### CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

<b>07-LA-39, 71</b> DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	<b>17.81, R0.92</b> P.M./P.M.	<b>32620/0716000113</b> E.A/Project No.	<b>CE# 201809005</b> Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.			
		•	se, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and			
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.)						
Caltrans District 7 is proposing a seismic retrofit and barrier replacement project on two bridge structures on separate routes within Los Angeles County; the San Gabriel River Bridge (Bridge Number 53-0113, Post Mile 17.81) on State Route (SR)-39 and the Ridgeway Street Undercrossing (UC) (Bridge Number 53- 2052, Post Mile R0.92) on SR-71. Painting work and modification of the structure approach slabs are also proposed for the San Gabriel Bridge. Environmental commitments are shown on the continuation pages.						
CALTRANS CEQA DETER	RMINATION (Chec	ck one)				
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency  Environmental Impact Report under CEQA  Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:						
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)						
Categorically Exempt. Class . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)  Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:						
<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>over time.</li> <li>There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").</li> <li>This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.</li> </ul>						
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)						
N/A		N/A				
Print Name: Senior Environmental F Environmental Branch Chief	Planner or	Print Name: P	oject Manager			
Signature	Date	Signature	Date			
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).						
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulat requirements to prepare an Env	ively have a significal ironmental Assessme	nt impact on the environme ent (EA) or Environmental				
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulat requirements to prepare an Envhas considered unusual circums	ively have a significa ironmental Assessme stances pursuant to 2	nt impact on the environmental 3 CFR 771.117(b).				
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulat requirements to prepare an Enventre has considered unusual circums.  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has a that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranch has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): au 23 CFR 771.117(d): au	ively have a signification mental Assessmental Assessment	nt impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental (BA) or Environmental (BA) or Environmental (BA) or Environmental (BA) or Open (BA)	appact Statement (EIS), and  appacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and As such, the project is categorically excluded from Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and herebursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code cuted between the FHWA and the State. The State			
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulat requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranch has determined that the projection 23 CFR 771.117(c): accept 23 CFR 771.117(d): accept 23 USC 327: Based on an except 23 USC 327: Based on an except 24 Categorical Exclusion under 25	ively have a signification mental Assessment and As	nt impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental (3 CFR 771.117(b)).  eck one) project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  ne National Environmental make this determination project dated May 31, 2016, exercised under:	appacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and As such, the project is categorically excluded from Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and herebursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Codecuted between the FHWA and the State. The State estate nation, the State has determined that the project is a station, and any other actions required by applicable but by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the			
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulat requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranchas determined that the projection 326 FR 771.117(c): according 23 CFR 771.117(d): according Activity 5 listed in Apple 23 USC 327: Based on an excategorical Exclusion under 25 Federal environmental laws for	ively have a signification mental Assessment and As	nt impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental (3 CFR 771.117(b)).  eck one) project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  ne National Environmental make this determination project dated May 31, 2016, exercised under:	appacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and As such, the project is categorically excluded from Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and herebursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code cuted between the FHWA and the State. The State estate nation, the State has determined that the project is a tation, and any other actions required by applicable ut by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the FHWA and Caltrans.			
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulat requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranch has determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranch as determined that the projection 326 are T71.117(c): and Activity 5 listed in Apple 23 USC 327: Based on an excategorical Exclusion under 25 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandi	ively have a signification ironmental Assessmental Assess	nt impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental (3 CFR 771.117(b)). eck one) project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b), he National Environmental make this determination programmental for the National Environmental make this determination programmental for the National Environmental Figure 1 (National Environmental Figure 1) (National Environmen	appacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and As such, the project is categorically excluded from Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and herebursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code cuted between the FHWA and the State. The State estate nation, the State has determined that the project is a tation, and any other actions required by applicable ut by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the FHWA and Caltrans.			
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulat requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranch has determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranch as determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranch as determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranch as determined that the projection 327 CFR 771.117(d): and Activity 5 listed in Apple 23 USC 327: Based on an excategorical Exclusion under 25 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandi Karl Price  Print Name: Senior Environmental Frint Name: Seni	ively have a signification ironmental Assessmental Assess	nt impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental (BA) or Environmental (BA) or Environmental (BA) or Environmental (BA) or Exercise (BA) or Exercise (BA) or Environmental (BA) or Environmental (BA) of Exercise (BA) or Environmental (BA) or	appacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and As such, the project is categorically excluded from Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and herebursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code cuted between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a station, and any other actions required by applicable but by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the FHWA and Caltrans.			
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulat requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has of that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranch has determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranch has determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranch has determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranch has determined that the projection 327 CFR 771.117(d): and Activity 5 listed in Apple 33 USC 327: Based on an expectate of the projection	ively have a signification mental Assessment and As	nt impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental (3 CFR 771.117(b)). eck one) project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b), he National Environmental make this determination programmental for exercision under:  OU between FHWA and the posal and supporting informing or environmental review, consuling, or have been, carried (23, 2016 and executed by 1 Diwanjit Print Name: Print	inpact Statement (EIS), and  appacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and As such, the project is categorically excluded from Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and herebursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code cuted between the FHWA and the State. The State  Be State  Ination, the State has determined that the project is a faction, and any other actions required by applicable out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the FHWA and Caltrans.  Balaha  Toject Manager/DLA Engineer			

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

#### Continued from page 1:

Numerous technical studies have been prepared in support of this project. The project was found to have no impact on the following environmental factors: Aesthetics, Agricultural and Forest Resources, Land Use and Planning, Mineral Resources, Noise, Population, Public Services, Recreation, and Utilities. The following is a brief summary of potential impacts that have been identified:

#### Air Quality

An Air Quality Memorandum was prepared and determined the project is listed in Table 2 of 40 CFR 93.126 under the subtitle "safety", therefore the project is exempt from the requirements to determine conformity. The study also determined the project would not result in any changes to traffic volumes, vehicle mix, or any other factors causing an increase in mobile source air toxic emissions impacts.

