

Hi-Desert Water District Phase II and III Sewer Collection System AIR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS

HI-DESERT WATER DISTRICT

Town of Yucca Valley, San Bernardino County

PREPARED BY:

Haseeb Qureshi hqureshi@urbanxroads.com (949) 336-5987

Alyssa Tamase atamase@urbanxroads.com (949) 336-5988

OCTOBER 14, 2019

12766-02 AQ Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TABLE OF CONTENTSI					
	APPENDICESII					
-	-	ABLESII \BBREVIATED TERMSIII				
		VE SUMMARY				
		Summary of Findings1				
1	INT	RODUCTION				
	1.1	Site Location				
	1.2	Project Description				
2	AIR	QUALITY SETTING				
	2.1	Mojave Desert Air Basin				
	2.2	Regional Climate				
	2.3	Criteria Pollutants				
	2.4	Existing Air Quality				
	2.5	Regional Air Quality				
	2.6	Local Air Quality				
	2.7	Regulatory Background 19				
3	PRO	DJECT AIR QUALITY IMPACT24				
	3.1	Introduction				
	3.2	Standards of Significance				
	3.3	California Emissions Estimator Model [™] Employed to Estimate AQ Emissions				
	3.4	Construction Emissions				
	3.5 3.6	Operational Emissions				
	3.7	CO "Hot Spot" Analysis				
	3.8	Potential Impacts to Sensitive Receptors				
	3.9	Odors				
	3.10	Cumulative Impacts				
4	REF	ERENCES				
5		RTIFICATIONS				



APPENDICES

APPENDIX 2.1: STATE/FEDERAL ATTAINMENT STATUS OF CRITERIA POLLUTANTS APPENDIX 3.1: CALEEMOD CONSTRUCTION UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS	1
TABLE 2-1: CRITERIA POLLUTANTS	8
TABLE 2-2: AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS (1 OF 2)	16
TABLE 2-2: AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS (2 OF 2)	17
TABLE 2-3: ATTAINMENT STATUS OF CRITERIA POLLUTANTS IN THE MDAB	18
TABLE 2-4: PROJECT AREA AIR QUALITY MONITORING SUMMARY 2016-2018	19
TABLE 3-1: MAXIMUM REGIONAL DAILY EMISSIONS THRESHOLDS	24
TABLE 3-2: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	26
TABLE 3-3: OVERALL CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS SUMMARY (WITHOUT MITIGATION)	27
TABLE 3-4: CO MODEL RESULTS	28
TABLE 3-5: TRAFFIC VOLUMES	29



LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

(1)	Reference
µg/m³	Microgram per Cubic Meter
AQ	Air Quality
AQIA	Air Quality Impact Analysis
AQMD	Air Quality Management District
AQMP	Air Quality Management Plan
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
BMP	Best Management Practices
CAA	Federal Clean Air Act
CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CALEPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CALGreen	California Green Building Standards Code
CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
СО	Carbon Monoxide
СОНВ	Carboxyhemoglobin
CY	Cubic Yards
EIR	Environmental Impact Reports
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
LBS/DAY	Pounds Per Day
MDAB	Mojave Desert Air Basin
MDAQMD	Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District
N ₂ O	Nitrous Oxide
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NO	Nitric Oxide
NO ₂	Nitrogen Dioxide
NO _X	Nitrogen Oxides
O ₃	Ozone
Pb	Lead
PM ₁₀	Particulate Matter 10 microns in diameter or less
PM _{2.5}	Particulate Matter 2.5 microns in diameter or less



PPM	Parts Per Million
PROJECT	Hi-Desert Water District Phase II and III Sewer Collection
	System
ROG	Reactive Organic Gases
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SF	Square Feet
SIP	State Implementation Plans
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
SO ₄	Sulfates
SO _X	Sulfur Oxides
TAC	Toxic Air Contaminant
U.S.	United States
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds
VPH	Vehicles Per Hour



This page intentionally left blank



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The results of this *Hi-Desert Water District Phase II and III Sewer Collection System Air Quality Impact Analysis* (AQIA) are summarized below based on the significance criteria in Section 3 of this report consistent with Appendix G of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines (1). Table ES-1 shows the findings of significance for each potential air quality impact under CEQA before and after any required mitigation measures described below.

Anakusia	Report	Significance Findings		
Analysis	Section	Unmitigated	Mitigated	
Regional Construction Emissions	3.4	Less Than Significant	n/a	
Regional Operational Emissions	3.5	Less Than Significant	n/a	
CO "Hot Spot" Analysis	3.6	Less Than Significant	n/a	
Air Quality Management Plan	3.7	Less Than Significant	n/a	
Sensitive Receptors	3.8	Less Than Significant	n/a	
Odors	3.9	Less Than Significant	n/a	
Cumulative Impacts	3.10	Less Than Significant	n/a	

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS



This page intentionally left blank



1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the AQIA prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc., for the proposed Hi-Desert Water District Phase II and III Sewer Collection System (Project).

The purpose of this AQIA is to evaluate the potential impacts to air quality associated with construction and operation of the proposed Project and recommend measures to mitigate impacts considered potentially significant in comparison to thresholds established by the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD).

1.1 SITE LOCATION

The Phase II and Phase III proposed sewer pipeline alignments generally occur north and south of the main Yucca Valley community in the following areas:

Section 1 – North and South of SR-62, Vicinity of Blue Skies Country Club. This Project Area contains three main areas. Areas 1 and 2 are north of SR-62 and Area 3 is south of Area 1, south of SR-62. Areas 1 and 2 are generally bounded by SR-62 on the south, the Blue Skies Country Club on the east, Country Club Drive (northeast), and by Ridge Road (westernmost boundary). Within Areas 1 and 2, an east-west ephemeral wash, approximately 85 feet wide, traverses this section, beginning near the golf course, and ends on the desert floor north of the second residential community. A portion of the planned Project alignment requires a new line to traverse behind homes east of Camino Del Cielo Trail adjacent to the country club grounds, across the wash, and connecting to Martinez Trail, south of the country club grounds.

- Area 1 This moderately dense residential community is generally bounded by Rockaway Avenue on the west, the country club grounds on the east, Country Club Road on the north. The terrain is relatively flat with newer paved roads. Main arterials include the north-south Pinon Road and Camino Del Cielo Trail.
- Area 2 a residential community located approximately 0.25-mile northwest of Area 1, connected to Area 1 by Pinon Road which turns westerly as it exits the Area 1 area. Area 2 is generally bounded by Ridge Road on the west and Canyon Drive on the east and north. The terrain slopes northwest from Ridge Road, increasing in elevation along Pinon Road.
- Area 3 south of SR-62. This area is connected by Pinon Drive and is located south of SR-62. It is generally bordered by Pinon Drive on the east, Chaparral Drive on the west, and the ends of two paved streets south of Navajo Drive on the south. Residences in this area are generally clustered near the four main roadways.

Section 2 – Sunnyslope Road Area, between Pioneertown Road and Apache Trail. This Project section comprises mostly of Sunnyslope Drive, between Pioneertown Road on the west and Apache Trail on the east. A portion of the line is also planned for the northern portion of Apache Trail, north to Crestview Drive. The area is primarily sparsely populated by residential use. Most of Sunnyslope Drive is paved, except for near the connecting points at Pioneertown Road and Apache Trail. The segment of Apache Trail between Sunnyslope and Crestview Drive is a dirt road.



Section 3 – Between Hwy 247 and Grand Avenue. This Project section consists primarily of two residential communities accessed by Sunnyslope Drive (an east-west street). The first area consists only of a few residences north of Sunnyslope Road in the vicinity Grand Avenue (a north-south street). The second area is approximately 0.25 mile to the east, generally bounded by Sage Avenue to the west, Sunnyslope Drive to the south, Crestview Drive on the north and SR-247 on the east. Several north-south streets north of Crestview Drive include but are not limited to Barberry Avenue, Dumosa Avenue and Joshua Lane. The Project section generally contains non-paved roadways, except for Sunnyslope Drive.

Section 4 – SR-247 between Crestview Drive (south) and Buena Suerte Road (north). This approximate 0.84-mile section of Hwy 247 is the north-south connector within the Yucca Valley region and connects SR-62 with Interstate 15. The road is owned and operated by Caltrans.

Section 5 – Hillside Community North of Yucca Valley. This Project section is dominated by scattered residences built within hills and rock outcroppings, bisected by SR-247, with main arterials including Farrelo Road and Bueno Suerte Road. West of SR-247, this area includes Castro Road on the south, the northern portion of Panchita Road on the west, and approximately to Cobalt Road on the north. Roads in this community are generally paved. East of SR-247, the Project area generally includes the paved roads of Bueno Suerte Road on the south, Bandera Road on the east, and Concho Way on the north.

Section 6 – Warren Way and Paxton Road. This smaller Project section captures scattered residences along an approximately 0.25-mile segment of Paxton Road, a paved road, and north of Warren Way, a non-paved road. This section lies approximately 0.25-mile northeast of the Yucca Valley airport, and an ephemeral wash exists on the eastern terminus of this segment. The terrain is relatively flat.

Section 7 – Nelson Avenue Area. This section consists of a rural residential community with primarily unpaved roads. It is generally bordered by Nelson Avenue on the south, Yucca Mesa Road (paved), on the east, Carmelita Avenue on the west, and the vicinity of Linda Lee Drive and Hide Lane on the north. Conceptual Project plans identify that this community will be connected to the system by Yucca Mesa Road, south to Barron Drive. An ephemeral wash, approximately 160 feet wide, exists under Yucca Mesa Road, between approximately Nelson Avenue and Barron Drive.

Section 8 – Southeast of San Andreas Road. This section is within the southernmost Project area. It is generally bordered by San Andreas Road on the north, Carmelita Circle on the south, Black Rock Canyon Road and Joshua Lane on the west, and Carmelita Circle and Hermosa Avenue on the east. The terrain is relative flat, and the area has many Joshua trees. The area is moderately populated with existing residences. All of the roads are paved, except for short segments along San Marino Drive and Santa Barbara Drive west of Joshua Lane.

