the underground infiltration chambers for treatment． |
| Minimize unnecessary compaction in stormwater retention／infiltration basin／trench areas：$\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No | Heavy construction vehicles will be prohibited from unnecessary soil compaction around landscape and BMP areas． |
| Utilize vegetated drainage swales in place of underground piping or imperviously lined swales：$\square$ Yes $\mathbb{V}$ No | Underground piping and imperviously lined swales are located at truck and car loading areas that could not be substituted with vegetated swales．All imperviously lined swales will be taken to underground infiltration chambers for treatment． |
| Stake off areas that will be used for landscaping to minimize compaction during construction： $\begin{aligned} \text { Yes } \square \text { No }\end{aligned}$ | Landscaped／BMP areas will be staked to minimize unnecessary compaction during construction． |

### 4.2 Project Performance Criteria

The purpose of this section of the Project WQMP is to establish targets for post development hydrology based on performance criteria specified in the MS4 Permit. These targets include runoff volume for water quality control (referred to as LID design capture volume), and runoff volume, time of concentration, and peak runoff for protection of any downstream waterbody segments with a HCOC. If the project has more than one outlet for stormwater runoff, then complete additional versions of these forms for each DA / outlet.

Methods applied in the following forms include:

- For LID BMP Design Capture Volume (DCV), the San Bernardino County Stormwater Program requires use of the P6 method (MS4 Permit Section XI.D.6a.ii) - Form 4.2-1
- For HCOC pre- and post-development hydrologic calculation, the San Bernardino County Stormwater Program requires the use of the Rational Method (San Bernardino County Hydrology Manual Section D). Forms 4.2-2 through Form 4.2-5 calculate hydrologic variables including runoff volume, time of concentration, and peak runoff from the project site pre- and post-development using the Hydrology Manual Rational Method approach. For projects greater than 640 acres ( 1.0 mi ), the Rational Method and these forms should not be used. For such projects, the Unit Hydrograph Method (San Bernardino County Hydrology Manual Section E) shall be applied for hydrologic calculations for HCOC performance criteria.

Refer to Section 4 in the TGD for WQMP for detailed guidance and instructions.

## Form 4.2-1 LID BMP Performance Criteria for Design Capture Volume (DA 1)

${ }^{1}$ Project area (ft ${ }^{2}$ ): 241,758
(DA $1-5.55 \mathrm{ac}$ )
${ }^{2}$ Imperviousness after applying
preventative site design practices
(Imp\%): 95\%
${ }^{3}$ Runoff Coefficient ( $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ): 0.807
$R_{C}=0.858(I m p \%)^{3}-0.78(I m p \%)^{2}+0.774(I m p \%)+0.04$
${ }^{4}$ Determine 1-hour rainfall depth for a 2-year return period $\mathbf{P}_{2 \text { yr-1hr }}$ (in): 0.597 http://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/sa/sca pfds.html
${ }^{5}$ Compute P6, Mean 6-hr Precipitation (inches): 0.884
$P 6=$ Item $4^{*} C_{1}$, where $C_{1}$ is a function of site climatic region specified in Form 3-1 Item 1 (Valley = 1.4807; Mountain = 1.909; Desert = 1.2371)
${ }^{6}$ Drawdown Rate
Use 48 hours as the default condition. Selection and use of the 24 hour drawdown time condition is subject to approval by the local jurisdiction. The necessary BMP footprint is a function of drawdown time. While shorter drawdown times reduce the performance criteria for LID BMP design capture volume, the depth of water that can be stored is also reduced.
${ }^{7}$ Compute design capture volume, $\operatorname{DCV}\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right): ~ 28,211$
$D C V=1 / 12{ }^{*}\left[\right.$ Item $1^{*}$ Item $3{ }^{*}$ Item $\left.5{ }^{*} C_{2}\right]$, where $C_{2}$ is a function of drawdown rate (24-hr = 1.582; 48-hr = 1.963)
Compute separate DCV for each outlet from the project site per schematic drawn in Form 3-1 Item 2

## Form 4.2-1 LID BMP Performance Criteria for Design Capture Volume (DA 2)

${ }^{1}$ Project area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ): 246,114
(DA 2-5.65 ac)
${ }^{2}$ Imperviousness after applying preventative site design practices (Imp\%): 95\%
${ }^{3}$ Runoff Coefficient ( $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ): 0.807
$R_{c}=0.858(1 \mathrm{mp} \%)^{3}-0.78(1 \mathrm{mp} \%)^{2}+0.774(1 \mathrm{mp} \%)+0.04$
${ }^{4}$ Determine 1-hour rainfall depth for a 2-year return period $\mathbf{P}_{\text {2yr-1hr }}$ (in): 0.597
http://hdsc.nws.noaa. $q 0 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{hdsc} / \mathrm{pfds} / \mathrm{sa} / \mathrm{sca}$ pfds.html
${ }^{5}$ Compute P6, Mean 6-hr Precipitation (inches): 0.884
$P 6=$ Item $4{ }^{*} C_{1}$, where $C_{1}$ is a function of site climatic region specified in Form 3-1 Item 1 (Valley = 1.4807; Mountain =1.909; Desert $=1.2371$ )
${ }^{6}$ Drawdown Rate
Use 48 hours as the default condition. Selection and use of the 24 hour drawdown time condition is subject to approval by the local jurisdiction. The necessary BMP footprint is a function of drawdown time. While shorter drawdown times reduce the performance criteria for LID BMP design capture volume, the depth of water that can be stored is also reduced.
${ }^{7}$ Compute design capture volume, DCV ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 28,720
$D C V=1 / 12{ }^{*}$ [Item 1* Item $3 *$ Item $5{ }^{*} C_{2}$ ], where $C_{2}$ is a function of drawdown rate ( $24-h r=1.582 ; 48-h r=1.963$ )
Compute separate DCV for each outlet from the project site per schematic drawn in Form 3-1 Item 2

## Form 4.2-1 LID BMP Performance Criteria for Design Capture Volume (DA 3)

${ }^{1}$ Project area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ): 37,026
(DA 3-0.85ac)
${ }^{2}$ Imperviousness after applying
preventative site design practices
(Imp\%): $95 \%$
${ }^{3}$ Runoff Coefficient $\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right): 0.807$
$R_{C}=0.858(1 \mathrm{mp} \%)^{3}-0.78(1 \mathrm{mp} \%)^{2}+0.774(1 \mathrm{mp} \%)+0.04$
${ }^{4}$ Determine 1-hour rainfall depth for a 2-year return period $\mathbf{P}_{\text {2yr-1hr }}$ (in): 0.597 http://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/sa/sca pfds.html
${ }^{5}$ Compute P6, Mean 6-hr Precipitation (inches): 0.884
P6 = Item $4{ }^{*} C_{1}$, where $C_{1}$ is a function of site climatic region specified in Form 3-1 Item 1 (Valley = 1.4807; Mountain =1.909; Desert $=1.2371$ )

## ${ }^{6}$ Drawdown Rate

Use 48 hours as the default condition. Selection and use of the 24 hour drawdown time condition is subject to approval by the local jurisdiction. The necessary BMP footprint is a function of drawdown time. While shorter drawdown times reduce the performance criteria for LID BMP design capture volume, the depth of water that can be stored is also reduced.
${ }^{7}$ Compute design capture volume, DCV (ft ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 4,321
$D C V=1 / 12$ * [Item 1* Item 3 *Item $5{ }^{*} C_{2}$ ], where $C_{2}$ is a function of drawdown rate (24-hr = 1.582; 48-hr = 1.963)
Compute separate DCV for each outlet from the project site per schematic drawn in Form 3-1 Item 2

## Form 4.2-2 Summary of HCOC Assessment

Does project have the potential to cause or contribute to an HCOC in a downstream channel: $\boxtimes \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$
Go to: http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP/
If "Yes", then complete HCOC assessment of site hydrology for 2yr storm event using Forms 4.2-3 through 4.2-5 and insert results below (Forms
4.2-3 through 4.2-5 may be replaced by computer software analysis based on the San Bernardino County Hydrology Manual)

If "No," then proceed to Section 4.3 Project Conformance Analysis

| Condition | Runoff Volume (ft ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) | Time of Concentration (min) | Peak Runoff (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-developed | ${ }^{1} 59,314$ <br> Form 4.2-3 Item 12 | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> Form 4.2-4 Item 13 | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> Form 4.2-5 Item 10 |
| Post-developed | ${ }^{4} 113,837$ <br> Form 4.2-3 Item 13 | ${ }^{5} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> Form 4.2-4 Item 14 | ${ }^{6} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> Form 4.2-5 Item 14 |
| Difference | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \text { 54,522 } \\ & \text { Item } 4 \text { - Item } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8^{8} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \text { Item } 2 \text { - Item } 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9 n/a } \\ & \text { Item } 6 \text { - Item } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Difference <br> (as \% of pre-developed) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1092 \% \\ & \text { Item } 7 \text { / Item } 1 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{11} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \%$ Item 8 / Item 2 | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \%$ <br> Item 9 / Item 3 |

To meet HCOC requirements, a mitigation volume must be achieved by using LID and/or hydromodification mitigation BMPs. The mitigation volume required is approximately $48,830 \mathrm{cu}-\mathrm{ft}$ ( 0.95 * 113,837-59,314). The total mitigation volume provided by the underground chambers and 61,398 cuft . As a result, the mitigation volume has been contained by the proposed BMPs. Since the mitigation volume has been met, it is physically impossible for the project to avoid increasing the time of concentration and reducing peak runoff by more than five percent of pre-development conditions (see Section 5.6.1 of the Technical Guidance Document for more information).

## Form 4.2-3 HCOC Assessment for Runoff Volume

| Compute weighted curve number for pre and post developed conditions | Pre-developed DA <br> Add more columns if more than 4 DMA |  |  |  | Post-developed DA <br> Add more columns if more than 4 DMA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DMA A | DMA B | DMAC | DMA D | DMA A | DMA B | DMAC | DMA D |
| ${ }^{1}$ Land Cover type | Open Brush | Impervious Cover | Open Brush | Impervious Cover | Roof, Asphalt \& Concrete | Urban Cover Commercial Landscaping | Roof, Asphalt \& Concrete | Urban Cover Commercial Landscaping |
| ${ }^{2}$ Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) | B | B | C | C | B | B | C | C |
| ${ }^{3}$ DMA Area, $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ <br> sum of areas of DMA should equal area of DA | 370,260 | 8,712 | 43,560 | 137,214 | 359,370 | 19,602 | 172,062 | 8,712 |
| ${ }^{4}$ Curve Number (CN) <br> Use Items 1 and 2 to select the appropriate CN from | 76 | 98 | 84 | 98 | 98 | 56 | 98 | 69 |
|  | ${ }^{5}$ Pre-Developed area-weighted CN: 83 |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ Post-Developed area-weighted CN: 97 |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{7}$ Pre-developed soil storage capacity, S (in): 2.05$S=(1000 / \text { Item } 5)-10$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{8}$ Post-developed soil storage capacity, S (in): 0.31$S=(1000 / \text { Item 6) }-10$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{9} \text { Initial abstraction, } I_{a} \text { (in): } 0.41 \\ & I_{a}=0.2 * \text { Item } 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{10} \text { Initial abstraction, } \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}} \text { (in): } 0.06 \\ & I_{a}=0.2 \text { * } \text { Item } 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{11}$ Precipitation for $2 \mathrm{yr}, \mathbf{2 4 ~ h r ~ s t o r m ~ ( i n ) : ~} \mathbf{2 . 7 8}$ <br> Go to: http://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/sa/sca pfds.html |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{12}$ Pre-developed Volume ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 59,314 <br> $V_{\text {pre }}=(1 / 12) *($ Item sum of Item 3) * [(Item 11 - Item 9)^2 / (IItem 11 - Item $9+$ Item 7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{13}$ Post-developed Volume ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 113,837 <br> $V_{\text {pre }}=(1 / 12) *($ Item sum of Item 3$) *[(I$ tem 11 - Item 10)^2 $/((I$ tem 11 - Item $10+$ Item 8$)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{14}$ Volume Reduction needed to meet HCOC Requirement, $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right): 48,830$ <br> $V_{\text {нсос }}=($ Item $13 * 0.95)$ - Item 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Form 4.2-4 HCOC Assessment for Time of Concentration

| Variables | Pre-developed DA <br> Add more columns if more than 4 DMA |  |  |  | Post-developed DA <br> Add more columns if more than 4 DMA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DMA A | DMA B | DMA C | DMA D | DMA A | DMA B | DMA C | DMA D |
| ${ }^{1}$ Length of flowpath (ft) <br> Use Form 3-2 Item 5 for pre-developed condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Change in elevation (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Slope (ft/ft), So = Item 2 / Item 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Land cover |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ Initial DMA Time of Concentration (min) Appendix C-1 of the TGD for WQMP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ Length of conveyance from DMA outlet to project site outlet (ft) May be zero if DMA outlet is at project site outlet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{7}$ Cross-sectional area of channel (ft2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8}$ Wetted perimeter of channel (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{9}$ Manning's roughness of channel ( n ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{11}$ Travel time to outlet (min) $T_{t}=\text { Item } 6 \text { ( (Item } 10 \text { * 60) }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{12}$ Total time of concentration (min) $T_{c}=\text { Item } 5+\text { Item } 11$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{13}$ Pre-developed time of concentration (min): Minimum of Item 12 pre-developed DMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{14}$ Post-developed time of concentration (min): <br> Minimum of Item 12 post-developed DMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{15}$ Additional time of concentration needed to meet HCOC require $T_{c \text { chcoc }}=(\text { Item } 14 * 0.95)-\text { Item } 13$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Form 4.2-5 HCOC Assessment for Peak Runoff

Compute peak runoff for pre and post developed conditions

| Variables |  | Pre-developed DA Add more columns if more than 3 DMA |  |  | Post-developed DA <br> Add more columns if more than 3 DMA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DMA A | DMA B | DMA C | DMA A | DMA B | DMA C |
| ${ }^{1}$ Rainfall Intensity for storm duration equal to time of concentration $I_{\text {peak }}=10^{\wedge}($ LOG Form 4.2-1 Item 4-0.6 LOG Form 4.2-4 Item $5 / 60$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Drainage Area of each DMA (ft2) <br> For DMA with outlet at project site outlet, include upstream DMA (Using example schematic in Form 3-1, DMA A will include drainage from DMA C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Ratio of pervious area to total area <br> For DMA with outlet at project site outlet, include upstream DMA (Using example <br> schematic in Form 3-1, DMA A will include drainage from DMA C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Pervious area infiltration rate (in/hr) <br> Use pervious area CN and antecedent moisture condition with Appendix C-3 of the TGD for WQMP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5} \text { Maximum loss rate (in/hr) }$ $F_{m}=\text { Item } 3 * \text { Item } 4$ <br> Use area-weighted Fm from DMA with outlet at project site outlet, include upstream DMA (Using example schematic in Form 3-1, DMA A will include drainage from DMA C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ Peak Flow from DMA (cfs)$Q_{p}=$ Item 2 $0.9 *$ (Item 1-Item 5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{7}$ Time of concentration adjustment factor for other DMA to site discharge point <br> Form 4.2-4 Item 12 DMA / Other DMA upstream of site discharge point (If ratio is greater than 1.0, then use maximum value of 1.0) | DMA A | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |  |
|  | DMA B |  | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |
|  | DMA C |  |  | n/a |  |  | n/a |
| ${ }^{8}$ Pre-developed $Q_{p}$ at $T_{c}$ for DMA A: <br> $Q_{p}=$ Item $\sigma_{\text {DMAA }}+\left[\right.$ Item $\sigma_{\text {DMAB }} *\left(\right.$ Item $1_{\text {DMAA }}-$ Item $\left.5_{\text {DMAB }}\right) /$ (Item $1_{\text {DMAB }}-$ Item $\left.5_{\text {DMAB }}\right) *$ (tem $\left.7_{\text {DMAAA }}\right]+\left[\right.$ ltem $6_{\text {DMAC }} *\left(I t e m 1_{\text {DMAA }}-\right.$ <br> Item $5_{\text {DMAC }} /\left(\right.$ Item $1_{\text {DMAC }}-$ Item $5_{\text {DMAC }} * \mid$ tem $\left.7_{\text {DMAAB }}\right]$ | ${ }^{9}$ Pre-developed $\begin{aligned} & Q_{p}=1 \text { tem } \sigma_{\text {DMAB }}+[1 \text { t } \\ & 1_{\text {DMAA }} \text { Item } 5_{\text {DMAA }} \\ & \text { Item } 5_{\text {DMAC }} /(\text { Item } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | em $5_{D M A A}$ <br> * (Item <br> омав/3] | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{10} \text { Pre } \\ & Q_{p}=/ t \\ & I_{\text {DMAA }} \\ & \text { Item } 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { oped } Q_{p} \text { a } \\ & \text { ac }+(\text { Item } \\ & \text { onaA }) * \text { Iten } \\ & \text { (tem } 1_{\text {DMAB }} \end{aligned}$ | DMA C <br> tem $1_{\text {DMA }}$ <br> ] [ Item <br> рмав) * Ite | maA) / (Item em $1_{\text {DMAC }}-$ |
| 11 Peak runoff from pre-developed condition confluence analysis (cfs): Maximum of Item 8, 9, and 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Post-developed $Q_{p}$ at $T_{c}$ for DMA A: Same as Item 8 for post-developed values | 13 Post-develope Same as Item 9 for | at $T_{c}$ for $D$ eveloped |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \mathrm{Po} \\ & \text { Same } \end{aligned}$ | loped Q <br> 10 for pos | or DMA ped value |  |
| 15 Peak runoff from post-developed condition confluence analysis (cfs): Maximum of Item 12, 13, and 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Peak runoff reduction needed to meet HCOC Requirement (cfs):$Q_{p}$.Hcoc $=($ Item $14 * 0.95$ ) - Item 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3 Project Conformance Analysis

Complete the following forms for each project site DA to document that the proposed LID BMPs conform to the project DCV developed to meet performance criteria specified in the MS4 Permit (WQMP Template Section 4.2). For the LID DCV, the forms are ordered according to hierarchy of BMP selection as required by the MS4 Permit (see Section 5.3.1 in the TGD for WQMP). The forms compute the following for on-site LID BMP:

- Site Design and Hydrologic Source Controls (Form 4.3-2)
- Retention and Infiltration (Form 4.3-3)
- Harvested and Use (Form 4.3-4) or
- Biotreatment (Form 4.3-5).

At the end of each form, additional fields facilitate the determination of the extent of mitigation provided by the specific BMP category, allowing for use of the next category of BMP in the hierarchy, if necessary.

The first step in the analysis, using Section 5.3.2.1 of the TGD for WQMP, is to complete Forms 4.3-1 and $4.3-3$ ) to determine if retention and infiltration BMPs are infeasible for the project. For each feasibility criterion in Form 4.3-1, if the answer is "Yes," provide all study findings that includes relevant calculations, maps, data sources, etc. used to make the determination of infeasibility.

Next, complete Forms 4.3-2 and 4.3-4 to determine the feasibility of applicable HSC and harvest and use BMPs, and, if their implementation is feasible, the extent of mitigation of the DCV.

If no site constraints exist that would limit the type of BMP to be implemented in a DA, evaluate the use of combinations of LID BMPs, including all applicable HSC BMPs to maximize on-site retention of the DCV. If no combination of BMP can mitigate the entire DCV, implement the single BMP type, or combination of BMP types, that maximizes on-site retention of the DCV within the minimum effective area.

If the combination of LID HSC, retention and infiltration, and harvest and use BMPs are unable to mitigate the entire DCV, then biotreatment BMPs may be implemented by the project proponent. If biotreatment BMPs are used, then they must be sized to provide sufficient capacity for effective treatment of the remainder of the volume-based performance criteria that cannot be achieved with LID BMPs (TGD for WQMP Section 5.4.4.2). Under no circumstances shall any portion of the DCV be released from the site without effective mitigation and/or treatment.

## Form 4.3-1 Infiltration BMP Feasibility

Feasibility Criterion - Complete evaluation for each DA on the Project Site
${ }^{1}$ Would infiltration BMP pose significant risk for groundwater related concerns? $\square \mathrm{Yes} \boxtimes$ No
Refer to Section 5.3.2.1 of the TGD for WQMP
If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)
${ }^{2}$ Would installation of infiltration BMP significantly increase the risk of geotechnical hazards? $\square \mathrm{Yes} \boxtimes$ No
(Yes, if the answer to any of the following questions is yes, as established by a geotechnical expert):

- The location is less than 50 feet away from slopes steeper than 15 percent
- The location is less than eight feet from building foundations or an alternative setback.
- A study certified by a geotechnical professional or an available watershed study determines that stormwater infiltration would result in significantly increased risks of geotechnical hazards.
If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)
${ }^{3}$ Would infiltration of runoff on a Project site violate downstream water rights? $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No
If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)
${ }^{4}$ Is proposed infiltration facility located on hydrologic soil group (HSG) D soils or does the site geotechnical investigation indicate presence of soil characteristics, which support categorization as D soils? $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No
If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)
${ }^{5}$ Is the design infiltration rate, after accounting for safety factor of 2.0, below proposed facility less than $0.3 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ (accounting for soil amendments)? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No
If Yes, Provide basis:
${ }^{6}$ Would on-site infiltration or reduction of runoff over pre-developed conditions be partially or fully inconsistent with watershed management strategies as defined in the WAP, or impair beneficial uses? $\square \mathrm{Yes} \boxtimes \mathrm{No}$
See Section 3.5 of the TGD for WQMP and WAP
If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)
${ }^{7}$ Any answer from Item 1 through Item 3 is "Yes": $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No
If yes, infiltration of any volume is not feasible onsite. Proceed to Form 4.3-4, Harvest and Use BMP. If no, then proceed to Item 9 below.
${ }^{8}$ Any answer from Item 4 through Item 6 is "Yes": $\square Y e s ~ \boxtimes N o$
If yes, infiltration is permissible but is not required to be considered. Proceed to Form 4.3-2, Hydrologic Source Control BMP.
If no, then proceed to Item 9 , below.
${ }^{9}$ All answers to Item 1 through Item 6 are "No": $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
Infiltration of the full DCV is potentially feasible, LID infiltration BMP must be designed to infiltrate the full DCV to the MEP.
Proceed to Form 4.3-2, Hydrologic Source Control BMP.


### 4.3.1 Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMP

Section XI.E. of the Permit emphasizes the use of LID preventative measures; and the use of LID HSC BMPs reduces the portion of the DCV that must be addressed in downstream BMPs. Therefore, all applicable HSC shall be provided except where they are mutually exclusive with each other, or with other BMPs. Mutual exclusivity may result from overlapping BMP footprints such that either would be potentially feasible by itself, but both could not be implemented. Please note that while there are no numeric standards regarding the use of HSC, if a project cannot feasibly meet BMP sizing requirements or cannot fully address HCOCs, feasibility of all applicable HSC must be part of demonstrating that the BMP system has been designed to retain the maximum feasible portion of the DCV. Complete Form 4.32 to identify and calculate estimated retention volume from implementing site design HSC BMP. Refer to Section 5.4.1 in the TGD for more detailed guidance.

## Form 4．3－2 Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMPs

${ }^{1}$ Implementation of Impervious Area Dispersion BMP（i．e．routing runoff from impervious to pervious areas），excluding impervious areas planned for routing to on－lot infiltration BMP）：$\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No
If yes，complete Items 2－5；If no，proceed to Item 6

| Variables <br> Aggregate impervious area dispersion with equal ratios of pervious to impervious； | $\begin{gathered} \text { BMP Type and } \\ \text { DA } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BMP Type and } \\ \text { DA } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | BMP Type and DA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2}$ Total impervious area draining to pervious area |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Ratio of pervious area receiving runoff to impervious area |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Retention volume achieved from impervious area dispersion（ft ${ }^{3}$ ） $V=$ Item2 ${ }^{*}$ Item $3^{*}$（ $0.5 / 12$ ），assuming retention of 0.5 inches of runoff |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ Sum of retention volume achieved from impervious area dispersion（ft <br> $V_{\text {retention }}=$ Sum of Item 4 for all BMPs |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ Implementation of Localized On－lot Infiltration BMPs（e．g．on－lot rain gardens）：$\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No <br> If yes，complete Items 7－13 for aggregate of all on－lot infiltration BMP in each DA； If no，proceed to Item 14 | BMP Type and DA | BMP Type and DA | BMP Type and DA |
| ${ }^{7}$ Ponding surface area（ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ） |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8}$ Ponding depth（ft） |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{9}$ Surface area of amended soil／gravel（ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ） |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{10}$ Average depth of amended soil／gravel（ft） |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{11}$ Average porosity of amended soil／gravel |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{12}$ Retention volume achieved from on－lot infiltration（ft ${ }^{3}$ ） <br> $V_{\text {retention }}=($ Item $7 * /$ tem 8$)+($ Item $9 *$ Item $10 *$ Item 11） |  |  |  |

$V_{\text {retention }}=($ Item $7 *$ Item 8$)+($ Item $9 *$ Item $10 *$ Item 11）
${ }^{13}$ Runoff volume retention from on－lot infiltration（ft ${ }^{3}$ ）： 0
$V_{\text {retention }}=$ Sum of Item 12 for all BMPs
${ }^{14}$ Implementation of evapotranspiration BMP（green，brown，or blue roofs）：$\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No
If yes，complete Items 15－20．If no，proceed to Item 21
${ }^{15}$ Rooftop area planned for ET BMP（ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ）
${ }^{16}$ Average wet season ET demand（in／day）
Use local values，typical～ 0.1
${ }^{17}$ Daily ET demand（ft ${ }^{3} /$ day）
Item 15 ＊（Item 16／12）
${ }^{18}$ Drawdown time（hrs）
Copy Item 6 in Form 4．2－1
${ }^{19}$ Retention Volume（ft ${ }^{3}$ ）
$V_{\text {retention }}=$ Item 17 ＊（Item 18／24）

| BMP Type and <br> DA | BMP Type and <br> DA | BMP Type and <br> DA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

${ }^{20}$ Runoff volume retention from evapotranspiration BMPs（ $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ）： 0
$V=$ Sum of Item 19 for all BMPs

| ${ }^{21}$ Implementation of Street Trees：$\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No If yes，complete Items 20－2．If no，proceed to Item 26 | BMP Type and DA | BMP Type and | BMP Type and DA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{22}$ Number of Street Trees |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ Average canopy cover over impervious area（ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ） |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{24}$ Runoff volume retention from street trees（ $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ） <br> $V_{\text {retention }}=$ Item $22 *$ Item 23 ＊（0．05／12）assume runoff retention of 0.05 inches |  |  |  |

$V_{\text {retention }}=$ Item $222^{*}$ Item $23 *(0.05 / 12)$ assume runoff retention of 0.05 inches
${ }^{25}$ Runoff volume retention from street tree BMPs（ $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ）： 0
$V_{\text {retention }}=$ Sum of Item 24 for all BMPs
${ }^{26}$ Implementation of residential rain barrels／cisterns：$\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No
If yes，complete Items 27－28；If no，proceed to Item 30
${ }^{27}$ Number of rain barrels／cisterns
${ }^{28}$ Runoff volume retention from rain barrels／cisterns（ $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ）
$V_{\text {retertion }}=I$ tem $27 * 3$

| BMP Type and <br> DA | BMP Type and <br> DA | BMP Type and <br> DA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

${ }^{29}$ Runoff volume retention from residential rain barrels／Cisterns（ft ${ }^{3}$ ）： 0
$V_{\text {retention }}=$ Sum of Item 28 for all BMPs
${ }^{30}$ Total Retention Volume from Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMPs： 0
Sum of Items 5，13，20， 25 and 29

### 4.3.2 Infiltration BMPs

Use Form 4.3-3 to compute on-site retention of runoff from proposed retention and infiltration BMPs. Volume retention estimates are sensitive to the percolation rate used, which determines the amount of runoff that can be infiltrated within the specified drawdown time. The infiltration safety factor reduces field measured percolation to account for potential inaccuracy associated with field measurements, declining BMP performance over time, and compaction during construction. Appendix D of the TGD for WQMP provides guidance on estimating an appropriate safety factor to use in Form 4.3-3.

If site constraints limit the use of BMPs to a single type and implementation of retention and infiltration BMPs mitigate no more than $40 \%$ of the DCV, then they are considered infeasible and the Project Proponent may evaluate the effectiveness of BMPs lower in the LID hierarchy of use (Section 5.5.1 of the TGD for WQMP)

If implementation of infiltrations BMPs is feasible as determined using Form 4.3-1, then LID infiltration BMPs shall be implemented to the MEP (section 4.1 of the TGD for WQMP).

## Form 4.3-3 Infiltration LID BMP (including underground BMPs) (DA 1)

${ }^{1}$ Remaining LID DCV not met by site design HSC BMP (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 28,211
$V$ = Form 4.2-1 Item 7 - Form 4.3-2 Item 30

## BMP Type

Use columns to the right to compute runoff volume retention from proposed infiltration BMP (select BMP from Table 5-4 in TGD for WQMP)
${ }^{2}$ Infiltration rate of underlying soils (in/hr)

| See Section 5.4.2 and Appendix D of the TGD for WQMP for minimum requirements for assessment methods | 5.2 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{3}$ Infiltration safety factor See TGD Section 5.4.2 and Appendix D | 2 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{4}$ Design percolation rate (in/hr) <br> $P_{\text {design }}=$ Item 2 / Item 3 | 2.6 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{5}$ Ponded water drawdown time (hr) Copy Item 6 in Form 4.2-1 | 48 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{6}$ Maximum ponding depth (ft) <br> BMP specific, see Table 5-4 of the TGD for WQMP for BMP design details | 6.2 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{7}$ Ponding Depth (ft) <br> $d_{\text {BMP }}=$ Minimum of (1/12 * Item 4 * Item 5) or Item 6 | 6.2 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{8}$ Infiltrating surface area, SA (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) <br> The lesser of the area needed for BMP infiltration of full DCV or minimum space requirements from Table 5-7 of the TGD for WQMP | 5,961 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{9}$ Amended soil depth, $\mathrm{d}_{\text {media }}(\mathrm{ft})$ <br> Only included in certain BMP types, see Table 5-4 in the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{10}$ Amended soil porosity | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{11}$ Gravel depth, $\mathrm{d}_{\text {media }}(\mathrm{ft})$ <br> Only included in certain BMP types, see Table 5-4 of the TGD for WQMP for BMP design details | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{12}$ Gravel porosity | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{13}$ Duration of storm as basin is filling (hrs) <br> Typical ~ 3hrs | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14 \text { Above Ground Retention Volume }\left(\mathbf{f t}^{\mathbf{3}}\right) \\ & V_{\text {retention }}=\text { Item } 8 *\left[\text { Item } 7+\left(\text { Item } 9 \text { retention }{ }^{*} \text { Item 10) + (Item } 11 *\right. \text { Item 12) + }\right. \\ & \left.\left(\text { Item } 13^{*}(\text { Item } 4 / 12)\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{15}$ Underground Retention Volume ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ) <br> Volume determined using manufacturer's specifications and calculations | 28,299 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |

${ }^{16}$ Total Retention Volume from LID Infiltration BMPs (ft ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 28,299
(Sum of Items 14 and 15 for all infiltration BMP included in plan)
${ }^{17}$ Fraction of DCV achieved with infiltration BMP: 100\%
Retention\% = Item 16 / Form 4.2-1 Item 7
${ }^{18}$ Is full LID DCV retained on-site with combination of hydrologic source control and LID retention and infiltration BMPs?
®Yes $\square$ No
If yes, demonstrate conformance using Form 4.3-10; If no, then reduce Item 3, Factor of Safety to 2.0 and increase Item 8, Infiltrating Surface Area, such that the portion of the site area used for retention and infiltration BMPs equals or exceeds the minimum effective area thresholds (Table 5-7 of the TGD for WQMP) for the applicable category of development and repeat all above calculations.

## Form 4.3-4 Infiltration LID BMP (including underground BMPs) (DA 2)

${ }^{1}$ Remaining LID DCV not met by site design HSC BMP (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 28,720
$V=$ Form 4.2-1 Item 7 - Form 4.3-2 Item 30

## BMP Type

Use columns to the right to compute runoff volume retention from proposed infiltration BMP (select BMP from Table 5-4 in TGD for WQMP)
${ }^{2}$ Infiltration rate of underlying soils (in/hr)

| See Section 5.4.2 and Appendix D of the TGD for WQMP for minimum requirements for assessment methods | 1.65 | n/a | n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{3}$ Infiltration safety factor See TGD Section 5.4.2 and Appendix D | 2 | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{4}$ Design percolation rate (in/hr) <br> $P_{\text {design }}=$ Item 2 / Item 3 | 0.825 | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{5}$ Ponded water drawdown time (hr) Copy Item 6 in Form 4.2-1 | 48 | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{6}$ Maximum ponding depth (ft) <br> BMP specific, see Table 5-4 of the TGD for WQMP for BMP design details | 2.9 | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{7}$ Ponding Depth (ft) <br> $d_{\text {BMP }}=$ Minimum of (1/12 * Item 4 * Item 5) or Item 6 | 2.9 | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{8}$ Infiltrating surface area, SA (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) <br> The lesser of the area needed for BMP infiltration of full DCV or minimum space requirements from Table 5-7 of the TGD for WQMP | 13,605 | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{9}$ Amended soil depth, $\mathrm{d}_{\text {media }}(\mathrm{ft})$ <br> Only included in certain BMP types, see Table 5-4 in the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{10}$ Amended soil porosity | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{11}$ Gravel depth, $\mathrm{d}_{\text {media }}(\mathrm{ft})$ <br> Only included in certain BMP types, see Table 5-4 of the TGD for WQMP for BMP design details | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| ${ }^{12}$ Gravel porosity | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{13}$ Duration of storm as basin is filling (hrs) <br> Typical ~ 3hrs | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14 \text { Above Ground Retention Volume }\left(\mathbf{f t}^{\mathbf{3}}\right) \\ & V_{\text {retention }}=\text { Item } 8 *\left[\text { Item } 7+\left(\text { Item } 9 \text { retention }{ }^{*} \text { Item 10) + (Item } 11 *\right. \text { Item 12) + }\right. \\ & \left.\left(\text { Item } 13^{*}(\text { Item } 4 / 12)\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{15}$ Underground Retention Volume ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ) <br> Volume determined using manufacturer's specifications and calculations | 28,762 | n/a | n/a |

${ }^{16}$ Total Retention Volume from LID Infiltration BMPs (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 28,762
(Sum of Items 14 and 15 for all infiltration BMP included in plan)
${ }^{17}$ Fraction of DCV achieved with infiltration BMP: 100\%
Retention\% = Item 16 / Form 4.2-1 Item 7
${ }^{18}$ Is full LID DCV retained on-site with combination of hydrologic source control and LID retention and infiltration BMPs?
®Yes $\square$ No
If yes, demonstrate conformance using Form 4.3-10; If no, then reduce Item 3, Factor of Safety to 2.0 and increase Item 8, Infiltrating Surface Area, such that the portion of the site area used for retention and infiltration BMPs equals or exceeds the minimum effective area thresholds (Table 5-7 of the TGD for WQMP) for the applicable category of development and repeat all above calculations.

