Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Interstate 680 (I-680) Express Lanes from State Route 84 (SR 84) to Alcosta Boulevard Project

Lead Agency: California Department of Transportation, District 4

Contact Name: Brian Gassner

Email: brian.gassner@dot.ca.gov Phone Number: 510-286-6025

Project Location: Sunol, Pleasanton, Dublin, and San Ramon / Alameda and Contra Costa

City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The California Department of Transportation, in cooperation with the Alameda County Transportation Commission, proposes to construct High Occupancy Vehicle/express lanes (HOV/express lanes) on northbound and southbound I-680 from SR 84 (Vallecitos Road) in Alameda County to north of Alcosta Boulevard in Contra Costa County. The project would also install electronic tolling equipment and signs; widen pavement in the median and shoulders; construct concrete barriers, retaining walls, and sound walls; and upgrade safety features throughout the project corridor. The project would close the 9-mile gap between the existing and in-progress express lanes to the north and south.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The proposed project would have no effect on agriculture and forestry resources, land use and planning, mineral resources, population and housing, recreation, and tribal cultural resources.
In addition, the proposed project would have less-than-significant effects to aesthetics, air quality, cultural resources, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, transportation, public services, noise, utilities and service systems, and wildfire.
With avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures, the proposed project would have less-than-significant effects to biological resources, specifically sensitive natural communities, trees, wetlands and other waters of the U.S., and threatened and endangered species (California tiger salamander, California red-legged frog, and Alameda whipsnake).

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Responsible Agencies: Alameda County Transportation Commission, California Transportation Commission
Cooperating Agencies: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Federal Highway Administration, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board