## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

03-COL-5	VAR/VAR	03-0J200/0	319000139			
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project		eral-Aid Project No. (Local Pi		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:			need, purpose, lo	cation, limits, right-of-way req	uirements, and	
activities involved in this box. Use	Continuation Sheet, if	f necessary.)	<i>c</i> : .			
A Director's Order was issue						
highway closures in Colusa (includes removing debris fro						
avoid the failure or threat of						
impairment of life, health, pro				nevent of findgate the it	J55 UI	
	operty, and essen	itiai public s	er vices.			
(Continued on page 2)						
<b>CALTRANS CEQA DETER</b>	MINATION (Chec	k one)				
☐ Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency ☐ Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA						
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:  Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)						
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)						
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:						
concern where designa	<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,</li> </ul>					
over time.				ffect on the environment due		
circumstances.  This project does not da						
<ul> <li>This project is not locate</li> <li>This project does not ca</li> </ul>				Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cole of a historical resource.	rtese List").	
Common Sense Exemption possibility that the activity may					ty that there is no	
Julia K. Green			Anthony W. Th			
Print Name: Senior Environmental P	Planner or		Print Name: Project	Manager		
Environmental Prench Chief						
Environmental Branch Chief	-1-1				E/10/2020	
Environmental Branch Chief	5/13/2	20	Anthon	Thurman	5/19/2020	
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	5/13/2 Date	20	Anthoni Signature	Thurman	5/19/2020 Date	
189	5/13 bate	20		Thurman		
Signature	5//3 bate 7, and based on an e		Signature		Date	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:		examination o	Signature /	supporting information, the	Date State has	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulating	ively have a significar	examination o	Signature  f this proposal and the environment as	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is ex	Date State has	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Env	ively have a significar ironmental Assessme	examination of nt impact on the ent (EA) or En	Signature  f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is ex	Date State has	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circums	ively have a significar ironmental Assessme stances pursuant to 2	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11	Signature  f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is ex	Date State has	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Env	ively have a significar ironmental Assessme stances pursuant to 2	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11	Signature  f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is ex	Date State has	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER	ively have a significar ironmental Assessme stances pursuant to 2:	examination of the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11	f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa	I supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is except Statement (EIS), and	Date State has cluded from the	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums	ively have a significar ironmental Assessme stances pursuant to 2: MINATION (Che determined that this p umstances as describ	examination on the impact on the impact on the impact on End 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) or oject has no bed in 23 CFF	f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa (7(b)).	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exct Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical	Date  State has cluded from the med by NEPA, and ally excluded from	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the second considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare as	ively have a significar ironmental Assessme stances pursuant to 2: MINATION (Che determined that this p umstances as describ an EA or EIS under th	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) oroject has no bed in 23 CFF are National En	f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa (7(b)).  significant impact (771.117(b)). As significant Policy (170.117(b)). As significant Policy (170.117(b)).	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exit statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical Act. The State has been as	Date  State has cluded from the med by NEPA, and ally excluded from signed, and hereby	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the second considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has defined that there are no unusual circums that the the	ively have a significar ironmental Assessme stances pursuant to 2: MINATION (Che determined that this p umstances as describ an EA or EIS under the the responsibility to r	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) oroject has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this detronal examples.	f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa (7/b).  significant impact (8 771.117(b). As sivironmental Policemination pursua	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exit statement (EIS), and is on the environment as defined the project is categorically Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, University of the project is categorically Act.	Date  State has  cluded from the  med by NEPA, and ally excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code,	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the second of the second of the second of the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) project has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this dety dated May 3	f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa (7/b).  significant impact (8 771.117(b). As sivironmental Policemination pursual, 2016, executed	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exit statement (EIS), and is on the environment as defined the project is categorically Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, University of the project is categorically Act.	Date  State has  cluded from the  med by NEPA, and ally excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code,	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envelope that the considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has a control that there are no unusual circums that the sequirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projections.	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) project has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this dety dated May 3	f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa (7/b).  significant impact (8 771.117(b). As sivironmental Policemination pursual, 2016, executed	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exit statement (EIS), and is on the environment as defined the project is categorically Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, University of the project is categorically Act.	Date  State has cluded from the  med by NEPA, and ally excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code,	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env  • has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has a that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): accordance with the complex control of the control of the complex control of the contro	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) project has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this dety dated May 3	f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa (7/b).  significant impact (8 771.117(b). As sivironmental Policemination pursual, 2016, executed	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exit statement (EIS), and is on the environment as defined the project is categorically Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, University of the project is categorically Act.	Date  State has cluded from the  med by NEPA, and ally excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code,	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env  • has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): according to the control of the co	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) or oject has no bed in 23 CFF are National En make this detay dated May 3 colusion under	f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa 7(b).  significant impact 771.117(b). As sivironmental Policermination pursua 1, 2016, executed:	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exct Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical Act. The State has been as nt to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Ubetween the FHWA and the	Date  State has cluded from the  med by NEPA, and ally excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code,	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env  • has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): according to the control of the co	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) or oject has no bed in 23 CFF are National Enmake this detay dated May 3 colusion under	f this proposal and the environment as vironmental Impa (7(b)).  