Section 9 – North and West of San Andreas Road and Palomar Road. This Project area is generally bounded by San Andres Road on the south, Paloma Avenue on the east, Warren Vista Avenue and Kaiulni Road on the west, and Joshua Drive on the north. This section would be connected to the wastewater system by a segment to be installed in Palomar Avenue between

approximately Onaga Trail on the north and Joshua Drive on the south. This community has a higher density of residences than the other Project areas and mostly paved roads; however, Kaiulni Road is unpaved, and few residences exist along Palomar Avenue.

Section 10 – South of Onaga Trail. This Section contains four main areas:

- Area 1 contains a mobile home park and scattered, larger homes, one with recreational uses, such as tennis courts. This area is bounded on the north by Mountain View Trail, on the east by Valley Vista Avenue, on the south by the end of Valley Vista Avenue, and on the west by Elata Avenue. This area has paved roads, although the pavement is in poor condition.
- Area 2 a densely populated, newer subdivision near the Joshua Springs Calvary Chapel. The
 northern boundary is approximately Joshua Lane and Golden Bee Drive, western boundary is
 approximately Seeleta Avenue, the southern boundary is approximately San Andreas Avenue
 (although does not include infrastructure in San Andreas Avenue at this time), and the eastern
 boundary is approximately Nagels Street to Kingston Avenue. All areas except for the segment
 along Nagels Avenue are newer, paved roads.
- Area 3 densely populated, located northwesterly of Area 2, and is connected to Area 2 by Joshua Lane, a north-south paved road. It is generally bounded on the north by Onaga Trail, generally on the west by Church Street and a western portion of Joshua Lane, and on the south by Kismet Road. This area includes Joshua Lane, from Onaga Trail on the north, to Golden Bee Drive in Area 2.
- Area 4 moderately populated area, west of Area 3, with few paved roads. It is bordered generally by Mountain View Trail on the north, Acoma Trail on the east, Golden Bee Drive on the south (not connected to Area 2), and Jemeza Trail on the west. This area will be connected to the system by Kickapoo Trail (a partially paved north-south street), between Santa Fe Trail on the north to Mountain View Trail on the south.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

In general, the Project includes construction of 64 miles of wastewater pipeline, and 1,300 manholes and 3 lift stations. Due to the fact these areas are generally outside of the main, contiguous community of Yucca Valley, construction within these areas would likely occur in smaller increments, over 15 years, to allow for time to design for terrain differences and lift stations that would be needed to connect these outer areas to the main system.



This page intentionally left blank



2 AIR QUALITY SETTING

This section provides an overview of the existing air quality conditions in the Project area and region.

2.1 MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN

The Project site is located in the portion of the County of San Bernardino, California, that is part of the Mojave Desert Air Basin (MDAB) and is under the jurisdiction of the MDAQMD. The air quality assessment for the proposed Project includes estimating emissions associated with shortterm construction and long-term operation of the proposed Project. A number of air quality modeling tools are available to assess the air quality impacts of projects. In addition, certain air districts, such as the MDAQMD, have created guidelines and requirements to conduct air quality analyses. The MDAQMD's current guidelines, included in its *California Environmental Quality Act and Federal Conformity Guidelines* (August 2016), were adhered to in the assessment of air quality impacts for the proposed Project.

2.2 REGIONAL CLIMATE

Air quality in the Project area is not only affected by various emissions sources (mobile, industry, etc.) but is also affected by atmospheric conditions such as wind speed, wind direction, temperature, and rainfall.

The MDAB is an assemblage of mountain ranges interspersed with long broad valleys that often contain dry lakes. Many of the lower mountains within the vast terrain rise from 1,000 to 4,000 feet above the valley floor. Prevailing winds in the MDAB are out of the west and southwest. These prevailing winds are due to the proximity of the MDAB to coastal and central regions and the blocking nature of the Sierra Nevada Mountains to the north; air masses pushed onshore in Southern California by differential heating are channeled through the MDAB. The MDAB is separated from the Southern California coastal and Central California valley regions by mountains (highest elevation is approximately 10,000 feet), whose passes form the main channels for these air masses. The Mojave Desert is bordered on the southwest by the San Bernardino Mountains, separated from the San Gabriel Mountains by the Cajon Pass (4,200 feet). A lesser pass lies between the San Bernardino Mountains and the Little San Bernardino Mountains in the Morongo Valley. The Palo Verde Valley portion of the Mojave Desert lies in the low desert, at the eastern end of a series of valleys (notably the Coachella Valley), whose primary channel is the San Gorgonio Pass (2,300 feet) between the San Bernardino and San Jacinto Mountains.

During the summer, the MDAB is generally influenced by a Pacific subtropical high cell that sits off the coast, inhibiting cloud formation and encouraging daytime solar heating. The MDAB is rarely influenced by cold air masses moving south from Canada and Alaska, as these frontal systems are weak and diffuse by the time they reach the desert. Most desert moisture arrives from infrequent warm, moist, and unstable air masses from the south. The MDAB averages between three and seven inches of precipitation per year (from 16 to 30 days with at least 0.01 inch of precipitation). The MDAB is classified as a dry-hot desert climate, with portions classified

as dry-very hot desert, to indicate that at least three months have maximum average temperatures over 100.4° F.

Snow is common above 5,000 feet in elevation, resulting in moderate snowpack and limited spring runoff. Below 5,000 feet, any precipitation normally occurs as rainfall. Pacific storm fronts normally move into the area from the west, driven by prevailing winds from the west and southwest. During late summer, moist high-pressure systems from the Pacific collide with rising heated air from desert areas, resulting in brief, high-intensity thunderstorms that can cause high winds and localized flash flooding.

2.3 CRITERIA POLLUTANTS

Criteria pollutants are pollutants that are regulated through the development of human health based and/or environmentally based criteria for setting permissible levels. Criteria pollutants, their typical sources, and health effects are identified below (2):

Criteria Pollutant	Description	Sources	Health Effects
Ozone (O3)	O ₃ is a highly reactive and unstable gas that is formed when volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx), both byproducts of internal combustion engine exhaust, undergo slow photochemical reactions in the presence of sunlight. O ₃ concentrations are generally highest during the summer months when direct sunlight, light wind, and warm temperature conditions are favorable to the formation of this pollutant.	Formed when reactive organic gases (ROG) and NOx react in the presence of sunlight. ROG sources include any source that burns fuels, (e.g., gasoline, natural gas, wood, oil) solvents, petroleum processing and storage and pesticides.	Individuals exercising outdoors, children, and people with preexisting lung disease, such as asthma and chronic pulmonary lung disease, are considered to be the most susceptible sub- groups for O ₃ effects. Short- term exposure (lasting for a few hours) to O ₃ at levels typically observed in Southern California can result in breathing pattern changes, reduction of breathing capacity, increased susceptibility to infections, inflammation of the lung tissue, and some immunological changes. Elevated O ₃ levels are associated with increased school absences. In recent years, a correlation between elevated ambient O ₃ levels and increases in daily hospital admission rates, as well as mortality, has also been reported. An increased risk for asthma has been found in children who participate in multiple outdoor sports and

TABLE 2-1: CRITERIA POLLUTANTS



Criteria Pollutant	Description	Sources	Health Effects
			live in communities with high
			O₃ levels.
			O_3 exposure under exercising conditions is known to increase the severity of the responses described above. Animal studies suggest that exposure to a combination of pollutants that includes O_3 may be more toxic than exposure to O_3 alone. Although lung volume and resistance changes observed after a single exposure diminish with repeated exposures, biochemical and cellular changes appear to persist, which can lead to subsequent lung structural changes.
со	CO is a colorless, odorless gas produced by the incomplete combustion of carbon-containing fuels, such as gasoline or wood. CO concentrations tend to be the highest during the winter morning, when little to no wind and surface-based inversions trap the pollutant at ground levels. Because CO is emitted directly from internal combustion engines, unlike O ₃ , motor vehicles operating at slow speeds are the primary source of CO in the MDAB. The highest ambient CO concentrations are generally found near congested transportation corridors and intersections.	Any source that burns fuel such as automobiles, trucks, heavy construction equipment, farming equipment and residential heating.	Individuals with a deficient blood supply to the heart are the most susceptible to the adverse effects of CO exposure. The effects observed include earlier onset of chest pain with exercise, and electrocardiograph changes indicative of decreased oxygen supply to the heart. Inhaled CO has no direct toxic effect on the lungs but exerts its effect on tissues by interfering with oxygen transport and competing with oxygen to combine with hemoglobin present in the blood to form carboxyhemoglobin (COHB). Hence, conditions with an increased demand for oxygen supply can be adversely affected by exposure to CO. Individuals most at risk include fetuses, patients with diseases involving heart and blood vessels, and patients with chronic hypoxemia



Criteria Pollutant	Description	Sources	Health Effects
	•		(oxygen deficiency) as seen at high altitudes.
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	SO ₂ is a colorless, extremely irritating gas or liquid. It enters the atmosphere as a pollutant mainly as a result of burning high sulfur-content fuel oils and coal and from chemical processes occurring at chemical plants and refineries. When SO ₂ oxidizes in the atmosphere, it forms sulfates (SO ₄). Collectively, these pollutants are referred to as sulfur oxides (SO _X).	Coal or oil burning power plants and industries, refineries, diesel engines	A few minutes of exposure to low levels of SO ₂ can result in airway constriction in some asthmatics, all of whom are sensitive to its effects. In asthmatics, increase in resistance to air flow, as well as reduction in breathing capacity leading to severe breathing difficulties, are observed after acute exposure to SO ₂ . In contrast, healthy individuals do not exhibit similar acute responses even after exposure to higher concentrations of SO ₂ . Animal studies suggest that despite SO ₂ being a respiratory irritant, it does not cause substantial lung injury at ambient concentrations. However, very high levels of exposure can cause lung edema (fluid accumulation), lung tissue damage, and sloughing off of cells lining the respiratory tract. Some population-based studies indicate that the mortality and morbidity effects associated with fine particles show a similar association with ambient SO ₂ levels. In these studies, efforts to separate the effects of SO ₂ from those of fine particles have not been successful. It is not clear whether the two pollutants act synergistically, or one pollutant alone is the predominant factor.