## Form 4.3-5 Infiltration LID BMP (including underground BMPs) (DA 3)

${ }^{1}$ Remaining LID DCV not met by site design HSC BMP (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 4,321
$V=$ Form 4.2-1 Item 7 - Form 4.3-2 Item 30

## BMP Type

Use columns to the right to compute runoff volume retention from proposed infiltration BMP (select BMP from Table 5-4 in TGD for WQMP)
${ }^{2}$ Infiltration rate of underlying soils (in/hr)
See Section 5.4.2 and Appendix D of the TGD for WQMP for minimum
requirements for assessment methods
3 Infiltration safety factor
See TGD Section 5.4.2 and Appendix D
${ }^{4}$ Design percolation rate (in/hr)
$P_{\text {design }}=$ Item 2 / Item 3
${ }^{5}$ Ponded water drawdown time (hr)
Copy Item 6 in Form 4.2-1
${ }^{6}$ Maximum ponding depth (ft)
BMP specific, see Table 5-4 of the TGD for WQMP for BMP design details
${ }^{7}$ Ponding Depth (ft)
$d_{B M P}=$ Minimum of $(1 / 12 *$ Item $4 *$ Item 5) or Item 6
${ }^{8}$ Infiltrating surface area, SA (ft ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ )
The lesser of the area needed for BMP infiltration of full DCV or minimum space requirements from Table 5-7 of the TGD for WQMP
${ }^{9}$ Amended soil depth, $\mathbf{d}_{\text {media }}(\mathbf{f t})$
Only included in certain BMP types, see Table 5-4 in the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details
${ }^{10}$ Amended soil porosity
${ }^{11}$ Gravel depth, $\mathbf{d}_{\text {media }}(\mathbf{f t})$
Only included in certain BMP types, see Table 5-4 of the TGD for WQMP for BMP
design details
${ }^{12}$ Gravel porosity
${ }^{13}$ Duration of storm as basin is filling (hrs)
Typical ~ 3hrs
${ }^{14}$ Above Ground Retention Volume (ft ${ }^{3}$ )
$V_{\text {retention }}=$ Item $8 *[$ Item $7+($ Item 9 retention * Item 10) $+($ Item 11 * Item 12) + (Item 13 * (Item 4 / 12))]
${ }^{15}$ Underground Retention Volume (ft ${ }^{3}$ )
Volume determined using manufacturer's specifications and calculations
${ }^{16}$ Total Retention Volume from LID Infiltration BMPs (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 28,762
(Sum of Items 14 and 15 for all infiltration BMP included in plan)
${ }^{17}$ Fraction of DCV achieved with infiltration BMP: 100\%
Retention\% = Item 16 / Form 4.2-1 Item 7
${ }^{18}$ Is full LID DCV retained on-site with combination of hydrologic source control and LID retention and infiltration BMPs?
区Yes $\square$ No
If yes, demonstrate conformance using Form 4.3-10; If no, then reduce Item 3, Factor of Safety to 2.0 and increase Item 8, Infiltrating Surface Area, such that the portion of the site area used for retention and infiltration BMPs equals or exceeds the minimum effective area thresholds (Table 5-7 of the TGD for WQMP) for the applicable category of development and repeat all above calculations.

### 4.3.3 Harvest and Use BMP

Harvest and use BMP may be considered if the full LID DCV cannot be met by maximizing infiltration BMPs. Use Form 4.3-4 to compute on-site retention of runoff from proposed harvest and use BMPs.

Volume retention estimates for harvest and use BMPs are sensitive to the on-site demand for captured stormwater. Since irrigation water demand is low in the wet season, when most rainfall events occur in San Bernardino County, the volume of water that can be used within a specified drawdown period is relatively low. The bottom portion of Form 4.3-4 facilitates the necessary computations to show infeasibility if a minimum incremental benefit of 40 percent of the LID DCV would not be achievable with MEP implementation of on-site harvest and use of stormwater (Section 5.5.4 of the TGD for WQMP).

## Form 4.3-6 Harvest and Use BMPs

${ }^{1}$ Remaining LID DCV not met by site design HSC or infiltration BMP (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 0
$V_{\text {unmet }}=$ Form 4.2-1 Item 7 - Form 4.3-2 Item $30-$ Form 4.3-3 Item 16

| BMP Type(s) <br> Compute runoff volume retention from proposed harvest and use BMP (Select BMPs from Table 5-4 of the TGD for WQMP) | BMP Type and DA | BMP Type and DA | BMP Type and DA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2}$ Describe cistern or runoff detention facility | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{3}$ Storage volume for proposed detention type $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right)$ Volume of cistern | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{4}$ Landscaped area planned for use of harvested stormwater ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{5}$ Average wet season daily irrigation demand (in/day) Use local values, typical ~ 0.1 in/day | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{6}$ Daily water demand ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3} /$ day) Item 4 * (Item 5 / 12) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{7}$ Drawdown time (hrs) <br> Copy Item 6 from Form 4.2-1 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline{ }^{8} \text { Retention Volume }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right) \\ V_{\text {retention }}=\text { Minimum of }(\text { Item 3) or }(\text { Item } 6 *(\text { Item } 7 / 24)) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a |

${ }^{9}$ Total Retention Volume ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ) from Harvest and Use BMP: 0
Sum of Item 8 for all harvest and use BMP included in plan
${ }^{10}$ Is the full DCV retained with a combination of LID HSC, retention and infiltration, and harvest and use BMPs? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No If yes, demonstrate conformance using Form 4.3-10. If no, then re-evaluate combinations of all LID BMP and optimize their implementation such that the maximum portion of the DCV is retained on-site (using a single BMP type or combination of BMP types). If the full DCV cannot be mitigated after this optimization process, proceed to Section 4.3.4.

### 4.3.4 Biotreatment BMP

Biotreatment BMPs may be considered if the full LID DCV cannot be met by maximizing retention and infiltration, and harvest and use BMPs. A key consideration when using biotreatment BMP is the effectiveness of the proposed BMP in addressing the pollutants of concern for the project (see Table 5-5 of the TGD for WQMP).

Use Form 4.3-5 to summarize the potential for volume based and/or flow based biotreatment options to biotreat the remaining unmet LID DCV. Biotreatment computations are included as follows:

- Use Form 4.3-6 to compute biotreatment in small volume based biotreatment BMP (e.g. bioretention w/underdrains);
- Use Form 4.3-7 to compute biotreatment in large volume based biotreatment BMP (e.g. constructed wetlands);
- Use Form 4.3-8 to compute sizing criteria for flow-based biotreatment BMP (e.g. bioswales)


## Form 4.3-7 Selection and Evaluation of Biotreatment BMP

${ }^{1}$ Remaining LID DCV not met by site design HSC, infiltration, or harvest and use BMP for potential

List pollutants of concern biotreatment ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 0
Form 4.2-1 Item 7 - Form 4.3-2 Item 30 - Form 4.3-3 Item 16- Form
Pathogens
4.3-4 Item 9

Metals
${ }^{2}$ Biotreatment BMP Selected
(Select biotreatment BMP(s) necessary to ensure all pollutants of concern are addressed through Unit Operations and Processes, described in Table 5-5 of the TGD for WQMP)

Nitrogen

| for WQMP) | Constructed wetlands Wet extended detention Dry extended detention Proprietary biotreatment (volume) | $\square \quad$ Vegetated swale <br> $\square \quad$ Vegetated filter strip <br> $\square$ Proprietary biotreatment (flow) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{3}$ Volume biotreated in volume based biotreatment BMP ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 0 <br> Form 4.3-6 Item 15 + Form 4.3-7 Item 13 | ${ }^{4}$ Compute remaining LID DCV with implementation of volume based biotreatment BMP ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 0 Item 1 - Item 3 | ${ }^{5}$ Remaining fraction of LID DCV for sizing flow based biotreatment BMP: n/a Item 4 / Item 1 |

${ }^{6}$ Flow-based biotreatment BMP capacity provided (cfs): 0
Use Figure 5-2 of the TGD for WQMP to determine flow capacity required to provide biotreatment of remaining percentage of unmet LID DCV (Item 5), for the project's precipitation zone (Form 3-1 Item 1)
${ }^{7}$ Metrics for MEP determination:

[^0]| Form 4.3-6 Volume Based Biotreatment - Bioretention and Planter Boxes with Underdrains (DA 1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { sMp Type(s) } \\ \text { comput } \\ \text { cunoft }}}$ | BMP Type and DA | BMP Type and DA |
| Pollutants addressed with BMP |  |  |
|  | n/a | n/a |
| Amended soil infitration rate | n/a | n/a |
| Amended soil infitration sfetety factor | n/a | n/a |
| Amendee soil design percolation rate (in/hr) | n/a | n/a |
| Ponded water drawdown time (hrr) | n/2 | n/2 |
|  | n/2 | n/a |
| Pondin giepth (tit) | n/a | n/a |
| Amended soil surface area (tre) | n/3 | n/a |
|  | n/a | n/a |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ Amended soil porosity, $n$ | n/a | n/a |
|  | n/a | n/a |
| $2{ }^{2}$ Gravel perosity, $n$ | n/a | n/a |
| -30 uratio of storm as basin is filling (hirs) | n/2 | 1/a |
|  | n/a | n/a |
|  |  |  |

Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP)
County Road and East End Avenue

Form 4.3-7 Volume Based Biotreatment - Constructed Wetlands and Extended Detention

| Biotreatment BMP Type <br> Constructed wetlands, extended wet detention, extended dry detention, or other comparable proprietary BMP. If BMP includes multiple modules (e.g. forebay and main basin), provide separate estimates for storage and pollutants treated in each module. | BMP Type and DA |  | BMP Type and DA |  | BMP Type and DA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forebay | Basin | Forebay | Basin | Forebay | Basin |
| ${ }^{1}$ Pollutants addressed with BMP forebay and basin List all pollutant of concern that will be effectively reduced through specific Unit Operations and Processes described in Table 5-5 of the TGD for WQMP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Bottom width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Bottom length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{4} \text { Bottom area }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \\ & A_{\text {bottom }}=\text { Item } 2 * \text { Item } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ Side slope (ft/ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ Depth of storage (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ```7 Water surface area (ft2) A suface }=(\mathrm{ Item 2 + (2 * Item 5 * Item 6)) * (Item 3 + (2 * Item 5 * Item 6))``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8}$ Storage volume ( ft 3 ) <br> For BMP with a forebay, ensure fraction of total storage is within ranges specified in BMP specific fact sheets, see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP design details $V=I$ tem $6 / 3$ <br> * [Item 4 + Item 7 + (Item 4 * Item 7) ${ }^{0.5}$ ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{9}$ Drawdown Time (hrs) Copy Item 6 from Form 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{10} \text { Outflow rate (cfs) } \\ & Q_{\text {BMP }}=\left(\text { Item } 8_{\text {forebay }}+\text { Item } 8_{\text {basin }}\right) /(\text { Item } 9 * 3600) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{11}$ Duration of design storm event (hrs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { Biotreated Volume }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right) \\ & V_{\text {biotreated }}=(\text { Item } 8 \text { forebay }+ \text { Item } 8 \text { basin })+(\text { Item } 10 * \text { Item } 11 * 3600) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{13}$ Total biotreated volume from constructed wetlands, extended dry detention, or extended wet detention: (Sum of Item 12 for all BMP included in plan) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP)
County Road and East End Avenue

| Form 4.3-8 Flow Based Biotreatment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biotreatment BMP Type <br> Vegetated swale, vegetated filter strip, or other comparable proprietary BMP | BMP Type | BMP Type | BMP Type |
| ${ }^{1}$ Pollutants addressed with BMP List all pollutant of concern that will be effectively reduced through specific Unit Operations and Processes described in TGD Table 5-5 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Flow depth for water quality treatment (ft) BMP specitic, see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP desian details |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Bed slope (ft/ft) <br> BMP specific, see Table $5-6$ of the TGD for WQMP for reference to BMP desian details |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Manning's roughness coefficient |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ Bottom width (ft) <br> $b_{w}=($ Form $4.3-5$ Item $6 * I$ tem 4$) /\left(1.49 * \mid\right.$ tem $2^{2.67 *} *$ tem $\left.3^{05}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ Side Slope (ft/ft) <br> BMP specitic see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WOMP for reference to BMP desian details |  |  |  |
| Cross sectional area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8}$ Water quality flow velocity (ft/sec) |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{9}$ Hydraulic residence time (min) <br> Pollutant specific, see Table 5-6 of the TGD for WOMP for reference to BMP desian details |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{10}$ Length of flow based BMP (ft) |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{11}$ Water surface area at water quality flow depth ( $\mathrm{ft2}$ ) SA top $=($ Item $5+(2$ * Item 2 * Item 6) $)$ * Item 10 |  |  |  |

### 4.3.5 Conformance Summary

Complete Form 4.3-9 to demonstrate how on-site LID DCV is met with proposed site design hydrologic source control, infiltration, harvest and use, and/or biotreatment BMP. The bottom line of the form is used to describe the basis for infeasibility determination for on-site LID BMP to achieve full LID DCV, and provides methods for computing remaining volume to be addressed in an alternative compliance plan. If the project has more than one outlet, then complete additional versions of this form for each outlet.

## Form 4.3-9 Conformance Summary and Alternative Compliance Volume Estimate (DA 1)

${ }^{1}$ Total LID DCV for the Project ( $\mathrm{ft}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 28,211
Copy Item 7 in Form 4.2-1
${ }^{2}$ On-site retention with site design hydrologic source control LID BMP (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 0
Copy Item 30 in Form 4.3-2
${ }^{3}$ On-site retention with LID infiltration BMP (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 28,299
Copy Item 16 in Form 4.3-3
${ }^{4}$ On-site retention with LID harvest and use BMP (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 0
Copy Item 9 in Form 4.3-4
${ }^{5} \mathrm{On}$-site biotreatment with volume based biotreatment BMP ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 0
Copy Item 3 in Form 4.3-5
${ }^{6}$ Flow capacity provided by flow based biotreatment BMP (cfs): 0
Copy Item 6 in Form 4.3-5
${ }^{7}$ LID BMP performance criteria are achieved if answer to any of the following is "Yes":

- Full retention of LID DCV with site design HSC, infiltration, or harvest and use BMP: $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No If yes, sum of Items 2, 3, and 4 is greater than Item 1
- Combination of on-site retention BMPs for a portion of the LID DCV and volume-based biotreatment BMP that address all pollutants of concern for the remaining LID DCV: $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
If yes, a) sum of Items 2, 3, 4, and 5 is greater than Item 1, and Items 2, 3 and 4 are maximized; or b) Item 6 is greater than Form 4.3-5 Item 6 and Items 2, 3 and 4 are maximized
- On-site retention and infiltration is determined to be infeasible and biotreatment BMP provide biotreatment for all pollutants of concern for full LID DCV: $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No If yes, Form 4.3-1 Items 7 and 8 were both checked yes
${ }^{8}$ If the LID DCV is not achieved by any of these means, then the project may be allowed to develop an alternative compliance plan. Check box that describes the scenario which caused the need for alternative compliance:

Combination of HSC, retention and infiltration, harvest and use, and biotreatment BMPs provide less than full LID DCV capture.
Checked yes for Form 4.3-5 Item 7, Item 6 is zero, and sum of Items 2, 3, 4, and 5 is less than Item 1. If so, apply water quality credits and calculate volume for alternative compliance, $V_{\text {alt }}=$ (Item 1 - Item 2 - Item 3 - Item 4 - Item 5) * (100-Form 2.4-1 Item 2)\%

An approved Watershed Action Plan (WAP) demonstrates that water quality and hydrologic impacts of urbanization are more effective when managed in at an off-site facility.
Attach appropriate WAP section, including technical documentation, showing effectiveness comparisons for the project site and regional watershed

## Form 4.3-9 Conformance Summary and Alternative Compliance Volume Estimate (DA 2)

${ }^{1}$ Total LID DCV for the Project (ft ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 28,720
Copy Item 7 in Form 4.2-1
${ }^{2}$ On-site retention with site design hydrologic source control LID BMP (ft ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 0
Copy Item 30 in Form 4.3-2
${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ On-site retention with LID infiltration BMP (ft ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 28,762
Copy Item 16 in Form 4.3-3
${ }^{4}$ On-site retention with LID harvest and use BMP (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 0
Copy Item 9 in Form 4.3-4
${ }^{5}$ On-site biotreatment with volume based biotreatment BMP (ft ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 0
Copy Item 3 in Form 4.3-5
${ }^{6}$ Flow capacity provided by flow based biotreatment BMP (cfs): 0
Copy Item 6 in Form 4.3-5
${ }^{7}$ LID BMP performance criteria are achieved if answer to any of the following is "Yes":

- Full retention of LID DCV with site design HSC, infiltration, or harvest and use BMP: $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No

If yes, sum of Items 2,3, and 4 is greater than Item 1

- Combination of on-site retention BMPs for a portion of the LID DCV and volume-based biotreatment BMP that address all pollutants of concern for the remaining LID DCV: $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
If yes, a) sum of Items 2, 3, 4, and 5 is greater than Item 1, and Items 2, 3 and 4 are maximized; or b) Item 6 is greater than Form 4.3-5 Item 6 and Items 2, 3 and 4 are maximized
- On-site retention and infiltration is determined to be infeasible and biotreatment BMP provide biotreatment for all pollutants of concern for full LID DCV: $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No
If yes, Form 4.3-1 Items 7 and 8 were both checked yes
${ }^{8}$ If the LID DCV is not achieved by any of these means, then the project may be allowed to develop an alternative compliance plan. Check box that describes the scenario which caused the need for alternative compliance:

Combination of HSC, retention and infiltration, harvest and use, and biotreatment BMPs provide less than full LID DCV capture.
Checked yes for Form 4.3-5 Item 7, Item 6 is zero, and sum of Items 2, 3, 4, and 5 is less than Item 1. If so, apply water quality credits and calculate volume for alternative compliance, $V_{\text {alt }}=$ (Item 1 - Item 2 - Item 3 - Item 4 - Item 5) * (100-Form 2.4-1 Item 2)\%
$\square \quad$ An approved Watershed Action Plan (WAP) demonstrates that water quality and hydrologic impacts of urbanization are more effective when managed in at an off-site facility.
Attach appropriate WAP section, including technical documentation, showing effectiveness comparisons for the project site and regional watershed

## Form 4.3-9 Conformance Summary and Alternative Compliance Volume Estimate (DA 3)

${ }^{1}$ Total LID DCV for the Project ( $\mathrm{ft}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 4,321
Copy Item 7 in Form 4.2-1
${ }^{2}$ On-site retention with site design hydrologic source control LID BMP (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 0
Copy Item 30 in Form 4.3-2
${ }^{3}$ On-site retention with LID infiltration BMP (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 4,337
Copy Item 16 in Form 4.3-3
${ }^{4}$ On-site retention with LID harvest and use BMP ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 0
Copy Item 9 in Form 4.3-4
${ }^{5} \mathrm{On}$-site biotreatment with volume based biotreatment BMP $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right): 0$
Copy Item 3 in Form 4.3-5
${ }^{6}$ Flow capacity provided by flow based biotreatment BMP (cfs): 0
Copy Item 6 in Form 4.3-5
${ }^{7}$ LID BMP performance criteria are achieved if answer to any of the following is "Yes":

- Full retention of LID DCV with site design HSC, infiltration, or harvest and use BMP: $\square \mathrm{Yes} \boxtimes \mathrm{No}$

If yes, sum of Items 2,3 , and 4 is greater than Item 1

- Combination of on-site retention BMPs for a portion of the LID DCV and volume-based biotreatment BMP that address all pollutants of concern for the remaining LID DCV: $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No
If yes, a) sum of Items 2, 3, 4, and 5 is greater than Item 1, and Items 2,3 and 4 are maximized; or b) Item 6 is greater than Form 4.3-5 Item 6 and Items 2,3 and 4 are maximized
- On-site retention and infiltration is determined to be infeasible and biotreatment BMP provide biotreatment for all pollutants of concern for full LID DCV: $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 区No
If yes, Form 4.3-1 Items 7 and 8 were both checked yes
${ }^{8}$ If the LID DCV is not achieved by any of these means, then the project may be allowed to develop an alternative compliance plan. Check box that describes the scenario which caused the need for alternative compliance:

Combination of HSC, retention and infiltration, harvest and use, and biotreatment BMPs provide less than full LID DCV capture.
Checked yes for Form 4.3-5 Item 7, Item 6 is zero, and sum of Items 2, 3, 4, and 5 is less than Item 1. If so, apply water quality credits and calculate volume for alternative compliance, $V_{\text {alt }}=$ (Item 1 - Item 2 - Item 3-Item 4 - Item 5) * (100-Form 2.4-1 Item 2)\%
$\square \quad$ An approved Watershed Action Plan (WAP) demonstrates that water quality and hydrologic impacts of urbanization are more effective when managed in at an off-site facility.
Attach appropriate WAP section, including technical documentation, showing effectiveness comparisons for the project site and regional watershed

### 4.3.6 Hydromodification Control BMP

Use Form 4.3-10 to compute the remaining runoff volume retention, after LID BMP are implemented, needed to address HCOC, and the increase in time of concentration and decrease in peak runoff necessary to meet targets for protection of waterbodies with a potential HCOC. Describe hydromodification control BMP that address HCOC, which may include off-site BMP and/or in-stream controls. Section 5.6 of the TGD for WQMP provides additional details on selection and evaluation of hydromodification control BMP.

## Form 4.3-10 Hydromodification Control BMPs

${ }^{1}$ Volume reduction needed for HCOC performance criteria ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 48,830
(Form 4.2-2 Item 4 * 0.95) - Form 4.2-2 Item 1
${ }^{3}$ Remaining volume for HCOC volume capture ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 0 Item 1 - Item 2
${ }^{2}$ On-site retention with site design hydrologic source control, infiltration, and harvest and use LID BMP ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 61,398
Sum of Form 4.3-9 Items 2, 3, and 4. Evaluate option to increase implementation of on-site retention in Forms 4.3-2, 4.3-3, and 4.3-4 in excess of LID DCV toward achieving HCOC volume reduction
${ }^{4}$ Volume capture provided by incorporating additional on-site or off-site retention BMPs ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 0
Existing downstream BMP may be used to demonstrate additional volume capture (if so, attach to this WQMP a hydrologic analysis showing how the additional volume would be retained during a 2yr storm event for the regional watershed)
${ }^{5}$ If Item 4 is less than Item 3, incorporate in-stream controls on downstream waterbody segment to prevent impacts due to hydromodification:
Attach in-stream control BMP selection and evaluation to this WQMP
${ }^{6}$ Is Form 4.2-2 Item 11 less than or equal to 5\%: $\square \mathrm{Yes} \boxtimes \mathrm{No}$
If yes, HCOC performance criteria is achieved. If no, select one or more mitigation options below:
Demonstrate increase in time of concentration achieved by proposed LID site design, LID BMP, and additional on-site or off-site retention BMP.
BMP upstream of a waterbody segment with a potential HCOC may be used to demonstrate increased time of concentration through hydrograph attenuation (if so, show that the hydraulic residence time provided in BMP for a 2-year storm event is equal or greater than the addition time of concentration requirement in Form 4.2-4 Item 15)
$\square \quad$ Increase time of concentration by preserving pre-developed flow path and/or increase travel time by reducing slope and increasing cross-sectional area and roughness for proposed on-site conveyance facilities.
$\square$ Incorporate appropriate in-stream controls for downstream waterbody segment to prevent impacts due to hydromodification, in a plan approved and signed by a licensed engineer in the State of California.
${ }^{7}$ Form 4.2-2 Item 12 less than or equal to 5\%: $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No
If yes, HCOC performance criteria are achieved. If no, select one or more mitigation options below:
$\boxtimes$ Demonstrate reduction in peak runoff achieved by proposed LID site design, LID BMPs, and additional on-site or off-site retention BMPs.
BMPs upstream of a waterbody segment with a potential HCOC may be used to demonstrate additional peak runoff reduction through hydrograph attenuation (if so, attach to this WQMP, a hydrograph analysis showing how the peak runoff would be reduced during a 2-yr storm event)
$\square$ Incorporate appropriate in-stream controls for downstream waterbody segment to prevent impacts due to hydromodification, in a plan approved and signed by a licensed engineer in the State of California.
To meet HCOC requirements, a mitigation volume must be achieved by using LID and/or hydromodification mitigation BMPs. The mitigation volume required is approximately $48,830 \mathrm{cu}-\mathrm{ft}(0.95$ * 113,837-59,314). The total mitigation volume provided by the underground chambers and 61,398 cuft . As a result, the mitigation volume has been contained by the proposed BMPs. Since the mitigation volume has been met, it is physically impossible for the project to avoid increasing the time of concentration and reducing peak runoff by more than five percent of pre-development conditions (see Section 5.6.1 of the Technical Guidance Document for more information).

### 4.4 Alternative Compliance Plan (if applicable)

Describe an alternative compliance plan (if applicable) for projects not fully able to infiltrate, harvest and use, or biotreat the DCV via on-site LID practices. A project proponent must develop an alternative compliance plan to address the remainder of the LID DCV. Depending on project type some projects may qualify for water quality credits that can be applied to reduce the DCV that must be treated prior to development of an alternative compliance plan (see Form 2.4-1, Water Quality Credits). Form 4.3-9 Item 8 includes instructions on how to apply water quality credits when computing the DCV that must be met through alternative compliance. Alternative compliance plans may include one or more of the following elements:

- On-site structural treatment control BMP - All treatment control BMP should be located as close to possible to the pollutant sources and should not be located within receiving waters;
- Off-site structural treatment control BMP - Pollutant removal should occur prior to discharge of runoff to receiving waters;
- Urban runoff fund or In-lieu program, if available

Depending upon the proposed alternative compliance plan, approval by the executive officer may or may not be required (see Section 6 of the TGD for WQMP).

## Section 5 Inspection and Maintenance Responsibility for Post Construction BMP

All BMP included as part of the project WQMP are required to be maintained through regular scheduled inspection and maintenance (refer to Section 8, Post Construction BMP Requirements, in the TGD for WQMP). Fully complete Form 5-1 summarizing all BMP included in the WQMP. Attach additional forms as needed. The WQMP shall also include a detailed Operation and Maintenance Plan for all BMP and may require a Maintenance Agreement (consult the jurisdiction's LIP). If a Maintenance Agreement is required, it must also be attached to the WQMP.

| Form 5-1 BMP Inspection and Maintenance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| BMP | Responsible <br> Party(ies) | Inspection/Maintenance Activities Required | Minimum Frequency of <br> Activities |
| Drain Inserts | Owner | Visually inspect for defects and illegal dumping. Notify <br> proper authorities if illegal dumping has occurred. Using an <br> industrial vacuum, the collected materials shall be <br> removed from the filter basket and disposed of properly. <br> Inspect biosorb hydrocarbon boom and replace as <br> necessary. | Four times per year or <br> following any rain event that <br> would potentially accumulate <br> a large amount of debris in <br> the system. Replace boom <br> twice per year, at a a <br> minimum. |
| Underground <br> Infiltration <br> Chambers | Owner | The isolator rows shall be inspected and maintained by a <br> qualified technician and he/she will properly dispose of all <br> wastes and inspect for standing water. A manhole is <br> installed in order to inspect and maintain the inlet row. All <br> entry into the chamber system must be done per OSHA <br> codes to ensure operator and inspector safety. | The isolator rows shall be <br> inspected semi-annually (by <br> October 1st and February <br> 1st) and cleaned by water- <br> flush and vacuum when <br> solids accumulate to 3" <br> depth. Maintenance to be <br> conducted through service <br> contract with the vendor or <br> equally qualified contractor. |

## Section 6 WQMP Attachments

### 6.1 Site Plan and Drainage Plan

Include a site plan and drainage plan sheet set containing the following minimum information:

- Project location
- Site boundary
- Land uses and land covers, as applicable
- Suitability/feasibility constraints
- Structural Source Control BMP locations
- Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMP locations
- LID BMP details
- Drainage delineations and flow information
- Drainage connections

See Attachment C for WQMP Site Map.

### 6.2 Electronic Data Submittal

Minimum requirements include submittal of PDF exhibits in addition to hard copies. Format must not require specialized software to open. If the local jurisdiction re6quires specialized electronic document formats (consult the LIP), this section will describe the contents (e.g., layering, nomenclature, georeferencing, etc.) of these documents so that they may be interpreted efficiently and accurately.

### 6.3 Post Construction

Attach all O\&M Plans and Maintenance Agreements for BMP to the WQMP (Attachment D).

### 6.4 Other Supporting Documentation

- BMP Educational Materials (Attachment E)
- Infiltration-Soil Report (Attachment F)
- HCOC (Attachment G)
- Attachment H: BMP Operation \& Maintenance


## Attachment A <br> Existing Condition Site Photos




## Attachment B <br> BMP Design Calculations \& Supporting Documentation



PDS-based precipitation frequency estimates with $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ confidence intervals (in inches) ${ }^{1}$


${ }^{1}$ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).
Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the $90 \%$ confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration al Numbers in parentlesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the $90 \%$ confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (or for a
recurrence interval) witer the estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values
Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.
Estimates from the table in CSV format: Precipitation frequency estimates $\mathbf{V}$ Submit
Main Link Categories:
Home I OWP

```
US Department of Commerce
```

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Weather Service
Office of Water Prediction (OWP)
1325 East West Highway
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Page Author: HDSC webmaster
Page last modified: April 21, 2017

| Worksheet H: Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate Worksheet (DA 1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factor Category |  | Factor Description | Assigned <br> Weight (w) | Factor Value (v) | Product (p) $p=w \times v$ |
| A | Suitability Assessment | Soil assessment methods | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Predominant soil texture | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Site soil variability | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Depth to groundwater / impervious layer | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Suitability Assessment Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}=\Sigma \mathrm{p}$ |  |  | 1.00 |
| B | Design | Tributary area size | 0.25 | 2 | 0.50 |
|  |  | Level of pretreatment/ expected sediment loads | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Redundancy | 0.25 | 3 | 0.75 |
|  |  | Compaction during construction | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Design Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}=\Sigma \mathrm{p}$ |  |  | 1.75 |


| Combined Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {TOT }}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | 1.75 , use 2.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Measured Infiltration Rate, inch/hr, <br> (corrected for test-specific bias) | 5.2 |
| Design Infiltration Rate, in/hr, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{DESIGN}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathrm{S}_{\text {TOT }}$ | 2.6 |

## Supporting Data

Briefly describe infiltration test and provide reference to test forms:
A site-specific infiltration test was conducted to support an average measured infiltration rate of 5.2 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ (see notes below). The design rate is $2.6 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ after applying the appropriate safety factor. This design rate is suitable for infiltration facilities.

Notes:
T-17 resulted in $9.7 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ and ST-4 resulted in $0.7 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for an average rate of $5.2 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. Geotechnical/Soil Engineer recommends average when infiltrating bottom is sitting at 15' bgs (approximately 764.8 ELEV).

Note: The minimum combined adjustment factor shall not be less than 2.0 and the maximum combined adjustment factor shall not exceed 9.0.

| Worksheet H: Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate Worksheet (DA 2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factor Category |  | Factor Description | Assigned <br> Weight (w) | Factor Value (v) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Product (p) } \\ & p=w \times v \end{aligned}$ |
| A | Suitability Assessment | Soil assessment methods | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Predominant soil texture | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Site soil variability | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Depth to groundwater / impervious layer | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Suitability Assessment Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}=\Sigma \mathrm{p}$ |  |  | 1.00 |
| B | Design | Tributary area size | 0.25 | 2 | 0.50 |
|  |  | Level of pretreatment/ expected sediment loads | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Redundancy | 0.25 | 3 | 0.75 |
|  |  | Compaction during construction | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Design Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}=\Sigma \mathrm{p}$ |  |  | 1.75 |


| Combined Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {TOT }}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | 1.75 , use 2.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Measured Infiltration Rate, inch/hr, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}}$ <br> (corrected for test-specific bias) | 1.65 |
| Design Infiltration Rate, in/hr, $\mathrm{K}_{\text {DESIGN }}=\mathrm{K}_{\text {M }} / \mathrm{S}_{\text {TOT }}$ | 0.825 |

## Supporting Data

Briefly describe infiltration test and provide reference to test forms:
A site-specific infiltration test was conducted to support an average measured infiltration rate of $1.65 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ (see notes below). The design rate is $0.825 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ after applying the appropriate safety factor. This design rate is suitable for infiltration facilities.

Notes:
ST-2 resulted in $1.4 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ and ST-3 resulted in $1.9 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for an average rate of $1.65 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. Geotechnical/Soil Engineer recommends average when infiltrating bottom is sitting at approximately 754 ELEV.

Note: The minimum combined adjustment factor shall not be less than 2.0 and the maximum combined adjustment factor shall not exceed 9.0.

| Worksheet H: Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate Worksheet (DA 3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factor Category |  | Factor Description | Assigned Weight (w) | Factor Value (v) | Product (p) $p=w \times v$ |
| A | Suitability Assessment | Soil assessment methods | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Predominant soil texture | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Site soil variability | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Depth to groundwater / impervious layer | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Suitability Assessment Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}=\Sigma \mathrm{p}$ |  |  | 1.00 |
| B | Design | Tributary area size | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Level of pretreatment/ expected sediment loads | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Redundancy | 0.25 | 3 | 0.75 |
|  |  | Compaction during construction | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Design Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}=\Sigma \mathrm{p}$ |  |  | 1.50 |
| Combined Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {TOT }}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |  |  |  | 1.50, use 2.0 |  |
| Measured Infiltration Rate, inch/hr, Kм (corrected for test-specific bias) |  |  |  | 5.6 |  |
| Design Infiltration Rate, in/hr, $\mathrm{K}_{\text {dESIGN }}=\mathrm{K}_{\text {M }} / \mathrm{S}_{\text {TOT }}$ |  |  |  | 2.8 |  |

## Supporting Data

Briefly describe infiltration test and provide reference to test forms:
A site-specific infiltration test was conducted to support an average measured infiltration rate of 5.6 $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ (see notes below). The design rate is $2.8 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ after applying the appropriate safety factor. This design rate is suitable for infiltration facilities.