#### **Cultural Resources**

An Historic Property Survey Report prepared for this project determined there are no known archaeological resources within or adjacent to the project areas through extensive literature search and Native American consultation. Additionally, the bridges themselves were evaluated for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places and were not found eligible for inclusion, in accordance with Section 106 PA Stipulation VIII.C.5 and as applicable PRC 5024 Memorandum of Understanding Stipulation VIII.C.5.

#### **Hazardous Waste**

A project-specific Hazardous Waste Technical Memorandum revealed elements of the proposed construction activities will involve the potential occurrence of Asbestos Containing Construction material (ACCM), Aerially Deposited Lead (ADL), Lead Based Paint, contaminated groundwater, and electrical waste.

A Site Investigation (SI) will be conducted to determine whether the bridge structure, deck and railing of the Ridgeway Street UC contains asbestos and whether the San Gabriel River Bridge contains lead-based paint. The SI will also determine if construction dewatering is required for groundwater and if the soil contains aerially deposited lead. The characterization of the groundwater and soil will determine the appropriate methods for handling, managing, and disposal of these materials. The streetlights anchored to the Ridgeway Street UC will be classified as electronic waste and properly disposed of according to Title 22.

#### **Biological Resources**

A Natural Environment Study was prepared for the project and to support the study, biologists conducted surveys at both project locations. An on-foot survey was conducted at the Ridgeway Street UC which determined there are few biological resources in the area because the bridge is in a highly urbanized environment. No further surveys were conducted and no mitigation measures were proposed for the Ridgeway Street UC. Due to the natural habitat surrounding the San Gabriel River Bridge, the following types of surveys were conducted: general biological assessment, protocol survey for Least Bell's vireo, protocol survey for Southwestern willow flycatcher, fish survey, and tree survey. The surveys revealed there is native riparian vegetation in the San Gabriel River that is used as habitat for foraging bird and bat species. Those species potentially occurring or found occurring in the project study area are described below:

#### Bird Species

Critical habitat exists for the southwestern willow flycatcher although the species was not detected during surveys. The project is not anticipated to directly affect southwestern willow flycatcher because there is no suitable breeding habitat in the project impact area (PIA). However, the project may indirectly affect this species if it is nesting in the biological study area (BSA) outside of the Project Impact Area (PIA). The project may also cause indirect effects by temporarily removing suitable foraging and migration habitat. No suitable breeding habitat exists in the PIA for the least Bell's vireo and the species was not detected during surveys. But similar to the southwestern willow flycatcher, the project may indirectly affect this species if nesting occurs within the BSA.

The yellow warbler and Southern California rufous-crowned sparrow were detected multiple times in the BSA. The project is not anticipated to directly affect these species because there is no suitable breeding habitat in the PIA. Yet the project may indirectly affect them if nesting occurs within the BSA but outside of the PIA.

#### Bat Species

The bridge is used for night roosting as indicated by ample guano under the bridge and urine staining on the soffit. Direct impacts to bat species are not anticipated, due to the lack of suitable day roosting habitat on the bridge, but the project may still affect tree roosting bats or bats that forage in the canyon by using artificial lighting for night work.

#### Crotch Bumble Bee

The Crotch bumble bee was not detected during any field surveys conducted during the species' flight periods, however foraging habitat (buckwheat scrub) for this species was observed in a small area to the south of the bridge and suitable colony nesting habitat was also found in the staging area to the southwest of the bridge. The project will directly affect a small part of the total available foraging habitat in the BSA and PIA by disturbing soil, and the project will indirectly affect this species through the temporary removal of suitable colony nesting and foraging habitat.

#### Riparian Habitat

Portions of the riparian vegetation, the sycamore woodland, and the black and red willow thickets are stands of special status natural communities by the San Gabriel River bridge. The riparian woodlands (California sycamore woodlands) and riparian scrub (black willow thicket) are classified as special status natural communities by CDFW. The project will remove 14 trees; these will be replaced to ensure the tree impacts are temporary.

#### **Hydrology and Water Quality**

A draft Stormwater Data Report was prepared for this project and found that the project would not substantially alter any existing drainage pattern at either bridge or add any impervious surface to the San Gabriel River. The soil disturbance at the San Gabriel River

Page 2 of 3 January 3, 2019

# CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

		Continuation Sheet	
07-LA-39, 71	17.81, R0.92	32620/0716000113	CE# 201809005
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.
to the construction of new piles, bu			a small permanent loss to all jurisdictional areas due
<u>Transportation</u>			
A Traffic Management Plan Data S delays that may result from lane res			measures and design strategies to minimize any traffic cone.
included in Appendix C of the Ini Engineers (Nationwide Permit ur Alteration Agreement), and Region	itial Study. Addition Inder Section 404), Ional Water Quality	onally, permits are require California Department of Control Board (Section 4	any potential impacts to the environment are and for this project from the Army Corps of Fish and Wildlife (1602 Lake or Streambed 401 Water Quality Certification). Any measures be incorporated into the project and added to
	t would have a sig	gnificant impact on the qu	context of NEPA, the totality of the impacts do not sality of the human environment. Therefore, a

Page 3 of 3 January 3, 2019