Criteria Pollutant	Description	Sources	Health Effects
NOx	NOx consist of nitric oxide (NO), nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂) and are formed when nitrogen (N ₂) combines with oxygen (O ₂). Their lifespan in the atmosphere ranges from one to seven days for NO and NO ₂ , to 170 years for N ₂ O. NO _X are typically created during combustion processes and are major contributors to smog formation and acid deposition. NO ₂ is a criteria air pollutant and may result in numerous adverse health effects; it absorbs blue light, resulting in a brownish-red cast to the atmosphere and reduced visibility. Of the seven types of nitrogen oxide compounds, NO ₂ is the most abundant in the atmosphere. As ambient concentrations of NO ₂ are related to traffic density, commuters in heavy traffic may be exposed to higher concentrations of NO ₂ than those indicated by regional monitoring station.	Any source that burns fuel such as automobiles, trucks, heavy construction equipment, farming equipment and residential heating.	Population-based studies suggest that an increase in acute respiratory illness, including infections and respiratory symptoms in children (not infants), is associated with long-term exposure to NO ₂ at levels found in homes with gas stoves, which are higher than ambient levels found in Southern California. Increase in resistance to air flow and airway contraction is observed after short-term exposure to NO ₂ in healthy subjects. Larger decreases in lung functions are observed in individuals with asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (e.g., chronic bronchitis, emphysema) than in healthy individuals, indicating a greater susceptibility of these sub-groups. In animals, exposure to levels of NO ₂ considerably higher than ambient concentrations result in increased susceptibility to infections, possibly due to the observed changes in cells involved in maintaining immune functions. The severity of lung tissue damage associated with high levels of O ₃ exposure increases when animals are exposed to a combination of O ₃ and NO ₂ .
Particulate Matter	PM ₁₀ (Particulate Matter less than 10 microns): A major air pollutant consisting of tiny solid or liquid particles of soot, dust, smoke, fumes, and aerosols. Particulate matter pollution is a major cause of reduce visibility (haze) which is caused by the scattering of light and	Sources of PM ₁₀ include road dust, windblown dust and construction. Also formed from other pollutants (acid rain, NO _x , SO _x , organics). Incomplete	A consistent correlation between elevated ambient fine particulate matter (PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5}) levels and an increase in mortality rates, respiratory infections, number and severity of asthma attacks and the number of hospital



Criteria Pollutant	Description	Sources	Health Effects
	Descriptionconsequently the significantreduction air clarity. The size ofthe particles (10 microns orsmaller, about 0.0004 inches orless) allows them to easily enterthe lungs where they may bedeposited, resulting in adversehealth effects. Additionally, itshould be noted that PM10 isconsidered a criteria airpollutant.PM2.5 (Particulate Matter lessthan 2.5 microns): A similar airpollutant to PM10 consisting oftiny solid or liquid particles whichare 2.5 microns or smaller (whichis often referred to as fineparticles). These particles areformed in the atmosphere fromprimary gaseous emissions thatinclude sulfates formed from SO2release from power plants andindustrial facilities and nitratesthat are formed from NOx releasefrom power plants, automobilesand other types of combustionsources. The chemicalcomposition of fine particleshighly depends on location, timeof year, and weather conditions.PM2.5 is a criteria air pollutant.	Sources combustion of any fuel. PM _{2.5} comes from fuel combustion in motor vehicles, equipment and industrial sources, residential and agricultural burning. Also formed from reaction of other pollutants (acid rain, NO _x , SO _x , organics).	Health Effectsadmissions has been observed in different parts of the United States (U.S.) and various areas around the world. In recent years, some studies have reported an association between long- term exposure to air pollution dominated by fine particles and increased mortality, reduction in lifespan, and an increased mortality from lung cancer.Daily fluctuations in PM2.5 concentration levels have also been related to hospital admissions for acute respiratory conditions in children, to school and kindergarten absences, to a decrease in respiratory lung volumes in normal children, and to increased medication use in children and adults with asthma. Recent studies show lung function growth in children is reduced with long term exposure to particulate matter.The elderly, people with pre- existing respiratory or cardiovascular disease, and children appear to be more susceptible to the effects of high levels of PM10 and PM2.5.
VOC	VOCs are hydrocarbon compounds (any compound containing various combinations of hydrogen and carbon atoms) that exist in the ambient air. VOCs contribute to the formation of smog through atmospheric photochemical reactions and/or may be toxic. Compounds of carbon (also known as organic compounds) have different levels of reactivity; that is, they do not react at the same speed or do not form O ₃ to the same extent when	Organic chemicals are widely used as ingredients in household products. Paints, varnishes and wax all contain organic solvents, as do many cleaning, disinfecting, cosmetic, degreasing and hobby products. Fuels are made up	Breathing VOCs can irritate the eyes, nose and throat, can cause difficulty breathing and nausea, and can damage the central nervous system as well as other organs. Some VOCs can cause cancer. Not all VOCs have all these health effects, though many have several.



Criteria Pollutant	Description	Sources	Health Effects
	exposed to photochemical processes. VOCs often have an odor, and some examples include gasoline, alcohol, and the solvents used in paints. Exceptions to the VOC designation include carbon monoxide (CO), CO ₂ , carbonic acid (H ₂ CO ₃), metallic carbides or carbonates, and ammonium carbonate. VOCs are a criteria pollutant since they are a precursor to O ₃ , which is a criteria pollutant. The terms VOC and ROG (see below) interchangeably.	of organic chemicals. All of these products can release organic compounds while you are using them, and, to some degree, when they are stored.	
ROG	Similar to VOC, ROGs are also precursors in forming O ₃ and consist of compounds containing methane, ethane, propane, butane, and longer chain hydrocarbons, which are typically the result of some type of combustion/decomposition process. Smog is formed when ROG and NO _X react in the presence of sunlight. ROGs are a criteria pollutant since they are a precursor to O ₃ , which is a criteria pollutant. The terms ROG and VOC (see previous) interchangeably.	Sources similar to VOCs.	Health effects similar to VOCs.
Lead (Pb)	Pb is a heavy metal that is highly persistent in the environment and is considered a criteria pollutant. In the past, the primary source of Pb in the air was emissions from vehicles burning leaded gasoline. The major sources of Pb emissions are ore and metals processing, particularly lead smelters, and piston-engine aircraft operating on leaded aviation gasoline. Other stationary sources include waste incinerators, utilities, and lead-acid battery manufacturers. It should be noted that the Project does not include	Metal smelters, resource recovery, leaded gasoline, deterioration of lead paint.	Fetuses, infants, and children are more sensitive than others to the adverse effects of Pb exposure. Exposure to low levels of Pb can adversely affect the development and function of the central nervous system, leading to learning disorders, distractibility, inability to follow simple commands, and lower intelligence quotient. In adults, increased Pb levels are associated with increased blood pressure.



Criteria Pollutant	Description	Sources	Health Effects
	operational activities such as metal processing or lead acid battery manufacturing. As such, the Project is not anticipated to generate a quantifiable amount of Pb emissions.		Pb poisoning can cause anemia, lethargy, seizures, and death; although it appears that there are no direct effects of Pb on the respiratory system. Pb can be stored in the bone from early age environmental exposure, and elevated blood Pb levels can occur due to breakdown of bone tissue during pregnancy, hyperthyroidism (increased secretion of hormones from the thyroid gland) and osteoporosis (breakdown of bony tissue). Fetuses and breast-fed babies can be exposed to higher levels of Pb because of previous environmental Pb exposure of their mothers.
Odor	Odor means the perception experienced by a person when one or more chemical substances in the air come into contact with the human olfactory nerves (3).	Odors can come from many sources including animals, human activities, industry, natures, and vehicles.	Offensive odors can potentially affect human health in several ways. First, odorant compounds can irritate the eye, nose, and throat, which can reduce respiratory volume. Second, studies have shown that the VOCs that cause odors can stimulate sensory nerves to cause neurochemical changes that might influence health, for instance, by compromising the immune system. Finally, unpleasant odors can trigger memories or attitudes linked to unpleasant odors, causing cognitive and emotional effects such as stress.



2.4 EXISTING AIR QUALITY

Existing air quality is measured at established MDAQMD air quality monitoring stations. Monitored air quality is evaluated in the context of ambient air quality standards. These standards are the levels of air quality that are considered safe, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect the public health and welfare. National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) currently in effect are shown in Table 2-2 (4).

The determination of whether a region's air quality is healthful or unhealthful is determined by comparing contaminant levels in ambient air samples to the state and federal standards. At the time of this AQIA, the most recent state and federal standards were updated by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) on May 4, 2016 and are presented in Table 2-2. The air quality in a region is considered to be in attainment by the state if the measured ambient air pollutant levels for O_3 , CO (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), SO₂ (1 and 24 hour), NO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded. It should be noted that the three-year period is presented for informational purposes and is not the basis for how the State assigns attainment status. Attainment status for a pollutant means that the Air District meets the standards set by the United State Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA). Conversely, nonattainment means that an area has monitored air quality that does not meet the NAAQS or CAAQS standards. In order to improve air quality in nonattainment areas, a State Implementation Plan (SIP) is drafted. The SIP outlines the measures that the state will take to improve air quality. Once nonattainment areas meet the standards and additional redesignation requirements, the EPA will designate the area as a maintenance area (5).