Notes:
T-1 resulted in $3.4 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ and ST-1 resulted in $7.8 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ for an average rate of $5.6 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$. Geotechnical/Soil Engineer recommends average when infiltrating bottom is sitting at approximately 754 ELEV.

Note: The minimum combined adjustment factor shall not be less than 2.0 and the maximum combined adjustment factor shall not exceed 9.0.

## VOLUME-BASED BMP DESIGN



Infiltration rate $=2.6 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {max }}=124.8$ inches $=$ Design infiltration rate $\times 48$ hours $=2.6 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr} \times 48 \mathrm{hrs}$ dвмp $=74.4$ inches $=[(12$ inches +24 inches $) \times 0.40]+60$ inches
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {max }}>\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{BMP}}$


## DA 2 - STC \#2

| Region |  | Valley | acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drainage Area (acres) |  | 5.65 |  |
| Drainage Area (sq-ft) |  | 246,114 | sq-ft |
| Impervious Coeff | $\mathrm{i}=$ | 0.95 | < 1.0 |
| Runoff Coeff | $\mathrm{C}=$ | 0.807 |  |
| 1-hr 2-yr from NOAA |  | 0.597 |  |
| P6 Coeff |  | 1.4807 |  |
| Mean 6-hr (P6) |  | 0.884 |  |
| Drawdown Rate (a) |  | 1.963 |  |
| DCV |  | 28,720 | cu-ft |
| DCV |  | 0.659 | acre-ft |

Infiltration rate $=0.825 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\max }=39.6$ inches $=$ Design infiltration rate $\times 48$ hours $=0.825 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr} \times 48 \mathrm{hrs}$ d $_{\text {BMP }}=34.8$ inches $=[(6$ inches +6 inches $) \times 0.40]+30$ inches
$d_{\text {max }}>d_{\text {BMP }}$


## DA 3 - STC \#3

| Region |  | Valley |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drainage Area (acres) |  | 0.85 |
| Drainage Area (sq-ft) |  | 37,026 |
| Impervious Coeff | $\mathrm{i}=$ | 0.95 |
| Runoff Coeff | $\mathrm{C}=$ | 0.807 |
| 1-hr 2-yr from NOAA |  | 0.597 |
| P6 Coeff |  | 1.4807 |
| Mean 6-hr (P6) |  | 0.884 |
| Drawdown Rate (a) |  | 1.963 |
| DCV |  | 4,321 |
| DCV |  | 0.099 |

Infiltration rate $=2.8 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$
$d_{\text {max }}=134.4$ inches $=$ Design infiltration rate $\times 48$ hours $=2.8 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr} \times 48 \mathrm{hrs}$ dвмр $=68.4$ inches $=[(12$ inches +9 inches $) x 0.40]+60$ inches
$d_{\text {max }}>$ dBMP


## Attachment C WQMP Site Map





CTr OF CHilio
WOMP STTE MAP

| EPARED Fori | Praparme br: |
| :---: | :---: |
|  NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660 PHONE: 949 ) $509-5000$ PHONE: 949) 509-5000 | $\checkmark$ OThienes Engineering, Inc呂 |

## Attachment D <br> WQMP and Stormwater BMP Transfer, Access and Maintenance Agreement

City Clerk
City of Chino
P.O. Box 667

Chino, CA 91708

## WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN AND STORMWATER BMP TRANSFER, ACCESS AND MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

## CITY OF CHINO, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

This Agreement is made and entered into this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019, by and between the CITY OF CHINO, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as CITY, and Alere Property Group, LLC hereinafter referred to as OWNER.

WHEREAS, the Owner is the legal property owner of the real property situated in the State of California, County of San Bernardino, located at County Road and East End Avenue in the City of
 No. $1016-281-002$ and -009 described in Exhibit A and depicted in Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference; and

WHEREAS, at the time of initial approval of the development project known as within the Property described herein, the City required the project to employ Best Management Practices, hereinafter referred to as "BMPs, " to minimize pollutants in urban runoff; and

WHEREAS, the Owner has chosen to install and/or implement BMPs as described in the Water Quality Management Plan, on file with the City, hereinafter referred to as "WQMP," to minimize pollutants in urban runoff and to minimize other adverse impacts of urban runoff; and

WHEREAS, said WQMP has been certified by the Owner and reviewed and approved by the City; and

WHEREAS, said BMPs, with installation and/or implementation on private property and draining only private property, are part of a private facility with all maintenance or replacement therefore, the sole responsibility of the Owner in accordance with the terms of this Agreement;

WHEREAS, the Owner is aware that periodic and continuous maintenance, including, but not necessarily limited to, filter material replacement and sediment removal, is required to assure peak performance of all BMPs in the WQMP and that, furthermore, such maintenance activity will require compliance with all Local, State, or Federal laws and regulations, including those pertaining to confined space and waste disposal methods, in effect at the time such maintenance occurs;

NOW THEREFORE, it is mutually stipulated and agreed as follows:

1. The Owner hereby provides the City or the City's designee complete access, of any duration, to the BMPs and their immediate vicinity at any time, upon reasonable notice, or in the event of emergency, as determined by City's Director of Public Works with no advance notice, for the purpose of inspection, sampling, testing of the BMPs, and in case of emergency, to undertake all necessary repairs or other preventative measures at the owner's expense as provided in paragraph 3 below. The City shall make every effort at all times to minimize or avoid interference with the Owner's use of the Property.
2. The Owner shall use its best efforts diligently to maintain all BMPs in a manner assuring peak performance at all times. All reasonable precautions shall be exercised by the Owner and the Owner's representative or contractor in the removal and extraction of any material(s) from the BMPs and the ultimate disposal of the material(s) in a manner consistent with all relevant laws and regulations in effect at the time. As may be requested from time to time by the City, the Owner shall provide the City with documentation identifying the material(s) removed, the quantity, and disposal destination.
3. In the event the Owner, or its successors or assigns, fails to accomplish the necessary maintenance contemplated by this Agreement, within five (5) days of being given written notice by the City, the City is hereby authorized to cause any maintenance necessary to be done and charge the entire cost and expense to the Owner or the Owner's successors or assigns, including administrative costs, attorneys fees and interest thereon at the maximum rate authorized by the Civil Code from the date of the notice of expense until paid in full.
4. The City may require the Owner to post security in form and for a time period satisfactory to the City to guarantee the performance of the obligations stated herein. Should the Owner fail to perform the obligations under this Agreement, the City may, in the case of a cash bond, act for the Owner using the proceeds from it, or in the case of a surety bond, require the sureties to perform the obligations of this Agreement. As an additional remedy, the Director may withdraw any previous stormwater-related approval with respect to the property on which BMPs have been installed and/or implemented until such time as the Owner repays to the City its reasonable costs incurred in accordance with paragraph 3 above.
5. This Agreement shall be recorded in the Office of the Recorder of San Bernardino County, California, at the expense of the Owner and shall constitute notice to all successors and assigns of the title to said Property of the obligation herein set forth, and also a lien in such amount as will fully reimburse the City, including interest as herein above set forth, subject to foreclosure in event of default in payment.
6. In event of legal action occasioned by any default or action of the Owner, or its successors or assigns, then the Owner and its successors or assigns agree(s) to pay all costs incurred by the City in enforcing the terms of this Agreement, including reasonable attorney's fees and costs, and that the same shall become a part of the lien against said Property.
7. It is the intent of the parties hereto that burdens and benefits herein undertaken shall constitute covenants that run with said Property and constitute a lien against the Property.
8. The obligations herein undertaken shall be binding upon the heirs, successors, executors, administrators and assigns of the parties hereto. The term "Owner" shall include not only the present Owner, but also its heirs, successors, executors, administrators, and assigns. The Owner shall notify any successor to title of all or part of the Property about the existence of this Agreement. The Owner shall provide such notice prior to such successor obtaining an interest in all or part of the Property. The Owner shall provide a copy of such notice to the City at the same time such notice is provided to the successor.
9. Time is of the essence in the performance of this Agreement.
10. Any notice to a party required or called for in this Agreement shall be served in person, or by deposit in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, to the address set forth below. Notice(s) shall be deemed effective upon receipt, or seventy-two (72) hours after deposit in the U.S. Mail, whichever is earlier. A party may change a notice address only by providing written notice thereof to the other party.

## IF TO CITY:

Amer Jakher
Director of Public Works
13220 Central Avenue

Chino, CA 91710

IF TO OWNER:
Clark Neuhoff

Vice President of Development
100 Bayview Circle, Suite 310
Newport Beach, CA 92660

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the parties hereto have affixed their signatures as of the date first written above.

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: (Signature Not Required)
City Attorney

City of Chino

By:
Matthew C. Ballantyne
City Manager

ATTEST:

Angela Robles, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:
$B y:$
Amer Jakher
Director of Public Works
City of Chino

Owner: $\qquad$
(Company)
$B y$ : $\qquad$
(Signature and Date)
Name: $\qquad$ (Please Print or Type Name)

Title: $\qquad$

NOTE: OWNER'S SIGNATURE MUST BE NOTARIZED FOR RECORDATION

## Attachment E Educational Materials
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## Description

Non-stormwater discharges are those flows that do not consist entirely of stormwater. Some non-stormwater discharges do not include pollutants and may be discharged to the storm drain. These include uncontaminated groundwater and natural springs. There are also some non-stormwater discharges that typically do not contain pollutants and may be discharged to the storm drain with conditions. These include car washing, air conditioner condensate, etc. However there are certain non-stormwater discharges that pose environmental concern. These discharges may originate from illegal dumping or from internal floor drains, appliances, industrial processes, sinks, and toilets that are connected to the nearby storm drainage system. These discharges (which may include: process waste waters, cooling waters, wash waters, and sanitary wastewater) can carry substances such as paint, oil, fuel and other automotive fluids, chemicals and other pollutants into storm drains. They can generally be detected through a combination of detection and elimination. The ultimate goal is to effectively eliminate nonstormwater discharges to the stormwater drainage system through implementation of measures to detect, correct, and enforce against illicit connections and illegal discharges of pollutants on streets and into the storm drain system and creeks.

## Approach

Initially the industry must make an assessment of nonstormwater discharges to determine which types must be eliminated or addressed through BMPs. The focus of the following approach is in the elimination of non-stormwater discharges.

Objectives

- Cover
- Contain
- Educate
- Reduce/Minimize
- Product Substitution


## Targeted Constituents

## Sediment

Nutrients
Trash
Metals
Bacteria
Oil and Grease
Organics


## Pollution Prevention

- Ensure that used oil, used antifreeze, and hazardous chemical recycling programs are being implemented. Encourage litter control.


## Suggested Protocols

Recommended Complaint Investigation Equipment

- Field Screening Analysis
- pH paper or meter
- Commercial stormwater pollutant screening kit that can detect for reactive phosphorus, nitrate nitrogen, ammonium nitrogen, specific conductance, and turbidity
- $\quad$ Sample jars
- Sample collection pole
- A tool to remove access hole covers
- Laboratory Analysis
- Sample cooler
- Ice
- Sample jars and labels
- Chain of custody forms
- Documentation
- Camera
- Notebook
- Pens
- Notice of Violation forms
- Educational materials


## General

- Develop clear protocols and lines of communication for effectively prohibiting nonstormwater discharges, especially those that are not classified as hazardous. These are often not responded to as effectively as they need to be.
- Stencil or demarcate storm drains, where applicable, to prevent illegal disposal of pollutants. Storm drain inlets should have messages such as "Dump No Waste Drains to Stream" stenciled or demarcated next to them to warn against ignorant or intentional dumping of pollutants into the storm drainage system.
- See SC44 Stormwater Drainage System Maintenance for additional information.


## Illicit Connections

- Locate discharges from the industrial storm drainage system to the municipal storm drain system through review of "as-built" piping schematics.
- Isolate problem areas and plug illicit discharge points.
- Locate and evaluate all discharges to the industrial storm drain system.


## Visual Inspection and Inventory

- Inventory and inspect each discharge point during dry weather.
- Keep in mind that drainage from a storm event can continue for a day or two following the end of a storm and groundwater may infiltrate the underground stormwater collection system. Also, non-stormwater discharges are often intermittent and may require periodic inspections.


## Review Infield Piping

- A review of the "as-built" piping schematic is a way to determine if there are any connections to the stormwater collection system.
- Inspect the path of floor drains in older buildings.


## Smoke Testing

- Smoke testing of wastewater and stormwater collection systems is used to detect connections between the two systems.
- During dry weather the stormwater collection system is filled with smoke and then traced to sources. The appearance of smoke at the base of a toilet indicates that there may be a connection between the sanitary and the stormwater system.


## Dye Testing

- A dye test can be performed by simply releasing a dye into either your sanitary or process wastewater system and examining the discharge points from the stormwater collection system for discoloration.


## TV Inspection of Drainage System

- TV Cameras can be employed to visually identify illicit connections to the industrial storm drainage system.


## Illegal Dumping

- Regularly inspect and clean up hot spots and other storm drainage areas where illegal dumping and disposal occurs.
- On paved surfaces, clean up spills with as little water as possible. Use a rag for small spills, a damp mop for general cleanup, and absorbent material for larger spills. If the spilled material is hazardous, then the used cleanup materials are also hazardous and must be sent to a certified laundry (rags) or disposed of as hazardous waste.
- Never hose down or bury dry material spills. Sweep up the material and dispose of properly.
- Use adsorbent materials on small spills rather than hosing down the spill. Remove the adsorbent materials promptly and dispose of properly.
- For larger spills, a private spill cleanup company or Hazmat team may be necessary.

Once a site has been cleaned:

- Post "No Dumping" signs with a phone number for reporting dumping and disposal.
- Landscaping and beautification efforts of hot spots may also discourage future dumping, as well as provide open space and increase property values.
- Lighting or barriers may also be needed to discourage future dumping.
- See fact sheet SC11 Spill Prevention, Control, and Cleanup.


## Inspection

- Regularly inspect and clean up hot spots and other storm drainage areas where illegal dumping and disposal occurs.
- Conduct field investigations of the industrial storm drain system for potential sources of non-stormwater discharges.
- Pro-actively conduct investigations of high priority areas. Based on historical data, prioritize specific geographic areas and/or incident type for pro-active investigations.


## Reporting

- A database is useful for defining and tracking the magnitude and location of the problem.
- Report prohibited non-stormwater discharges observed during the course of normal daily activities so they can be investigated, contained, and cleaned up or eliminated.
- Document that non-stormwater discharges have been eliminated by recording tests performed, methods used, dates of testing, and any on-site drainage points observed.
- Document and report annually the results of the program.
- Maintain documentation of illicit connection and illegal dumping incidents, including significant conditionally exempt discharges that are not properly managed.


## Training

- Training of technical staff in identifying and documenting illegal dumping incidents is required.
- Consider posting the quick reference table near storm drains to reinforce training.
- Train employees to identify non-stormwater discharges and report discharges to the appropriate departments.
- Educate employees about spill prevention and cleanup.
- Well-trained employees can reduce human errors that lead to accidental releases or spills. The employee should have the tools and knowledge to immediately begin cleaning up a spill should one occur. Employees should be familiar with the Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan.
- Determine and implement appropriate outreach efforts to reduce non-permissible nonstormwater discharges.
- Conduct spill response drills annually (if no events occurred to evaluate your plan) in cooperation with other industries.
- When a responsible party is identified, educate the party on the impacts of his or her actions.


## Spill Response and Prevention

- See SC11 Spill Prevention Control and Cleanup.


## Other Considerations

- Many facilities do not have accurate, up-to-date schematic drawings.


## Requirements

## Costs (including capital and operation \& maintenance)

- The primary cost is for staff time and depends on how aggressively a program is implemented.
- Cost for containment and disposal is borne by the discharger.
- Illicit connections can be difficult to locate especially if there is groundwater infiltration.
- Indoor floor drains may require re-plumbing if cross-connections to storm drains are detected.


## Maintenance (including administrative and staffing)

- Illegal dumping and illicit connection violations requires technical staff to detect and investigate them.


## Supplemental Information

## Further Detail of the BMP

## Illegal Dumping

- Substances illegally dumped on streets and into the storm drain systems and creeks include paints, used oil and other automotive fluids, construction debris, chemicals, fresh concrete, leaves, grass clippings, and pet wastes. All of these wastes cause stormwater and receiving water quality problems as well as clog the storm drain system itself.
- Establish a system for tracking incidents. The system should be designed to identify the following:
- Illegal dumping hot spots
- Types and quantities (in some cases) of wastes
- Patterns in time of occurrence (time of day/night, month, or year)
- Mode of dumping (abandoned containers, "midnight dumping" from moving vehicles, direct dumping of materials, accidents/spills)
- Responsible parties

One of the keys to success of reducing or eliminating illegal dumping is increasing the number of people at the facility who are aware of the problem and who have the tools to at least identify the incident, if not correct it. Therefore, train field staff to recognize and report the incidents.

What constitutes a "non-stormwater" discharge?

- Non-stormwater discharges to the stormwater collection system may include any water used directly in the manufacturing process (process wastewater), air conditioning condensate and coolant, non-contact cooling water, cooling equipment condensate, outdoor secondary containment water, vehicle and equipment wash water, sink and drinking fountain wastewater, sanitary wastes, or other wastewaters.


## Permit Requirements

- Facilities subject to stormwater permit requirements must include a certification that the stormwater collection system has been tested or evaluated for the presence of nonstormwater discharges. The State's General Industrial Stormwater Permit requires that nonstormwater discharges be eliminated prior to implementation of the facility's SWPPP.


## Performance Evaluation

- Review annually internal investigation results; assess whether goals were met and what changes or improvements are necessary.
- Obtain feedback from personnel assigned to respond to, or inspect for, illicit connections and illegal dumping incidents.


## References and Resources

California's Nonpoint Source Program Plan http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/nps/index.html
Clark County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual
http://www.co.clark.wa.us/pubworks/bmpman.pdf
King County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual http://dnr.metrokc.gov/wr/dss/spem.htm
Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program http://www.scvurppp.org
The Storm Water Managers Resource Center http://www.stormwatercenter.net/

## Spill Prevention, Control \& Cleanup SC-11
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## Description

Many activities that occur at an industrial or commercial site have the potential to cause accidental or illegal spills. Preparation for accidental or illegal spills, with proper training and reporting systems implemented, can minimize the discharge of pollutants to the environment.

Spills and leaks are one of the largest contributors of stormwater pollutants. Spill prevention and control plans are applicable to any site at which hazardous materials are stored or used. An effective plan should have spill prevention and response procedures that identify potential spill areas, specify material handling procedures, describe spill response procedures, and provide spill clean-up equipment. The plan should take steps to identify and characterize potential spills, eliminate and reduce spill potential, respond to spills when they occur in an effort to prevent pollutants from entering the stormwater drainage system, and train personnel to prevent and control future spills.

## Approach

## Pollution Prevention

- Develop procedures to prevent/mitigate spills to storm drain systems. Develop and standardize reporting procedures, containment, storage, and disposal activities, documentation, and follow-up procedures.
- Develop a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan. The plan should include:

Objectives

- Cover
- Contain
- Educate
- Reduce/Minimize
- Product Substitution


## Targeted Constituents

Sediment
Nutrients
Trash
Metals
Bacteria
Oil and Grease
Organics

## SC-11 Spill Prevention, Control \& Cleanup

- Description of the facility, owner and address, activities and chemicals present
- Facility map
- Notification and evacuation procedures
- Cleanup instructions
- Identification of responsible departments
- Identify key spill response personnel
- Recycle, reclaim, or reuse materials whenever possible. This will reduce the amount of process materials that are brought into the facility.


## Suggested Protocols (including equipment needs)

Spill Prevention

- Develop procedures to prevent/mitigate spills to storm drain systems. Develop and standardize reporting procedures, containment, storage, and disposal activities, documentation, and follow-up procedures.
- If consistent illegal dumping is observed at the facility:
- Post "No Dumping" signs with a phone number for reporting illegal dumping and disposal. Signs should also indicate fines and penalties applicable for illegal dumping.
- Landscaping and beautification efforts may also discourage illegal dumping.
- Bright lighting and/or entrance barriers may also be needed to discourage illegal dumping.
- Store and contain liquid materials in such a manner that if the tank is ruptured, the contents will not discharge, flow, or be washed into the storm drainage system, surface waters, or groundwater.
- If the liquid is oil, gas, or other material that separates from and floats on water, install a spill control device (such as a tee section) in the catch basins that collects runoff from the storage tank area.
- Routine maintenance:
- Place drip pans or absorbent materials beneath all mounted taps, and at all potential drip and spill locations during filling and unloading of tanks. Any collected liquids or soiled absorbent materials must be reused/recycled or properly disposed.
- Store and maintain appropriate spill cleanup materials in a location known to all near the tank storage area; and ensure that employees are familiar with the site's spill control plan and/or proper spill cleanup procedures.
- Sweep and clean the storage area monthly if it is paved, do not hose down the area to a storm drain.


## Spill Prevention, Control \& Cleanup SC-11

- Check tanks (and any containment sumps) daily for leaks and spills. Replace tanks that are leaking, corroded, or otherwise deteriorating with tanks in good condition. Collect all spilled liquids and properly dispose of them.
- Label all containers according to their contents (e.g., solvent, gasoline).
- Label hazardous substances regarding the potential hazard (corrosive, radioactive, flammable, explosive, poisonous).
- Prominently display required labels on transported hazardous and toxic materials (per US DOT regulations).
- Identify key spill response personnel.


## Spill Control and Cleanup Activities

- Follow the Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan.
- Clean up leaks and spills immediately.
- Place a stockpile of spill cleanup materials where it will be readily accessible (e.g., near storage and maintenance areas).
- On paved surfaces, clean up spills with as little water as possible. Use a rag for small spills, a damp mop for general cleanup, and absorbent material for larger spills. If the spilled material is hazardous, then the used cleanup materials are also hazardous and must be sent to a certified laundry (rags) or disposed of as hazardous waste. Physical methods for the cleanup of dry chemicals include the use of brooms, shovels, sweepers, or plows.
- Never hose down or bury dry material spills. Sweep up the material and dispose of properly.
- Chemical cleanups of material can be achieved with the use of adsorbents, gels, and foams. Use adsorbent materials on small spills rather than hosing down the spill. Remove the adsorbent materials promptly and dispose of properly.
- For larger spills, a private spill cleanup company or Hazmat team may be necessary.


## Reporting

- Report spills that pose an immediate threat to human health or the environment to the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- Federal regulations require that any oil spill into a water body or onto an adjoining shoreline be reported to the National Response Center (NRC) at 800-424-8802 (24 hour).
- Report spills to local agencies, such as the fire department; they can assist in cleanup.
- Establish a system for tracking incidents. The system should be designed to identify the following:
- Types and quantities (in some cases) of wastes
- Patterns in time of occurrence (time of day/night, month, or year)
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- Mode of dumping (abandoned containers, "midnight dumping" from moving vehicles, direct dumping of materials, accidents/spills)
- Responsible parties


## Training

- Educate employees about spill prevention and cleanup.
- Well-trained employees can reduce human errors that lead to accidental releases or spills:
- The employee should have the tools and knowledge to immediately begin cleaning up a spill should one occur.
- Employees should be familiar with the Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan.
- Employees should be educated about aboveground storage tank requirements. Employees responsible for aboveground storage tanks and liquid transfers should be thoroughly familiar with the Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan and the plan should be readily available.
- Train employees to recognize and report illegal dumping incidents.


## Other Considerations (Limitations and Regulations)

- State regulations exist for facilities with a storage capacity of 10,000 gallons or more of petroleum to prepare a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan (Health \& Safety Code Chapter 6.67).
- State regulations also exist for storage of hazardous materials (Health \& Safety Code Chapter 6.95), including the preparation of area and business plans for emergency response to the releases or threatened releases.
- Consider requiring smaller secondary containment areas (less than 200 sq . ft .) to be connected to the sanitary sewer, prohibiting any hard connections to the storm drain.


## Requirements

## Costs (including capital and operation \& maintenance)

- Will vary depending on the size of the facility and the necessary controls.
- Prevention of leaks and spills is inexpensive. Treatment and/or disposal of contaminated soil or water can be quite expensive.


## Maintenance (including administrative and staffing)

- This BMP has no major administrative or staffing requirements. However, extra time is needed to properly handle and dispose of spills, which results in increased labor costs.


## Spill Prevention, Control \& Cleanup SC-11

## Supplemental Information

## Further Detail of the BMP

## Reporting

Record keeping and internal reporting represent good operating practices because they can increase the efficiency of the facility and the effectiveness of BMPs. A good record keeping system helps the facility minimize incident recurrence, correctly respond with appropriate cleanup activities, and comply with legal requirements. A record keeping and reporting system should be set up for documenting spills, leaks, and other discharges, including discharges of hazardous substances in reportable quantities. Incident records describe the quality and quantity of non-stormwater discharges to the storm sewer. These records should contain the following information:

- Date and time of the incident
- Weather conditions
- Duration of the spill/leak/discharge
- Cause of the spill/leak/discharge
- Response procedures implemented
- Persons notified
- Environmental problems associated with the spill/leak/discharge

Separate record keeping systems should be established to document housekeeping and preventive maintenance inspections, and training activities. All housekeeping and preventive maintenance inspections should be documented. Inspection documentation should contain the following information:

- The date and time the inspection was performed
- Name of the inspector
- Items inspected
- Problems noted
- Corrective action required
- Date corrective action was taken

Other means to document and record inspection results are field notes, timed and dated photographs, videotapes, and drawings and maps.

## Aboveground Tank Leak and Spill Control

Accidental releases of materials from aboveground liquid storage tanks present the potential for contaminating stormwater with many different pollutants. Materials spilled, leaked, or lost from

## SC-11 Spill Prevention, Control \& Cleanup

tanks may accumulate in soils or on impervious surfaces and be carried away by stormwater runoff.

The most common causes of unintentional releases are:

- Installation problems
- Failure of piping systems (pipes, pumps, flanges, couplings, hoses, and valves)
- External corrosion and structural failure
- Spills and overfills due to operator error
- Leaks during pumping of liquids or gases from truck or rail car to a storage tank or vice versa

Storage of reactive, ignitable, or flammable liquids should comply with the Uniform Fire Code and the National Electric Code. Practices listed below should be employed to enhance the code requirements:

- Tanks should be placed in a designated area.
- Tanks located in areas where firearms are discharged should be encapsulated in concrete or the equivalent.
- Designated areas should be impervious and paved with Portland cement concrete, free of cracks and gaps, in order to contain leaks and spills.
- Liquid materials should be stored in UL approved double walled tanks or surrounded by a curb or dike to provide the volume to contain 10 percent of the volume of all of the containers or 110 percent of the volume of the largest container, whichever is greater. The area inside the curb should slope to a drain.
- For used oil or dangerous waste, a dead-end sump should be installed in the drain.
- All other liquids should be drained to the sanitary sewer if available. The drain must have a positive control such as a lock, valve, or plug to prevent release of contaminated liquids.
- Accumulated stormwater in petroleum storage areas should be passed through an oil/water separator.

Maintenance is critical to preventing leaks and spills. Conduct routine inspections and:

- Check for external corrosion and structural failure.
- Check for spills and overfills due to operator error.
- Check for failure of piping system (pipes, pumps, flanger, coupling, hoses, and valves).
- Check for leaks or spills during pumping of liquids or gases from truck or rail car to a storage facility or vice versa.


## Spill Prevention, Control \& Cleanup SC-11

- Visually inspect new tank or container installation for loose fittings, poor welding, and improper or poorly fitted gaskets.
- Inspect tank foundations, connections, coatings, and tank walls and piping system. Look for corrosion, leaks, cracks, scratches, and other physical damage that may weaken the tank or container system.
- Frequently relocate accumulated stormwater during the wet season.
- Periodically conduct integrity testing by a qualified professional.


## Vehicle Leak and Spill Control

Major spills on roadways and other public areas are generally handled by highly trained Hazmat teams from local fire departments or environmental health departments. The measures listed below pertain to leaks and smaller spills at vehicle maintenance shops.

In addition to implementing the spill prevention, control, and clean up practices above, use the following measures related to specific activities:

## Vehicle and Equipment Maintenance

- Perform all vehicle fluid removal or changing inside or under cover to prevent the run-on of stormwater and the runoff of spills.
- Regularly inspect vehicles and equipment for leaks, and repair immediately.
- Check incoming vehicles and equipment (including delivery trucks, and employee and subcontractor vehicles) for leaking oil and fluids. Do not allow leaking vehicles or equipment onsite.
- Always use secondary containment, such as a drain pan or drop cloth, to catch spills or leaks when removing or changing fluids.
- Immediately drain all fluids from wrecked vehicles.
- Store wrecked vehicles or damaged equipment under cover.
- Place drip pans or absorbent materials under heavy equipment when not in use.
- Use adsorbent materials on small spills rather than hosing down the spill.
- Remove the adsorbent materials promptly and dispose of properly.
- Promptly transfer used fluids to the proper waste or recycling drums. Don't leave full drip pans or other open containers lying around.
- Oil filters disposed of in trashcans or dumpsters can leak oil and contaminate stormwater. Place the oil filter in a funnel over a waste oil recycling drum to drain excess oil before disposal. Oil filters can also be recycled. Ask your oil supplier or recycler about recycling oil filters.
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- Store cracked batteries in a non-leaking secondary container. Do this with all cracked batteries, even if you think all the acid has drained out. If you drop a battery, treat it as if it is cracked. Put it into the containment area until you are sure it is not leaking.


## Vehicle and Equipment Fueling

- Design the fueling area to prevent the run-on of stormwater and the runoff of spills:
- Cover fueling area if possible.
- Use a perimeter drain or slope pavement inward with drainage to a sump.
- Pave fueling area with concrete rather than asphalt.
- If dead-end sump is not used to collect spills, install an oil/water separator.
- Install vapor recovery nozzles to help control drips as well as air pollution.
- Discourage "topping-off" of fuel tanks.
- Use secondary containment when transferring fuel from the tank truck to the fuel tank.
- Use adsorbent materials on small spills and general cleaning rather than hosing down the area. Remove the adsorbent materials promptly.
- Carry out all Federal and State requirements regarding underground storage tanks, or install above ground tanks.
- Do not use mobile fueling of mobile industrial equipment around the facility; rather, transport the equipment to designated fueling areas.
- Keep your Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan up-to-date.
- Train employees in proper fueling and cleanup procedures.


## Industrial Spill Prevention Response

For the purposes of developing a spill prevention and response program to meet the stormwater regulations, facility managers should use information provided in this fact sheet and the spill prevention/response portions of the fact sheets in this handbook, for specific activities. The program should:

- Integrate with existing emergency response/hazardous materials programs (e.g., Fire Department)
- Develop procedures to prevent/mitigate spills to storm drain systems
- Identify responsible departments
- Develop and standardize reporting procedures, containment, storage, and disposal activities, documentation, and follow-up procedures
- Address spills at municipal facilities, as well as public areas


## Spill Prevention, Control \& Cleanup SC-11

- Provide training concerning spill prevention, response and cleanup to all appropriate personnel


## References and Resources

California's Nonpoint Source Program Plan http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/nps/index.html
Clark County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual
http://www.co.clark.wa.us/pubworks/bmpman.pdf
King County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual http://dnr.metrokc.gov/wlr/dss/spem.htm
Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program http://www.scvurppp.org
The Stormwater Managers Resource Center http://www.stormwatercenter.net/

## Outdoor Loading/Unloading
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## Description

The loading/unloading of materials usually takes place outside on docks or terminals; therefore, materials spilled, leaked, or lost during loading/unloading may collect in the soil or on other surfaces and have the potential to be carried away by stormwater runoff or when the area is cleaned. Additionally, rainfall may wash pollutants from machinery used to unload or move materials. Implementation of the following protocols will prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to stormwater from outdoor loading/unloading of materials.

## Approach

Reduce potential for pollutant discharge through source control pollution prevention and BMP implementation. Successful implementation depends on effective training of employees on applicable BMPs and general pollution prevention strategies and objectives.

## Pollution Prevention

- Keep accurate maintenance logs to evaluate materials removed and improvements made.
- Park tank trucks or delivery vehicles in designated areas so that spills or leaks can be contained.
- Limit exposure of material to rainfall whenever possible.
- Prevent stormwater run-on.
- Check equipment regularly for leaks.

Objectives

- Cover
- Contain
- Educate
- Reduce/Minimize
- Product Substitution

Targeted Constituents

| Sediment | $\checkmark$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nutrients | $\checkmark$ |
| Trash |  |
| Metals | $\checkmark$ |
| Bacteria |  |
| Oil and Grease | $\mathbf{\checkmark}$ |
| Organics | $\mathbf{\checkmark}$ |

## Suggested Protocols

## Loading and Unloading - General Guidelines

- Develop an operations plan that describes procedures for loading and/or unloading.
- Conduct loading and unloading in dry weather if possible.
- Cover designated loading/unloading areas to reduce exposure of materials to rain.
- Consider placing a seal or door skirt between delivery vehicles and building to prevent exposure to rain.
- Design loading/unloading area to prevent stormwater run-on, which would include grading or berming the area, and position roof downspouts so they direct stormwater away from the loading/unloading areas.
- Have employees load and unload all materials and equipment in covered areas such as building overhangs at loading docks if feasible.
- Load/unload only at designated loading areas.
- Use drip pans underneath hose and pipe connections and other leak-prone spots during liquid transfer operations, and when making and breaking connections. Several drip pans should be stored in a covered location near the liquid transfer area so that they are always available, yet protected from precipitation when not in use. Drip pans can be made specifically for railroad tracks. Drip pans must be cleaned periodically, and drip collected materials must be disposed of properly.
- Pave loading areas with concrete instead of asphalt.
- Avoid placing storm drains in the area.
- Grade and/or berm the loading/unloading area to a drain that is connected to a deadend.


## Inspection

- Check loading and unloading equipment regularly for leaks, including valves, pumps, flanges and connections.
- Look for dust or fumes during loading or unloading operations.