significant impact (771.117(b)). As sivironmental Policermination pursua (1, 2016, executed):	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exct Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Up between the FHWA and the	Date  State has cluded from the med by NEPA, and ally excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code, State. The State	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env  • has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has a considered unusual circums that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): according to the consideration of the consid	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) or oject has no bed in 23 CFF are National Enmake this detail dated May 3 colusion under the bosal and supposal suppo	f this proposal and the environmental Impa (7(b)).  significant impact (771.117(b)). As sivironmental Policermination pursual (1, 2016, executed (1, 2016)).	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is except Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Ubetween the FHWA and the State in, the State has determined to	Date  State has cluded from the ned by NEPA, and ally excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code, State. The State	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env • has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): according to the control of the con	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) or oject has no bed in 23 CFF are National Enmake this detail dated May 3 colusion under the bosal and supvironmental re	f this proposal and the environmental Impart (b).  significant impact (c) (771.117(b). As a significant impact (c) (771.117(b). As a significant impact (c)	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is except Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Ubetween the FHWA and the State has determined to and any other actions required.	Date  State has cluded from the  ned by NEPA, and illy excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code, State. The State	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env  • has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has a considered unusual circums that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): according to the consideration of the consid	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) project has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this detry dated May 3 colusion under the end of the example of the	f this proposal and the environmental Impact (2,771.117(b). As significant impact (2,	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exect Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Ubetween the FHWA and the State has determined to and any other actions required to altrans pursuant to 23 USC	Date  State has cluded from the  ned by NEPA, and illy excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code, State. The State	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the second determined that there are no unusual circums that there are no unusual circums that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): according to the second determined that the projection of the second determined that the seco	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) project has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this detry dated May 3 colusion under the end of the example of the	f this proposal and the environmental Impact (2,771.117(b). As significant impact (2,	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exect Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Ubetween the FHWA and the State has determined to and any other actions required to altrans pursuant to 23 USC	Date  State has cluded from the  ned by NEPA, and illy excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code, State. The State	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env  • has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): according a CFR 771.117(d): according a USC 327: Based on an excording a	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) project has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this detry dated May 3 colusion under the end of the example of the	f this proposal and the environmental Imparts (7/6).  significant impacts (7/1.117/6). As significant	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is excit Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical. Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Unbetween the FHWA and the state in, the State has determined the and any other actions required that and any other actions required that and any other actions required that and caltrans.	Date  State has cluded from the  ned by NEPA, and illy excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code, State. The State	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the second determined that there are no unusual circums that there are no unusual circums that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): according to the second determined that the projection of the second determined that the seco	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) project has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this detry dated May 3 colusion under the end of the example of the	f this proposal and the environmental Imparts (7/6).  significant impacts (7/1.117/6). As significant	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is exect Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Ubetween the FHWA and the State has determined to and any other actions required to altrans pursuant to 23 USC	Date  State has cluded from the  ned by NEPA, and illy excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code, State. The State	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env • has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circ the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the proje  23 CFR 771.117(c): ac  23 CFR 771.117(d): ac  Activity listed in  23 USC 327: Based on an ex Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandin  Print Name: Senior Environmental F	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) project has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this detry dated May 3 colusion under the end of the example of the	f this proposal and the environmental Imparts (7/6).  significant impacts (7/1.117/6). As significant	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is excit Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical. Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Unbetween the FHWA and the state in, the State has determined the and any other actions required that and any other actions required that and any other actions required that and caltrans.	Date  State has cluded from the med by NEPA, and ally excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code, State. The State	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env • has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circ the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the proje  23 CFR 771.117(c): ac  23 CFR 771.117(d): ac  Activity listed in  23 USC 327: Based on an ex Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandin  Print Name: Senior Environmental F	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) project has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this detry dated May 3 colusion under the end of the example of the	f this proposal and the environmental Imparts (7/6).  significant impacts (7/1.117/6). As significant	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is excit Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical. Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Unbetween the FHWA and the state in, the State has determined the and any other actions required that and any other actions required that and any other actions required that and caltrans.	Date  State has cluded from the med by NEPA, and ally excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code, State. The State	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env • has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circ the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the proje  23 CFR 771.117(c): ac  23 CFR 771.117(d): ac  Activity listed in  23 USC 327: Based on an ex Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandin  Print Name: Senior Environmental F	ively have a significar ironmental Assessmental Assessmen	examination on the impact on the impact on the ent (EA) or En 3 CFR 771.11 eck one) or oject has no bed in 23 CFF le National En make this detent of dated May 3 colusion under the end of	f this proposal and the environmental Imparts (7/6).  significant impacts (7/1.117/6). As significant	d supporting information, the defined by NEPA, and is excit Statement (EIS), and so on the environment as defined the project is categorical. Act. The State has been as not to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Unbetween the FHWA and the state in, the State has determined the and any other actions required that and any other actions required that and any other actions required that and caltrans.	Date  State has cluded from the  ned by NEPA, and illy excluded from signed, and hereby nited States Code, State. The State	

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

03-COL-5 VAR/VAR 03-0J200/0319000139 Dist.-Co.-Rte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Project No. Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No. Continued from page 1: All staging would take place within the Caltrans right-of-way. All work is within the Caltrans right-of-way. No borrow or disposal is required. No need for consultation or coordination. A 1610 Notification of Emgerency Work was submitted to California Department of Fish and Wildlife.