		Ambient A	Air Qualit	y Standaro	ds		
Dellutent	Averaging	California Standards 1		National Standards ²			
Pollutant	Time	Concentration ³	Method ⁴	Primary ^{3,5}	Secondary 3,6	Method 7	
Ozone (O ₃) ⁸	1 Hour	0.09 ppm (180 µg/m ³)	Ultraviolet	-	Same as	Ultraviolet Photometry	
	8 Hour	0.070 ppm (137 µg/m ³)	Photometry	0.070 ppm (137 µg/m ³)	Primary Standard		
Respirable Particulate	24 Hour	50 µg/m ³	Gravimetric or	150 µg/m ³	Same as	Inertial Separation and Gravimetric	
Matter (PM10) ⁹	Annual Arithmetic Mean	20 µg/m ³	Beta Attenuation	21_12	Primary Standard	Analysis	
Fine Particulate	24 Hour	2 <u>—</u> 2	-	35 µg/m³	Same as Primary Standard	Inertial Separation	
Matter (PM2.5) ⁹	Annual Arithmetic Mean	12 µg/m ³	Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation	12.0 µg/m ³	15 μg/m ³	and Gravimetric Analysis	
Carbon	1 Hour	20 ppm (23 mg/m ³)		35 ppm (40 mg/m ³)	termed		
Carbon Monoxide	8 Hour	9.0 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometry (NDIR)	9 ppm (10 mg/m ³)		Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometr	
(CO)	8 Hour (Lake Tahoe)	6 ppm (7 mg/m ³)		2 <u>1</u> -12	<u>1912</u>	(NDIR)	
Nitrogen	1 Hour	0.18 ppm (339 µg/m ³)	Gas Phase	100 ppb (188 µg/m ³)	-	Gas Phase Chemiluminescenc	
Dioxide (NO ₂) ¹⁰	Annual Arithmetic Mean	0.030 ppm (57 µg/m ³)	Chemiluminescence	0.053 ppm (100 µg/m ³)	Same as Primary Standard		
	1 Hour	0.25 ppm (655 µg/m ³)		75 ppb (196 µg/m ³)	-		
Sulfur Dioxide	3 Hour	-	Ultraviolet	-	0.5 ppm (1300 µg/m ³)	Ultraviolet Flourescence; Spectrophotometr	
(SO ₂) ¹¹	24 Hour	0.04 ppm (105 µg/m ³)	Fluorescence	0.14 ppm (for certain areas) ¹¹	<u></u>	(Pararosaniline Method)	
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	-		0.030 ppm (for certain areas) ¹¹	-		
	30 Day Average	1.5 µg/m ³		-	-		
Lead ^{12,13}	Calendar Quarter	-	Atomic Absorption	1.5 μg/m ³ (for certain areas) ¹²	Same as	High Volume Sampler and Atomi Absorption	
	Rolling 3-Month Average	-		0.15 µg/m ³	Primary Standard		
Visibility Reducing Particles ¹⁴	8 Hour	See footnote 14	Beta Attenuation and Transmittance through Filter Tape	No National			
Sulfates	24 Hour	25 µg/m ³	Ion Chromatography				
Hydrogen Sulfide	1 Hour	0.03 ppm (42 µg/m ³)	Ultraviolet Fluorescence				
Vinyl Chloride ¹²	24 Hour	0.01 ppm (26 µg/m ³)	Gas Chromatography				

TABLE 2-2: AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS (1 OF 2)

For more information please call ARB-PIO at (916) 322-2990

California Air Resources Board (5/4/16)



TABLE 2-2: AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS (2 OF 2)


- California standards for ozone, carbon monoxide (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), sulfur dioxide (1 and 24 hour), nitrogen dioxide, and
 particulate matter (PM10, PM2.5, and visibility reducing particles), are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be
 equaled or exceeded. California ambient air quality standards are listed in the Table of Standards in Section 70200 of Title 17 of the
 California Code of Regulations.
- 2. National standards (other than ozone, particulate matter, and those based on annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The ozone standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM10, the 24 hour standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 µg/m³ is equal to or less than one. For PM2.5, the 24 hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over three years, are equal to or less than the standard. Contact the U.S. EPA for further clarification and current national policies.
- 3. Concentration expressed first in units in which it was promulgated. Equivalent units given in parentheses are based upon a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr. Most measurements of air quality are to be corrected to a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr; ppm in this table refers to ppm by volume, or micromoles of pollutant per mole of gas.
- 4. Any equivalent measurement method which can be shown to the satisfaction of the ARB to give equivalent results at or near the level of the air quality standard may be used.
- 5. National Primary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety to protect the public health.
- 6. National Secondary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects of a pollutant.
- 7. Reference method as described by the U.S. EPA. An "equivalent method" of measurement may be used but must have a "consistent relationship to the reference method" and must be approved by the U.S. EPA.
- 8. On October 1, 2015, the national 8-hour ozone primary and secondary standards were lowered from 0.075 to 0.070 ppm.
- 9. On December 14, 2012, the national annual PM2.5 primary standard was lowered from 15 µg/m³ to 12.0 µg/m³. The existing national 24-hour PM2.5 standards (primary and secondary) were retained at 35 µg/m³, as was the annual secondary standard of 15 µg/m³. The existing 24-hour PM10 standards (primary and secondary) of 150 µg/m³ also were retained. The form of the annual primary and secondary standards is the annual mean, averaged over 3 years.
- 10. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 100 ppb. Note that the national 1-hour standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the national 1-hour standard to the California standards the units can be converted from ppb to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 100 ppb is identical to 0.100 ppm.
- 11. On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO₂ standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 75 ppb. The 1971 SO₂ national standards (24-hour and annual) remain in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2010 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1971 standards, the 1971 standards remain in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2010 standards are approved.

Note that the 1-hour national standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the 1-hour national standard to the California standard the units can be converted to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 75 ppb is identical to 0.075 ppm.

- 12. The ARB has identified lead and vinyl chloride as 'toxic air contaminants' with no threshold level of exposure for adverse health effects determined. These actions allow for the implementation of control measures at levels below the ambient concentrations specified for these pollutants.
- 13. The national standard for lead was revised on October 15, 2008 to a rolling 3-month average. The 1978 lead standard (1.5 µg/m³ as a quarterly average) remains in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2008 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1978 standard, the 1978 standard remains in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2008 standard are approved.
- 14. In 1989, the ARB converted both the general statewide 10-mile visibility standard and the Lake Tahoe 30-mile visibility standard to instrumental equivalents, which are "extinction of 0.23 per kilometer" and "extinction of 0.07 per kilometer" for the statewide and Lake Tahoe Air Basin standards, respectively.

For more information please call ARB-PIO at (916) 322-2990

California Air Resources Board (5/4/16)





2.5 REGIONAL AIR QUALITY

Air pollution contributes to a wide variety of adverse health effects. The EPA has established NAAQS for seven of the most common air pollutants: O₃, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, CO, NO₂, SO₂ and Pb which are known as criteria pollutants. The MDAQMD monitors levels of various criteria pollutants at 6 permanent monitoring stations throughout the air district (6). On February 20, 2019, CARB posted the 2018 amendments to the state and national area designations. See Table 2-3 for attainment designations for the MDAB and the Southeast Desert Air Basin (SDAB) (7). Appendix 2.1 provides geographic representation of the state and federal attainment status for applicable criteria pollutants within the MDAB and SDAB.

Criteria Pollutant	State Designation	Federal Designation	
O ₃ – 1-hour standard	Nonattainment		
O ₃ – 8-hour standard	Nonattainment	Nonattainment	
PM10	Nonattainment	Nonattainment	
PM _{2.5}	Attainment	Unclassifiable/Attainment	
со	Attainment	Unclassifiable/Attainment	
NO ₂	Attainment	Unclassifiable/Attainment	
SO ₂	Unclassifiable/Attainment	Unclassifiable/Attainment	
Pb	Attainment Unclassifiable/Attain		

TABLE 2-3: ATTAINMENT STATUS OF CRITERIA POLLUTANTS IN THE MDAB

Note: See Appendix 2.1 for a detailed map of State/National Area Designations within the MDAB and SDAB "-" = The national 1-hour O₃ standard was revoked effective June 15, 2005.

2.6 LOCAL AIR QUALITY

Relative to the Project site, the nearest long-term air quality monitoring site for O₃, CO, NO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} was obtained from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Coachella Valley monitoring station. It should be noted that the nearest MDAQMD monitoring station is the located in Twentynine Palms, approximately 18.5 miles east of the site. For purposes of this analysis, data from the SCAQMD Coachella Valley monitoring station was used as it is the nearest long-term air quality monitoring station, located 16.99 miles southwest of the Project site in Palm Springs.

The most recent three (3) years of data available is shown on Table 2-4, and identifies the number of days ambient air quality standards were exceeded for the study area, which is was considered to be representative of the local air quality at the Project site (8). It should be noted that data for CO is not available for 2016 through 2018. Additionally, data for SO₂ has been omitted as attainment is regularly met in the Mojave Desert Air Basin and few monitoring stations measure SO₂ concentrations. It should be noted that the table below is provided for informational purposes.



DOULUTANT	CTANDADD	YEAR		
POLLUTANT	STANDARD	2016	2017	2018
O ₃				
Maximum Federal 1-Hour Concentration (ppm)		0.103	0.113	0.111
Maximum Federal 8-Hour Concentration (ppm)		0.092	0.097	0.099
Number of Days Exceeding State 1-Hour Standard	> 0.09 ppm	6	18	11
Number of Days Exceeding State/Federal 8-Hour Standard	> 0.070 ppm	48	57	56
СО				
Maximum Federal 1-Hour Concentration	> 35 ppm	3.1	1.0	1.1
Maximum Federal 8-Hour Concentration	> 20 ppm	1.5	0.5	0.8
NO ₂				
Maximum Federal 1-Hour Concentration	> 0.100 ppm	0.043	0.043	0.043
Annual Average		6.0	6.5	6.8
PM ₁₀				
Maximum Federal 24-Hour Concentration (µg/m ³)	> 150 µg/m ³	113	93	117
Annual Federal Arithmetic Mean (μg/m³)		20.8	21.0	21.0
Number of Days Exceeding Federal 24-Hour Standard	> 150 μg/m ³	0	0	0
Number of Days Exceeding State 24-Hour Standard	> 50 μg/m ³	6	7	7
PM _{2.5}				
Maximum Federal 24-Hour Concentration (µg/m ³)	> 35 µg/m ³	14.71	14.50	30.20
Annual Federal Arithmetic Mean (μg/m ³)	> 12 µg/m ³	5.53	6.05	6.02
Number of Days Exceeding Federal 24-Hour Standard	> 35 µg/m³	0	0	0

TABLE 2-4: PROJECT AREA AIR QUALITY MONITORING SUMMARY 2016-2018

µg/m³ = Microgram per Cubic Meter

Source: Data for O₃, CO, NO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} was obtained from SCAQMD Air Quality Data Tables.