## Training

- Train employees (e.g., fork lift operators) and contractors on proper spill containment and cleanup.
- Have employees trained in spill containment and cleanup present during loading/unloading.
- Train employees in proper handling techniques during liquid transfers to avoid spills.
- Make sure forklift operators are properly trained on loading and unloading procedures.


## Spill Response and Prevention

- Keep your Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan up-to-date.
- Contain leaks during transfer.
- Store and maintain appropriate spill cleanup materials in a location that is readily accessible and known to all and ensure that employees are familiar with the site's spill control plan and proper spill cleanup procedures.
- Have an emergency spill cleanup plan readily available.
- Use drip pans or comparable devices when transferring oils, solvents, and paints.


## Other Considerations (Limitations and Regulations)

- Space and time limitations may preclude all transfers from being performed indoors or under cover.
- It may not be possible to conduct transfers only during dry weather.


## Requirements

## Costs

Costs should be low except when covering a large loading/unloading area.

## Maintenance

- Conduct regular inspections and make repairs as necessary. The frequency of repairs will depend on the age of the facility.
- Check loading and unloading equipment regularly for leaks.
- Conduct regular broom dry-sweeping of area.


## Supplemental Information

## Further Detail of the BMP

Special Circumstances for Indoor Loading/Unloading of Materials
Loading or unloading of liquids should occur in the manufacturing building so that any spills that are not completely retained can be discharged to the sanitary sewer, treatment plant, or treated in a manner consistent with local sewer authorities and permit requirements.

- For loading and unloading tank trucks to above and below ground storage tanks, the following procedures should be used:
- The area where the transfer takes place should be paved. If the liquid is reactive with the asphalt, Portland cement should be used to pave the area.
- The transfer area should be designed to prevent run-on of stormwater from adjacent areas. Sloping the pad and using a curb, like a speed bump, around the uphill side of the transfer area should reduce run-on.
- The transfer area should be designed to prevent runoff of spilled liquids from the area. Sloping the area to a drain should prevent runoff. The drain should be connected to a dead-end sump or to the sanitary sewer. A positive control valve should be installed on the drain.
- For transfer from rail cars to storage tanks that must occur outside, use the following procedures:
- Drip pans should be placed at locations where spillage may occur, such as hose connections, hose reels, and filler nozzles. Use drip pans when making and breaking connections.
- Drip pan systems should be installed between the rails to collect spillage from tank cars.


## References and Resources

California's Nonpoint Source Program Plan http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/nps/index.html
Clark County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual
http://www.co.clark.wa.us/pubworks/bmpman.pdf
King County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual http://dnr.metrokc.gov/wlr/dss/spem.htm
Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program http://www.scvurppp.org
The Storm Water Managers Resource Center http://www.stormwatercenter.net/

## Description

Outside process equipment operations and maintenance can contaminate stormwater runoff. Activities, such as grinding, painting, coating, sanding, degreasing or parts cleaning, landfills and waste piles, solid waste treatment and disposal, are examples of process operations that can lead to contamination of stormwater runoff. Source controls for outdoor process equipment operations and maintenance include reducing the amount of waste created, enclosing or covering all or some of the equipment, installing secondary containment, and training employees.

## Approach

## Pollution Prevention

- Perform the activity during dry periods.
- Use non-toxic chemicals for maintenance and minimize or eliminate the use of solvents.


## Suggested Protocols

- Consider enclosing the activity in a building and connecting the floor drains to the sanitary sewer.
- Cover the work area with a permanent roof if possible.
- Minimize contact of stormwater with outside process equipment operations through berming and drainage routing (run-on prevention). If possible, connect process equipment area to public sewer or facility wastewater treatment system. Some municipalities require that secondary containment areas be connected to the sanitary sewer, prohibiting any hard connections to the storm drain.
- Dry clean the work area regularly.


## Training

- Train employees to perform the activity during dry periods only or substituting benign materials for more toxic ones.
- Train employee and contractors in proper techniques for spill containment and cleanup. Employees should have the tools and knowledge to immediately begin cleaning up a spill should one occur.


## Spill Response and Prevention

- Keep your Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan up-to-date.


## Objectives

- Cover
- Contain
- Educate
- Reduce/Minimize

| Targeted Constituents |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sediment | $\checkmark$ |
| Nutrients |  |
| Trash |  |
| Metals | $\checkmark$ |
| Bacteria |  |
| Oil and Grease | $\checkmark$ |
| Organics | $\checkmark$ |

- Have employees trained in emergency spill cleanup procedures present when dangerous waste, liquid chemicals, or other wastes are delivered.
- Place a stockpile of spill cleanup materials where it will be readily accessible.
- Prevent operator errors by using engineering safe guards and thus reducing accidental releases of pollutant.
- Inspect storage areas regularly for leaks or spills. Also check for structural failure, spills and overfills due to operator error, and/or failure of piping system.


## Other Considerations

- Providing cover may be expensive.
- Space limitations may preclude enclosing some equipment.
- Storage sheds often must meet building and fire code requirements.


## Requirements

## Costs

Costs vary depending on the complexity of the operation and the amount of control necessary for stormwater pollution control.

## Maintenance

- Conduct routine preventive maintenance, including checking process equipment for leaks.
- Clean the storm drain system regularly.


## Supplemental Information

## Further Detail of the BMP

Hydraulic/Treatment Modifications
If stormwater becomes polluted, it should be captured and treated. If you do not have your own process wastewater treatment system, consider discharging to the public sewer system. Use of the public sewer might be allowed under the following conditions:

- If the activity area is very small (less than a few hundred square feet), the local sewer authority may be willing to allow the area to remain uncovered with the drain connected to the public sewer.
- It may be possible under unusual circumstances to connect a much larger area to the public sewer, as long as the rate of stormwater discharges does not exceed the capacity of the wastewater treatment plant. The stormwater could be stored during the storm and then transferred to the public sewer when the normal flow is low, such as at night.

Industries that generate large volumes of process wastewater typically have their own treatment system and corresponding permit. These industries have the discretion to use their wastewater treatment system to treat stormwater within the constraints of their permit requirements for process treatment. It may also be possible for the industry to discharge the stormwater directly to an effluent outfall without treatment as long as the total loading of the discharged process
water and stormwater does not exceed the loading had a stormwater treatment device been used. This could be achieved by reducing the loading from the process wastewater treatment system. Check with your Regional Water Quality Control Board or local sewering agency, as this option would be subject to permit constraints and potentially regular monitoring.

## References and Resources

California's Nonpoint Source Program Plan http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/nps/index.html
Clark County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual
http://www.co.clark.wa.us/pubworks/bmpman.pdf
King County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual http://dnr.metrokc.gov/wlr/dss/spem.htm
Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program http://www.scvurppp.org
The Stormwater Managers Resource Center http://www.stormwatercenter.net
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## Description

Improper storage and handling of solid wastes can allow toxic compounds, oils and greases, heavy metals, nutrients, suspended solids, and other pollutants to enter stormwater runoff. The discharge of pollutants to stormwater from waste handling and disposal can be prevented and reduced by tracking waste generation, storage, and disposal; reducing waste generation and disposal through source reduction, reuse, and recycling; and preventing run-on and runoff.

## Approach

## Pollution Prevention

- Accomplish reduction in the amount of waste generated using the following source controls:
- Production planning and sequencing
- Process or equipment modification
- Raw material substitution or elimination
- Loss prevention and housekeeping
- Waste segregation and separation
- Close loop recycling
- Establish a material tracking system to increase awareness about material usage. This may reduce spills and minimize contamination, thus reducing the amount of waste produced.
- Recycle materials whenever possible.

Objectives

- Cover
- Contain
- Educate
- Reduce/Minimize
- Product Substitution


## Targeted Constituents

Sediment
Nutrients
Trash
Metals
Bacteria
Oil and Grease
Organics

## Suggested Protocols

## General

- Cover storage containers with leak proof lids or some other means. If waste is not in containers, cover all waste piles (plastic tarps are acceptable coverage) and prevent stormwater run-on and runoff with a berm. The waste containers or piles must be covered except when in use.
- Use drip pans or absorbent materials whenever grease containers are emptied by vacuum trucks or other means. Grease cannot be left on the ground. Collected grease must be properly disposed of as garbage.
- Check storage containers weekly for leaks and to ensure that lids are on tightly. Replace any that are leaking, corroded, or otherwise deteriorating.
- Sweep and clean the storage area regularly. If it is paved, do not hose down the area to a storm drain.
- Dispose of rinse and wash water from cleaning waste containers into a sanitary sewer if allowed by the local sewer authority. Do not discharge wash water to the street or storm drain.
- Transfer waste from damaged containers into safe containers.
- Take special care when loading or unloading wastes to minimize losses. Loading systems can be used to minimize spills and fugitive emission losses such as dust or mist. Vacuum transfer systems can minimize waste loss.


## Controlling Litter

- Post "No Littering" signs and enforce anti-litter laws.
- Provide a sufficient number of litter receptacles for the facility.
- Clean out and cover litter receptacles frequently to prevent spillage.


## Waste Collection

- Keep waste collection areas clean.
- Inspect solid waste containers for structural damage regularly. Repair or replace damaged containers as necessary.
- Secure solid waste containers; containers must be closed tightly when not in use.
- Do not fill waste containers with washout water or any other liquid.
- Ensure that only appropriate solid wastes are added to the solid waste container. Certain wastes such as hazardous wastes, appliances, fluorescent lamps, pesticides, etc., may not be disposed of in solid waste containers (see chemical/ hazardous waste collection section below).
- Do not mix wastes; this can cause chemical reactions, make recycling impossible, and complicate disposal.


## Good Housekeeping

- Use all of the product before disposing of the container.
- Keep the waste management area clean at all times by sweeping and cleaning up spills immediately.
- Use dry methods when possible (e.g., sweeping, use of absorbents) when cleaning around restaurant/food handling dumpster areas. If water must be used after sweeping/using absorbents, collect water and discharge through grease interceptor to the sewer.


## Chemical/Hazardous Wastes

- Select designated hazardous waste collection areas on-site.
- Store hazardous materials and wastes in covered containers and protect them from vandalism.
- Place hazardous waste containers in secondary containment.
- Make sure that hazardous waste is collected, removed, and disposed of only at authorized disposal areas.
- Stencil or demarcate storm drains on the facility's property with prohibitive message regarding waste disposal.


## Run-on/Runoff Prevention

- Prevent stormwater run-on from entering the waste management area by enclosing the area or building a berm around the area.
- Prevent waste materials from directly contacting rain.
- Cover waste piles with temporary covering material such as reinforced tarpaulin, polyethylene, polyurethane, polypropyleneor hypalon.
- Cover the area with a permanent roof if feasible.
- Cover dumpsters to prevent rain from washing waste out of holes or cracks in the bottom of the dumpster.
- Move the activity indoor after ensuring all safety concerns such as fire hazard and ventilation are addressed.


## Inspection

- Inspect and replace faulty pumps or hoses regularly to minimize the potential of releases and spills.
- Check waste management areas for leaking containers or spills.
- Repair leaking equipment including valves, lines, seals, or pumps promptly.


## Training

- Train staff in pollution prevention measures and proper disposal methods.
- Train employees and contractors in proper spill containment and cleanup. The employee should have the tools and knowledge to immediately begin cleaning up a spill should one occur.
- Train employees and subcontractors in proper hazardous waste management.


## Spill Response and Prevention

- Keep your Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan up-to-date.
- Have an emergency plan, equipment and trained personnel ready at all times to deal immediately with major spills
- Collect all spilled liquids and properly dispose of them.
- Store and maintain appropriate spill cleanup materials in a location known to all near the designated wash area.
- Ensure that vehicles transporting waste have spill prevention equipment that can prevent spills during transport. Spill prevention equipment includes:
- Vehicles equipped with baffles for liquid waste
- Trucks with sealed gates and spill guards for solid waste


## Other Considerations (Limitations and Regulations)

Hazardous waste cannot be reused or recycled; it must be disposed of by a licensed hazardous waste hauler.

## Requirements

## Costs

Capital and O\&M costs for these programs will vary substantially depending on the size of the facility and the types of waste handled. Costs should be low if there is an inventory program in place.

## Maintenance

- None except for maintaining equipment for material tracking program.


## Supplemental Information

## Further Detail of the BMP

## Land Treatment System

Minimize runoff of polluted stormwater from land application by:

- Choosing a site where slopes are under $6 \%$, the soil is permeable, there is a low water table, it is located away from wetlands or marshes, and there is a closed drainage system
- Avoiding application of waste to the site when it is raining or when the ground is saturated with water
- Growing vegetation on land disposal areas to stabilize soils and reduce the volume of surface water runoff from the site
- Maintaining adequate barriers between the land application site and the receiving waters (planted strips are particularly good)
- Using erosion control techniques such as mulching and matting, filter fences, straw bales, diversion terracing, and sediment basins
- Performing routine maintenance to ensure the erosion control or site stabilization measures are working


## Examples

The port of Long Beach has a state-of-the-art database for identifying potential pollutant sources, documenting facility management practices, and tracking pollutants.

## References and Resources

California's Nonpoint Source Program Plan http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/nps/index.html
Clark County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual
http://www.co.clark.wa.us/pubworks/bmpman.pdf
Solid Waste Container Best Management Practices - Fact Sheet On-Line Resources Environmental Health and Safety. Harvard University. 2002.

King County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual http://dnr.metrokc.gov/whr/dss/spem.htm
Pollution from Surface Cleaning Folder. 1996. Bay Area Stormwater Management Agencies Association (BASMAA). http://www.basmaa.org

Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program http://www.scvurppp.org
The Storm Water Managers Resource Center http://www.stormwatercenter.net/

## Description

Promote the use of less harmful products and products that contain little or no TMDL pollutants. Alternatives exist for most product classes including chemical fertilizers, pesticides, cleaning solutions, janitorial chemicals, automotive and paint products, and consumables (batteries, fluorescent lamps).

## Approach

Pattern a new program after the many established programs around the state and country. Integrate this best management practice as much as possible with existing programs at your facility.

Develop a comprehensive program based on:

- The "Precautionary Principle," which is an alternative to the "Risk Assessment" model that says it's acceptable to use a potentially harmful product until physical evidence of its harmful effects are established and deemed too costly from an environmental or public health perspective. For instance, a risk assessment approach might say it's acceptable to use a pesticide until there is direct proof of an environmental impact. The Precautionary Principle approach is used to evaluate whether a given product is safe, whether it is really necessary, and whether alternative products would perform just as well.
- Environmentally Preferable Purchasing Program to minimize the purchase of products containing hazardous ingredients used in the facility's custodial services, fleet maintenance, and facility maintenance in favor of using alternate products that pose less risk to employees and to the environment.
- Integrated Pest Management (IPM) or Less-Toxic Pesticide Program, which uses a pest management approach that minimizes the use of toxic chemicals and gets rid of pests by methods that pose a lower risk to employees, the public, and the environment.
- Energy Efficiency Program including no-cost and low-cost energy conservation and efficiency actions that can reduce both energy consumption and electricity bills, along with long-term energy efficiency investments.

Consider the following mechanisms for developing and implementing a comprehensive program:

- Policies


## Objectives

- Educate
- Reduce/Minimize
- Product Substitution

Targeted Constituents

| Sediment |
| :--- |
| Nutrients |
| Trash |
| Metals |
| Bacteria |
| Oil and Grease <br> Organics |



- Procedures
- Standard operating procedures (SOPs)
- Purchasing guidelines and procedures
- Bid packages (services and supplies)
- Materials
- Preferred or approved product and supplier lists
- Product and supplier evaluation criteria
- Training sessions and manuals
- Fact sheets for employees

Implement this BMP in conjunction with the Vehicle and Equipment Management fact sheets (SC20 - SC22) and SC41, Building and Grounds Maintenance.

## Training

- Employees who handle potentially harmful materials in the use of safer alternatives.
- Purchasing departments should be encouraged to procure less hazardous materials and products that contain little or no harmful substances or TMDL pollutants.


## Regulations

This BMP has no regulatory requirements. Existing regulations already encourage facilities to reduce the use of hazardous materials through incentives such as reduced:

- Specialized equipment storage and handling requirements,
- Storm water runoff sampling requirements,
- Training and licensing requirements, and
- Record keeping and reporting requirements.


## Equipment

- There are no major equipment requirements to this BMP.


## Limitations

- Alternative products may not be available, suitable, or effective in every case.


## Requirements

## Cost Considerations

- The primary cost is for staff time to: 1) develop new policies and procedures and 2) educate purchasing departments and employees who handle potentially harmful materials about the availability, procurement, and use of safer alternatives.
- Some alternative products may be slightly more expensive than conventional products.


## Supplemental Information

Employees and contractors / service providers can both be educated about safer alternatives by using information developed by a number of organizations including the references and resources listed below.

The following discussion provides some general information on safer alternatives. More specific information on particular hazardous materials and the available alternatives may be found in the references and resources listed below.

- Automotive products - Less toxic alternatives are not available for many automotive products, especially engine fluids. But there are alternatives to grease lubricants, car polishes, degreasers, and windshield washer solution. Rerefined motor oil is also available.
- Vehicle/Trailer lubrication - Fifth wheel bearings on trucks require routine lubrication. Adhesive lubricants are available to replace typical chassis grease.
- Cleaners - Vegetables-based or citrus-based soaps are available to replace petroleum-based soaps/detergents.
- Paint products - Water-based paints, wood preservatives, stains, and finishes are available.
- Pesticides - Specific alternative products or methods exist to control most insects, fungi, and weeds.
- Chemical Fertilizers - Compost and soil amendments are natural alternatives.
- Consumables - Manufacturers have either reduced or are in the process of reducing the amount of heavy metals in consumables such as batteries and fluorescent lamps. All fluorescent lamps contain mercury, however low-mercury containing lamps are now available from most hardware and lighting stores. Fluorescent lamps are also more energy efficient than the average incandescent lamp.
- Janitorial chemicals - Even biodegradable soap can harm fish and wildlife before it biodegrades. Biodegradable does not mean non-toxic. Safer products and procedures are available for floor stripping and cleaning, as well as carpet, glass, metal, and restroom cleaning and disinfecting.


## Examples

There are a number of business and trade associations, and communities with effective programs. Some of the more prominent are listed below in the references and resources section.

## References and Resources

Note: Many of these references provide alternative products for materials that typically are used inside and disposed to the sanitary sewer as well as alternatives to products that usually end up in the storm drain.

## General Sustainable Practices and Pollution Prevention Including PollutantSpecific Information

California Department of Toxic Substances Control (www.dtsc.ca.gov)
California Integrated Waste Management Board (www.ciwmb.ca.gov)
City of Santa Monica (www.santa-monica.org/environment)
City of Palo Alto (www.city.palo-alto.ca.us/cleanbay)
City and County of San Francisco, Department of the Environment
(www.ci.sf.ca.us/sfenvironment)
Earth 911 (www.earth911.org/master.asp)
Environmental Finance Center Region IX (www.greenstart.org/efc9)
Flex Your Power (www.flexyourpower.ca.gov)
GreenBiz.com (www.greenbiz.com)
Green Business Program (www.abag.org/bayarea/enviro/gbus/gb.html)
Pacific Industrial and Business Association (www.piba.org)
Sacramento Clean Water Business Partners (www.sacstormwater.org)
USEPA BMP fact sheet - Alternative products
(http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/menuofbmps/poll_2.cfm)
USEPA Region IX Pollution Prevention Program (www.epa.gov/regiono9/p2)
Western Regional Pollution Prevention Network (www.westp2net.org)

## Metals (mercury, copper)

National Electrical Manufacturers Association - Environment, Health and Safety (www.nema.org)

Sustainable Conservation (www.suscon.org)
Auto Recycling Project
Brake Pad Partnership

## Pesticides and Chemical Fertilizers

Bio-Integral Resource Center (www.birc.org)
California Department of Pesticide Regulation (www.cdpr.ca.gov)
University of California Statewide IPM Program (www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/default.html)

## Dioxins

Bay Area Dioxins Project (http://dioxin.abag.ca.gov/)


## Description

Stormwater runoff from building and grounds maintenance activities can be contaminated with toxic hydrocarbons in solvents, fertilizers and pesticides, suspended solids, heavy metals, abnormal pH , and oils and greases. Utilizing the protocols in this fact sheet will prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to stormwater from building and grounds maintenance activities by washing and cleaning up with as little water as possible, following good landscape management practices, preventing and cleaning up spills immediately, keeping debris from entering the storm drains, and maintaining the stormwater collection system.

## Approach

Reduce potential for pollutant discharge through source control pollution prevention and BMP implementation. Successful implementation depends on effective training of employees on applicable BMPs and general pollution prevention strategies and objectives.

## Pollution Prevention

- Switch to non-toxic chemicals for maintenance when possible.
- Choose cleaning agents that can be recycled.
- Encourage proper lawn management and landscaping, including use of native vegetation.

Objectives

- Cover
- Contain
- Educate
- Reduce/Minimize
- Product Substitution

Targeted Constituents

| Sediment | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nutrients | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Trash |  |
| Metals | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Bacteria | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Oil and Grease |  |
| Organics |  |
|  |  |

## SC-41 Building \& Grounds Maintenance

- Encourage use of Integrated Pest Management techniques for pest control.
- Encourage proper onsite recycling of yard trimmings.
- Recycle residual paints, solvents, lumber, and other material as much as possible.


## Suggested Protocols

Pressure Washing of Buildings, Rooftops, and Other Large Objects

- In situations where soaps or detergents are used and the surrounding area is paved, pressure washers must use a water collection device that enables collection of wash water and associated solids. A sump pump, wet vacuum or similarly effective device must be used to collect the runoff and loose materials. The collected runoff and solids must be disposed of properly.
- If soaps or detergents are not used, and the surrounding area is paved, wash runoff does not have to be collected but must be screened. Pressure washers must use filter fabric or some other type of screen on the ground and/or in the catch basin to trap the particles in wash water runoff.
- If you are pressure washing on a grassed area (with or without soap), runoff must be dispersed as sheet flow as much as possible, rather than as a concentrated stream. The wash runoff must remain on the grass and not drain to pavement.


## Landscaping Activities

- Dispose of grass clippings, leaves, sticks, or other collected vegetation as garbage, or by composting. Do not dispose of collected vegetation into waterways or storm drainage systems.
- Use mulch or other erosion control measures on exposed soils.


## Building Repair, Remodeling, and Construction

- Do not dump any toxic substance or liquid waste on the pavement, the ground, or toward a storm drain.
- Use ground or drop cloths underneath outdoor painting, scraping, and sandblasting work, and properly dispose of collected material daily.
- Use a ground cloth or oversized tub for activities such as paint mixing and tool cleaning.
- Clean paintbrushes and tools covered with water-based paints in sinks connected to sanitary sewers or in portable containers that can be dumped into a sanitary sewer drain. Brushes and tools covered with non-water-based paints, finishes, or other materials must be cleaned in a manner that enables collection of used solvents (e.g., paint thinner, turpentine, etc.) for recycling or proper disposal.
- Use a storm drain cover, filter fabric, or similarly effective runoff control mechanism if dust, grit, wash water, or other pollutants may escape the work area and enter a catch basin. This is particularly necessary on rainy days. The containment device(s) must be in place at the beginning of the work day, and accumulated dirty runoff and solids must be collected and disposed of before removing the containment device(s) at the end of the work day.
- If you need to de-water an excavation site, you may need to filter the water before discharging to a catch basin or off-site. If directed off-site, you should direct the water through hay bales and filter fabric or use other sediment filters or traps.
- Store toxic material under cover during precipitation events and when not in use. A cover would include tarps or other temporary cover material.


## Mowing, Trimming, and Planting

- Dispose of leaves, sticks, or other collected vegetation as garbage, by composting or at a permitted landfill. Do not dispose of collected vegetation into waterways or storm drainage systems.
- Use mulch or other erosion control measures when soils are exposed.
- Place temporarily stockpiled material away from watercourses and drain inlets, and berm or cover stockpiles to prevent material releases to the storm drain system.
- Consider an alternative approach when bailing out muddy water: do not put it in the storm drain; pour over landscaped areas.
- Use hand weeding where practical.


## Fertilizer and Pesticide Management

- Follow all federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing the use, storage, and disposal of fertilizers and pesticides and training of applicators and pest control advisors.
- Use less toxic pesticides that will do the job when applicable. Avoid use of copper-based pesticides if possible.
- Do not use pesticides if rain is expected.
- Do not mix or prepare pesticides for application near storm drains.
- Use the minimum amount needed for the job.
- Calibrate fertilizer distributors to avoid excessive application.
- Employ techniques to minimize off-target application (e.g., spray drift) of pesticides, including consideration of alternative application techniques.
- Apply pesticides only when wind speeds are low.
- Fertilizers should be worked into the soil rather than dumped or broadcast onto the surface.
- Irrigate slowly to prevent runoff and then only as much as is needed.
- Clean pavement and sidewalk if fertilizer is spilled on these surfaces before applying irrigation water.
- Dispose of empty pesticide containers according to the instructions on the container label.


## SC-41 Building \& Grounds Maintenance

- Use up the pesticides. Rinse containers, and use rinse water as product. Dispose of unused pesticide as hazardous waste.
- Implement storage requirements for pesticide products with guidance from the local fire department and County Agricultural Commissioner. Provide secondary containment for pesticides.


## Inspection

- Inspect irrigation system periodically to ensure that the right amount of water is being applied and that excessive runoff is not occurring. Minimize excess watering and repair leaks in the irrigation system as soon as they are observed.


## Training

- Educate and train employees on pesticide use and in pesticide application techniques to prevent pollution.
- Train employees and contractors in proper techniques for spill containment and cleanup.
- Be sure the frequency of training takes into account the complexity of the operations and the nature of the staff.


## Spill Response and Prevention

- Keep your Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan up-to-date.
- Place a stockpile of spill cleanup materials, such as brooms, dustpans, and vacuum sweepers (if desired) near the storage area where it will be readily accessible.
- Have employees trained in spill containment and cleanup present during the loading/unloading of dangerous wastes, liquid chemicals, or other materials.
- Familiarize employees with the Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan.
- Clean up spills immediately.


## Other Considerations

Alternative pest/weed controls may not be available, suitable, or effective in many cases.

## Requirements

## Costs

- Cost will vary depending on the type and size of facility.
- Overall costs should be low in comparison to other BMPs.


## Maintenance

Sweep paved areas regularly to collect loose particles. Wipe up spills with rags and other absorbent material immediately, do not hose down the area to a storm drain.

## Supplemental Information

## Further Detail of the BMP

Fire Sprinkler Line Flushing
Building fire sprinkler line flushing may be a source of non-stormwater runoff pollution. The water entering the system is usually potable water, though in some areas it may be non-potable reclaimed wastewater. There are subsequent factors that may drastically reduce the quality of the water in such systems. Black iron pipe is usually used since it is cheaper than potable piping, but it is subject to rusting and results in lower quality water. Initially, the black iron pipe has an oil coating to protect it from rusting between manufacture and installation; this will contaminate the water from the first flush but not from subsequent flushes. Nitrates, polyphosphates and other corrosion inhibitors, as well as fire suppressants and antifreeze may be added to the sprinkler water system. Water generally remains in the sprinkler system a long time (typically a year) and between flushes may accumulate iron, manganese, lead, copper, nickel, and zinc. The water generally becomes anoxic and contains living and dead bacteria and breakdown products from chlorination. This may result in a significant BOD problem and the water often smells. Consequently dispose fire sprinkler line flush water into the sanitary sewer. Do not allow discharge to storm drain or infiltration due to potential high levels of pollutants in fire sprinkler line water.

## References and Resources

California's Nonpoint Source Program Plan http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/nps/index.html
Clark County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual
http://www.co.clark.wa.us/pubworks/bmpman.pdf
King County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual http://dnr.metrokc.gov/wlr/dss/spem.htm
Mobile Cleaners Pilot Program: Final Report. 1997. Bay Area Stormwater Management Agencies Association (BASMAA). http://www.basmaa.org/

Pollution from Surface Cleaning Folder. 1996. Bay Area Stormwater Management Agencies Association (BASMAA). http://www.basmaa.org/

Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program http://www.scvurppp.org
The Storm Water Managers Resource Center http://www.stormwatercenter.net/


## Description

Modifications are common particularly at large industrial sites. The activity may vary from minor and normal building repair to major remodeling, or the construction of new facilities. These activities can generate pollutants including solvents, paints, paint and varnish removers, finishing residues, spent thinners, soap cleaners, kerosene, asphalt and concrete materials, adhesive residues, and old asbestos installation. Protocols in this fact sheet are intended to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to stormwater from building repair, remodeling, and construction by using soil erosion controls, enclosing or covering building material storage areas, using good housekeeping practices, using safer alternative products, and training employees.

## Approach

## Pollution Prevention

- Recycle residual paints, solvents, lumber, and other materials to the maximum extent practical.
- Buy recycled products to the maximum extent practical.
- Inform on-site contractors of company policy on these matters and include appropriate provisions in their contract to ensure certain proper housekeeping and disposal practices are implemented.

Objectives

- Cover
- Contain
- Educate
- Reduce/Minimize
- Recycle


## Targeted Constituents

| Sediment | $\checkmark$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nutrients |  |
| Trash | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Metals | $\checkmark$ |
| Bacteria |  |
| Oil and Grease | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Organics | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |

## SC-42 Building Repair and Construction

- Make sure that nearby storm drains are well marked to minimize the chance of inadvertent disposal of residual paints and other liquids.


## Suggested Protocols

## Repair \& Remodeling

- Follow BMPs identified in Construction BMP Handbook.
- Maintain good housekeeping practices while work is underway.
- Keep the work site clean and orderly. Remove debris in a timely fashion. Sweep the area.
- Cover materials of particular concern that must be left outside, particularly during the rainy season.
- Do not dump waste liquids down the storm drain.
- Dispose of wash water, sweepings, and sediments properly.
- Store materials properly that are normally used in repair and remodeling such as paints and solvents.
- Sweep out the gutter or wash the gutter and trap the particles at the outlet of the downspout if when repairing roofs, small particles have accumulated in the gutter. A sock or geofabric placed over the outlet may effectively trap the materials. If the downspout is tight lined, place a temporary plug at the first convenient point in the storm drain and pump out the water with a vactor truck, and clean the catch basin sump where you placed the plug.
- Properly store and dispose waste materials generated from construction activities. See Construction BMP Handbook.
- Clean the storm drain system in the immediate vicinity of the construction activity after it is completed.


## Painting

- Enclose painting operations consistent with local air quality regulations and OSHA.
- Local air pollution regulations may, in many areas of the state, specify painting procedures which if properly carried out are usually sufficient to protect water quality.
- Develop paint handling procedures for proper use, storage, and disposal of paints.
- Transport paint and materials to and from job sites in containers with secure lids and tied down to the transport vehicle.
- Test and inspect spray equipment prior to starting to paint. Tighten all hoses and connections and do not overfill paint containers.
- Mix paint indoors before using so that any spill will not be exposed to rain. Do so even during dry weather because cleanup of a spill will never be $100 \%$ effective.
- Transfer and load paint and hot thermoplastic away from storm drain inlets.
- Do not transfer or load paint near storm drain inlets.
- Plug nearby storm drain inlets prior to starting painting and remove plugs when job is complete when there is significant risk of a spill reaching storm drains.
- Cover nearby storm drain inlets prior to starting work if sand blasting is used to remove paint.
- Use a ground cloth to collect the chips if painting requires scraping or sand blasting of the existing surface. Dispose the residue properly.
- Cover or enclose painting operations properly to avoid drift.
- Clean the application equipment in a sink that is connected to the sanitary sewer if using water based paints.
- Capture all cleanup-water and dispose of properly.
- Dispose of paints containing lead or tributyl tin and considered a hazardous waste properly.
- Store leftover paints if they are to be kept for the next job properly, or dispose properly.
- Recycle paint when possible. Dispose of paint at an appropriate household hazardous waste facility.


## Training

Proper education of off-site contractors is often overlooked. The conscientious efforts of well trained employees can be lost by unknowing off-site contractors, so make sure they are well informed about what they are expected to do.

## Spill Response and Prevention

- Keep your Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan up-to-date.
- Place a stockpile of spill cleanup materials where it will be readily accessible.
- Clean up spills immediately.
- Excavate and remove the contaminated (stained) soil if a spill occurs on dirt.


## Limitations

- This BMP is for minor construction only. The State's General Construction Activity Stormwater Permit has more requirements for larger projects. The companion "Construction Best Management Practice Handbook" contains specific guidance and best management practices for larger-scale projects.
- Hazardous waste that cannot be reused or recycled must be disposed of by a licensed hazardous waste hauler.
- Be certain that actions to help stormwater quality are consistent with Cal- and Fed-OSHA and air quality regulations.


## SC-42 Building Repair and Construction

## Requirements

## Costs

These BMPs are generally low to modest in cost.

## Maintenance

N/A

## Supplemental Information

## Further Detail of the BMP

Soil/Erosion Control
If the work involves exposing large areas of soil, employ the appropriate soil erosion and control techniques. See the Construction Best Management Practice Handbook. If old buildings are being torn down and not replaced in the near future, stabilize the site using measures described in SC-40 Contaminated or Erodible Areas.

If a building is to be placed over an open area with a storm drainage system, make sure the storm inlets within the building are covered or removed, or the storm line is connected to the sanitary sewer. If because of the remodeling a new drainage system is to be installed or the existing system is to be modified, consider installing catch basins as they serve as effective "inline" treatment devices. See Treatment Control Fact Sheet TC-20 Wet Pond/Basin in Section 5 of the New Development and Redevelopment Handbook regarding design criteria. Include in the catch basin a "turn-down" elbow or similar device to trap floatables.

## References and Resources

California's Nonpoint Source Program Plan http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/nps/index.html
Clark County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual
http://www.co.clark.wa.us/pubworks/bmpman.pdf
King County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual http://dnr.metrokc.gov/wlr/dss/spem.htm
Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program http://www.scvurppp.org
The Storm Water Managers Resource Center http://www.stormwatercenter.net/

## Parking/Storage Area Maintenance SC-43



## Description

Parking lots and storage areas can contribute a number of substances, such as trash, suspended solids, hydrocarbons, oil and grease, and heavy metals that can enter receiving waters through stormwater runoff or non-stormwater discharges. The protocols in this fact sheet are intended to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants from parking/storage areas and include using good housekeeping practices, following appropriate cleaning BMPs, and training employees.

