## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

10-SJ-4	R14.6/R16.2 10-11		I Aid Draigat No. /Local [	Drainat\/Drainat Na	
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) PROJECT DESCRIPTION:			il-Aid Project No. (Local F		
activities involved in this box. Use (			ion, iimito, right or way ro	Admoniono, and	
The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes a SB-1 highway maintenance project to restripe 1.5 miles of State Route 4 (both directions) in San Joaquin County in Stockton from Navy Drive to Mormon Slough Bridge (No. 29-237RL). Construction will occur on paved surfaces within Caltrans right-of-way. The purpose of this project is to bring the striping to current 6" standards and to rejuvenate existing delineation. The need for this project is to prevent further deterioration of striping visibility.					
CALTRANS CEQA DETER	MINATION (Check of	one)			
Not Applicable – Caltrans is  Based on an examination of this pro	not the CEQA Lead A	gency Not Applicable Environmental Impa mation, and the above stateme	- Caltrans has prepared act Report under CEQA ants, the project is:		
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 210		• •			
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)  Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:					
<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,</li> </ul>					
over time.     There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.					
<ul> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").</li> </ul>					
This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)					
Dominic Vitali		Jose A. Alicea II			
Print Name: Senior Environmental P	lanner or	Print Name: Project Ma	nager		
		_			
Environmental Branch Chief		<u> </u>	4	/15/2020	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature	Date	Signature	4	./15/2020 Date	
Environmental Branch Chief	7, and based on an exa vely have a significant i ronmental Assessment	Signature  Imination of this proposal and signature  Impact on the environment as de (EA) or Environmental Impact S	upporting information, the	Date e State has	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envi	7, and based on an exa vely have a significant i ronmental Assessment tances pursuant to 23 (	Signature Imination of this proposal and some second second in the environment as de (EA) or Environmental Impact SCFR 771.117(b).	upporting information, the	Date e State has	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envious has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETERI  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorandinas determined that the project  23 CFR 771.117(c): acc	7, and based on an example of the property of	signature  Impact on the environment as de (EA) or Environmental Impact SCFR 771.117(b).  One)  ect has no significant impacts of in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such at least one of the company of the compan	upporting information, the efined by NEPA, and is estatement (EIS), and in the environment as defin, the project is categoric ct. The State has been a to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Ustween the FHWA and the	Date  e State has  xcluded from the  fined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby United States Code,	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envious has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETERI  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare an exertifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorandinas determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): acc 23 CFR 771.117(d): acc 23 USC 327: Based on an exa Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for	vely have a significant is ronmental Assessment tances pursuant to 23 (MINATION (Check etermined that this projumstances as described in EA or All Junger the the responsibility to make a Categorical Exclusivity (c) ()  Appendix A of the MC amination of this propose 3 USC 327. The enviror r this project are being,	signature  Immination of this proposal and some and the environment as do (EA) or Environmental Impact SCFR 771.117(b).  One)  ect has no significant impacts of in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such a significant impacts of the control of	upporting information, the efined by NEPA, and is estatement (EIS), and in the environment as defin, the project is categoric ct. The State has been a to Chapter 3 of Title 23, but ween the FHWA and the later of any other actions regulations pursuant to 28 VS	Date e State has xcluded from the fined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby Jnited States Code, e State. The State that the project is a gired by applicable	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envious has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETERI  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare an exertifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorandinas determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): acc 23 CFR 771.117(d): acc 23 USC 327: Based on an exa Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for	vely have a significant is ronmental Assessment tances pursuant to 23 (MINATION (Check etermined that this projumstances as described in EA or Els lunder the the responsibility to make a Categorical Exclusivity (d) ()  Appendix A of the MC amination of this propose 3 USC 327. The enviror r this project are being, ing dated December 23,	signature  Impact on the environment as de (EA) or Environmental Impact SCFR 771.117(b).  Impact on the environmental Impact SCFR 771.117(b).  Impact on the environmental Impact SCFR 771.117(b).  Impact on the environmental Impact SCFR 771.117(b).  Impact of the environmental Policy Actional Environmental Impact Science Information Impact Science Im	upporting information, the effined by NEPA, and is estatement (EIS), and in the environment as defined the project is categoric ct. The State has been a to Chapter 3 of Title 23, letween the FHWA and the effect of any other actions regularities pursuant to 28 VS and Galtrans.	Date e State has xcluded from the fined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby Jnited States Code, e State. The State that the project is a gired by applicable	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envious has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETERI  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorandinas determined that the project and that the project and	vely have a significant is ronmental Assessment tances pursuant to 23 (MINATION (Check etermined that this projumstances as described in EA or Els lunder the the responsibility to make a Categorical Exclusivity (d) ()  Appendix A of the MC amination of this propose 3 USC 327. The enviror r this project are being, ing dated December 23,	signature  Imination of this proposal and some action the environment as de (EA) or Environmental Impact SCFR 771.117(b).  In cone)  Sect has no significant impacts of the control of the	upporting information, the effined by NEPA, and is estatement (EIS), and in the environment as defined the project is categoric ct. The State has been a to Chapter 3 of Title 23, letween the FHWA and the effect of any other actions regularities pursuant to 28 VS and Galtrans.	Date e State has xcluded from the fined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby Jnited States Code, e State. The State that the project is a gired by applicable	

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

# CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

10-SJ-4	R14.6/R16.2	10-1M110/1020000112	
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.

### Continued from page 1:

#### General:

- Environmental reevaluation will be required if the scope of the project changes to include additional areas or activities, or if previously unknown cultural or other sensitive resources are discovered. Contact Environmental Office if the project changes occur or sensitive resources discovered.

#### Hazardous Waste:

- The Caltrans Standard Specification 84-9.03C, pertaining to nonhazardous striping, shall be added to the construction contract.
- The Caltrans Standard Specification 7-1.02K(6)(j)(ii), pertaining to Lead Compliance Plan, shall be added to the construction contract.

#### Cultural:

- If cultural materials are discovered at the job site, do not disturb the resources and immediately:
  - 1. Stop all work within a 60-foot radius of the discovery
  - 2. Protect the discovery area
  - 3. Notify the Engineer

The Department investigates. Do not move cultural materials or take them from the job site. Retain a qualified archaeologist to assess the significance of the find. Do not resume work within the discovery area until authorized.

-	If human remains are discovered, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that further disturbances and activities shall cease in any area or nearby area suspected to overlie remains, and the County Coroner contacted. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98, if the remains are thought to be Native American, the coroner will notify the Native American heritage Commission (NAHC) who will then notify the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). At the time the landowner will work with the MLD on the respectful treatment and disposition of the remains. Further provisions of PRC 5097.98 are to be followed as applicable. The assigned Caltrans Archaeologist has identified an area within close proximity to the proposed project that should not be utilized for staging due to culturally sensitivity, please see attached Map 1 and Map 2. All staging and storage will occur on paved surfaces within Caltrans Right of Way.
No perm	nits are required for this project.

Page 2 of 2 January 3, 2019