2.7 REGULATORY BACKGROUND

2.7.1 FEDERAL REGULATIONS

The EPA is responsible for setting and enforcing the NAAQS for O₃, CO, NO_x, SO₂, PM₁₀, and Pb (9). The EPA has jurisdiction over emissions sources that are under the authority of the federal government including aircraft, locomotives, and emissions sources outside state waters (Outer Continental Shelf). The EPA also establishes emission standards for vehicles sold in states other than California. Automobiles sold in California must meet the stricter emission requirements of the CARB.

The Federal Clean Air Act (CAA) was first enacted in 1955 and has been amended numerous times in subsequent years (1963, 1965, 1967, 1970, 1977, and 1990). The CAA establishes the federal air quality standards, the NAAQS, and specifies future dates for achieving compliance (10). The CAA also mandates that states submit and implement SIPs for local areas not meeting these



standards. These plans must include pollution control measures that demonstrate how the standards will be met.

The 1990 amendments to the CAA that identify specific emission reduction goals for areas not meeting the NAAQS require a demonstration of reasonable further progress toward attainment and incorporate additional sanctions for failure to attain or to meet interim milestones. The sections of the CAA most directly applicable to the development of the Project site include Title I (Non-Attainment Provisions) and Title II (Mobile Source Provisions) (11) (12). Title I provisions were established with the goal of attaining the NAAQS for the following criteria pollutants O₃, NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀, CO, PM_{2.5}, and Pb. The NAAQS were amended in July 1997 to include an additional standard for O₃ and to adopt a NAAQS for PM_{2.5}. Table 2-3 (previously presented) provides the NAAQS within the MDAB.

Mobile source emissions are regulated in accordance with Title II provisions. These provisions require the use of cleaner burning gasoline and other cleaner burning fuels such as methanol and natural gas. Automobile manufacturers are also required to reduce tailpipe emissions of hydrocarbons and NO_X . NO_X is a collective term that includes all forms of nitrogen oxides (NO, NO_2 , NO_3) which are emitted as byproducts of the combustion process.

2.7.2 CALIFORNIA REGULATIONS

California Air Resource Board. The CARB, which became part of the CalEPA in 1991, is responsible for ensuring implementation of the California Clean Air Act (AB 2595), responding to the federal CAA, and for regulating emissions from consumer products and motor vehicles. AB 2595 mandates achievement of the maximum degree of emissions reductions possible from vehicular and other mobile sources in order to attain the state ambient air quality standards by the earliest practical date. The CARB established the CAAQS for all pollutants for which the federal government has NAAQS and, in addition, establishes standards for sulfates, visibility, hydrogen sulfide, and vinyl chloride. However, at this time, hydrogen sulfide and vinyl chloride are not measured at any monitoring stations in the MDAB because they are not considered to be a regional air quality problem. Generally, the CAAQS are more stringent than the NAAQS (13) (9).

Local air quality management districts, such as the MDAQMD, regulate air emissions from stationary sources such as commercial and industrial facilities. All air pollution control districts have been formally designated as attainment or non-attainment for each CAAQS.

Serious non-attainment areas are required to prepare air quality management plans that include specified emission reduction strategies in an effort to meet clean air goals. These plans are required to include:

- Application of Best Available Retrofit Control Technology to existing sources;
- Developing control programs for area sources (e.g., architectural coatings and solvents) and indirect sources (e.g. motor vehicle use generated by residential and commercial development);
- A District permitting system designed to allow no net increase in emissions from any new or modified permitted sources of emissions;



- Implementing reasonably available transportation control measures and assuring a substantial reduction in growth rate of vehicle trips and miles traveled;
- Significant use of low emissions vehicles by fleet operators;
- Sufficient control strategies to achieve a five percent or more annual reduction in emissions or 15 percent or more in a period of three years for ROGs, NO_X, CO and PM₁₀. However, air basins may use alternative emission reduction strategy that achieves a reduction of less than five percent per year under certain circumstances.

Title 24 Energy Efficiency Standards and California Green Building Standards. California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24 Part 6: California's Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings, was first adopted in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption. The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficient technologies and methods. CCR, Title 24, Part 11: California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial, and school buildings that went in effect on January 1, 2011, and is administered by the California Building Standards Commission. CALGreen is updated on a regular basis, with the most recent approved update consisting of the 2019 CALGreen that will be effective January 1, 2020. Local jurisdictions are permitted to adopt more stringent requirements, as state law provides methods for local enhancements. CALGreen recognizes that many jurisdictions have developed existing construction and demolition ordinances and defers to them as the ruling guidance provided, they establish a minimum 65 percent diversion requirement. The code also provides exemptions for areas not served by construction and demolition recycling infrastructure. The State Building Code provides the minimum standard that buildings must meet in order to be certified for occupancy, which is generally enforced by the local building official.

Energy efficient buildings require less electricity; therefore, increased energy efficiency reduces fossil fuel consumption and decreases GHG emissions. The 2019 version of Title 24 was adopted by the CEC and will become effective on January 1, 2020. As such, the analysis herein assumes compliance with the 2019 Title 24 Standards because the Project will be constructed after January 1, 2020.

The 2019 Title 24 standards will result in less energy use, thereby reducing air pollutant emissions associated with energy consumption in the MDAB and across the State of California. For example, the 2019 Title 24 standards will require solar photovoltaic systems for new homes, establish requirements for newly constructed healthcare facilities, encourage demand responsive technologies for residential buildings, and update indoor and outdoor lighting requirements for nonresidential buildings. The CEC anticipates that single-family homes built with the 2019 standards will use approximately 7 percent less energy compared to the residential homes built under the 2016 standards. Additionally, after implementation of solar photovoltaic systems, homes built under the 2019 standards will use about 53 percent less energy than homes built under the 2016 standards. Nonresidential buildings will use approximately 30 percent less energy due to lighting upgrade requirements (14). Title 24 Standards are not applicable to the Project, as the Project cannot be defined as a building, residential or nonresidential. The Project is not a proposed land-use development but rather involves pipeline and other associated



improvements. The Project supports Title 24 standards by implementing a more efficient pipeline system and reducing a wasteful use of energy, thereby reducing air pollutant emissions associated with energy consumption in the MDAB and across the state of California. Therefore, the Project would not obstruct implementation of the Title 24 standards, and in fact support them.

2.7.3 AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLANNING

Currently, the NAAQS and CAAQS are exceeded in most parts of the MDAB. The NAAQS, the Project region within the MDAB is in nonattainment for O_3 (8-hour) and PM_{10} . For the CAAQS, the Project region within the MDAB is in nonattainment for O_3 (1-hour and 8-hour) and PM_{10} . In response, the MDAQMD has adopted a series of Air Quality Management Plans (AQMPs) to meet the state and federal ambient air quality standards (15). AQMPs are updated regularly in order to more effectively reduce emissions, accommodate growth, and to minimize any negative fiscal impacts of air pollution control on the economy. A detailed discussion on the AQMP and Project consistency with the AQMP is provided in Section 3.7.

This page intentionally left blank



3 PROJECT AIR QUALITY IMPACT

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The Project has been evaluated to determine if it will violate an air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation. Additionally, the Project has been evaluated to determine if it will result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of a criteria pollutant for which the MDAB is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard. The significance of these potential impacts is described in the following section.

3.2 STANDARDS OF SIGNIFICANCE

The criteria used to determine the significance of potential Project-related air quality impacts are taken from the Initial Study Checklist in Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines (14 CCR §§15000, et seq.). Based on these thresholds, a project would result in a significant impact related to air quality if it would (16):

- Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.
- Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard.
- Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.
- Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people. affecting a substantial number of people.

The MDAQMD has developed regional significance thresholds for regulated pollutants, shown below in Table 3-1. The MDAQMD's *Guidelines* indicate that any projects in the MDAB with daily regional emissions that exceed any of the indicated thresholds should be considered as having an individually and cumulatively significant air quality impact (17).

Pollutant	Daily Threshold (lbs./day)
СО	548 lbs/day
NOx	137 lbs/day
VOC	137 lbs/day
SOx	137 lbs/day
PM ₁₀	82 lbs/day
PM _{2.5}	65 lbs/day

TABLE 3-1: MAXIMUM REGIONAL DAILY EMISSIONS THRESHOLDS

Note: lbs/day – pounds per day



3.3 CALIFORNIA EMISSIONS ESTIMATOR MODEL[™] EMPLOYED TO ESTIMATE AQ EMISSIONS

Land uses such as the Project affect air quality through construction-source and operationalsource emissions.

On October 17, 2017, the SCAQMD in conjunction with the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) and other California air districts, released the latest version of the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) v2016.3.2. The purpose of this model is to calculate construction-source and operational-source criteria pollutant (VOCs, NO_X, SO_X, CO, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}) and GHG emissions from direct and indirect sources; and quantify applicable air quality and GHG reductions achieved from mitigation measures (18). Accordingly, the latest version of CalEEMod has been used for this Project to determine construction and operational air quality emissions. Output from the model runs for construction activity is provided in Appendix 3.1.

3.4 CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS

Construction activities associated with the Project will result in emissions of VOCs, NO_x, SO_x, CO, PM_{10} , and $PM_{2.5}$. Construction related emissions are expected from earthwork (excavation, compaction, soil import/export, slope grading and filling), delivery of structural materials, and pouring of concrete and paving activities.