## Approach

The goal of this program is to ensure stormwater pollution prevention practices are considered when conducting activities on or around parking areas and storage areas to reduce potential for pollutant discharge to receiving waters. Successful implementation depends on effective training of employees on applicable BMPs and general pollution prevention strategies and objectives.

## Pollution Prevention

- Encourage alternative designs and maintenance strategies for impervious parking lots. (See New Development and Redevelopment BMP Handbook)
- Keep accurate maintenance logs to evaluate BMP implementation.

Objectives

- Cover
- Contain
- Educate
- Reduce/Minimize
- Product Substitution

Targeted Constituents

| Sediment | $\mathbf{\checkmark}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nutrients |  |
| Trash | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Metals | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Bacteria |  |
| Oil and Grease | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Organics | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |



## SC-43 Parking/Storage Area Maintenance

## Suggested Protocols

## General

- Keep the parking and storage areas clean and orderly. Remove debris in a timely fashion.
- Allow sheet runoff to flow into biofilters (vegetated strip and swale) and/or infiltration devices.
- Utilize sand filters or oleophilic collectors for oily waste in low quantities.
- Arrange rooftop drains to prevent drainage directly onto paved surfaces.
- Design lot to include semi-permeable hardscape.
- Discharge soapy water remaining in mop or wash buckets to the sanitary sewer through a sink, toilet, clean-out, or wash area with drain.


## Controlling Litter

- Post "No Littering" signs and enforce anti-litter laws.
- Provide an adequate number of litter receptacles.
- Clean out and cover litter receptacles frequently to prevent spillage.
- Provide trash receptacles in parking lots to discourage litter.
- Routinely sweep, shovel, and dispose of litter in the trash.


## Surface Cleaning

- Use dry cleaning methods (e.g., sweeping, vacuuming) to prevent the discharge of pollutants into the stormwater conveyance system if possible.
- Establish frequency of public parking lot sweeping based on usage and field observations of waste accumulation.
- Sweep all parking lots at least once before the onset of the wet season.
- Follow the procedures below if water is used to clean surfaces:
- Block the storm drain or contain runoff.
- Collect and pump wash water to the sanitary sewer or discharge to a pervious surface. Do not allow wash water to enter storm drains.
- Dispose of parking lot sweeping debris and dirt at a landfill.
- Follow the procedures below when cleaning heavy oily deposits:
- Clean oily spots with absorbent materials.
- Use a screen or filter fabric over inlet, then wash surfaces.


## Parking/Storage Area Maintenance SC-43

- Do not allow discharges to the storm drain.
- Vacuum/pump discharges to a tank or discharge to sanitary sewer.
- Appropriately dispose of spilled materials and absorbents.


## Surface Repair

- Preheat, transfer or load hot bituminous material away from storm drain inlets.
- Apply concrete, asphalt, and seal coat during dry weather to prevent contamination from contacting stormwater runoff.
- Cover and seal nearby storm drain inlets where applicable (with waterproof material or mesh) and manholes before applying seal coat, slurry seal, etc. Leave covers in place until job is complete and all water from emulsified oil sealants has drained or evaporated. Clean any debris from these covered manholes and drains for proper disposal.
- Use only as much water as necessary for dust control, to avoid runoff.
- Catch drips from paving equipment that is not in use with pans or absorbent material placed under the machines. Dispose of collected material and absorbents properly.


## Inspection

- Have designated personnel conduct inspections of parking facilities and stormwater conveyance systems associated with parking facilities on a regular basis.
- Inspect cleaning equipment/sweepers for leaks on a regular basis.


## Training

- Provide regular training to field employees and/or contractors regarding cleaning of paved areas and proper operation of equipment.
- Train employees and contractors in proper techniques for spill containment and cleanup.


## Spill Response and Prevention

- Keep your Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan up-to-date.
- Place a stockpile of spill cleanup materials where it will be readily accessible or at a central location.
- Clean up fluid spills immediately with absorbent rags or material.
- Dispose of spilled material and absorbents properly.


## Other Considerations

Limitations related to sweeping activities at large parking facilities may include high equipment costs, the need for sweeper operator training, and the inability of current sweeper technology to remove oil and grease.

## SC-43 Parking/Storage Area Maintenance

## Requirements

## Costs

Cleaning/sweeping costs can be quite large. Construction and maintenance of stormwater structural controls can be quite expensive as well.

## Maintenance

- Sweep parking lot regularly to minimize cleaning with water.
- Clean out oil/water/sand separators regularly, especially after heavy storms.
- Clean parking facilities regularly to prevent accumulated wastes and pollutants from being discharged into conveyance systems during rainy conditions.


## Supplemental Information

## Further Detail of the BMP

## Surface Repair

Apply concrete, asphalt, and seal coat during dry weather to prevent contamination from contacting stormwater runoff. Where applicable, cover and seal nearby storm drain inlets (with waterproof material or mesh) and manholes before applying seal coat, slurry seal, etc. Leave covers in place until job is complete and all water from emulsified oil sealants has drained or evaporated. Clean any debris from these covered manholes and drains for proper disposal. Only use only as much water as is necessary for dust control to avoid runoff.

## References and Resources

California's Nonpoint Source Program Plan http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/nps/index.html
Clark County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual
http://www.co.clark.wa.us/pubworks/bmpman.pdf
King County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual http://dnr.metrokc.gov/wlr/dss/spem.htm
Pollution from Surface Cleaning Folder. 1996. Bay Area Stormwater Management Agencies
Association (BASMAA). http://www.basmaa.org/
Oregon Association of Clean Water Agencies. Oregon Municipal Stormwater Toolbox for Maintenance Practices. June 1998.

## Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program http://www.scvurppp.org

The Storm Water Managers Resource Center http://www.stormwatercenter.net/


## Description

As a consequence of its function, the stormwater conveyance system collects and transports urban runoff and stormwater that may contain certain pollutants. The protocols in this fact sheet are intended to reduce pollutants reaching receiving waters through proper conveyance system operation and maintenance.

## Approach

## Pollution Prevention

Maintain catch basins, stormwater inlets, and other stormwater conveyance structures on a regular basis to remove pollutants, reduce high pollutant concentrations during the first flush of storms, prevent clogging of the downstream conveyance system, restore catch basins' sediment trapping capacity, and ensure the system functions properly hydraulically to avoid flooding.

## Suggested Protocols

Catch Basins/Inlet Structures

- Staff should regularly inspect facilities to ensure compliance with the following:
- Immediate repair of any deterioration threatening structural integrity.
- Cleaning before the sump is $40 \%$ full. Catch basins should be cleaned as frequently as needed to meet this standard.
- Stenciling of catch basins and inlets (see SC34 Waste Handling and Disposal).

Objectives

- Cover
- Contain
- Educate
- Reduce/Minimize


## Targeted Constituents

| Sediment | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nutrients |  |
| Trash | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Metals |  |
| Bacteria | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Oil and Grease |  |
| Organics |  |



- Clean catch basins, storm drain inlets, and other conveyance structures before the wet season to remove sediments and debris accumulated during the summer.
- Conduct inspections more frequently during the wet season for problem areas where sediment or trash accumulates more often. Clean and repair as needed.
- Keep accurate logs of the number of catch basins cleaned.
- Store wastes collected from cleaning activities of the drainage system in appropriate containers or temporary storage sites in a manner that prevents discharge to the storm drain.
- Dewater the wastes if necessary with outflow into the sanitary sewer if permitted. Water should be treated with an appropriate filtering device prior to discharge to the sanitary sewer. If discharge to the sanitary sewer is not allowed, water should be pumped or vacuumed to a tank and properly disposed. Do not dewater near a storm drain or stream.


## Storm Drain Conveyance System

- Locate reaches of storm drain with deposit problems and develop a flushing schedule that keeps the pipe clear of excessive buildup.
- Collect and pump flushed effluent to the sanitary sewer for treatment whenever possible.


## Pump Stations

- Clean all storm drain pump stations prior to the wet season to remove silt and trash.
- Do not allow discharge to reach the storm drain system when cleaning a storm drain pump station or other facility.
- Conduct routine maintenance at each pump station.
- Inspect, clean, and repair as necessary all outlet structures prior to the wet season.


## Open Channel

- Modify storm channel characteristics to improve channel hydraulics, increase pollutant removals, and enhance channel/creek aesthetic and habitat value.
- Conduct channel modification/improvement in accordance with existing laws. Any person, government agency, or public utility proposing an activity that will change the natural (emphasis added) state of any river, stream, or lake in California, must enter into a Steam or Lake Alteration Agreement with the Department of Fish and Game. The developer-applicant should also contact local governments (city, county, special districts), other state agencies (SWRCB, RWQCB, Department of Forestry, Department of Water Resources), and Federal Corps of Engineers and USFWS.


## Illicit Connections and Discharges

- Look for evidence of illegal discharges or illicit connections during routine maintenance of conveyance system and drainage structures:
- Is there evidence of spills such as paints, discoloring, etc?
- Are there any odors associated with the drainage system?
- Record locations of apparent illegal discharges/illicit connections?
- Track flows back to potential dischargers and conduct aboveground inspections. This can be done through visual inspection of upgradient manholes or alternate techniques including zinc chloride smoke testing, fluorometric dye testing, physical inspection testing, or television camera inspection.
- Eliminate the discharge once the origin of flow is established.
- Stencil or demarcate storm drains, where applicable, to prevent illegal disposal of pollutants. Storm drain inlets should have messages such as "Dump No Waste Drains to Stream" stenciled next to them to warn against ignorant or intentional dumping of pollutants into the storm drainage system.
- Refer to fact sheet SC-10 Non-Stormwater Discharges.


## Illegal Dumping

- Inspect and clean up hot spots and other storm drainage areas regularly where illegal dumping and disposal occurs.
- Establish a system for tracking incidents. The system should be designed to identify the following:
- Illegal dumping hot spots
- Types and quantities (in some cases) of wastes
- Patterns in time of occurrence (time of day/night, month, or year)
- Mode of dumping (abandoned containers, "midnight dumping" from moving vehicles, direct dumping of materials, accidents/spills)
- Responsible parties
- Post "No Dumping" signs in problem areas with a phone number for reporting dumping and disposal. Signs should also indicate fines and penalties for illegal dumping.
- Refer to fact sheet SC-10 Non-Stormwater Discharges.


## Training

- Train crews in proper maintenance activities, including record keeping and disposal.
- Allow only properly trained individuals to handle hazardous materials/wastes.
- Have staff involved in detection and removal of illicit connections trained in the following:
- OSHA-required Health and Safety Training (29 CFR 1910.120) plus annual refresher training (as needed).
- OSHA Confined Space Entry training (Cal-OSHA Confined Space, Title 8 and Federal OSHA 29 CFR 1910.146).
- Procedural training (field screening, sampling, smoke/dye testing, TV inspection).


## Spill Response and Prevention

- Investigate all reports of spills, leaks, and/or illegal dumping promptly.
- Clean up all spills and leaks using "dry" methods (with absorbent materials and/or rags) or dig up, remove, and properly dispose of contaminated soil.
- Refer to fact sheet SC-11 Spill Prevention, Control, and Cleanup.


## Other Considerations (Limitations and Regulations)

- Clean-up activities may create a slight disturbance for local aquatic species. Access to items and material on private property may be limited. Trade-offs may exist between channel hydraulics and water quality/riparian habitat. If storm channels or basins are recognized as wetlands, many activities, including maintenance, may be subject to regulation and permitting.
- Storm drain flushing is most effective in small diameter pipes (36-inch diameter pipe or less, depending on water supply and sediment collection capacity). Other considerations associated with storm drain flushing may include the availability of a water source, finding a downstream area to collect sediments, liquid/sediment disposal, and prohibition against disposal of flushed effluent to sanitary sewer in some areas.
- Regulations may include adoption of substantial penalties for illegal dumping and disposal.
- Local municipal codes may include sections prohibiting discharge of soil, debris, refuse, hazardous wastes, and other pollutants into the storm drain system.


## Requirements

## Costs

- An aggressive catch basin cleaning program could require a significant capital and O\&M budget.
- The elimination of illegal dumping is dependent on the availability, convenience, and cost of alternative means of disposal. The primary cost is for staff time. Cost depends on how aggressively a program is implemented. Other cost considerations for an illegal dumping program include:
- Purchase and installation of signs.
- Rental of vehicle(s) to haul illegally-disposed items and material to landfills.
- Rental of heavy equipment to remove larger items (e.g., car bodies) from channels.
- Purchase of landfill space to dispose of illegally-dumped items and material.
- Methods used for illicit connection detection (smoke testing, dye testing, visual inspection, and flow monitoring) can be costly and time-consuming. Site-specific factors, such as the level of impervious area, the density and ages of buildings, and type of land use will determine the level of investigation necessary.


## Maintenance

- Two-person teams may be required to clean catch basins with vactor trucks.
- Teams of at least two people plus administrative personnel are required to identify illicit discharges, depending on the complexity of the storm sewer system.
- Arrangements must be made for proper disposal of collected wastes.
- Technical staff are required to detect and investigate illegal dumping violations.


## Supplemental Information

## Further Detail of the BMP

## Storm Drain Flushing

Flushing is a common maintenance activity used to improve pipe hydraulics and to remove pollutants in storm drainage systems. Flushing may be designed to hydraulically convey accumulated material to strategic locations, such as an open channel, another point where flushing will be initiated, or the sanitary sewer and the treatment facilities, thus preventing resuspension and overflow of a portion of the solids during storm events. Flushing prevents "plug flow" discharges of concentrated pollutant loadings and sediments. Deposits can hinder the designed conveyance capacity of the storm drain system and potentially cause backwater conditions in severe cases of clogging.

Storm drain flushing usually takes place along segments of pipe with grades that are too flat to maintain adequate velocity to keep particles in suspension. An upstream manhole is selected to place an inflatable device that temporarily plugs the pipe. Further upstream, water is pumped into the line to create a flushing wave. When the upstream reach of pipe is sufficiently full to cause a flushing wave, the inflated device is rapidly deflated with the assistance of a vacuum pump, thereby releasing the backed up water and resulting in the cleaning of the storm drain segment.

To further reduce impacts of stormwater pollution, a second inflatable device placed well downstream may be used to recollect the water after the force of the flushing wave has dissipated. A pump may then be used to transfer the water and accumulated material to the sanitary sewer for treatment. In some cases, an interceptor structure may be more practical or required to recollect the flushed waters.

It has been found that cleansing efficiency of periodic flush waves is dependent upon flush volume, flush discharge rate, sewer slope, sewer length, sewer flow rate, sewer diameter, and population density. As a rule of thumb, the length of line to be flushed should not exceed 700 feet. At this maximum recommended length, the percent removal efficiency ranges between 65$75 \%$ for organics and $55-65 \%$ for dry weather grit/inorganic material. The percent removal efficiency drops rapidly beyond that. Water is commonly supplied by a water truck, but fire hydrants can also supply water. To make the best use of water, it is recommended that reclaimed water be used or that fire hydrant line flushing coincide with storm sewer flushing.

## References and Resources

California's Nonpoint Source Program Plan http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/nps/index.html
Clark County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual
http://www.co.clark.wa.us/pubworks/bmpman.pdf
Ferguson, B.K. 1991. Urban Stream Reclamation, p. 324-322, Journal of Soil and Water Conservation.

King County Storm Water Pollution Control Manual http://dnr.metrokc.gov/wlr/dss/spem.htm
Oregon Association of Clean Water Agencies. Oregon Municipal Stormwater Toolbox for Maintenance Practices. June 1998.

Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program http://www.scvurppp.org
The Storm Water Managers Resource Center http://www.stormwatercenter.net
United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2002. Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations Storm Drain System Cleaning. On line:
http://www.epa.gov/npdes/menuofbmps/poll 16.htm

## General Description

Drain inserts are manufactured filters or fabric placed in a drop inlet to remove sediment and debris. There are a multitude of inserts of various shapes and configurations, typically falling into one of three different groups: socks, boxes, and trays. The sock consists of a fabric, usually constructed of polypropylene. The fabric may be attached to a frame or the grate of the inlet holds the sock. Socks are meant for vertical (drop) inlets. Boxes are constructed of plastic or wire mesh. Typically a polypropylene "bag" is placed in the wire mesh box. The bag takes the form of the box. Most box products are one box; that is, the setting area and filtration through media occur in the same box. Some products consist of one or more trays or mesh grates. The trays may hold different types of media. Filtration media vary by manufacturer. Types include polypropylene, porous polymer, treated cellulose, and activated carbon.

## Inspection/Maintenance Considerations

Washout problems increase with rain intensity. Susceptibility of accumulated sediments to be re-suspended at low flow rates, can be corrected with an energy dissipater between gate and treatment areas.

| Inspection Activities | Suggested <br> Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| ■ Inspect for sediment buildup and proper <br> functioning. | At the beginning of the <br> wet season and after <br> significant storms |
| Verify that stormwater enters the unit and <br> does not leak around the perimeter. | After construction. |
| Maintenance Activities | Suggested <br> Frequency |
| Remove sediment as needed. | At the beginning of the <br> wet season and as <br> necessary |

Maintenance Concerns, Objectives, and Goals

- Sediment Removal


## Targeted Constituents

Sediment
$\checkmark$ Nutrients
$\checkmark$ Trash
$\checkmark$ Metals
Bacteria
」 Oil and Grease
$\checkmark$ Organics
Removal Effectiveness
See New Development and
Redevelopment Handbook-Section 5.



## Design Objectives

■ Maximize Infiltration
$\square$ Provide Retention
$\checkmark$ Slow Runoff
Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials

Contain Pollutants
Collect and Convey

## Description

Irrigation water provided to landscaped areas may result in excess irrigation water being conveyed into stormwater drainage systems.

## Approach

Project plan designs for development and redevelopment should include application methods of irrigation water that minimize runoff of excess irrigation water into the stormwater conveyance system.

## Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment. (Detached residential single-family homes are typically excluded from this requirement.)

## Design Considerations

## Designing New Installations

The following methods to reduce excessive irrigation runoff should be considered, and incorporated and implemented where determined applicable and feasible by the Permittee:

- Employ rain-triggered shutoff devices to prevent irrigation after precipitation.
- Design irrigation systems to each landscape area's specific water requirements.
- Include design featuring flow reducers or shutoff valves triggered by a pressure drop to control water loss in the event of broken sprinkler heads or lines.
- Implement landscape plans consistent with County or City water conservation resolutions, which may include provision of water sensors, programmable irrigation times (for short cycles), etc.

- Design timing and application methods of irrigation water to minimize the runoff of excess irrigation water into the storm water drainage system.
- Group plants with similar water requirements in order to reduce excess irrigation runoff and promote surface filtration. Choose plants with low irrigation requirements (for example, native or drought tolerant species). Consider design features such as:
- Using mulches (such as wood chips or bar) in planter areas without ground cover to minimize sediment in runoff
- Installing appropriate plant materials for the location, in accordance with amount of sunlight and climate, and use native plant materials where possible and/ or as recommended by the landscape architect
- Leaving a vegetative barrier along the property boundary and interior watercourses, to act as a pollutant filter, where appropriate and feasible
- Choosing plants that minimize or eliminate the use of fertilizer or pesticides to sustain growth
- Employ other comparable, equally effective methods to reduce irrigation water runoff.


## Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/ or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

## Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, J uly 2002.


## Design Objectives

Maximize Infiltration
Provide Retention
Slow Runoff
Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
, Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials

Contain Pollutants
Collect and Convey

## Description

Waste materials dumped into storm drain inlets can have severe impacts on receiving and ground waters. Posting notices regarding discharge prohibitions at storm drain inlets can prevent waste dumping. Storm drain signs and stencils are highly visible source controls that are typically placed directly adjacent to storm drain inlets.

## Approach

The stencil or affixed sign contains a brief statement that prohibits dumping of improper materials into the urban runoff conveyance system. Storm drain messages have become a popular method of alerting the public about the effects of and the prohibitions against waste disposal.

## Suitable Applications

Stencils and signs alert the public to the destination of pollutants discharged to the storm drain. Signs are appropriate in residential, commercial, and industrial areas, as well as any other area where contributions or dumping to storm drains is likely.

## Design Considerations

Storm drain message markers or placards are recommended at all storm drain inlets within the boundary of a development project. The marker should be placed in clear sight facing toward anyone approaching the inlet from either side. All storm drain inlet locations should be identified on the development site map.

## Designing New Installations

The following methods should be considered for inclusion in the project design and show on project plans:

- Provide stenciling or labeling of all storm drain inlets and catch basins, constructed or modified, within the project area with prohibitive language. Examples include "NO DUMPING
- DRAINS TO OCEAN" and/ or other graphical icons to discourage illegal dumping.
- Post signs with prohibitive language and/ or graphical icons, which prohibit illegal dumping at public access points along channels and creeks within the project area.

Note - Some local agencies have approved specific signage and/ or storm drain message placards for use. Consult local agency stormwater staff to determine specific requirements for placard types and methods of application.

## Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/ or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. If the project meets the definition of "redevelopment", then the requirements stated under " designing new installations" above should be included in all project design plans.

## Additional Information

Maintenance Considerations

- Legibility of markers and signs should be maintained. If required by the agency with jurisdiction over the project, the owner/ operator or homeowner's association should enter into a maintenance agreement with the agency or record a deed restriction upon the property title to maintain the legibility of placards or signs.


## Placement

- Signage on top of curbs tends to weather and fade.
- Signage on face of curbs tends to be worn by contact with vehicle tires and sweeper brooms.


## Supplemental Information <br> Examples

- Most MS4 programs have storm drain signage programs. Some MS4 programs will provide stencils, or arrange for volunteers to stencil storm drains as part of their outreach program.


## Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.


## Design Objectives

Maximize Infiltration
Provide Retention
Slow Runoff
Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
, Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
$\square$ Contain Pollutants
Collect and Convey

## Description

Several measures can be taken to prevent operations at maintenance bays and loading docks from contributing a variety of toxic compounds, oil and grease, heavy metals, nutrients, suspended solids, and other pollutants to the stormwater conveyance system.

## Approach

In designs for maintenance bays and loading docks, containment is encouraged. Preventative measures include overflow containment structures and dead-end sumps. However, in the case of loading docks from grocery stores and warehouse/ distribution centers, engineered infiltration systems may be considered.

## Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment.

## Design Considerations

Design requirements for vehicle maintenance and repair are governed by Building and Fire Codes, and by current local agency ordinances, and zoning requirements. The design criteria described in this fact sheet are meant to enhance and be consistent with these code requirements.

## Designing New Installations

Designs of maintenance bays should consider the following:

- Repair/ maintenance bays and vehicle parts with fluids should be indoors; or designed to preclude urban run-on and runoff.
- Repair/ maintenance floor areas should be paved with Portland cement concrete (or equivalent smooth impervious surface).
- Repair/ maintenance bays should be designed to capture all wash water leaks and spills. Provide impermeable berms, drop inlets, trench catch basins, or overflow containment structures around repair bays to prevent spilled materials and wash-down waters form entering the storm drain system. Connect drains to a sump for collection and disposal. Direct connection of the repair/ maintenance bays to the storm drain system is prohibited. If required by local jurisdiction, obtain an Industrial Waste Discharge Permit.
- Other features may be comparable and equally effective.

The following designs of loading/ unloading dock areas should be considered:

- Loading dock areas should be covered, or drainage should be designed to preclude urban run-on and runoff.
- Direct connections into storm drains from depressed loading docks (truck wells) are prohibited.
- Below-grade loading docks from grocery stores and warehouse/ distribution centers of fresh food items should drain through water quality inlets, or to an engineered infiltration system, or an equally effective alternative. Pre-treatment may also be required.
- Other features may be comparable and equally effective.


## Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/ or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

## Additional Information

Stormwater and non-stormwater will accumulate in containment areas and sumps with impervious surfaces. Contaminated accumulated water must be disposed of in accordance with applicable laws and cannot be discharged directly to the storm drain or sanitary sewer system without the appropriate permit.

## Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

## Description

Trash storage areas are areas where a trash receptacle (s) are located for use as a repository for solid wastes. Stormwater runoff from areas where trash is stored or disposed of can be polluted. In addition, loose trash and debris can be easily transported by water or wind into nearby storm drain inlets, channels, and/ or creeks. Waste handling operations that may be sources of stormwater pollution include dumpsters, litter control, and waste piles.

## Approach

This fact sheet contains details on the specific measures required to prevent or reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff associated with trash storage and handling. Preventative measures including enclosures, containment structures, and impervious pavements to mitigate spills, should be used to reduce the likelihood of contamination.

## Design Objectives

Maximize Infiltration
Provide Retention
Slow Runoff
Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
( Contain Pollutants
Collect and Convey

## Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment. (Detached residential single-family homes are typically excluded from this requirement.)

## Design Considerations

Design requirements for waste handling areas are governed by Building and Fire Codes, and by current local agency ordinances and zoning requirements. The design criteria described in this fact sheet are meant to enhance and be consistent with these code and ordinance requirements. Hazardous waste should be handled in accordance with legal requirements established in Title 22, California Code of Regulation.

Wastes from commercial and industrial sites are typically hauled by either public or commercial carriers that may have design or access requirements for waste storage areas. The design criteria in this fact sheet are recommendations and are not intended to be in conflict with requirements established by the waste hauler. The waste hauler should be contacted prior to the design of your site trash collection areas. Conflicts or issues should be discussed with the local agency.

## Designing New Installations

Trash storage areas should be designed to consider the following structural or treatment control BMPs:

- Design trash container areas so that drainage from adjoining roofs and pavement is diverted around the area(s) to avoid run-on. This might include berming or grading the waste handling area to prevent run-on of stormwater.
- Make sure trash container areas are screened or walled to prevent off-site transport of trash.
- Use lined bins or dumpsters to reduce leaking of liquid waste.
- Provide roofs, awnings, or attached lids on all trash containers to minimize direct precipitation and prevent rainfall from entering containers.
- Pave trash storage areas with an impervious surface to mitigate spills.
- Do not locate storm drains in immediate vicinity of the trash storage area.
- Post signs on all dumpsters informing users that hazardous materials are not to be disposed of therein.


## Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/ or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

## Additional Information

## Maintenance Considerations

The integrity of structural elements that are subject to damage (i.e., screens, covers, and signs) must be maintained by the owner/ operator. Maintenance agreements between the local agency and the owner/ operator may be required. Some agencies will require maintenance deed restrictions to be recorded of the property title. If required by the local agency, maintenance agreements or deed restrictions must be executed by the owner/ operator before improvement plans are approved.

## Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

## Attachment F Infiltration-Soil Report

# NorCal Engineering 

SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS
10641 HUMBOLT STREET LOS ALAMITOS, CA 90720
(562)799-9469 FAX (562)799-9459

August 9, 2019
Project Number 21191-19
Alere Property Group, LLC
100 Bayview Circle, Suite 310
Newport Beach, California 92660
Attn: Clark Neuhoff
RE: SUPPLEMENTAL SOIL INFILTRATION TESTING - Proposed Warehouse Building Development - Located at the Corner of County Road and East End Avenue, in the City of Chino, California

Dear Mr. Neuhoff:
Pursuant to your request, this firm has performed supplemental soil infiltration testing at the site. This work supplements earlier tests included in our report titled Geotechnical Investigation...., dated June 25, 2019, Project Number 21191-
19. The following report presents the findings of our supplemental testing.

## INFILTRATION TESTING

Four additional tests were excavated to determine the soil infiltration rate of the proposed infiltration/bio-retention systems. The test locations were excavated by backhoe to depths ranging from 11.5 to 16.5 feet below existing ground surface (bgs). Test locations and depths were provided by the design civil engineer, Thienes Engineering. Excavations were trimmed at 1:1 (horizontal to vertical) inclinations in order to provide safe entry into the excavations. No significant caving occurred to the depths of these test excavations. Logs of our test excavations are provided in Appendix A and locations shown on the attached Figure 1.

The infiltration test consisted of the double ring infiltration test per ASTM Method D 3385. The double ring infiltrometer method consists of driving two open cylinders, one inside the other, into the ground, partially filling the ring with water, and then maintaining the liquid at a constant level. The volume of liquid added to the inner ring, to maintain the liquid level constant is the measure of the volume of liquid that infiltrates into the soil.

The volume infiltrated during timed intervals is converted to an incremental infiltration velocity, usually expressed in centimeters per hour and/or inches per hour and plotted verses elapsed time. The maximum-steady state or average incremental infiltration velocity, depending on the purpose/application of the test is equivalent to the infiltration rate.

Water levels were maintained at a constant level in both the inner ring and annular space between rings throughout the test, to prevent flow of water from one ring to the other.

The volume of liquid used during each measured time interval was converted into an incremental infiltration velocity of both the inner ring in the annular space using the following equations:

For the inner ring calculated as follows:
Vir $=\Delta \operatorname{Vir} /(\operatorname{Air} \Delta t)$
where:
Vir $=$ inner ring incremental infiltration velocity, $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr}$
$\Delta \mathrm{Vir}=$ volume of water used during time interval to maintain constant head in the inner ring, $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$
Air $=$ internal area of the inner ting, $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\Delta t=$ time interval, hr

An average of the final readings obtained was used for design purposes in each of the basins. The testing data sheets are attached in Appendix B and summarized below.

The use of on-site disposal system by means of retention/infiltration basins appears to be geotechnically feasible for future development. The field infiltration rates given below may be utilized in the final basin design with a safety factor of 2.0 or greater.

| Test No. | Depth (feet bgs) | Soil Type | Infiltration Rate <br> $(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr})$ |  | $\underline{(\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr})}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12.0 |  | silty SAND | 19.5 | 7.8 |
| ST-1 | 14.5 | SILT w/sand | 3.5 | 1.4 |  |
| ST-2 | 16.5 | sandy SILT | 4.8 | 1.9 |  |
| ST-3 | 11.5 | SILT w/sand | 1.7 | 0.7 |  |

It is our opinion that the site is suitable for stormwater infiltration without increasing the potential of settlement of proposed and existing structures located 10 feet or more away from the system or adversely affecting retaining/basement walls located either on or adjacent to the subject site. In addition, the potential for hydro-consolidation and the susceptibility for any ground settlements are considered low. All systems shall meet the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (CRWQCB) requirements.

## CLOSURE

The recommendations and conclusions contained in this report are based upon the soil conditions uncovered in our test excavations. No warranty of the soil condition between our excavations is implied. NorCal Engineering should be notified for possible further recommendations if unexpected to unfavorable conditions are encountered during construction phase. It is the responsibility of the owner to ensure that all information within this report is submitted to the Architect and appropriate Engineers for the project.

This firm should have the opportunity to review the final plans ( 72 hours for review required) to verify that all our recommendations are incorporated. This report and all conclusions are subject to the review of the controlling authorities for the project.

This infiltration testing has been conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill exercised by members of our profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the Southern California area. No other warranty, expressed or implied is made.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted, NORCAL ENGINE Keith D. Tucker Project Engineer R.G.E. 841


Mark A. Burkholder Project Manager


## APPENDICES <br> (In order of appearance)

## Appendix A - Logs of Test Excavations <br> *Logs of Tests ST-1 to ST-4

Appendix B - Infiltration Test Results

## APPENDIX A

## NorCal Engineering

| MAJOR DIVISION |  |  | GRAPHIC SYMRII | LETTER sYMRII | TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COARSE GRAINED SOILS | GRAVEL <br> AND <br> GRAVELLY <br> solls | CLEAN GRAVELS (LITTLE OR NO FINES) |  | GW | WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL, SAND MIXTURES, LTTTLE OR NO FINES |
|  |  |  |  | GP | POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS. GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES |
|  | MORE THAN 50\% OF COAREE | GRAVELS WITH FINES | $17$ | GM | SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SANDSILT MIXTURES |
|  | FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE | (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINESI |  | GC | CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SANDCLAY MIXTURES |
|  | SAND AND SANDY SOILS <br> MORE THAN 50\% OF COARSE FRACTION PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE | CLEAN SAND <br> (LITTLE OR NO FINES) |  | SW | WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES |
| MORE THAN <br> $50 \%$ OF <br> MATERIAL <br> IS LARGER <br> THAN NO. <br> 200 SIEVE <br> SIZE |  |  |  | SP | POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES |
|  |  | SANDS WITH FINE (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF fines) |  | SM | SILTY SANDS, SAND-SILT MIXTURES |
|  |  |  |  | SC | CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-CLAY MIXTURES |
| FINE GRAINED SOILS | SILTS AND CLAYS | LIQUID LIMIT IFSS THAN EN |  | ML | INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY |
|  |  |  |  | CL | INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SLLTY CLAYS. LEAN CLAYS |
|  |  |  | - | OL | ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY |
| MORE THAN 50\% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE | SILTS AND CLAYS | LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50 |  | MH | INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS |
|  |  |  |  | CH | INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS |
|  |  |  |  | OH | ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS |
| HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS |  |  |  | PT | PEAT. HUMUSS, SWAMP SOLLS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS |

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS

## KEY:

Indicates 2.5-inch Inside Diameter. Ring Sample.
区 Indicates 2-inch OD Split Spoon Sample (SPT).
$\Delta$ Indicates Shelby Tube Sample.
II Indicates No Recovery.
Indicates SPT with 140\# Hammer 30 in . Drop.
$\square$ Indicates Bulk Sample.
Indicates Small Bag Sample.