Grading Activities

Dust is typically a major concern during grading activities. Because such emissions are not amenable to collection and discharge through a controlled source, they are called "fugitive emissions". Fugitive dust emissions rates vary as a function of many parameters (soil silt, soil moisture, wind speed, area disturbed, number of vehicles, depth of disturbance or excavation, etc.). CalEEMod was utilized to calculate fugitive dust emissions resulting from this phase of activity. Based on information provided by the Project applicant, earthwork activities are expected to balance on site and no import or export of soils would be required.

Construction Worker Vehicle Trips

Construction emissions for construction worker vehicles traveling to and from the Project site, as well as vendor trips (construction materials delivered to the Project site) were estimated based on information from CalEEMod defaults.

3.4.1 CONSTRUCTION DURATION

Construction is expected to occur in 2020 and will last for a duration of approximately one (1) year. For purposes of analysis, construction is expected to commence in January 2020 and will last through January 2021. Construction duration utilized in the analysis represents a "worst-case" analysis scenario should construction occur any time after the respective dates since

emission factors for construction decrease as time passes and the analysis year increases due to emission regulations becoming more stringent.¹

3.4.2 CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

While the final types and numbers of construction equipment will be determined by the construction contractor, Table 3-2 lists the type of equipment to be utilized.

Equipment	Amount
Cement and Mortar Mixers	3
Dumpers/Tenders	10
Excavators	1
Generator Sets	1
Off-Highway Trucks	3
Other Construction Equip.	1
Pavers	1
Rollers	1
Rubber Tired Dozers	1
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1

TABLE 3-2: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

It should be noted that site specific construction fleet may vary due to specific project needs at the time of construction. As a conservative measure, the construction equipment was modeled under the assumption that each equipment would operate for up to 8 hours per day during an approximate 12-month construction period (19).

The duration of construction activity and associated equipment represents a reasonable approximation of the expected construction fleet as required per CEQA Guidelines. The duration of construction activity was based on information provided by the Project applicant and the 2021 opening year.

¹ As shown in the CalEEMod User's Guide Version 2016.3.2, Section 4.3 "OFFROAD Equipment" as the analysis year increases, emission factors for the same equipment pieces decrease due to the natural turnover of older equipment being replaced by newer less polluting equipment and new regulatory requirements.



3.4.3 CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS SUMMARY

Impacts without Mitigation

CalEEMod calculates maximum daily emissions for summer and winter periods. The estimated maximum daily construction emissions without mitigation are summarized on Table 3-3. Detailed construction model outputs are presented in Appendix 3.1. Under the assumed scenarios, emissions resulting from the Project construction will not exceed criteria pollutant thresholds established by the MDAQMD for emissions of any criteria pollutant and impacts would be less than significant.

Voor	Emissions (lbs/day)					
Year	voc	NOx	со	SOx	PM10	PM2.5
Summer						
2020	6.51	59.70	41.32	0.10	10.26	6.06
2021	6.06	53.59	40.20	0.10	9.96	5.78
Winter						
2020	6.51	59.71	40.98	0.10	10.26	6.06
2021	6.06	53.60	39.88	0.10	9.96	5.78
Maximum Daily Emissions	6.51	59.71	41.32	0.10	10.26	6.06
MDAQMD Regional Threshold	137	137	548	1377	82	65
Threshold Exceeded?	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO

TABLE 3-3: OVERALL CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS SUMMARY (WITHOUT MITIGATION)

Source: CalEEMod construction-source (unmitigated) emissions are presented in Appendix 3.1.

3.5 OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS

Long-term air quality impacts occur from mobile source emission generated from Project-related traffic and from stationary source emissions generated from natural gas. The proposed Project primarily involves construction activity. For on-going operations, mobile emissions would be generated by the motor vehicles traveling to and from the Project sites during on-going maintenance. However, the Project would generate a nominal number of traffic trips for periodic maintenance and inspections and would not result in any substantive new long-term emissions sources. Stationary area source emissions are typically generated by the consumption of natural gas for space and water heating devices and the use of consumer products. As this Project involves construction of 64 miles of wastewater pipeline, and 1,300 manholes and 3 lift stations, heating and consumer products would not be used. Stationary energy emissions would result from energy consumption associated with the proposed Project. All operational equipment associated with the Project would be electrically powered and would not directly generate air emissions.



The Project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is non-attainment. As Project operations would not exceed MDAQMD thresholds, the Project would not violate an air quality standard or contribute to an existing violation. Therefore, Project operations would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant and impacts would be less than significant.

3.6 CO "HOT SPOT" ANALYSIS

As discussed below, the Project would not result in potentially adverse CO concentrations or "hot spots." Further, detailed modeling of Project-specific CO "hot spots" is not needed to reach this conclusion. An adverse CO concentration, known as a "hot spot", would occur if an exceedance of the state one-hour standard of 20 ppm or the eight-hour standard of 9 ppm were to occur. At the time of the 1993 Handbook, the air basin was designated nonattainment under the CAAQS and NAAQS for CO (20).

It has long been recognized that CO hotspots are caused by vehicular emissions, primarily when idling at congested intersections. In response, vehicle emissions standards have become increasingly stringent in the last twenty years. Currently, the allowable CO emissions standard in California is a maximum of 3.4 grams/mile for passenger cars (there are requirements for certain vehicles that are more stringent). With the turnover of older vehicles, introduction of cleaner fuels, and implementation of increasingly sophisticated and efficient emissions control technologies, CO concentration in the air basin is now designated as attainment, as previously noted in Table 2-3. Also, CO concentrations in the Project vicinity have steadily declined, as indicated by historical emissions data presented previously at Table 2-4.

To establish a more accurate record of baseline CO concentrations affecting the basin, a CO "hot spot" analysis was conducted in 2003 for four busy intersections in Los Angeles at the peak morning and afternoon time periods. This 2003 hot spot analysis did not predict any violation of CO standards, as shown on Table 3-4.

Internetion Location	CO Concentrations (ppm)				
Intersection Location	Morning 1-hour	Afternoon 1-hour	8-hour		
Wilshire/Veteran	4.6	3.5	3.7		
Sunset/Highland	4	4.5	3.5		
La Cienega/Century	3.7	3.1	5.2		
Long Beach/Imperial	3	3.1	8.4		

TABLE 3-4: CO MODEL RESULTS

Source: 2003 AQMP, Appendix V: Modeling and Attainment Demonstrations

Notes: Federal 1-hour standard is 35 ppm and the deferral 8-hour standard is 9.0 ppm.

It should be noted that MDAQMD has not established its own guidelines for CO hotspots analysis. Since the MDAQMD guidelines are based on SCAQMD methodology, it is appropriate to apply the SCAQMD criteria when analyzing CO hotspots within the MDAQMD. As identified within SCAQMD's 2003 AQMP and the 1992 Federal Attainment Plan for Carbon Monoxide (1992 CO



Plan), peak carbon monoxide concentrations in the basin were a result of unusual meteorological and topographical conditions and not a result of traffic volumes and congestion at a particular intersection. As evidence of this, for example, 8.4 ppm CO concentration measured at the Long Beach Blvd. and Imperial Hwy. intersection (highest CO generating intersection within the "hot spot" analysis), only 0.7 ppm was attributable to the traffic volumes and congestion at this intersection; the remaining 7.7 ppm were due to the ambient air measurements at the time the 2003 AQMP was prepared (20). Therefore, even if the traffic volumes for the proposed Project were double or even triple of the traffic volumes generated at the Long Beach Blvd. and Imperial Hwy. intersection, coupled with the on-going improvements in ambient air quality, the Project would not be capable of resulting in a CO "hot spot" at any study area intersections.

Similar considerations are also employed by other Air Districts when evaluating potential CO concentration impacts. More specifically, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) concludes that under existing and future vehicle emission rates, a given project would have to increase traffic volumes at a single intersection by more than 44,000 vehicles per hour— or 24,000 vehicles per hour where vertical and/or horizontal air does not mix—in order to generate a significant CO impact (21).

Traffic volumes generating the CO concentrations for the "hot spot" analysis, shown on Table 3-5. The busiest intersection evaluated was that at Wilshire Blvd. and Veteran Ave., which has a daily traffic volume of approximately 100,000 vehicles per day. The 2003 AQMP estimated that the 1-hour concentration for this intersection was 4.6 ppm; this indicates that, should the daily traffic volume increase four times to 400,000 vehicles per day, CO concentrations (4.6 ppm x 4= 18.4 ppm) would still not likely exceed the most stringent 1-hour CO standard (20.0 ppm).² At buildout of the Project, the highest daily traffic volumes generated at the roadways within the vicinity of the Project are expected to generate less than the highest daily traffic volumes generated at the busiest intersection in the CO "hot spot" analysis. As such, the Project would not likely exceed the most stringent 1-hour CO standard.

	Peak Traffic Volumes (vph)					
Intersection Location	Eastbound (AM/PM)	Westbound (AM/PM)	Southbound (AM/PM)	Northbound (AM/PM)	Total (AM/PM)	
Wilshire/Veteran	4,954/2,069	1,830/3,317	721/1,400	560/933	8,062/7,719	
Sunset/Highland	1,417/1,764	1,342/1,540	2,304/1,832	1,551/2,238	6,614/5,374	
La Cienega/Century	2,540/2,243	1,890/2,728	1,384/2,029	821/1,674	6,634/8,674	
Long Beach/Imperial	1,217/2,020	1,760/1,400	479/944	756/1,150	4,212/5,514	

TABLE 3-5: TRAFFIC VOLUMES

vph – Vehicles Per Hour

Source: 2003 AQMP



² Based on the ratio of the CO standard (20.0 ppm) and the modeled value (4.6 ppm).

3.7 AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLANNING

The Federal Particulate Matter Attainment Plan and Ozone Attainment Plan for the Mojave Desert set forth a comprehensive set of programs that will lead the MDAB into compliance with federal and state air quality standards. The control measures and related emission reduction estimates within the Federal Particulate Matter Attainment Plan and Ozone Attainment Plan are based upon emissions projections for a future development scenario derived from land use, population, and employment characteristics defined in consultation with local governments. Accordingly, conformance with these attainment plans for development projects is determined by demonstrating compliance the indicators discussed below (17):

Consistency Criterion No. 1: Local land use plans and/or population projections

The Project's does not propose a land use development but rather involves construction of pipeline.