- Indicates Non-Standard

Indicates Core Run.
COMPONENT PROPORTIONS

| DESCRIPTIVE TERMS | RANGE OF PROPORTION |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Trace | $1-5 \%$ |
| Few | $5-10 \%$ |
| Liltie | $10-20 \%$ |
| Some | $20-35 \%$ |
| And | $35-50 \%$ |

MOISTURE CONTENT

| COMPONENT | SIZE RANGE |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Boulders | Larger than 12 in |
| Cobbles | 3 in to 12 in |
| Gravel | 3 in to No $4(4.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Coarse gravel | 3 in to $3 / 4$ in |
| Fine gravel | $3 / 4$ in to No $4(4.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Sand | No. $4(4.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ to No. $200(0.074 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Coarse sand | No. $4(4.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ to No. $10(2.0 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Medium sand | No. $10(2.0 \mathrm{~mm})$ to No. $40(0.42 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Fine sand | No. $40(0.42 \mathrm{~mm})$ to No. $200(0.074 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Silt and Clav | Smaller than No. 200 $(0.074 \mathrm{~mm})$ |

- 

| DRY | Absence of moisture, dusty, <br> dry io the touch. <br> Sone perceptible <br> meisture; below oplimum <br> MOIST <br> No visible water, near optimum <br> moisture, content <br> VIsibie free water, usually <br> soil is below water table. |
| :--- | :--- |

RELATIVE DENSITY OR CONSISTENCY VERSUS SPT N -VALUE

| COHESIONLESS SOILS |  | COHESIVE SOILS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Density | $N$ (blows/t) | Consistency | N (blows/f) | Approximate Undrained Shear Strength (psf) |
| Very Loose <br> Loose <br> Medium Dense <br> Dense <br> Very Dense | 0 to 4 <br> 4 to 10 <br> 40 to 30 <br> 30 to 50 <br> over 50 | Very Soft Soft <br> Medium Sulff Stiff <br> Very Stiff Hard | 0102 2 to 4 4 lo 8 8 to 15 15 to 30 over 30 | $\begin{gathered} <250 \\ 250-500 \\ 500-1000 \\ 1000-2000 \\ 2000-4000 \\ >4000 \end{gathered}$ |






## APPENDIX B

## NorCal Engineering

## Project: Alere Property Group, LLC

Project No.: 21191-19
Date: 8/7/19
Test No. 1
Depth: 12'
Tested By: J.S. Jr

| $\begin{gathered} \text { TIME } \\ (\mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | CHANGE TIME (min) | CUMULATIVE TIME (min) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INNER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { READING } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INNER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { CHANGE } \end{aligned}$ | INNER RING fLOW (cc) | OUTER RING READING (cm) | OUTER RING Change | OUTER <br> RING <br> fLOW <br> (cc) | INNER RING INF RATE (cm/hr) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { INF } \\ & \text { RATE } \\ & (\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | INNER <br> RING <br> INF <br> RATE <br> (ft/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:06 |  |  | 98.8 |  |  | 38.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:16 | 10 | 10 | 102.5 | 3.7 |  | 42.0 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 9:16 |  |  | 102.5 |  |  | 42.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:26 | 10 | 20 | 105.8 | 3.3 |  | 45.8 | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 9:26 |  |  | 105.8 |  |  | 45.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:36 | 10 | 30 | 108.8 | 3.0 |  | 49.3 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 9:36 |  |  | 108.8 |  |  | 49.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:46 | 10 | 40 | 111.9 | 3.1 |  | 52.9 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 9:46 |  |  | 99.3 |  |  | 37.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:56 | 10 | 50 | 103.0 | 3.7 |  | 42.0 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 9:56 |  |  | 103.0 |  |  | 42.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:06 | 10 | 60 | 106.3 | 3.3 |  | 45.8 | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:06 |  |  | 106.3 |  |  | 45.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:16 | 10 | 70 | 109.6 | 3.3 |  | 49.3 | 3.5 |  | 19.8 | 21.0 |  |
| 10:16 |  |  | 109.6 |  |  | 49.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:26 | 10 | 80 | 112.8 | 3.2 |  | 52.9 | 3.6 |  | 19.2 | 21.6 |  |
| 10:26 |  |  | 98.5 |  |  | 36.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:36 | 10 | 90 | 101.9 | 3.4 |  | 40.0 | 3.5 |  | 20.4 | 21.0 |  |
| 10:36 |  |  | 101.9 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:46 | 10 | 100 | 105.3 | 3.4 |  | 43.5 | 3.5 |  | 20.4 | 21.0 |  |
| 10:46 |  |  | 105.3 |  |  | 43.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:56 | 10 | 110 | 108.4 | 3.1 |  | 47.1 | 3.6 |  | 18.6 | 21.6 |  |
| 10:56 |  |  | 108.4 |  |  | 47.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:06 | 10 | 120 | 111.5 | 3.1 |  | 50.7 | 3.6 |  | 18.6 | 21.6 |  |
| Average $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.8 | / 21.3 | /hr |

SOILS AND GEOTECIHNICAL CONSELIDANTS

| Project: Alere Property Group, LLC |
| :--- |
| Project No.: 21191-19 |
| Date: $8 / 7 / 19$ |
| Test No. 2 |
| Depth: $14.5^{\prime}$ |
| Tested By: J.S. Jr |


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TIME } \\ (\mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | CHANGE TIME (min) | CUMULATIVE time (min) | INNER RING READING $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INNER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { CHANGE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { INNER } \\ \text { RING } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (cc) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { CHANGE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { FLOW } \\ & \text { (cc) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { INNER } \\ \text { RING } \\ \text { INF } \\ \text { RATE } \\ (\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { INF } \\ & \text { RATE } \\ & (\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | INNER <br> RING <br> INF <br> RATE <br> ( $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:05 |  |  | 99.2 |  |  | 39.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 | 10 | 10 | 100.1 | 0.9 |  | 40.9 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  |  | 100.1 |  |  | 40.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:25 | 10 | 20 | 100.4 | 0.3 |  | 41.9 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:25 |  |  | 100.4 |  |  | 41.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:35 | 10 | 30 | 101.2 | 0.8 |  | 42.9 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:35 |  |  | 101.2 |  |  | 42.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:45 | 10 | 40 | 101.8 | 0.6 |  | 43.8 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:45 |  |  | 101.8 |  |  | 43.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:55 | 10 | 50 | 102.3 | 0.5 |  | 44.3 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:55 |  |  | 102.3 |  |  | 44.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:05 | 10 | 60 | 102.9 | 0.6 |  | 44.9 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1:05 |  |  | 102.9 |  |  | 44.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:15 | 10 | 70 | 103.5 | 0.6 |  | 45.4 | 0.5 |  | 3.6 | 3.0 |  |
| 1:15 |  |  | 103.5 |  |  | 45.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:25 | 10 | 80 | 104.0 | 0.5 |  | 46.0 | 0.6 |  | 3.0 | 3.6 |  |
| 1:25 |  |  | 104.0 |  |  | 46.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:35 | 10 | 90 | 104.5 | 0.5 |  | 46.7 | 0.7 |  | 3.0 | 4.2 |  |
| 1:35 |  |  | 104.5 |  |  | 46.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:45 | 10 | 100 | 105.1 | 0.6 |  | 47.3 | 0.6 |  | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |
| 1:45 |  |  | 99.0 |  |  | 40.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:55 | 10 | 110 | 99.7 | 0.7 |  | 40.9 | 0.6 |  | 4.2 | 3.6 |  |
| 1:55 |  |  | 99.7 |  |  | 40.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:05 | 10 | 120 | 100.3 | 0.6 |  | 41.6 | 0.7 |  | 3.6 | 4.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ave | age = | 3.5 | / 3.7 cm | /hr |

## Project: Alere Property Group, LLC

Project No.: 21191-19
Date: 8/7/19
Test No. 3
Depth: 16.5’
Tested By: J.S. Jr

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ | CHANGE TIME (min) | CUMULATIVE TIME (min) | INNER RING READING (em) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INNER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { CHANGE } \end{aligned}$ | INNER RING FLOW (cc) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { READING } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { CHANGE } \end{aligned}$ | OUTER <br> RING <br> flow <br> (cc) | INNER RING INF RATE (cm/hr) | OUTER RING INF RATE (cm/hr) | INNER <br> RING <br> INF <br> RATE <br> (ft/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:20 |  |  | 68.3 |  |  | 35.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:30 | 10 | 10 | 71.4 | 3.1 |  | 37.3 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 7:30 |  |  | 71.4 |  |  | 37.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:40 | 10 | 20 | 72.5 | 1.1 |  | 38.7 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 7:40 |  |  | 72.5 |  |  | 38.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:50 | 10 | 30 | 73.7 | 1.2 |  | 39.7 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 7:50 |  |  | 73.7 |  |  | 39.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00 | 10 | 40 | 74.4 | 0.7 |  | 41.0 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00 |  |  | 74.4 |  |  | 41.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:10 | 10 | 50 | 75.8 | 1.4 |  | 41.9 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 8:10 |  |  | 75.8 |  |  | 41.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:20 | 10 | 60 | 76.1 | 0.3 |  | 42.8 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 8:20 |  |  | 76.1 |  |  | 42.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:30 | 10 | 70 | 76.9 | 0.8 |  | 43.4 | 0.6 |  | 4.8 | 3.6 |  |
| 8:30 |  |  | 76.9 |  |  | 43.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:40 | 10 | 80 | 77.7 | 0.8 |  | 44.2 | 0.8 |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |
| 8:40 |  |  | 77.7 |  |  | 44.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:50 | 10 | 90 | 78.8 | 1.1 |  | 45.0 | 0.8 |  | 6.6 | 4.8 |  |
| 8:50 |  |  | 78.8 |  |  | 45.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:00 | 10 | 100 | 79.0 | 0.2 |  | 45.8 | 0.8 |  | 1.2 | 4.8 |  |
| 9:00 |  |  | 79.0 |  |  | 45.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:10 | 10 | 110 | 79.7 | 0.7 |  | 46.9 | 1.1 |  | 4.2 | 6.6 |  |
| 9:10 |  |  | 79.7 |  |  | 46.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:20 | 10 | 120 | 80.9 | 1.2 |  | 47.1 | 0.2 |  | 7.2 | 1.2 |  |
| Average = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 4.3 cm | /hr |

SOIIS AND GEOTECI-INICAL CONSULTANTS

| Project: Alere Property Group, LLC |
| :--- |
| Project No.: 21191-19 |
| Date: $8 / 7 / 19$ |
| Test No. 4 |
| Depth: 11.5' |
| Tested By: J.S. Jr |


| TIME <br> $(\mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{min})$ | CHANGE <br> TIME <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ | CUMULATIVE <br> TIME <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ | INNER <br> RING <br> READING <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | INNER <br> RING <br> CHANGE | INNER <br> RING <br> FLOW <br> $(\mathrm{cc})$ | OUTER <br> RING <br> READING <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | OUTER <br> RING <br> CHANGE | OUTER <br> RING <br> FLOW <br> $(\mathrm{cc})$ | INNER <br> RING <br> INF <br> RATE <br> $(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr})$ | OUTER <br> RING <br> INF <br> RATE <br> $(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr)}$ | INNER <br> RING <br> INF <br> RATE <br> $(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{hr)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ |  |  | 67.9 |  |  | 37.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10: 10$ | 10 | 10 | 67.9 | 0.0 |  | 37.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $10: 10$ |  |  | 67.9 |  |  | 37.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10: 20$ | 10 | 20 | 68.3 | 0.4 |  | 37.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $10: 20$ |  |  | 68.3 |  |  | 37.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10: 30$ | 10 | 30 | 68.5 | 0.2 |  | 37.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $10: 30$ |  |  | 68.5 |  |  | 37.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10: 40$ | 10 | 40 | 68.7 | 0.2 |  | 37.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $10: 40$ |  |  | 68.7 |  |  | 37.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10: 50$ | 10 | 50 | 69.0 | 0.3 |  | 38.0 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| $10: 50$ |  |  | 69.0 |  |  | 38.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $11: 00$ | 10 | 60 | 69.2 | 0.2 |  | 38.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| $11: 00$ |  |  | 69.2 |  |  | 38.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $11: 10$ | 10 | 70 | 69.4 | 0.2 |  | 38.4 | 0.3 |  | 1.2 | 1.8 |  |
| $11: 10$ |  |  | 69.4 |  |  | 38.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $11: 20$ | 10 | 80 | 69.6 | 0.5 |  | 38.6 | 0.2 |  | 3.0 | 1.2 |  |
| $11: 20$ |  |  | 69.6 |  |  | 38.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $11: 30$ | 10 | 90 | 69.8 | 0.3 |  | 38.9 | 0.3 |  | 1.8 | 1.8 |  |
| $11: 30$ |  |  | 69.8 |  |  | 38.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $11: 40$ | 10 | 100 | 70.0 | 0.2 |  | 39.1 | 0.2 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| $11: 40$ |  |  | 70.0 |  |  | 39.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $11: 50$ | 10 | 110 | 70.2 | 0.2 |  | 39.2 | 0.1 |  | 1.2 | 0.6 |  |
| $11: 50$ |  |  | 70.2 |  |  | 39.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12: 00$ | 10 | 120 | 70.5 | 0.3 |  | 39.4 | 0.2 |  | 1.8 | 1.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $A v e r a g e=$ | 1.7 | $1.3 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |  |  |
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Project Number 21191-19

Alere Property Group, LLC
100 Bayview Circle, Suite 310
Newport Beach, California 92660
Attn: Clark Neuhoff
RE: GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION - Proposed Warehouse Building Development - Located at the Corner of County Road and East End Avenue, in the City of Chino, California

## Dear Mr. Neuhoff:

Pursuant to your request, this firm has performed this Geotechnical Investigation for the above referenced project. The purpose of this investigation is to evaluate the geotechnical conditions of subject property and to provide recommendations for the proposed development. This geotechnical engineering report presents the findings of our study along with conclusions and recommendations for development.

### 1.0 STRUCTURAL CONSIDERATIONS

### 1.1 Proposed Development

It is currently proposed to construct four new concrete tilt-up structures totaling 267,848 square feet on the 12.85 -acre property. Asphaltic and concrete pavement areas and landscaping will also be installed. Grading for the development will include cut and fill procedures. Final building plans shall be reviewed by this firm prior to submittal for city approval to determine the need for any additional study and revised recommendations pertinent to the proposed development, if necessary.

### 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

2.1 Location: The property lies east and west of East End Avenue, north of County Road, in the City of Chino, as shown on the Vicinity Map, Figure 1. A railroad easement borders along the north and an open concrete-lined storm drain channel borders at the south of the small parcel easterly of East End Avenue. Another larger concrete lined channel extends along a portion of the west property line.
2.2 Existing Improvements: The west lot is occupied by several vacant single-family residential structures along County Road and a concrete block building. The north portion of the larger parcel is vacant with some surface vegetation and trees. The easterly lot is vacant with surface vegetation.
2.3 Drainage: The site topography is generally flat and drainage appears to be via sheetflow in south, east and west directions.

### 3.0 SEISMICITY EVALUATION

The proposed development lies outside of any Alquist Priolo Special Studies Zone and the potential for damage due to direct fault rupture is considered unlikely.

The following seismic design parameters are provided and are in accordance with the 2016 California Building Code (CBC) as determined using the ASCE 7 Hazard Tool (https://asce7hazardtool.online/) for the referenced project. Design map report from the website is included in Appendix A.

## Seismic Design Parameters

| Site Location - Region 1 | Latitude $34.0268^{\circ}$ Longitude -117.7255 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site Soil Class | D |
| Seismic Design Category | E |
| Risk Category | I/II/III |
| Maximum Spectral Response Acceleration | Ss $\quad 2.193 \mathrm{~g}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1} \quad 0.795 \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Adjusted Maximum Acceleration | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ms}} \quad 2.193 \mathrm{~g}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M} 1} \quad 1.192 \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Design Spectral Response Acceleration Parameters | SDS 1.462g |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1} \quad 0.795 \mathrm{~g}$ |

The Chino-Central Fault is located within 2 kilometers of the site and is capable of producing a Magnitude 6.7 earthquake. Ground shaking originating from earthquakes along other active faults in the region is expected to induce lower horizontal accelerations due to smaller anticipated earthquakes and/or greater distances to other faults.

### 4.0 LIQUEFACTION EVALUATION

Based upon review of the San Bernardino County - Land Use Services, Geologic Hazard Maps website (http://cms.sbcounty.gov/lus/Planning/ZoningOverlayMaps/GeologicHazard Maps.aspx), the site is not located in an area subject to liquefaction during a seismic event. In addition, due to the deep groundwater in the vicinity, liquefaction potential is very low.

### 5.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION

### 5.1 Site Exploration

The investigation consisted of the placement of eighteen (18) subsurface exploratory excavations by backhoe. Explorations extended to a maximum depth of 18.5 feet below current ground elevations and were placed at accessible locations throughout the site.

## NorCal Engineering

The explorations were visually classified and logged by a field engineer with locations of the excavations shown on the attached Figure 2. Detailed descriptions of the subsurface conditions are listed on the logs in Appendix B. It should be noted that the transition from one soil type to another as shown on the excavation logs is approximate and may in fact be a gradual transition. The soils encountered are described as follows:
_ $\quad$ Fill Soils- Fill soils and disturbed topsoils classifying as silty SAND with some gravel, and roots were encountered in the explorations to depths ranging from 6 to 18 inches. These soils were noted to be loose and variable in moisture content.

Native Soils - Native soils classifying as silty SAND to sandy SILT with some gravel were encountered beneath the upper fill soils. These soils were noted to be generally medium dense and damp to moist, although silt materials had very moist conditions in some of the excavation locations. Sand, silt and gravel content varied with depth of explorations.

### 5.2 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered in any of our test excavations. Historic high groundwater in the vicinity has been recorded greater than 100 feet below grade at nearby wells, as given on the California Department of Water Resources database http://www.water.ca.gov/waterdatalibrary/.

### 6.0 LABORATORY TESTS

Relatively undisturbed samples of the subsurface soils were obtained to perform laboratory testing and analysis for direct shear, consolidation tests, and to determine in-place moisture/densities. These relatively undisturbed ring samples were obtained by driving a thin-walled steel sampler lined with one-inch long brass rings with an inside diameter of 2.42 inches into the undisturbed soils.

Bulk bag samples were obtained in the upper soils for expansion index tests, corrosion tests, resistance value and maximum density tests. Wall loadings on the order of $4,000 \mathrm{lbs}$./lin.ft. and maximum compression loads on the order of 100 kips were utilized for testing and design purposes. All test results are included in Appendix C, unless otherwise noted.
6.1 Field moisture content (ASTM:D 2216-10) and the dry density of the ring samples were determined in the laboratory. This data is listed on the logs of explorations.
6.2 Maximum density tests (ASTM: D-1557-12) were performed on typical samples of the upper soils. Results of these tests are shown on Table I.
6.3 Expansion index tests (ASTM: D-4829-11) were performed on remolded samples of the upper soils to determine the expansive characteristics and to provide any necessary recommendations for reinforcement of the slabs-on-grade and the foundations. Results of these tests are provided on Table II and are discussed later in this report.
6.4 Direct shear tests (ASTM: D-3080-11) were performed on undisturbed and remolded samples of the subsurface soils. These tests were performed to determine parameters for the calculation of the allowable soil bearing capacity. The test is performed under saturated conditions at loads of $1,000 \mathrm{lbs} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$., 2,000 lbs./sq.ft., and 3,000 lbs./sq.ft. with results shown on Plates A-C.
6.5 Consolidation tests (ASTM: D-2435-11) were performed on remolded samples to determine the differential and total settlement which may be anticipated based upon the proposed loads. Water was added to the samples at a surcharge of one KSF and the settlement curves are plotted on Plates D-F.
6.6 Soluble sulfate, pH, Resistivity and Chloride tests to determine potential corrosive effects of soils on concrete and metal structures were performed in the laboratory. Test results are given in Tables III - VI and are discussed later in this report.
6.7 Resistance 'R' Value tests (CA 301) were conducted on a representative soil sample to determine preliminary pavement section design for the proposed pavement areas. Test results are provided in Table VII and recommended pavement sections are provided later within the text of this report.

## NorCal Engineering

### 7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon our evaluations, the proposed development is acceptable from a geotechnical engineering standpoint. By following the recommendations and guidelines set forth in our report, the structures and grading will be safe from excessive settlements under the anticipated design loadings and conditions. The proposed grading and development shall meet all requirements of the City Building Ordinance and will not impose any adverse effect on existing adjacent land or structures.

The following recommendations are based upon soil conditions encountered in our field investigation; these near-surface soil conditions could vary across the site. Variations in the soil conditions may not become evident until the commencement of grading operations for the proposed development and revised recommendations from the soils engineer may be necessary based upon the conditions encountered.

### 7.1 Site Grading Recommendations

It is recommended that site inspections be performed by a representative of this firm during all grading and construction of the development to verify the findings and recommendations documented in this report. Any unusual conditions which may be encountered in the course of the project development may require the need for additional study and revised recommendations.

Any vegetation and organic-laden soils shall be removed and hauled from proposed grading areas prior to and during the grading operations if encountered. Existing vegetation shall not be mixed or disced into the soils. Any removed soils may be reutilized as compacted fill once any deleterious material or oversized materials (in excess of eight inches) is removed. Grading operations shall be performed in accordance with the attached Specifications for Placement of Compacted Fill.

### 7.1.1 Removal and Recompaction Recommendations

The upper existing fill soils (18 inches) shall be removed to competent native materials, the exposed surface scarified to a depth of 8 inches, brought to within $2 \%$ of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of $90 \%$ of the laboratory standard (ASTM: D-1557-07) prior to placement of any additional compacted fill soils, concrete slabs and pavement. The upper 12 inches of soils beneath building pad and concrete paving shall be compacted to a minimum of 95\%. Grading shall extend a minimum of 5 horizontal feet outside the edges of foundations or equidistant to the depth of fill placed, whichever is greater. Care should be taken to provide or maintain adequate lateral support for all adjacent improvements and structures at all times during the grading operations and construction phase. Adequate drainage away from the structures, pavement and slopes should be provided at all times.

Due to some elevated moisture contents in the sandy SILT soils on-site, some aeration and blending of these soils, when encountered, to achieve proper moisture contents may be required.

## NorCal Engineering

It is likely that isolated areas of undiscovered fill not described in this report or materials disturbed during demolition operations will be encountered on site; if found, these areas should be treated as discussed earlier. A diligent search shall also be conducted during grading operations in an effort to uncover any underground structures, cesspools, septic tanks, irrigation or utility lines. If encountered, these structures and lines shall be either removed or properly abandoned prior to the proposed construction. Abandonment procedures will be provided once underground structures are encountered.

If placement of slabs-on-grade and pavement is not performed immediately upon completion of grading operations, additional testing and grading of the areas may be necessary prior to continuation of construction operations. Likewise, if adverse weather conditions occur which may damage the subgrade soils, additional assessment by the soils engineer as to the suitability of the supporting soils may be needed.

### 7.1.2 Fill Blanket Recommendations

Due to the potential for differential settlement of structures supported on both compacted fill and medium dense native soils, it is recommended that all foundations be underlain by a uniform compacted fill blanket at least 3 feet in thickness. The fill blanket shall extend a minimum of 5 horizontal feet outside the edges of foundations or equidistant to the depth of fill placed, whichever is greater.

Building floor slabs should be underlain by a minimum of 2 feet of compacted fill soils.

## NorCal Engineering

### 7.1.3 Shrinkage and Subsidence

Results of our in-place density tests reveal that the soil shrinkage will be on the order of 10 to $15 \%$ due to excavation and recompaction, based upon the assumption that the fill is compacted to $92 \%$ of the maximum dry density per ASTM standards. Subsidence should be up to 0.15 feet due to earthwork operations. The volume change does not include any allowance for vegetation or organic stripping, removal of subsurface improvements or topographic approximations.

Although these values are only approximate, they represent our best estimate of shrinkage values which will likely occur during grading. If more accurate shrinkage and subsidence factors are needed, it is recommended that field testing using the actual equipment and grading techniques should be conducted.

### 7.2 Temporary Excavations and Shoring Design

Temporary unsurcharged excavations less than 4 feet in height may be excavated at vertical inclinations. Excavations over 4 feet in height in the existing site materials may be trimmed at a 1 to 1 (horizontal to vertical) gradient for the entire height of the cut. In areas where soils with little or no binder are encountered, where adverse geological conditions are exposed, or where excavations are adjacent to existing structures, shoring, slotcutting, or flatter excavations may be required.

The temporary cut slope gradients given above do not preclude local raveling and sloughing. All excavations shall be made in accordance with the requirements of the soils engineer, CAL-OSHA and other public agencies having jurisdiction.

## NorCal Engineering

Temporary shoring design may utilize an active earth pressure of 25 pcf without any surcharge due to adjacent traffic, equipment or structures. The passive fluid pressures of 250 pcf may be doubled to 500 pcf for temporary design.

### 7.3 Foundation Design

All foundations may be designed utilizing the following allowable soil bearing capacities for an embedded depth of 18 inches into approved compacted fill materials with the corresponding widths. Footings shall not traverse from compacted fill to native soils due to the potential for differential settlement of structures.

## Allowable Soil Bearing Capacity (psf)

Width (ft)

| 1.5 | 2000 | 2500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.0 | 2100 | 2600 |
| 4.0 | 2400 | 2900 |
| 6.0 | 2800 | 3300 |

Continuous Foundation

2000
2100
2800

Isolated Foundation

2500
2600
2900
3300

Property line screen wall foundations where proper overexcavation and recompaction is not possible due to property line restrictions may be designed using a reduced allowable soil bearing capacity of $1,500 \mathrm{psf}$ for foundations a minimum of 18 inches in depth and at least 8 inches into the underlying medium dense native soils. A one-third increase may be used when considering short term loading from wind and seismic forces.

Steel reinforcement may be necessary due to soil expansion or proposed loadings and shall be further evaluated by the project engineers and/or architect. A representative of this firm shall observe foundation excavations prior placement of steel reinforcement and concrete.

### 7.4 Settlement Analysis

Resultant pressure curves for the consolidation tests are shown on Plates D-F. Computations utilizing these curves and the recommended allowable soil bearing capacities reveal that the foundations will experience normal settlements on the order of $3 / 4$ inch and differential settlements of less than $1 / 4$ inch.

### 7.5 Lateral Resistance

The following values may be utilized in resisting lateral loads imposed on the structure. Requirements of the California Building Code should be adhered to when the coefficient of friction and passive pressures are combined.

Coefficient of Friction - 0.40
Equivalent Passive Fluid Pressure $=250 \mathrm{lbs} . / c u . f t$.
Maximum Passive Pressure $=2,500 \mathrm{lbs} . / \mathrm{cu}$.ft.
The passive pressure recommendations are valid only for approved compacted fill soils or competent native ground.

### 7.6 Retaining Wall Design Parameters

Active earth pressures against retaining walls will be equal to the pressures developed by the following fluid densities. These values are for granular backfill material placed behind the walls at various ground slopes above the walls.

| Surface Slope of Retained Materials <br> (Horizontal to Vertical) | Equivalent Fluid <br> Density (lb./cu.ft.) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 to 1 | 30 |
| 4 to 1 | 35 |
| 3 to 1 | 38 |
| 2 to 1 | 40 |
| NorCal Engineering |  |

Any applicable short-term construction surcharges and seismic forces should be added to the above lateral pressure values. All walls shall be waterproofed as needed and protected from hydrostatic pressure by a reliable permanent subdrain system.

During a local Magnitude 6.7 earthquake along the Chino-Central fault zone, additional lateral pressures will occur along the back of walls retaining 6 feet or more of soil. The seismic-induced lateral soil pressure may be computed using a triangular pressure distribution with the maximum value at the top of the wall. The maximum lateral pressure of $(20 \mathrm{pcf}) \mathrm{H}$ where H is the height of the retained soils above the wall footing should be used in final design of retaining walls.

Sliding resistance values and passive fluid pressure values given in our previous report may be increased by $1 / 3$ during short-term wind and seismic loading conditions.

### 7.7 Floor Slab Design

Concrete floor slabs-on-grade shall be a minimum of 4 and 6 inches in thickness in office and warehouse areas, respectively, and may be placed upon fill soils compacted to a minimum of $95 \%$ relative compaction. Additional reinforcement requirements and an increase in thickness of the slabs-on-grade may be necessary based upon soils expansion potential and proposed loading conditions in the structures and should be evaluated further by the project engineers and/or architect.
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A vapor retarder should be utilized in areas which would be sensitive to the infiltration of moisture. This retarder shall meet requirements of ASTM E 96, Water Vapor Transmission of Materials and ASTM E 1745, Standard Specification for Water Vapor Retarders used in Contact with Soil or Granular Fill Under Concrete Slabs. The vapor retarder shall be installed in accordance with procedures stated in ASTM E 1643, Standard practice for Installation of Water Vapor Retarders used in Contact with Earth or Granular Fill Under Concrete Slabs.

The moisture retarder may be placed directly upon compacted subgrade, although 1 to 2 inches of sand beneath the membrane is desirable. The subgrade upon which the retarder is placed shall be smooth and free of rocks, gravel or other protrusions which may damage the retarder. Use of sand above the retarder is under the purview of the structural engineer; if sand is used over the retarder, it should be placed in a dry condition.

All concrete slab areas to receive floor coverings should be moisture tested to meet all manufacturer requirements prior to placement.

### 7.8 Expansive Soil

The upper soils at the site are very low (Expansion Index $=0-20$ ) in expansion potential. Sites with expansive soils (Expansion Index $>20$ ) require special attention during project design and maintenance. The attached Expansive Soil Guidelines should be reviewed by the engineers, architects, owner, maintenance personnel and other interested parties and considered during the design of the project and future property maintenance.

## NorCal Engineering

### 7.9 Utility Trench and Excavation Backfill

Trenches from installation of utility lines and other excavations may be backfilled with on-site soils or approved imported soils compacted to a minimum of $90 \%$ relative compaction. All utility lines shall be properly bedded and shaded with clean sand having a sand equivalency rating of 30 or more. This material shall be thoroughly water jetted around the pipe structure prior to placement of compacted backfill soils.

### 7.10 Corrosion Design Criteria

Representative samples of the surficial soils revealed negligible sulfate concentrations and no special concrete design recommendations are deemed necessary at this time. It is recommended that additional sulfate tests be performed at the completion of rough grading to assure that the as graded conditions are consistent with the recommendations stated in this design. Sulfate test results may be found on the attached Table III.

Tests were also conducted on a random representative sample of soils to determine the potential corrosive effects on buried metallic structures. Tests for pH , resistivity and chloride are included on Tables IV - VI. Soil pH indicates a slightly acidic condition. Resistivity is representative of mildly corrosive soils and metallic structures should be protected as necessary. Chloride content measured 236 ppm.

### 7.11 Preliminary Pavement Design

The table below provides a preliminary pavement design based upon a design R-Value of 50 for the proposed pavement areas. Final pavement design should be based on R-Value testing of the subgrade soils near the conclusion of rough grading to assure that the as-graded conditions are consistent with those used in this preliminary design.
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## On-Site Flexible (Asphaltic) Pavement Section Design

| Type of <br> Traffic | Traffic <br> Index | Inches <br> Asphalt | Inches <br> Base |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auto Parking/Circulation | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| Truck | 7.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
|  |  | 3.5 | 6.0 |  |

Subgrade soils to receive base material shall be compacted to a minimum of $90 \%$ relative compaction; base material shall be compacted to at least 95\%.

Any concrete slab-on-grade in automobile and truck pavement areas shall be a minimum of 5 and 6 inches, respectively, in thickness and may be placed on subgrade soils compacted to at least $95 \%$ relative compaction. An increase in slab thickness and placement of steel reinforcement due to loading conditions and soil expansion may be necessary and should be reviewed by the structural engineer.

The above recommendations are based upon estimated traffic loadings. Client should submit anticipated traffic loadings for the pavement areas to the soils engineer, when available, so that pavement sections may be reviewed to determine adequacy to support the proposed loadings.

### 8.0 INFILTRATION TESTING

Three test locations (T-1, T-4 and T-17) were excavated to determine the soil infiltration rate of the proposed infiltration/bio-retention systems. The test locations were excavated by backhoe to a depth of 15 feet below existing ground surface (bgs). Test locations and depth were provided by the design civil engineer. Excavations were trimmed at 1:1 (horizontal to vertical) inclinations in order to provide safe entry into the excavations. No significant caving occurred to the depths of these test excavations

The infiltration test consisted of the double ring infiltration test per ASTM Method D 3385. The double ring infiltrometer method consists of driving two open cylinders, one inside the other, into the ground, partially filling the ring with water, and then maintaining the liquid at a constant level. The volume of liquid added to the inner ring, to maintain the liquid level constant is the measure of the volume of liquid that infiltrates into the soil.

The volume infiltrated during timed intervals is converted to an incremental infiltration velocity, usually expressed in centimeters per hour or inches per hour and plotted verses elapsed time. The maximum-steady state or average incremental infiltration velocity, depending on the purpose/application of the test is equivalent to the infiltration rate.

Water levels were maintained at a constant level in both the inner ring and annular space between rings throughout the test, to prevent flow of water from one ring to the other.

The volume of liquid used during each measured time interval was converted into an incremental infiltration velocity of both the inner ring in the annular space using the following equations:

For the inner ring calculated as follows:
$\operatorname{Vir}=\Delta \operatorname{Vir} /(\operatorname{Air} \Delta \mathrm{t})$
where:
Vir $=$ inner ring incremental infiltration velocity, $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr}$
$\Delta$ Vir $=$ volume of water used during time interval to maintain constant head in the inner ring, $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$
Air $=$ internal area of the inner ting, $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\Delta t=$ time interval, hr
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An average of the final readings obtained was used for design purposes in each of the basins. The testing data sheets are attached in Appendix $D$ and summarized below.

The use of on-site disposal system by means of retention/infiltration basins appears to be geotechnically feasible for future development. The field infiltration rates given below may be utilized in the final basin design with a safety factor of 2.0 or greater.

| Test No. | Depth (feet bgs) | Soil Type | Infiltration Rate <br> $(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr})$ |  | (in/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T-1 | 15.0 |  | sandy SILT | 8.4 | 3.4 |
| T-4 | 15.0 | sandy SILT | 2.6 | 1.0 |  |
| T-17 | 15.0 | silty Sand | 24.2 | 9.7 |  |

It is our opinion that the site is suitable for stormwater infiltration without increasing the potential of settlement of proposed and existing structures located 10 feet or more away from the system or adversely affecting retaining/basement walls located either on or adjacent to the subject site. In addition, the potential for hydro-consolidation and the susceptibility for any ground settlements are considered low. All systems shall meet the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (CRWQCB) requirements.

## NorCal Engineering

### 9.0 CLOSURE

The recommendations and conclusions contained in this report are based upon the soil conditions uncovered in our test excavations. No warranty of the soil condition between our excavations is implied. NorCal Engineering should be notified for possible further recommendations if unexpected to unfavorable conditions are encountered during construction phase. It is the responsibility of the owner to ensure that all information within this report is submitted to the Architect and appropriate Engineers for the project.

This firm should have the opportunity to review the final plans ( 72 hours for review required) to verify that all our recommendations are incorporated. This report and all conclusions are subject to the review of the controlling authorities for the project.

A preconstruction conference should be held between the developer, general contractor, grading contractor, city inspector, architect, and soil engineer to clarify any questions relating to the grading operations and subsequent construction. Our representative should be present during the grading operations and construction phase to certify that such recommendations are complied within the field.

This geotechnical investigation has been conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill exercised by members of our profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the Southern California area. No other warranty, expressed or implied is made.
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We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted, NORCAL ENGINEERIN



Mark A. Burkholder Project Manager

## SPECIFICATIONS FOR PLACEMENT OF COMPACTED FILL

## Excavation

Any existing low-density soils and/or saturated soils shall be removed to competent natural soil under the inspection of the Soils Engineering Firm. After the exposed surface has been cleansed of debris and/or vegetation, it shall be scarified until it is uniform in consistency, brought to the proper moisture content and compacted to a minimum of $90 \%$ relative compaction (in accordance with ASTM: D-1557-12).

In any area where a transition between fill and native soil or between bedrock and soil are encountered, additional excavation beneath foundations and slabs will be necessary in order to provide uniform support and avoid differential settlement of the structure. Verification of elevations during grading operations will be the responsibility of the owner or his designated representative.

## Material For Fill

The on-site soils or approved import soils may be utilized for the compacted fill provided they are free of any deleterious materials and shall not contain any rocks, brick, asphaltic concrete, concrete or other hard materials greater than eight inches in maximum dimensions. Any import soil must be approved by the Soils Engineering firm a minimum of 72 hours prior to importation of site.

## Placement of Compacted Fill Soils

The approved fill soils shall be placed in layers not excess of six inches in thickness. Each lift shall be uniform in thickness and thoroughly blended. The fill soils shall be brought to within $2 \%$ of the optimum moisture content, unless otherwise specified by the Soils Engineering firm. Each lift shall be compacted to a minimum of $90 \%$ relative compaction (in accordance with ASTM: D-1557-12) and approved prior to the placement of the next layer of soil. Compaction tests shall be obtained at the discretion of the Soils Engineering firm but to a minimum of one test for every 500 cubic yards placed and/or for every 2 feet of compacted fill placed.

The minimum relative compaction shall be obtained in accordance with accepted methods in the construction industry. The final grade of the structural areas shall be in a dense and smooth condition prior to placement of slabs-on-grade or pavement areas. No fill soils shall be placed, spread or compacted during unfavorable weather conditions. When the grading is interrupted by heavy rains, compaction operations shall not be resumed until approved by the Soils Engineering firm.

## Grading Observations

The controlling governmental agencies should be notified prior to commencement of any grading operations. This firm recommends that the grading operations be conducted under the observation of a Soils Engineering firm as deemed necessary. A 24-hour notice must be provided to this firm prior to the time of our initial inspection.

Observation shall include the clearing and grubbing operations to assure that all unsuitable materials have been properly removed; approve the exposed subgrade in areas to receive fill and in areas where excavation has resulted in the desired finished grade and designate areas of overexcavation; and perform field compaction tests to determine relative compaction achieved during fill placement. In addition, all foundation excavations shall be observed by the Soils Engineering firm to confirm that appropriate bearing materials are present at the design grades and recommend any modifications to construct footings.

## EXPANSIVE SOIL GUIDELINES

The following expansive soil guidelines are provided for your project. The intent of these guidelines is to inform you, the client, of the importance of proper design and maintenance of projects supported on expansive soils. You, as the owner or other interested party, should be warned that you have a duty to provide the information contained in the soil report including these guidelines to your design engineers, architects, landscapers and other design parties in order to enable them to provide a design that takes into consideration expansive soils.

In addition, you should provide the soil report with these guidelines to any property manager, lessee, property purchaser or other interested party that will have or assume the responsibility of maintaining the development in the future.

Expansive soils are fine-grained silts and clays which are subject to swelling and contracting. The amount of this swelling and contracting is subject to the amount of fine-grained clay materials present in the soils and the amount of moisture either introduced or extracted from the soils. Expansive soils are divided into five categories ranging from "very low" to "very high". Expansion indices are assigned to each classification and are included in the laboratory testing section of this report. If the expansion index of the soils on your site, as stated in this report, is 21 or higher, you have expansive soils. The classifications of expansive soils are as follows:

Classification of Expansive Soil*

| Expansion Index | Potential Expansion |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0-20$ | Very Low |
| $21-50$ | Low |
| $51-90$ | Medium |
| $91-130$ | High |
| Above 130 | Very High |

*From Table 18A-I-B of California Building Code (1988)
When expansive soils are compacted during site grading operations, care is taken to place the materials at or slightly above optimum moisture levels and perform proper compaction operations. Any subsequent excessive wetting and/or drying of expansive soils will cause the soil materials to expand and/or contract. These actions are likely to cause distress of foundations, structures, slabs-on-grade, sidewalks and pavement over the life of the structure. It is therefore imperative that even after construction of improvements, the moisture contents are maintained at relatively constant levels, allowing neither excessive wetting or drying of soils.

Evidence of excessive wetting of expansive soils may be seen in concrete slabs, both interior and exterior. Slabs may lift at construction joints producing a trip hazard or may crack from the pressure of soil expansion. Wet clays in foundation areas may result in lifting of the structure causing difficulty in the opening and closing of doors and windows, as well as cracking in exterior and interior wall surfaces. In extreme wetting of soils to depth, settlement of the structure may eventually result. Excessive wetting of soils in landscape areas adjacent to concrete or asphaltic pavement areas may also result in expansion of soils beneath pavement and resultant distress to the pavement surface.

Excessive drying of expansive soils is initially evidenced by cracking in the surface of the soils due to contraction. Settlement of structures and on-grade slabs may also eventually result along with problems in the operation of doors and windows.

Projects located in areas of expansive clay soils will be subject to more movement and "hairline" cracking of walls and slabs than similar projects situated on non-expansive sandy soils. There are, however, measures that developers and property owners may take to reduce the amount of movement over the life the development. The following guidelines are provided to assist you in both design and maintenance of projects on expansive soils:

- Drainage away from structures and pavement is essential to prevent excessive wetting of expansive soils. Grades of at least $3 \%$ should be designed and maintained to allow flow of irrigation and rain water to approved drainage devices or to the street. Any "ponding" of water adjacent to buildings, slabs and pavement after rains is evidence of poor drainage; the installation of drainage devices or regrading of the area may be required to assure proper drainage. Installation of rain gutters is also recommended to control the introduction of moisture next to buildings. Gutters should discharge into a drainage device or onto pavement which drains to roadways.
- Irrigation should be strictly controlled around building foundations, slabs and pavement and may need to be adjusted depending upon season. This control is essential to maintain a relatively uniform moisture content in the expansive soils and to prevent swelling and contracting. Over-watering adjacent to improvements may result in damage to those improvements. NorCal Engineering makes no specific recommendations regarding landscape irrigation schedules.
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- Planting schemes for landscaping around structures and pavement should be analyzed carefully. Plants (including sod) requiring high amounts of water may result in excessive wetting of soils. Trees and large shrubs may actually extract moisture from the expansive soils, thus causing contraction of the fine-grained soils.
- Thickened edges on exterior slabs will assist in keeping excessive moisture from entering directly beneath the concrete. A six-inch thick or greater deepened edge on slabs may be considered. Underlying interior and exterior slabs with 6 to 12 inches or more of non-expansive soils and providing presaturation of the underlying clayey soils as recommended in the soil report will improve the overall performance of on-grade slabs.
- Increase the amount of steel reinforcing in concrete slabs, foundations and other structures to resist the forces of expansive soils. The precise amount of reinforcing should be determined by the appropriate design engineers and/or architects.
- Recommendations of the soil report should always be followed in the development of the project. Any recommendations regarding presaturation of the upper subgrade soils in slab areas should be performed in the field and verified by the Soil Engineer.
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## APPENDIX A

 Address:No Address at This Location

## ASCE 7 Hazards Report

Standard: ASCE/SEI 7-10 Elevation: 773.67 ft (NAVD 88)
Risk Category: III
Soil Class: D-Stiff Soil
Latitude: 34.0268
Longitude: -117.7255

Slte Soll Class:
D - Stiff Soil

## Results:

| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}:$ | 2.193 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}:$ | 0.795 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{B}}:$ | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{V}}:$ | 1.5 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{MS}}:$ | 2.193 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}:$ | 1.192 |

## Selsmic Design Category <br> E



Data Accessed:
Date Source:

Mon Jun 242019
USGS Seismic Design Maps based on ASCE/SEI 7-10, incorporating
Supplement 1 and errata of March 31, 2013, and ASCE/SEI 7-10 Table 1.5-2.
Additional data for site-specific ground motion procedures in accordance with ASCE/SEI 7-10 Ch. 21 are available from USGS.

AMMIICAN SOCIETY OF CML ENGINIXTS

The ASCE 7 Hazard Tool is provided for your convenience, for informational purposes only, and is provided "as is" and without warranties of any kind. The location data included herein has been obtained from information developed, produced, and maintained by third party providers; or has been extrapolated from maps incorporated in the ASCE 7 standard. While ASCE has made every effort to use data obtained from reliable sources or methodologies, ASCE does not make any representations or warranties as to the accuracy, completeness, reliability, currency, or quality of any data provided herein. Any third-party links provided by this Tool should not be construed as an endorsement, affiliation, relationship, or sponsorship of such third-party content by or from ASCE.

ASCE does not intend, nor should anyone interpret, the results provided by this Tool to replace the sound Judgment of a competent professional, having knowledge and experience in the appropriate field(s) of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professionals in interpreting and applying the contents of this Tool or the ASCE 7 standard.

In using this Tool, you expressly assume all risks associated with your use. Under no circumstances shall ASCE or its officers, directors, employees, members, affiliates, or agents be liable to you or any other person for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages arising from or related to your use of, or reliance on, the Tool or any information obtained therein. To the fullest extent permitted by law, you agree to release and hold harmless ASCE from any and all liability of any nature arising out of or resulting from any use of data provided by the ASCE 7 Hazard Tool.

## APPENDIX B

| MAJOR DIVISION |  |  | GRAPHIC GYMRNI | LETTER sYMARI | TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDARSE GRAINED SOLS | GRAVEL AND GRAVELLY solls | CLEAN GRAVELS (LITTLE OR NO FINES) | 006 | GW | WELL-gRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL. SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES |
|  |  |  |  | GP | POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES |
|  | MORE THAN 50\% OF COARSE | GRAVELS WITH FINES |  | GM | SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SANDSILT MIXTURES |
|  | FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE | (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINESI |  | GC | CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SANDCLAY MIXTURES |
|  | SAND <br> AND SANDY SOILS | CLEAN SAND <br> (LITTLE OR NO FINES) |  | SW | WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES |
| MORE THAN <br> $50 \%$ OF <br> MATERIAL <br> is LARGER <br> THAN NO. <br> 200 SIEVE <br> SIZE |  |  |  | SP | POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES |
|  | MORE THAN 50\% OF COARSE FRACTION EASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE | SANDS WITH FINE <br> (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES) | - | SM | SILTY SANDS, SAND-SILT MIXTURES |
|  |  |  |  | Sc | CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-CLAY MIXTURES |
| FINE GRAINED SOILS | SILTS AND CLAYS | LIQUID LIMIT IFSS THAN SN |  | ML | INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY |
|  |  |  |  | CL | INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS. LEAN CLAYS |
|  |  |  | - | OL | ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY |
|  | SILTS <br> AND <br> CLAYS | LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 60 |  | MH | INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS |
| MORE THAN $50 \%$ OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE |  |  |  | CH | INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS |
|  |  |  |  | OH | ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS |
|  | HLY ORGANIC | SOILS |  | PT | PEAT. HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITK HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS |

NOTE: DUAL SYMEOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS

## KEY:

Indicates 2.5-inch Inside Diameter. Ring Sample.
Indicates 2-inch OD Split Spoon Sample (SPT).
$\Delta \quad$ Indicates Shelby Tube Sample.
[1] Indicates No Recovery.
$\square$ Indicates SPT with 140\# Hammer 30 in. Drop.
$\square$ Indicates Bulk Sample.
I Indicates Small Bag Sample.

- Indicates Non-Standard

Indicates Core Run.
COMPONENT PROPORTIONS

COMPONENT DEFINITIONS

| COMPQNENT | SIZE RANGE |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Boulders | Larger than 12 in |
| Cobbles | 3 in to 12 in |
| Gravel | 3 in to No $4(4.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Coarse gravel | 3 in to $3 / 4$ in |
| Fine gravel | $3 / 4$ in to No $4(4.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Sand | No. $4(4.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ to No. $200(0.074 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Coarse sand | No. $4(4.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ to No. $10(2.0 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Medium sand | No. $10(2.0 \mathrm{~mm})$ to No. $40(0.42 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Fine sand | No. $40(0.42 \mathrm{~mm})$ to No. $200(0.074 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Silt and Clay | Smaller than No. $200(0.074 \mathrm{~mm})$ |


| DESCRIPTIVE TERMS | RANGE OF PROPORTION |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Trace | $1-5 \%$ |
| Few | $5-10 \%$ |
| Litle | $10-20 \%$ |
| Some | $20-35 \%$ |
| And | $35-60 \%$ |

MOISTURE CONTENT

| DRY | Absence of moisture, dusty, <br> dry to the touch. <br> Some perceptible <br> moisture; below opimum <br> DAMP |
| :--- | :--- |
| MOIST | No visible water; near oplimum <br> moisture content <br> VIsibie free water, usually <br> soil is below water table. |
| WET |  |

RELATIVE DENSITY OR CONSISTENCY VERSUS SPT N -VALUE

| COHESIONLESS SOILS |  | COHESIVE SOILS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Density | $N$ (blows/t) | Consistency | N (blows/fi ) | Approximate Undrained Shear Strength (psf) |
| Very Loose <br> Loose <br> Medium Dense <br> Dense <br> Very Dense | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { to } 4 \\ 4 \text { to } 10 \\ 10 \text { to } 30 \\ 30 \text { to } 50 \\ \text { over } 50 \end{gathered}$ | Very Soft <br> Soft <br> Medium Sliff <br> Sllff <br> Very Stiff <br> Hard | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { to } 2 \\ 2 \text { to } 4 \\ 4 \text { to } 8 \\ 8 \text { to } 15 \\ 15 \text { to } 30 \\ \text { over } 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} <250 \\ 250-500 \\ 500-8000 \\ 1000-2000 \\ 2000-4000 \\ >4000 \end{gathered}$ |
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## APPENDIX C

## TABLE

MAXIMUM DENSITY TESTS
(ASTM: D-1557-12)

| Sample | Classification | Optimum Moisture | Maximum Dry Density (lbs./cu.ft.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T-2 @ 2-4' | silty SAND | 11.5 | 122.0 |
| T-6 @ 3-4' | sandy SILT | 12.5 | 115.0 |

## TABLE II <br> EXPANSION INDEX TESTS (ASTM: D-4829-11)

Sample
T-2 @ 2-4'
T-6@ 3-4'

Classification
silty SAND
sandy SILT

TABLE III
SOLUBLE SULFATE TESTS
(CT 417)

| Sample | Sulfate <br> Concentration (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| T-6 @ 1-2' | .0039 |

TABLE IV pH TESTS

| Sample |  | pH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| T-6 @ 1-2' |  | 6.8 |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\text { RESISTIVITY V TESTS }}{\text { (CT 643) }}$ |  |


| Sample | Resistivity (ohm-cm) |
| :--- | :---: |
| T-6 @ 1-2', | 9292 |

TABLE VI
CHLORIDE TESTS
(CT 422))

Sample
Concentration (ppm)
T-6 @ 1-2'
236

TABLE VII
RESISTANCE 'R' VALUE TESTS (CA 301))

Sample
T-1 @ 1-2'
'R' Value
67

Sample No.
Sample Type:
Soil Description:

Normal Stress
Peak Stress
Displacement
Residual Stress
Displacement
In Situ Dry Density
In Situ Water Content
Saturated Water Content
Strain Rate

T2@2-4'
Remolded/Saturated Silty Sand

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (psf) | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 |
| (psf) | 660 | 1224 | 1644 |
| (in) | 0.225 | 0.085 | 0.250 |
| (psf) | 648 | 1212 | 1644 |
| (in.) | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| (pcf) | 112.1 | 112.1 | 112.1 |
| $(\%)$ | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
| $(\%)$ | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 |
| $(\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{min})$ | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
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SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS
Alere
PROJECT NUMBER: 21191-19

DIRECT SHEAR TEST

Plate A

Sample No.
Sample Type:
Soil Description:
Normal Stress
Peak Stress
Displacement
Residual Stress
Displacement
In Situ Dry Density
In Situ Water Content
Saturated Water Content
Strain Rate

T5@5'
Undisturbed/Saturated silty Sand

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (psf) | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 |
| (psf) | 624 | 1260 | 1896 |
| (in) | 0.250 | 0.150 | 0.150 |
| (psf) | 624 | 1188 | 1752 |
| (in.) | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| (pcf) | 108.6 | 108.6 | 108.6 |
| (\%) | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
| (\%) | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| (in/min) | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
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PROJECT NUMBER: 21191-19
DATE: 6/21/2019

DIRECT SHEAR TEST ASTM D3080

Plate $B$

Sample No
Sample Type:
Soil Description:

Normal Stress
Peak Stress
Displacement
Residual Stress
Displacement
In Situ Dry Density
In Situ Water Content
Saturated Water Content
Strain Rate

T13@10
Undisturbed/Saturated silty Sand

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (psf) | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 |
| (psf) | 612 | 1128 | 1992 |
| (in.) | 0.250 | 0.225 | 0.080 |
| (psf) | 612 | 1128 | 1824 |
| (in.) | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| (pcf) | 98.6 | 98.6 | 98.6 |
| (\%) | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 |
| (\%) | 23.1 | 23.1 | 23.1 |
| (in/min) | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
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## APPENDIX D

SOIJS AND GEOTECI-INICAL CONSLILEANTS

Project: Alere Property Group, LLC
Project No.: 21191-19
Date: 6/10/19
Test No. T-1
Depth: 15'
Tested By: J.S. Jr.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { TIME } \\ (\mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | CHANGE TiME ( $m / n$ ) | CUMULATIVE TIME (min) | INNER RING READING (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INNER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { CHANGE } \end{aligned}$ | INNER <br> RING <br> FLOW (cc) | OUTER RING READING (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { CHANGE } \end{aligned}$ | OUTER RING fLOW (cc) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { INNER } \\ \text { RING } \\ \text { INF } \\ \text { RATE } \\ (\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { INF } \\ & \text { RATE } \\ & (\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | INNER RING INF RATE (ft/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:55 |  |  | 101.0 |  |  | 41.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 | 5 | 5 | 103.3 | 2.3 |  | 42.7 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  | 103.3 |  |  | 42.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:05 | 5 | 10 | 105.0 | 1.7 |  | 43.5 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:05 |  |  | 105.0 |  |  | 43.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:10 | 5 | 15 | 106.8 | 1.8 |  | 44.4 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:10 |  |  | 106.8 |  |  | 44.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:15 | 5 | 20 | 108.6 | 1.8 |  | 44.9 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:15 |  |  | 108.6 |  |  | 44.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:20 | 5 | 25 | 110.1 | 1.5 |  | 45.8 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:20 |  |  | 99.0 |  |  | 42.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:25 | 5 | 30 | 100.3 | 1.3 |  | 42.6 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:25 |  |  | 100.3 |  |  | 42.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:30 | 5 | 35 | 101.3 | 1.0 |  | 43.1 | 0.5 |  | 12.0 | 6.0 |  |
| 10:30 |  |  | 101.3 |  |  | 43.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:35 | 5 | 40 | 102.2 | 0.9 |  | 43.7 | 0.6 |  | 10.8 | 7.2 |  |
| 10:35 |  |  | 102.2 |  |  | 43.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:40 | 5 | 45 | 102.7 | 0.5 |  | 44.2 | 0.5 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| 10:40 |  |  | 102.7 |  |  | 44.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:45 | 5 | 50 | 103.3 | 0.6 |  | 44.7 | 0.5 |  | 7.2 | 6.0 |  |
| 10:45 |  |  | 103.3 |  |  | 44.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:50 | 5 | 55 | 104.0 | 0.7 |  | 45.3 | 0.6 |  | 8.4 | 7.2 |  |
| 10:50 |  |  | 104.0 |  |  | 45.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:55 | 5 | 60 | 104.5 | 0.5 |  | 45.8 | 0.5 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Average = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.4 | 6.4 cm | /hr |

SOIJS AND GEOTECIHNICAL CONSLITANTS

## Project: Alere Property Group, LLC

Project No.: 21191-19
Date: 6/10/19
Test No. T-4
Depth: 15'
Tested By: J.S. Jr.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & (\mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ | CHANGE TIME (min) | CUMULATIVE TIME (min) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INNER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { READING } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INNER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { CHANGE } \end{aligned}$ | INNER RING FLOW (cc) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { READING } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { OUTER } \\ \text { RING } \\ \text { CHANGE } \end{gathered}$ | OUTER <br> RING <br> flow <br> (cc) | INNER RING INF RATE ( $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | OUTER <br> RING <br> INF <br> RATE <br> (cm/hr) | INNER <br> RING <br> INF <br> RATE <br> (ft/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:23 |  |  | 98.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:28 | 5 | 5 | 99.4 | 1.4 |  | 41.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:28 |  |  | 99.4 |  |  | 41.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:33 | 5 | 10 | 100.4 | 1.0 |  | 41.8 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:33 |  |  | 100.4 |  |  | 41.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:38 | 5 | 15 | 101.2 | 0.8 |  | 42.6 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:38 |  |  | 101.2 |  |  | 42.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:43 | 5 | 20 | 101.8 | 0.6 |  | 43.1 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:43 |  |  | 101.8 |  |  | 43.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:48 | 5 | 25 | 102.2 | 0.4 |  | 43.6 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:48 |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 39.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:53 | 5 | 30 | 100.2 | 0.2 |  | 40.0 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:53 |  |  | 100.2 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:58 | 5 | 35 | 100.5 | 0.3 |  | 40.3 | 0.3 |  | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |
| 12:58 |  |  | 100.5 |  |  | 40.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:03 | 5 | 40 | 100.8 | 0.3 |  | 40.5 | 0.2 |  | 3.6 | 2.4 |  |
| 1:03 |  |  | 100.8 |  |  | 40.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:08 | 5 | 45 | 101.0 | 0.2 |  | 40.6 | 0.1 |  | 2.4 | 1.2 |  |
| 1:08 |  |  | 101.0 |  |  | 40.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:13 | 5 | 50 | 101.2 | 0.2 |  | 40.8 | 0.2 |  | 2.4 | 2.4 |  |
| 1:13 |  |  | 101.2 |  |  | 40.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:18 | 5 | 55 | 101.3 | 0.1 |  | 41.0 | 0.2 |  | 1.2 | 2.4 |  |
| 1:18 |  |  | 101.3 |  |  | 41.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:23 | 5 | 60 | 101.5 | 0.2 |  | 41.1 | 0.1 |  | 2.4 | 1.2 |  |
| Average = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.6 | 2.2 cm | /hr |

SOIIS AND GEOTECIINICAL CONSULTANTS

| Project: Alere Property Group, LLC |
| :--- |
| Project No.: $21191-19$ |
| Date: $6 / 10 / 19$ |
| Test No. T-17 |
| Depth: $15^{\prime}$ |
| Tested By: J.S. Jr. |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { TIME } \\ (\mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | CHANGE TIME (min) | CUMULATIVE <br> TIME <br> (min) | $\begin{gathered} \text { INNER } \\ \text { RRING } \\ \text { READING } \\ \text { (cm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { INNER } \\ \text { RING } \\ \text { CHANGE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INNER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { FLOW } \\ & \text { (cc) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { CHANGE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { OUTER } \\ & \text { RING } \\ & \text { FLOW } \\ & \text { (cc) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { INNER } \\ \text { RING } \\ \text { INF } \\ \text { RATE } \\ (\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{array}$ | OUTER <br> RING <br> INF <br> RATE <br> $(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{hr})$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { INNER } \\ \text { RING } \\ \text { INF } \\ \text { RATE } \\ \text { (ft/hr) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:34 |  |  | 98.0 |  |  | 37.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:39 | 5 | 5 | 101.5 | 3.5 |  | 40.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:39 |  |  | 101.5 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:44 | 5 | 10 | 104.0 | 2.5 |  | 42.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:44 |  |  | 104.0 |  |  | 42.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:49 | 5 | 15 | 106.0 | 2.0 |  | 44.8 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:49 |  |  | 106.0 |  |  | 44.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:54 | 5 | 20 | 107.9 | 1.9 |  | 46.8 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:54 |  |  | 99.3 |  |  | 38.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:59 | 5 | 25 | 101.6 | 2.3 |  | 39.8 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:59 |  |  | 101.6 |  |  | 39.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:04 | 5 | 30 | 103.5 | 1.8 |  | 42.0 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:04 |  |  | 103.5 |  |  | 42.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:09 | 5 | 35 | 105.5 | 2.0 |  | 44.0 | 2.0 |  | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |
| 3:09 |  |  | 105.5 |  |  | 44.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:14 | 5 | 40 | 107.7 | 2.2 |  | 46.3 | 2.3 |  | 26.4 | 27.6 |  |
| 3:14 |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 38.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:19 | 5 | 45 | 102.0 | 2.0 |  | 40.0 | 2.0 |  | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |
| 3:19 |  |  | 102.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:24 | 5 | 50 | 104.1 | 2.1 |  | 41.9 | 1.9 |  | 25.2 | 22.8 |  |
| 3:24 |  |  | 104.1 |  |  | 41.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:29 | 5 | 55 | 106.0 | 1.9 |  | 43.9 | 2.0 |  | 22.8 | 24.0 |  |
| 3:29 |  |  | 106.0 |  |  | 43.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:34 | 5 | 60 | 107.9 | 1.9 |  | 46.0 | 2.1 |  | 22.8 | 25.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aver | age $=$ | 24.2 | / 24.6 | m/hr |

## Attachment G <br> HCOC

| Form 4.2-2 Summary of HCOC Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compute weighted curve number for pre and post developed conditions | Pre-developed DA <br> Add more columns if more than 4 DMA |  |  |  | Post-developed DA <br> Add more columns if more than 4 DMA |  |  |  |
|  | DMA A | DMA B | DMA C | DMA D | DMA A | DMA B | DMA C | DMA D |
| ${ }^{1}$ Land Cover type | Open Brush | Impervious Cover | Open Brush | Impervious Cover | Roof, Asphalt \& Concrete | Urban Cover Commercial Landscaping | Roof, Asphalt \& Concrete | Urban Cover Commercial Landscaping |
| ${ }^{2}$ Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) | B | B | C | C | B | B | C | C |
| ${ }^{3}$ DMA Area, $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ <br> sum of areas of DMA should equal area of DA | 370,260 | 8,712 | 43,560 | 137,214 | 359,370 | 19,602 | 172,062 | 8,712 |
| ${ }^{4}$ Curve Number (CN) <br> Use Items 1 and 2 to select the appropriate $C N$ | 76 | 98 | 84 | 98 | 98 | 56 | 98 | 69 |
|  | ${ }^{5}$ Pre-Developed area-weighted CN: 83 |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ Post-Developed area-weighted CN: 97 |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{7}$ Pre-developed soil storage capacity, S (in): 2.05$S=(1000 / \text { Item } 5)-10$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{8} \text { Post-developed soil storage capacity, S (in): } 0.31$$S=(1000 / \text { Item } 6)-10$ |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{9}$ Initial abstraction, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (in): 0.41$I_{a}=0.2 * \mathrm{Item} 7$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} 10 \\ \text { Initial abstraction, } I_{a}(\text { in): } 0.06 \\ I_{a}=0.2 * \\ \hline \end{array} \text { tem } 8 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{11}$ Precipitation for $\mathbf{2 ~ y r , ~} \mathbf{2 4 ~ h r ~ s t o r m ~ ( i n ) : ~} \mathbf{2 . 7 8}$ <br> Go to: http://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/sa/sca pfds.htm\| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{12}$ Pre-developed Volume ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 59,314 <br> $V_{\text {pre }}=(1 / 12)$ * (Item sum of Item 3) * [(Item 11-Item 9)^2 / (IItem 11 - Item $9+$ Item 7 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{13}$ Post-developed Volume ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 113,837 <br> $V_{\text {pre }}=(1 / 12) *$ (Item sum of Item 3) * [(Item 11 - Item 10)^2 / (IItem 11 - Item $10+$ Item 8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{14}$ Volume Reduction needed to meet HCOC Requirement, $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right): 48,830$ $V_{\text {нсос }}=$ (Item $13 * 0.95$ ) - Item 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

To meet HCOC requirements, a mitigation volume must be achieved by using LID and/or hydromodification mitigation BMPs. The mitigation volume required is approximately $48,830 \mathrm{cu}-\mathrm{ft}(0.95 * 113,837-59,314)$. The total mitigation volume provided by the underground chambers and $61,398 \mathrm{cu}-\mathrm{ft}$. As a result, the mitigation volume has been contained by the proposed BMPs. Since the mitigation volume has been met, it is physically impossible for the project to avoid increasing the time of concentration and reducing peak runoff by more than five percent of predevelopment conditions (see Section 5.6.1 of the Technical Guidance Document for more information).


PDS-based precipitation frequency estimates with $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ confidence intervals (in inches) ${ }^{1}$


${ }^{1}$ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).
Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the $90 \%$ confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration al Numbers in parentes
recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is $5 \%$. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitatic estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values
Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.
Estimates from the table in CSV format: Precipitation frequency estimates $\mathbf{V}$ Submit
Main Link Categories:
Home । OWP

```
US Department of Commerce
```

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Weather Service
Office of Water Prediction (OWP)
1325 East West Highway
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Page Author: HDSC webmaster
Page last modified: April 21, 2017

## Attachment H BMP Operation \& Maintenance

## BMP Inspection and Maintenance

| BMP | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Responsible } \\ \text { Party(ies) }\end{array}$ | Inspection/Maintenance Activities Required | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Minimum Frequency of } \\ \text { Activities }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Storm Drain } \\ \text { Stenciling and } \\ \text { Signage }\end{array}$ | Owner | $\begin{array}{l}\text { "No Dumping - Drains to River" stencils will be applied. } \\ \text { Legibility of stencil will be maintained on a yearly basis. }\end{array}$ | Annually |
| Drain Inserts | Owner | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Visually inspect for defects and illegal dumping. Notify } \\ \text { proper authorities if illegal dumping has occurred. Using an } \\ \text { industrial vacuum, the collected materials shall be } \\ \text { removed from the filter basket and disposed of properly. } \\ \text { Inspect biosorb hydrocarbon boom and replace as } \\ \text { necessary. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Four times per year or } \\ \text { following any rain event that } \\ \text { would potentially accumulate } \\ \text { a large amount of debris in } \\ \text { the system. Replace boom } \\ \text { twice per year, at a }\end{array}$ |
| minimum. |  |  |  |$\}$



## SAMPLE CATCH BASIN STENCIL

PER BMP SD-13

# Grate Inlet Filter <br> MLS Type <br> Bio © © Clean 

## OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE



A Forterra Company

## OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE

The Bio Clean Grate Inlet Filter is a stormwater device designed to remove high levels of trash, debris, sediments and hydrocarbons. The filter is available in several configurations including trash full capture, multi-level screening, Kraken membrane filter and media filter variations. This manual covers maintenance procedures of the multi-level screening configuration. A supplemental manual is available for the trash full capture configuration, as well as the Kraken and media filter variations. This filter is made of $100 \%$ stainless steel and is available in various sizes and depths allowing it to fit in any grated catch basin inlet. The filter's heavy duty construction allows for cleaning with any vacuum truck. The filter can also easily be cleaned by hand.

As with all stormwater BMPs, inspection and maintenance on the Grate Inlet Filter is necessary. Stormwater regulations require BMPs be inspected and maintained to ensure they are operating as designed to allow for effective pollutant removal and provide protection to receiving water bodies. It is recommended that inspections be performed multiple times during the first year to assess sitespecific loading conditions. This is recommended because pollutant loading can vary greatly from site to site. Variables such as nearby soil erosion or construction sites, winter sanding of roads, amount of daily traffic and land use can increase pollutant loading on the system. The first year of inspections can be used to set inspection and maintenance intervals for subsequent years. Without appropriate maintenance a BMP can exceed its storage capacity which can negatively affect its continued performance in removing and retaining captured pollutants.


System Diagram:

## Inspection Equipment

Following is a list of equipment to allow for simple and effective inspection of the Grate Inlet Filter:

- Bio Clean Environmental Inspection Form (contained within this manual).
- Manhole hook or appropriate tools to remove access hatches and covers.
- Appropriate traffic control signage and procedures.
- Protective clothing and eye protection.
- Note: entering a confined space requires appropriate safety and certification. It is generally not required for routine inspections or maintenance of the system.



## Inspection Steps

The core to any successful stormwater BMP maintenance program is routine inspections. The inspection steps required on the Grate Inlet Filter are quick and easy. As mentioned above, the first year should be seen as the maintenance interval establishment phase. During the first year more frequent inspections should occur in order to gather loading data and maintenance requirements for that specific site. This information can be used to establish a base for long-term inspection and maintenance interval requirements.

The Grate Inlet Filter can be inspected though visual observation. All necessary pre-inspection steps must be carried out before inspection occurs, such as safety measures to protect the inspector and nearby pedestrians from any dangers associated with an open grated inlet. Once the grate has been safely removed the inspection process can proceed:

- Prepare the inspection form by writing in the necessary information including project name, location, date \& time, unit number and other info (see inspection form).
- Observe the filter with the grate removed.
- Look for any out of the ordinary obstructions on the grate or in the filter and its bypass. Write down any observations on the inspection form.
- Through observation and/or digital photographs, estimate the amount of trash, foliage and sediment accumulated inside the filter basket. Record this information on the inspection form.
- Observe the condition and color of the hydrocarbon boom. Record this information on the inspection form.
- Finalize inspection report for analysis by the maintenance manager to determine if maintenance is required.