Consistency Criterion No. 2: All MDAQMD Rules and Regulations

The Project would be required to comply with all applicable MDAQMD Rules and Regulations, including, but not limited to Rules 401 (Visibile Emissions), 402 (Nuisance), and 403 (Fugitive Dust).

Consistency Criterion No. 3: Demonstrating that the project will not increase the frequency or severity of a violation in the federal or state ambient air quality standards

As substantiated herein, Project construction-source emissions would not exceed applicable MDAQMD regional thresholds. As such, Project emissions are considered to a have less than significant impact and would not have the potential to increase the frequency or severity of a violation in NAAQS and CAAQS.

AQMP Consistency Conclusion

The Project would conform to local land use plans, comply with all applicable all MDAQMD Rules and Regulations, and would not have the potential to increase the frequency or severity of a violation in NAAQS and CAAQS. On this basis, the Project is considered to consistent with the Federal Particulate Matter Attainment Plan and Ozone Attainment Plan for the Mojave Desert. The Project is therefore considered to be consistent with the AQMP.

3.8 POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO SENSITIVE RECEPTORS

The potential impact of Project-generated air pollutant emissions at sensitive receptors has also been considered. Sensitive receptors can include uses such as long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, and retirement homes. Residences, schools, playgrounds, childcare centers, and athletic facilities can also be considered as sensitive receptors. The nearest sensitive receptor is a residential community located adjacent to the Project site east of Mesa View Drive.

As per the MDAQMD's *Guidelines*, the following project types located within a specified distance to an existing or planned sensitive receptor land use must be evaluated to determine exposure of substantial pollutant concentrations to sensitive receptors (17):

- Any industrial project within 1,000 feet;
- A distribution center (40 or more trucks per day) within 1,000 feet;
- A major transportation project (50,000 or more vehicles per day) within 1,000 feet;
- A dry cleaner using perchloroethylene within 500 feet;
- A gasoline dispensing facility within 300 feet.

The proposed Project's land uses do not include the above uses. As such, no analysis for sensitive receptors is required. Additionally. results of the regional analysis indicate that the Project will not exceed the MDAQMD significance thresholds during construction or operations. Therefore, sensitive receptors would not be subject to a significant air quality impact during Project construction and operational activities.

The proposed Project would not result in a CO "hotspot" as a result of Project related traffic during ongoing operations, nor would the Project result in a significant adverse health impact as discussed in Section 3.6. Thus, a less than significant impact to sensitive receptors during operational activity is expected.

3.9 ODORS

The potential for the Project to generate objectionable odors has also been considered. Land uses generally associated with odor complaints include:

- Agricultural uses (livestock and farming)
- Wastewater treatment plants
- Food processing plants
- Chemical plants
- Composting operations
- Refineries
- Landfills
- Dairies
- Fiberglass molding facilities

The Project does not propose or require land uses that would be substantive sources of objectionable odors. Potential temporary and intermittent odors may result from construction equipment exhaust, the application of asphalt and architectural coatings, Temporary and intermittent construction-source emissions are controlled through existing requirements and industry Best Management Practices (BMPs) addressing proper storage of and application construction materials.



Over the life of the Project, any resulting solid waste will be stored in an enclosed building equipped with odor control filters pending its transport to area landfills. Project-generated refuse would be stored in an enclosed building and removed at regular intervals in compliance with the applicable agency solid waste regulations.

The proposed Project would also be required to comply with MDAQMD Rule 402. Rule 402 provides that "[a] person shall not discharge from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public, or which endanger the comfort, repose, health or safety of any such persons or the public, or which cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property." (22). Based on the preceding, the potential for the Project to create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people is considered less-than-significant.

3.10 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

The MDAQMD relies on the SCAQMD guidance for determining cumulative impacts. The SCAQMD has recognized that there is typically insufficient information to quantitatively evaluate the cumulative contributions of multiple projects because each project applicant has no control over nearby projects.

The SCAQMD published a report on how to address cumulative impacts from air pollution: *White Paper on Potential Control Strategies to Address Cumulative Impacts from Air Pollution* (23). In this report the Air Quality Management District (AQMD) clearly states (Page D-3):

"...the AQMD uses the same significance thresholds for project specific and cumulative impacts for all environmental topics analyzed in an Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Report (EIR). The only case where the significance thresholds for project specific and cumulative impacts differ is the Hazard Index (HI) significance threshold for toxic air contaminant (TAC) emissions. The project specific (project increment) significance threshold is HI > 1.0 while the cumulative (facility-wide) is HI > 3.0. It should be noted that the HI is only one of three TAC emission significance thresholds considered (when applicable) in a CEQA analysis. The other two are the maximum individual cancer risk (MICR) and the cancer burden, both of which use the same significance thresholds (MICR of 10 in 1 million and cancer burden of 0.5) for project specific and cumulative impacts.

Projects that exceed the project-specific significance thresholds are considered by the SCAQMD to be cumulatively considerable. This is the reason project-specific and cumulative significance thresholds are the same. Conversely, projects that do not exceed the project-specific thresholds are generally not considered to be cumulatively significant."

Individual projects that do not generate construction or operational emissions that exceed the MDAQMD's recommended daily thresholds for project-specific impacts would also not cause a cumulatively considerable increase in emissions for those pollutants for which the MDAB is in nonattainment, and, therefore, would not be considered to have a significant, adverse air quality

impact. As previously noted, the Project construction and operational-source would not exceed applicable MDAQMD regional thresholds. As such, the Project will not result in a cumulatively significant impact for construction or operational activity.



This page intentionally left blank



4 **REFERENCES**

- 1. State of California. 2019 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act. 2019.
- 2. **South Coast Air Quality Management District.** *Guidance Document for Addressing Air Quality Issues in General Plans and Local Planning.* 2005.
- 3. St. Croix Sensory, Inc. The "Gray Line" Between Odor Nuisance and Health Effects . 2000.
- 4. California Air Resources Board. Ambient Air Quality Standards (AAQS). [Online] 2016. http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/aaqs2.pdf.
- 5. United State Environmental Protection Agency. Frequent Questions about General Conformity . *EPA*. [Online] https://www.epa.gov/general-conformity/frequent-questions-about-general-conformity#8.
- 6. **Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District.** Ambient Air Monitoring. *Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District*. [Online] [Cited: September 12, 2019.] http://mdaqmd.ca.gov/air-quality/monitoring-info.
- 7. Air Resources Board. State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards. [Online] https://www.arb.ca.gov/regact/2019/stateareadesignations/appc.pdf?_ga=2.169398369.15376157 02.1554741141-1192937971.1505156621.
- 8. —. iAdam: Air Quality Data Statistics. *California Air Resources Board*. [Online] https://arb.ca.gov/adam.
- 9. Environmental Protection Agency. National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). [Online] 1990. https://www.epa.gov/environmental-topics/air-topics.
- 10. —. Air Pollution and the Clean Air Act. [Online] http://www.epa.gov/air/caa/.
- 11. United States Environmental Protection Agency. 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment Summary: Title I. [Online] https://www.epa.gov/clean-air-act-overview/1990-clean-air-act-amendment-summary-title-i.
- 12. —. 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment Summary: Title II. [Online] https://www.epa.gov/clean-air-act-overview/1990-clean-air-act-amendment-summary-title-ii.
- 13. Air Resources Board. California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS). [Online] 2009. [Cited: April 16, 2018.] http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/caaqs/caaqs.htm.
- 14. **The California Energy Commission.** 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards . *California Energy Commission*. [Online] 2018.

https://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2019standards/documents/2018_Title_24_2019_Building_Stand ards_FAQ.pdf.

- 15. **California Air Resources Board.** Western Mojave Desert Air Quality Management Plans. [Online] https://www.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/planarea/mojavesedsip.htm.
- Professionals, Association of Environmental. 2018 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Statute and Guidelines. [Online] 2018. http://resources.ca.gov/ceqa/docs/2018_CEQA_Statutes_and_Guidelines.pdf.
- 17. **Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District.** California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Federal Conformity Guidelines. [Online] August 2016. http://mdaqmd.ca.gov/home/showdocument?id=538.
- 18. California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). [Online] September 2016. www.caleemod.com.



- 19. **California Air Pollution Control Officers Association.** California Emissions Estimator Model User's Guide. [Online] November 2017. http://www.caleemod.com/.
- 20. South Coast Air Quality Management District. Final 2003 AQMP Appendix V: Modeling and Attainment Demonstrations. [Online] 2003. http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/clean-air-plans/air-quality-management-plans/2003-air-quality-management-plan/2003-aqmp-appendix-v.pdf.
- 21. Bay Area Air Quality Management District. [Online] http://www.baaqmd.gov/.
- 22. **Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District.** Rule 402 Nuisance. [Online] May 7, 1976. http://mdaqmd.ca.gov/home/showdocument?id=290.
- 23. Goss, Tracy A and Kroeger, Amy. White Paper on Potential Control Strategies to Address Cumulative Impacts from Air Pollution. [Online] South Coast Air Quality Management District, 2003. http://www.aqmd.gov/rules/ciwg/final_white_paper.pdf.
- 24. **Department of General Services.** Building Standards Commission. *CALGreen.* [Online] https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/chapter/15778/.

This page intentionally left blank



5 CERTIFICATIONS

The contents of this air study report represent an accurate depiction of the environmental impacts associated with the proposed Hi-Desert Water District Phase II and III Sewer Collection System Project. The information contained in this air quality impact assessment report is based on the best available data at the time of preparation. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at (949) 336-5987.