## Maintenance Indicators

Based upon observations made during inspection, maintenance of the system may be required based on the following indicators:

- Missing or damaged internal components.
- Obstructions in the filter basket and its bypass.
- Excessive accumulation of trash, foliage and sediment in the filter basket. Maintenance is required when the basket is greater than half-full.
- The following chart shows the $50 \%$ and $100 \%$ storage capacity of each filter height:

| Model | Filter Basket <br> Diameter (in) | Filter Basket <br> Height (in) | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ Storage <br> Capacity (cu ft) | 100\% Storage <br> Capacity (cu ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC-GRATE-12-12-18 | 10.00 | 18.00 | 0.41 | 0.82 |
| BC-GRATE-18-18-18 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 1.05 | 2.09 |
| BC-GRATE-24-24-24 | 21.00 | 24.00 | 2.40 | 4.81 |
| BC-GRATE-30-30-24 | 27.00 | 24.00 | 3.97 | 7.95 |
| BC-GRATE-25-38-24 | 21.00 | 24.00 | 4.15 | 8.31 |
| BC-GRATE-36-36-24 | 33.00 | 24.00 | 5.94 | 11.87 |
| BC-GRATE-48-48-18 | 44.00 | 18.00 | 7.92 | 15.83 |

## Maintenance Equipment

It is recommended that a vacuum truck be utilized to minimize the time required to maintain the Curb Inlet Filter, though it can be easily cleaned by hand:

- Bio Clean Environmental Maintenance Form (contained in O\&M Manual).
- Manhole hook or appropriate tools to remove the grate.
- Appropriate safety signage and procedures.
- Protective clothing and eye protection.
- Note: entering a confined space requires appropriate safety and certification. It is generally not required for routine maintenance of the system. Small or large vacuum truck (with pressure washer attachment preferred).


## Maintenance Procedures

It is recommended that maintenance occurs at least two days after the most recent rain event to allow debris and sediments to dry out. Maintaining the system while flows are still entering it will
increase the time and complexity required for maintenance. Cleaning of the Grate Inlet Filter can be performed utilizing a vacuum truck. Once all safety measures have been set up, cleaning of the Grate Inlet Filter can proceed as followed:

- Remove grate (traffic control and safety measures to be completed prior).
- Using an extension on a vacuum truck, position the hose over the opened catch basin. Insert the vacuum hose down into the filter basket and suck out trash, foliage and sediment. A pressure wash is recommended and will assist in spraying off any debris stuck on the side or bottom of the filter basket. Power wash the sides and bottom of the filter basket off.
- Next, remove the hydrocarbon boom that is attached to the inside of the filter basket. The hydrocarbon boom is zip tied to the top perimeter of the filter. Assess the color and condition of the boom using the following information in the next bullet point. If replacement is required, install and fasten on a new hydrocarbon boom. Booms can be ordered directly from the manufacturer.
- The following is a replacement indication color chart for the hydrocarbon booms:

- The last step is to replace the grate and remove all traffic control.
- All removed debris and pollutants shall be disposed of following local and state requirements.
- Disposal requirements for recovered pollutants may vary depending on local guidelines. In most areas the sediment, once dewatered, can be disposed of in a sanitary landfill. It is not anticipated that the sediment would be classified as hazardous waste.
- In the case of damaged components, replacement parts can be ordered from the manufacturer. Hydrocarbon booms can also be ordered directly from the manufacturer as previously noted. NOTE: outlet to catch basin (if it does not have a sump) should be blocked during power washing to prevent any dirty water from discharging from the catch basin.

Maintenance Sequence

Remove grate and set up vacuum truck to clean the filter basket.


Insert the vacuum hose down into the filter basket and suck out debris. Use a pressure washer to assist in vacuum removal. Pressure wash off screens.


Remove the hydrocarbon boom that is attached to the inside of the filter basket. The hydrocarbon boom is zip tied to the top perimeter of the filter. Assess the color and condition of the boom using the following information in the next bullet point. If replacement is required, install and fasten on a new hydrocarbon boom.

Close up and replace the grate and remove all traffic control. All removed debris and pollutants shall be disposed of following local and state requirements.

## For Maintenance Services or Information Please Contact Us At: 760-433-7640 Or Email: info@biocleanenvironmental.com



Inspection and Maintenance Report Catch Basin Only
A Forterra Company


## Curb Inlet Filter

# Bio 성 Clean 

A Forterra Company

## OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE



## OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE

The Bio Clean Curb Inlet Filter is a stormwater device designed to remove high levels of trash, debris, sediments and hydrocarbons. The filter is available in several configurations including trash full capture, multi-level screening, Kraken membrane filter and media filter variations. This manual covers maintenance procedures of the trash full capture and multi-level screening configurations. A supplemental manual is available for the Kraken and media filter variations. The innovative trough \& weir system is mounted along the curb face and directs incoming stormwater toward the filter basket which is positioned "directly" under the manhole access opening regardless of its location in the catch basin. This innovative design allows the filter to be cleaned from finish surface without access into the catch basin, therefore drastically reducing maintenance time and eliminating confined space entry. The filter has a lifting handle allowing for the filter to be removed easily through the manhole. The weir also folds up to allow for unimpeded access into the basin for routine maintenance or pipe jetting.

As with all stormwater BMPs, inspection and maintenance on the Curb Inlet Filter is necessary. Stormwater regulations require BMPs be inspected and maintained to ensure they are operating as designed to allow for effective pollutant removal and provide protection to receiving water bodies. It is recommended that inspections be performed multiple times during the first year to assess sitespecific loading conditions. This is recommended because pollutant loading can vary greatly from site to site. Variables such as nearby soil erosion or construction sites, winter sanding of roads, amount of daily traffic and land use can increase pollutant loading on the system. The first year of inspections can be used to set inspection and maintenance intervals for subsequent years. Without appropriate maintenance a BMP can exceed its storage capacity which can negatively affect its continued performance in removing and retaining captured pollutants.


System Diagram:

## Inspection Equipment

Following is a list of equipment to allow for simple and effective inspection of the Curb Inlet Filter:

- Bio Clean Environmental Inspection Form (contained within this manual).
- Manhole hook or appropriate tools to remove access hatches and covers.
- Appropriate traffic control signage and procedures.
- Protective clothing and eye protection.
- Note: entering a confined space requires appropriate safety and certification. It is generally not required for routine inspections or maintenance of the system.



## Inspection Steps

The core to any successful stormwater BMP maintenance program is routine inspections. The inspection steps required on the Curb Inlet Fitler are quick and easy. As mentioned above the first year should be seen as the maintenance interval establishment phase. During the first year more frequent inspections should occur in order to gather loading data and maintenance requirements for that specific site. This information can be used to establish a base for long-term inspection and maintenance interval requirements.

The Curb Inlet Filter can be inspected though visual observation without entry into the catch basin. All necessary pre-inspection steps must be carried out before inspection occurs, such as safety measures to protect the inspector and nearby pedestrians from any dangers associated with an open access hatch or manhole. Once the manhole has been safely opened the inspection process can proceed:

- Prepare the inspection form by writing in the necessary information including project name, location, date \& time, unit number and other info (see inspection form).
- Observe the inside of the catch basin through the manhole. If minimal light is available and vision into the unit is impaired utilize a flashlight to see inside the catch basin.
- Look for any out of the ordinary obstructions in the catch basin, trough, weir, filter basket, basin floor our outlet pipe. Write down any observations on the inspection form.
- Through observation and/or digital photographs estimate the amount of trash, foliage and sediment accumulated inside the filter basket. Record this information on the inspection form.
- Observe the condition and color of the hydrocarbon boom. Record this information on the inspection form.
- Finalize inspection report for analysis by the maintenance manager to determine if maintenance is required.


## Maintenance Indicators

Based upon observations made during inspection, maintenance of the system may be required based on the following indicators:

- Missing or damaged internal components.
- Obstructions in the trough, weir, filter basket or catch basin.
- Excessive accumulation of trash, foliage and sediment in the filter basket and/or trough and weir sections. Maintenance is required when the basket is greater than half-full.
- The following chart shows the $50 \%$ and $100 \%$ storage capacity of each filter height:

| Model | Filter Basket <br> Diameter (in) | Filter Basket <br> Height (in) | 50\% Storage <br> Capacity (cu ft) | 100\% Storage <br> Capacity (cu ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC-CURB-30 | 18 | 30 | 2.21 | 4.42 |
| BC-CURB-24 | 18 | 24 | 1.77 | 3.53 |
| BC-CURB-18 | 18 | 18 | 1.33 | 2.65 |
| BC-CURB-12 | 18 | 12 | 0.88 | 1.77 |

## Maintenance Equipment

It is recommended that a vacuum truck be utilized to minimize the time required to maintain the Curb Inlet Filter though it can easily cleaned by hand:

- Bio Clean Environmental Maintenance Form (contained in O\&M Manual).
- Manhole hook or appropriate tools to access hatches and covers.
- Appropriate safety signage and procedures.
- Protective clothing and eye protection.
- Note: entering a confined space requires appropriate safety and certification. It is generally not required for routine maintenance of the system. Small or large vacuum truck (with pressure washer attachment preferred).


## Maintenance Procedures

It is recommended that maintenance occurs at least two days after the most recent rain event to allow debris and sediments to dry out. Maintaining the system while flows are still entering it will increase the time and complexity required for maintenance. Cleaning of the Curb Inlet Filter can be performed from finish surface without entry into catch basin utilizing a vacuum truck. Some unique
and custom configurations may create conditions which would require entry for some or all of the maintenance procedures. Once all safety measures have been set up cleaning of the Curb Inlet Filter can proceed as followed:

- Remove all manhole cover or access hatches (traffic control and safety measures to be completed prior).
- Using an extension on a vacuum truck position the hose over the opened manhole or hatch opening. Insert the vacuum hose down into the filter basket and suck out trash, foliage and sediment. A pressure wash is recommended and will assist in spraying of any debris stuck on the side or bottom of the filter basket. If the filter basket is full, trash, sediment, and debris will accumulate inside the trough and weir sections of the system. Once the filter basket is clean power wash the weir and trough pushing these debris into the filter basket (leave the hose in the filter basket during this process so entering debris will be sucked out). Power wash off the trough, weir, debris screen, and filter basket sides and bottom.
- Next remove the hydrocarbon boom that is attached to the inside of the filter basket. The hydrocarbon boom is fastened to rails on two opposite sides of the basket (vertical rails). Assess the color and condition of the boom using the following information in the next bullet point. If replacement is required install and fasten on a new hydrocarbon boom. Booms can be ordered directly from the manufacturer.
- Follow is a replacement indication color chart for the hydrocarbon booms:

- The last step is to close up and replace the manhole or hatch and remove all traffic control.
- All removed debris and pollutants shall be disposed of following local and state requirements.
- Disposal requirements for recovered pollutants may vary depending on local guidelines. In most areas the sediment, once dewatered, can be disposed of in a sanitary landfill. It is not anticipated that the sediment would be classified as hazardous waste.
- In the case of damaged components, replacement parts can be ordered from the manufacturer. Hydrocarbon booms can also be ordered directly from the manufacturer as previously noted.

Maintenance Sequence

Remove manhole cover and set up vacuum truck to clean the filter basket. Ensure all traffic control and safety measures are in place.


Insert the vacuum hose down into the filter basket and suck out debris. Use a pressure washer to assist in vacuum removal. Pressure wash off the weir and trough and vacuum out any remaining debris.

Remove the hydrocarbon boom that is attached to the inside of the filter basket. The hydrocarbon boom is fastened to rails on two opposite sides of the basket (vertical rails). Assess the color and condition of the boom using the following information in the next bullet point. If replacement is required install and fasten on a new hydrocarbon boom.

Close up and replace the manhole or hatch and remove all traffic control. All removed debris and pollutants shall be disposed of following local and state requirements.


For Maintenance Services or Information Please Contact Us At: 760-433-7640 Or Email: info@biocleanenvironmental.com

Inspection and Maintenance Report Catch Basin Only
A Forterra Company


## StormTech SC-740 Chamber

Designed to meet the most stringent industry performance standards for superior structural integrity while providing designers with a cost-effective method to save valuable land and protect water resources. The StormTech system is designed primarily to be used under parking lots thus maximizing land usage for

# StormTech <br> Detention•Retention•Recharge 

Subsurface Stormwater Management ${ }^{\text {sn }}$ commercial and municipal


## StormTech SC-740 Chamber

 (not to scale)Nominal Chamber Specifications
Size (L $\times W \times H$ )
$85.4^{\prime \prime} \times 51.0^{\prime \prime} \times 30.0^{\prime \prime}$
( $2170 \times 1295 \times 762 \mathrm{~mm}$ )

## Chamber Storage

$45.9 \mathrm{ft}^{3}\left(1.30 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$
Minimum Installed Storage*
$74.9 \mathrm{ft}^{3}\left(2.12 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$

## Weight

74.0 lbs ( 33.6 kg )

## Shipping

30 chambers/pallet
60 end caps/pallet
12 pallets/truck
(203 mm)




24" (610 mm ) DIA. MAX -
SC-740 End Cap


Typical Cross
Section Detail
(not to scale)


SC-740 Cumulative Storage Volumes Per Chamber
Assumes 40\% Stone Porosity. Calculations are Based Upon a 6" (152 mm) Stone Base Under the Chambers.

| Depth of Water in System Inches (mm) | Cumulative Chamber Storage $F^{88}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ | Total System Cumulative Storage $\mathrm{F}^{3}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 (1067) | A 45.90 (1.300) | 74.90 (2.121) |
| 41 (1041) | 45.90 (1.300) | 73.77 (2.089) |
| 40 (1016) | Stone 45.90 (1.300) | 72.64 (2.057) |
| 39 (991) | Cover 45.90 (1.300) | 71.52 (2.025) |
| 38 (965) | \| 45.90 (1.300) | 70.39 (1.993) |
| 37 (948) | \ 45.90 (1.300) | 69.26 (1.961) |
| 36 (914) | 45.90 (1.300) | 68.14 (1.929) |
| 35 (889) | 45.85 (1.298) | 66.98 (1.897) |
| 34 (864) | 45.69 (1.294) | 65.75 (1.862) |
| 33 (838) | 45.41 (1.286) | 64.46 (1.825) |
| 32 (813) | 44.81 (1.269) | 62.97 (1.783) |
| 31 (787) | 44.01 (1.246) | 61.36 (1.737) |
| 30 (762) | 43.06 (1.219) | 59.66 (1.689) |
| 29 (737) | 41.98 (1.189) | 57.89 (1.639) |
| 28 (711) | 40.80 (1.155) | 56.05 (1.587) |
| 27 (686) | 39.54 (1.120) | 54.17 (1.534) |
| 26 (660) | 38.18 (1.081) | 52.23 (1.479) |
| 25 (635) | 36.74 (1.040) | 50.23 (1.422) |
| 24 (610) | 35.22 (0.977) | 48.19 (1.365) |
| 23 (584) | 33.64 (0.953) | 46.11 (1.306) |
| 22 (559) | 31.99 (0.906) | 44.00 (1.246) |
| 21 (533) | 30.29 (0.858) | 41.85 (1.185) |
| 20 (508) | 28.54 (0.808) | 39.67 (1.123) |
| 19 (483) | 26.74 (0.757) | 37.47 (1.061) |
| 18 (457) | 24.89 (0.705) | 35.23 (0.997) |
| 17 (432) | 23.00 (0.651) | 32.96 (0.939) |
| 16 (406) | 21.06 (0.596) | 30.68 (0.869) |
| 15 (381) | 19.09 (0.541) | 28.36 (0.803) |
| 14 (356) | 17.08 (0.484) | 26.03 (0.737) |
| 13 (330) | 15.04 (0.426) | 23.68 (0.670) |
| 12 (305) | 12.97 (0.367) | 21.31 (0.608) |
| 11 (279) | 10.87 (0.309) | 18.92 (0.535) |
| 10 (254) | 8.74 (0.247) | 16.51 (0.468) |
| 9 (229) | 6.58 (0.186) | 14.09 (0.399) |
| 8 (203) | 4.41 (0.125) | 11.66 (0.330) |
| 7 (178) | 2.21 (0.063) | 9.21 (0.264) |
| 6 (152) | 10 | 6.76 (0.191) |
| 5 (127) | 0 | 5.63 (0.160) |
| 4 (102) | Stone Foundation 0 | 4.51 (0.125) |
| 3 (76) | 0 | 3.38 (0.095) |
| 2 (51) | 0 | 2.25 (0.064) |
| 1 (25) | $\checkmark \quad 0$ | 1.13 (0.032) |

Note: Add 1.13 cu. ft. ( $0.032 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) of storage for each additional inch ( 25 mm ) of stone foundation.

Storage Volume Per Chamber

|  | Bare <br> Chamber <br> Storage | Chamber and Stone <br> Stone Foundation Depth <br> in. (mm) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left.\mathbf{f t}^{3} \mathbf{( m}^{3}\right)$ | $\mathbf{6 ( 1 5 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 ( 3 0 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 ( 4 6 0 )}$ |
| StormTech SC-740 | $45.9(1.3)$ | $74.9(2.1)$ | $81.7(2.3)$ | $88.4(2.5)$ |

Note: Storage volumes are in cubic feet per chamber. Assumes $40 \%$ porosity for the stone plus the chamber volume.

## Amount of Stone Per Chamber

|  | Stone Foundation Depth |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGLISH TONS (CUBIC YARDS) | $\mathbf{6 " ~}^{\mathbf{\prime \prime}}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 "}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 "}$ |  |
| StormTech SC-740 | $3.8\left(2.8 \mathrm{yd}^{3}\right)$ | $4.6\left(3.3 \mathrm{yd}^{3}\right)$ | $5.5\left(3.9 \mathrm{yd}^{3}\right)$ |  |
| METRIC KILOGRAMS (METER³) | $\mathbf{1 5 0 ~ \mathbf { ~ m m }}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 5} \mathbf{~ m m}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 0 ~ m m}$ |  |
| StormTech SC-740 | $3450\left(2.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ | $4170\left(2.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ | $4490\left(3.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ |  |

Note: Assumes $6^{\prime \prime}(150 \mathrm{~mm})$ of stone above, and between chambers.
Volume of Excavation Per Chamber

|  | Stone Foundation Depth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6" $(150 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | 12 " 305 mm ) | 18" $(460 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |
| StormTech SC-740 | 5.5 (4.2) | 6.2 (4.7) | 6.8 (5.2) |

Note: Volumes are in cubic yards (cubic meters) per chamber. Assumes 6 " ( 150 mm ) of separation between chamber rows and $18^{\prime \prime}(460 \mathrm{~mm})$ of cover. The volume of excavation will vary as the depth of the cover increases.

## STANDARD LIMITED WARRANTY OF STORMTECH LLC ("STORMTECH"): PRODUCTS

(A) This Limited Warranty applies solely to the StormTech chambers and endplates manufactured by StormTech and sold to the original purchaser (the "Purchaser"). The chambers and endplates are collectively referred to as the "Products."
(B) The structural integrity of the Products, when installed strictly in accordance with StormTech's written installation instructions at the time of installation, are warranted to the Purchaser against defective materials and workmanship for one (1) year from the date of purchase. Should a defect appear in the Limited Warranty period, the Purchaser shall provide StormTech with written notice of the alleged defect at StormTech's corporate headquarters within ten (10) days of the discovery of the defect. The notice shall describe the alleged defect in reasonable detail. StormTech agrees to supply replacements for those Products determined by StormTech to be defective and covered by this Limited Warranty. The supply of replacement products is the sole remedy of the Purchaser for breaches of this Limited Warranty. StormTech's liability specifically excludes the cost of removal and/or installation of the Products.
(C) THIS LIMITED WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE. THERE ARE NO OTHER WARRANTIES WITH RESPECT TO THE PRODUCTS, INCLUDING NO IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANT-ABILITY OR OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
(D) This Limited Warranty only applies to the Products when the Products are installed in a single layer. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES, SHALL THE PRODUCTS BE INSTALLED IN A MULTI-LAYER CONFIGURATION.
(E) No representative of StormTech has the authority to change this Limited Warranty in any manner or to extend this Limited Warranty. This Limited Warranty does not apply to any person other than to the Purchaser.
(F) Under no circumstances shall StormTech be liable to the Purchaser or to any third party for product liability claims; claims arising from the design, shipment, or installation of the Products, or the cost of other goods or services related to the purchase and installation of the Products. For this Limited Warranty to apply, the Products must be installed in accordance with all site conditions required by state and local codes; all other applicable laws; and StormTech's written installation instructions.
(G) THE LIMITED WARRANTY DOES NOT EXTEND TO INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, SPECIAL OR INDIRECT DAMAGES. STORMTECH SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR PENALTIES OR LIQUIDATED DAMAGES, INCLUDING LOSS OF PRODUCTION AND PROFITS; LABOR AND MATERIALS; OVERHEAD COSTS; OR OTHER LOSS OR EXPENSE INCURRED BY THE PURCHASER OR ANY THIRD PARTY. SPECIFICALLY EXCLUDED FROM LIMITED WARRANTY COVERAGE ARE DAMAGE TO THE PRODUCTS ARISING FROM ORDINARY WEAR RANTY COVERAGE ARE DAMAGE TO THE PRODUCTS ARISING FROM ORDINARY WEAR BEING SUBJECTED TO VEHICLE TRAFFIC OR OTHER CONDITIONS WHICH ARE NOT PERMITTED BY STORMTECH'S WRITTEN SPECIFICATIONS OR INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS; FAILURE TO MAINTAIN THE MINIMUM GROUND COVERS SET FORTH IN THE INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS; THE PLACEMENT OF IMPROPER MATERIALS INTO THE PRODUCTS; FAILURE OF THE PRODUCTS DUE TO IMPROPER SITING OR IMPROPER SIZING; OR ANY OTHER EVENT NOT CAUSED BY STORMTECH. THIS LIMITED WAR RANTY REPRESENTS STORMTECH'S SOLE LIABILITY TO THE PURCHASER FOR RANTY REPRESENTS STORMTECH'S SOLE LIABILITY TO THE PURCHASER FOR TRACT, TORT, OR OTHER LEGAL THEORY.

20 Beaver Road, Suite 104 Wethersfield | Connecticut | 06109
860.529.8188 | 888.892.2694 | fax 866.328.8401 | fax 860-529-8040 | www.stormtech.com
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## StormTech ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ MC-4500 Chamber

Designed to meet the most stringent industry performance standards for superior structural integrity while providing designers with a cost-effective method to save valuable land and protect water resources. The StormTech system is designed primarily to be used under parking lots thus maximizing land usage for commercial and municipal applications.

StormTech MC-4500 End Cap (not to scale)
Nominal End Cap Specifications

| Size $(\mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{W} \times \mathrm{H})$ | $35.1^{\prime \prime}(891 \mathrm{~mm}) \times 90.2^{\prime \prime}(2291 \mathrm{~mm}) \times 59.4^{\prime \prime}(1509 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| End Cap Storage | $35.7 \mathrm{ft}^{3}\left(1.01 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ |
| Min. Installed Storage* | $108.7 \mathrm{ft}^{3}\left(3.08 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ |
| Nominal Weight | $120 \mathrm{lbs}(54.4 \mathrm{~kg})$ |

*This assumes a minimum of 12 " $(305 \mathrm{~mm})$ of stone above, $9^{\prime \prime}(229 \mathrm{~mm})$ of stone below, 12 " $(305 \mathrm{~mm})$ of stone perimeter, 9 " ( 229 mm ) of stone between chambers/end caps and $40 \%$ stone porosity.

## Shipping



## Storage Volume Per Chamber/End Cap ft ${ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$

|  | Bare Unit Storage $\mathrm{ft}^{3}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ | Chamber/End Cap and Stone Volume - Stone Foundation Depth in. (mm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $9^{\prime \prime}(229 \mathrm{~mm})$ | 12 " (305 mm) | 15 " (381 mm) | 18" (457 mm) |
| Chamber | 106.5 (3.02) | 162.6 (4.60) | 166.3 (4.71) | 169.9 (4.81) | 173.6 (4.91) |
| End Cap | 35.7 (1.01) | 108.7 (3.08) | 111.9 (3.17) | 115.2 (3.26) | 118.4 (3.35) |

NOTE: Assumes 9" (229 mm) min. row spacing, 12" (305 mm) min. of stone above, $40 \%$ stone porosity and includes the bare chamber/end cap volume. End cap volume assumes $12^{\prime \prime}(305 \mathrm{~mm})$ min. stone perimeter.

## Volume of Excavation Per Chamber/End Cap in yd ${ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$

|  | Stone Foundation Depth |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{9 "} \mathbf{( 2 2 9} \mathbf{~ m m})$ | $\mathbf{1 2 "}(\mathbf{3 0 5} \mathbf{~ m m})$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \prime \mathbf { ( 3 8 1 } \mathbf { ~ m m } )}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 " ( \mathbf { 4 5 7 } \mathbf { ~ m m } )}$ |
|  | $10.5(8.0)$ | $10.8(8.3)$ | $11.2(8.5)$ | $11.5(8.8)$ |
| End Cap | $9.3(7.1)$ | $9.6(7.3)$ | $9.9(7.6)$ | $10.2(7.8)$ |

NOTE: Assumes $9^{\prime \prime}(229 \mathrm{~mm})$ min. of separation between chamber rows, 12 " ( 305 mm ) min. of perimeter in front of end caps, and $24^{\prime \prime}(610 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) of cover. The volume of excavation will vary as the depth of cover increases.

Amount of Stone Per Chamber

| ENGLISH tons (yds ${ }^{3}$ ) | Stone Foundation Depth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9" (229 mm) | 12 " (305 mm) | $15 "(381 \mathrm{~mm})$ | 18" ( 457 mm ) |
| Chamber | 7.4 (5.2) | 7.8 (5.5) | 8.3 (5.9) | 8.8 (6.2) |
| End Cap | 9.6 (6.8) | 10.0 (7.1) | 10.4 (7.4) | 10.9 (7.7) |
| METRIC kg ( $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 229 mm | 305 mm | 381 mm | 457 mm |
| Chamber | 6681 (4.0) | 7117 (4.2) | 7552 (4.5) | 7987 (4.7) |
| End Cap | 8691 (5.2) | 9075 (5.4) | 9460 (5.6) | 9845 (5.9) |

NOTE: Assumes $12^{\prime \prime}$ ( 305 mm ) of stone above, $9^{\prime \prime}(229 \mathrm{~mm})$ min. row spacing, and $12^{\prime \prime}(305 \mathrm{~mm})$ min. of perimeter stone in front of end caps.

## General Cross Section

**Contact your local StormTech representative or visit www.stormtech.com for a copy of the latest installation instructions.


THE INSTALLED CHAMBER SYSTEM SHALL PROVIDE THE LOAD FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS SECTION 12.12 FOR EARTH AND LIVE LOADS, WITH CONSIDERATION FOR IMPACT AND MULTIPLE VEHICLE PRESENCES.

# StormTech 
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#  

20 Beaver Road, Suite 104 | Wethersfield | Connecticut | 06109
$860.529 .8188|888.892 .2694|$ fax $866.328 .8401 \mid$ fax $860-529-8040 \mid$ www.stormtech.com
*Advanced Drainage Systems, the ADS Iogo, the green stripe, are registered trademarks of Advanced Drainage Systems. StormTech and MC-4500 are registered trademarks of StormTech, Inc.
Green Building Council Member logo is a registered trademark of the U.S. Green Building Council.
ADS "Terms and Conditions of Sale" are available on the ADS website, www.ads-pipe.com


## Isolator ${ }^{\oplus}$ Row 0\&M Manual



## THE ISOLATOR ${ }^{\circledR}$ ROW

## INTRODUCTION

An important component of any Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan is inspection and maintenance. The StormTech Isolator Row is a technique to inexpensively enhance Total Suspended Solids (TSS) removal and provide easy access for inspection and maintenance.

## THE ISOLATOR ROW

The Isolator Row is a row of StormTech chambers, either SC-160LP, SC-310, SC-310-3, SC-740, DC-780, MC-3500 or MC-4500 models, that is surrounded with filter fabric and connected to a closely located manhole for easy access. The fabric-wrapped chambers provide for settling and filtration of sediment as storm water rises in the Isolator Row and ultimately passes through the filter fabric. The open bottom chambers and perforated sidewalls (SC-310, SC- 310-3 and SC-740 models) allow storm water to flow both vertically and horizontally out of the chambers. Sediments are captured in the Isolator Row protecting the storage areas of the adjacent stone and chambers from sediment accumulation.
Two different fabrics are used for the Isolator Row. A woven geotextile fabric is placed between the stone and the Isolator Row chambers. The tough geotextile provides a media for storm water filtration and provides a durable surface for maintenance operations. It is also designed to prevent scour of the underlying stone and remain intact during high pressure jetting. A non-woven fabric is placed over the chambers to provide a filter media for flows passing through the perforations in the sidewall of the chamber. The non-woven fabric is not required over the SC-160LP, DC-780, MC-3500 or MC-4500 models as these chambers do not have perforated side walls.
The Isolator Row is typically designed to capture the "first flush" and offers the versatility to be sized on a volume basis or flow rate basis. An upstream manhole not only provides access to the Isolator Row but typically includes a high flow weir such that storm water flowrates or volumes that exceed the capacity of the Isolator Row overtop the over flow weir and discharge through a manifold to the other chambers.
The Isolator Row may also be part of a treatment train. By treating storm water prior to entry into the chamber system, the service life can be extended and pollutants such as hydrocarbons can be captured. Pre-treatment best management practices can be as simple as deep sump catch basins, oil-water separators or can be innovative storm water treatment devices. The design of the treatment train and selection of pretreatment devices by the design engineer is often driven by regulatory requirements. Whether pretreatment is used or not, the Isolator Row is recommended by StormTech as an effective means to minimize maintenance requirements and maintenance costs.
Note: See the StormTech Design Manual for detailed information on designing inlets for a StormTech system, including the Isolator Row.


Looking down the Isolator Row from the manhole opening, woven geotextile is shown between the chamber and stone base.


StormTech Isolator Row with Overflow Spillway (not to scale)



## ISOLATOR ROW INSPECTION/MAINTENANCE

## INSPECTION

The frequency of inspection and maintenance varies by location. A routine inspection schedule needs to be established for each individual location based upon site specific variables. The type of land use (i.e. industrial, commercial, residential), anticipated pollutant load, percent imperviousness, climate, etc. all play a critical role in determining the actual frequency of inspection and maintenance practices.
At a minimum, StormTech recommends annual inspections. Initially, the Isolator Row should be inspected every 6 months for the first year of operation. For subsequent years, the inspection should be adjusted based upon previous observation of sediment deposition.
The Isolator Row incorporates a combination of standard manhole(s) and strategically located inspection ports (as needed). The inspection ports allow for easy access to the system from the surface, eliminating the need to perform a confined space entry for inspection purposes.
If upon visual inspection it is found that sediment has accumulated, a stadia rod should be inserted to determine the depth of sediment. When the average depth of sediment exceeds 3 inches throughout the length of the Isolator Row, clean-out should be performed.

## MAINTENANCE

The Isolator Row was designed to reduce the cost of periodic maintenance. By "isolating" sediments to just one row, costs are dramatically reduced by eliminating the need to clean out each row of the entire storage bed. If inspection indicates the potential need for maintenance, access is provided via a manhole(s) located on the end(s) of the row for cleanout. If entry into the manhole is required, please follow local and OSHA rules for a confined space entries.
Maintenance is accomplished with the JetVac process. The JetVac process utilizes a high pressure water nozzle to propel itself down the Isolator Row while scouring and suspending sediments. As the nozzle is retrieved, the captured pollutants are flushed back into the manhole for vacuuming. Most sewer and pipe maintenance companies have vacuum/JetVac combination vehicles. Selection of an appropriate JetVac nozzle will improve maintenance efficiency. Fixed nozzles designed for culverts or large diameter pipe cleaning are preferable. Rear facing jets with an effective spread of at least 45 " are best. Most JetVac reels have 400 feet of hose allowing maintenance of an Isolator Row up to 50 chambers long. The JetVac process shall only be performed on StormTech Isolator Rows that have AASHTO class 1 woven geotextile (as specified by StormTech) over their angular base stone.

## StormTech Isolator Row (not to scale)

Note: Non-woven fabric is only required over the inlet pipe connection into the end cap for SC-160LP, DC-780, MC-3500 and MC-4500 chamber models and is not required over the entire Isolator Row.


## ISOLATOR ROW STEP BY STEP MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

## STEP 1

Inspect Isolator Row for sediment.
A) Inspection ports (if present)
i. Remove lid from floor box frame
ii. Remove cap from inspection riser
iii. Using a flashlight and stadia rod,measure depth of sediment and record results on maintenance log.
iv. If sediment is at or above 3 inch depth, proceed to Step 2. If not, proceed to Step 3.
B) All Isolator Rows
i. Remove cover from manhole at upstream end of Isolator Row
ii. Using a flashlight, inspect down Isolator Row through outlet pipe

1. Mirrors on poles or cameras may be used to avoid a confined space entry
2. Follow OSHA regulations for confined space entry if entering manhole
iii. If sediment is at or above the lower row of sidewall holes (approximately 3 inches), proceed to Step 2. If not, proceed to Step 3.

STEP 2
Clean out Isolator Row using the JetVac process.
A) A fixed floor cleaning nozzle with rear facing nozzle spread of 45 inches or more is preferable
B) Apply multiple passes of JetVac until backflush water is clean
C) Vacuum manhole sump as required

## STEP 3

Replace all caps, lids and covers, record observations and actions.

## STEP 4

Inspect \& clean catch basins and manholes upstream of the StormTech system.


SAMPLE MAINTENANCE LOG

| Date | Stadia Rod Readings |  | Sediment Depth$(1)-(2)$ | Observations/Actions | Inspector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fixed point to chamber bottom (1) | Fixed point to top of sediment (2) |  |  |  |
| 3/15/11 | 6.3 ft | none |  | New installation. Fixed point is CI frame at grade | $D J M$ |
| 9/24/11 |  | 6.2 | 0.1 ft | Some grit felt | SM |
| 6/20/13 |  | 5.8 | 0.5 ft | Mucky feel, debris visible in manhole and in Isolator Row, maintenance due | NV |
| 7/7/13 | 6.3 ft |  | $\bigcirc$ | System jetted and vacuumed | DJM |


[^0]:    $\square \quad$ Provided a WQMP with the portion of site area used for suite of LID BMP equal to minimum thresholds in Table 5-7 of the TGD for WQMP for the proposed category of development:
    If maximized on-site retention BMPs is feasible for partial capture, then LID BMP implementation must be optimized to retain and infiltrate the maximum portion of the DCV possible within the prescribed minimum effective area. The remaining portion of the DCV shall then be mitigated using biotreatment BMP.