Haseeb Qureshi Associate Principal URBAN CROSSROADS, INC. 260 E. Baker Street, Suite 200 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 (949) 336-5987 hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

EDUCATION

Master of Science in Environmental Studies California State University, Fullerton • May, 2010

Bachelor of Arts in Environmental Analysis and Design University of California, Irvine • June, 2006

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

AEP – Association of Environmental Planners AWMA – Air and Waste Management Association ASTM – American Society for Testing and Materials

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS

Planned Communities and Urban Infill – Urban Land Institute • June, 2011 Indoor Air Quality and Industrial Hygiene – EMSL Analytical • April, 2008 Principles of Ambient Air Monitoring – California Air Resources Board • August, 2007 AB2588 Regulatory Standards – Trinity Consultants • November, 2006 Air Dispersion Modeling – Lakes Environmental • June, 2006

This page intentionally left blank



APPENDIX 2.1:

STATE/FEDERAL ATTAINMENT STATUS OF CRITERIA POLLUTANTS



APPENDIX C

MAPS AND TABLES OF AREA DESIGNATIONS FOR STATE AND NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

[This page intentionally left blank]

APPENDIX C

MAPS AND TABLES OF AREA DESIGNATIONS FOR STATE AND NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

This attachment fulfills the requirement of Health and Safety Code section 40718 for CARB to publish maps that identify areas where one or more violations of any State ambient air quality standard (State standard) or national ambient air quality standard (national standard) have been measured. The national standards are those promulgated under section 109 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7409).

This attachment is divided into three parts. The first part comprises a table showing the levels, averaging times, and measurement methods for each of the State and national standards. This is followed by a section containing maps and tables showing the area designations for each pollutant for which there is a State standard in the California Code of Regulations, title 17, section 70200. The last section contains maps and tables showing the most current area designations for the national standards.

		Ambient /	Air Quality (Updated 5/4/16)	v Standards	5				
Dollutont	Averaging	California S	tandards	Nat	tional Standards	2			
Pollutant	Time	Concentration ³	Method 4	Primary 3.5	Secondary 3.6	Method 7			
Ozone (O₃)ª	1 Hour	0.09 ppm (180 µg/m³)	Ultraviolet Photometry	—	Same as Primary	Ultraviolet			
· · ·	8 Hour	0.070 ppm (137 µg/m ^s)		0.070 ppm (137 μg/m³)	Standard	Photometry			
Respirable Particulate	24 Hour	50 μg/m³	Gravimetric or Beta	150 µg/m³	Same as Primary	Inertial Separation and Gravimetric			
Matter (PM10) [®]	Annual Arithmetic Mean	20 µg/m³	Attenuation	_	Standard	Analysis			
Fine Particulate	24 Hour	_	—	35 μg/m²	Same as Primary Standard	Inertial Separation and Gravimetric			
Matter (PM2.5) [°]	Annual Arithmetic Mean	12 µg/m³	Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation	12.0 µg/m³	15 µg/m³	Analysis			
Carbon	1 Hour	20 ppm (23 mg/m³)	Non Disporsivo	35 ppm (40 mg/m³)	_	Non Disporsivo			
Monoxide (CO)	8 Hour	9.0 ppm (10 mg/m³)	Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometry (NDIR)	9 ppm (10 mg/m³)	_	Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometry (NDIR)			
(00)	8 Hour (Lake Tahoe)	6 ppm (7 mg/m³)		_	_				
Nitrogen	1 Hour	0.18 ppm (339 µg/m³)	Gas Phase	100 ppb (188 µg/m³)	—	Gas Phase			
Dioxide (NO₂)º	Annual Arithmetic		Chemiluminescence	0.053 ppm (100 µg/m³)	Same as Primary Standard	Chemiluminescence			
	1 Hour	0.25 ppm (655 μg/m³)		75 ppb (196 µg/m³)	_				
Sulfur Dioxide	3 Hour		Ultraviolet	_	0.5 ppm (1300 µg/m³)	Ultraviolet Flourescence; Spectrophotometry			
(SO ₂) ¹¹	ioxide 3 Hour -	Fluorescence	0.14 ppm (for certain areas) ¹¹	_	(Pararosaniline Method)				
	Annual Arithmetic Mean			0.030 ppm (for certain areas) ¹¹		wellou)			
	30 Day Average	1.5 µg/m³		—	—				
Lead12,13	Calendar Quarter	-	Atomic Absorption	1.5 μg/m³ (for certain areas)¹²	Same as Primary	High Volume Sampler and Atomic Absorption			
	Rolling 3-Month Average			0.15 µg/m²	Standard	, 1200. p.ion			
Visibility Reducing Particles⁴	8 Hour	See footnote 14	Beta Attenuation and Transmittance through Filter Tape		No				
Sulfates	24 Hour	25 µg/m²	lon Chromatography	National Standards					
Hydrogen Sulfide	1 Hour	0.03 ppm (42 µg/m³)	Ultraviolet Fluorescence						
Vinyl Chloride ¹²	24 Hour	0.01 ppm (26 µg/m³)	Gas Chromatography						
See footnotes	on next page								

- California standards for ozone, carbon monoxide (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), sulfur dioxide (1- and 24-hour), nitrogen dioxide, and particulate matter (PM10, PM2.5, and visibility reducing particles), are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded. California ambient air quality standards are listed in the Table of Standards in Section 70200 of Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations.
- 2. National standards (other than ozone, particulate matter, and those based on annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The ozone standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM10, the 24-hour standard is attained when the expected

number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 μ g/m³ is equal to or less than one. For PM2.5, the 24-hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over three years, are equal to or less than the standard. Contact the U.S. EPA for further clarification and current national policies.

- 3. Concentration expressed first in units in which it was promulgated. Equivalent units given in parentheses are based upon a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr. Most measurements of air quality are to be corrected to a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr; ppm in this table refers to ppm by volume, or micromoles of pollutant per mole of gas.
- 4. Any equivalent measurement method which can be shown to the satisfaction of the CARB to give equivalent results at or near the level of the air quality standard may be used.
- 5. National Primary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety to protect the public health.
- 6. National Secondary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects of a pollutant.
- 7. Reference method as described by the U.S. EPA. An "equivalent method" of measurement may be used but must have a "consistent relationship to the reference method" and must be approved by the U.S. EPA.
- 8. On October 1, 2015, the national 8-hour ozone primary and secondary standards were lowered from 0.075 to 0.070 ppm.
- 9. On December 14, 2012, the national annual PM2.5 primary standard was lowered from 15 μg/m³ to 12.0 μg/m³. The existing national 24-hour PM2.5 standards (primary and secondary) were retained at 35 μg/m³, as was the annual secondary standard of 15 μg/m³. The existing 24-hour PM10 standards (primary and secondary) of 150 μg/m³ also were retained. The form of the annual primary and secondary standards is the annual mean, averaged over 3 years.
- 10. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 100 ppb. Note that the national 1-hour standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the national 1-hour standard to the California standards the units can be converted from ppb to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 100 ppb is identical to 0.100 ppm.
- 11. On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO₂ standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 75 ppb. The 1971 SO₂ national standards (24-hour and annual) remain in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2010 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1971 standards, the 1971 standards remain in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2010 standards are approved.

Note that the 1-hour national standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the 1-hour national standard to the California standard the units can be converted to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 75 ppb is identical to 0.075 ppm.

- 12. The CARB has identified lead and vinyl chloride as 'toxic air contaminants' with no threshold level of exposure for adverse health effects determined. These actions allow for the implementation of control measures at levels below the ambient concentrations specified for these pollutants.
- 13. The national standard for lead was revised on October 15, 2008 to a rolling 3-month average. The 1978 lead standard (1.5 μg/m³ as a quarterly average) remains in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2008 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1978 standard, the 1978 standard remains in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2008 standard are approved.
- 14. In 1989, the CARB converted both the general statewide 10-mile visibility standard and the Lake Tahoe 30-mile visibility standard to instrumental equivalents, which are "extinction of 0.23 per kilometer" and "extinction of 0.07 per kilometer" for the statewide and Lake Tahoe Air Basin standards, respectively.

[This page intentionally left blank]

Area Designations for the State Ambient Air Quality Standards

The following maps and tables show the area designations for each pollutant with a State standard set forth in the California Code of Regulations, title 17, section 60200. Each area is identified as attainment, nonattainment, nonattainment-transitional, or unclassified for each pollutant, as shown below:

Attainment	А
Nonattainment	Ν
Nonattainment-Transitional	NA-T
Unclassified	U

In general, CARB designates areas by air basin for pollutants with a regional impact and by county for pollutants with a more local impact. However, when there are areas within an air basin or county with distinctly different air quality deriving from sources and conditions not affecting the entire air basin or county, CARB may designate a smaller area. Generally, when boundaries of the designated area differ from the air basin or county boundaries, the description of the specific area is referenced at the bottom of the summary table.




California Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designations for Ozone ⁽¹⁾

	Ν	NA-T	U	Α		Ν	NA-T	U	Α
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN					NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN				Х
Alpine County			Х		SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN				
Inyo County	Х				Colusa and Glenn Counties				Х
Mono County	Х				Sutter/Yuba Counties				
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN				Х	Sutter Buttes	Х			
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN				Х	Remainder of Sutter County				Х
MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN	Х				Yuba County				Х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN					Yolo/Solano Counties		Х		
Amador County	Х				Remainder of Air Basin	Х			
Calaveras County	Х				SALTON SEA AIR BASIN	Х			
El Dorado County (portion)	Х				SAN DIEGO AIR BASIN	Х			
Mariposa County	Х				SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN	Х			
Nevada County	Х				SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN	Х			
Placer County (portion)	Х				SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN				
Plumas County			Х		San Luis Obispo County	Х			
Sierra County			Х		Santa Barbara County		Х		
Tuolumne County	Х				Ventura County	Х			
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		Х			SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN	Х			
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN				Х					


(1) AB 3048 (Olberg) and AB 2525 (Miller) signed into law in 1996, made changes to Health and Safety Code, section 40925.5. One of the changes allows nonattainment districts to become nonattainment-transitional for ozone by operation of law.





California Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designation for Suspended Particulate Matter (PM10)

	N	U	Α		Ν	U	Α
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN	Х			NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN	Х		
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN			Х	NORTH COAST AIR BASIN			
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN	Х			Del Norte, Sonoma (portion) and Trinity Counties			Х
MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN	Х			Remainder of Air Basin	Х		
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN				NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN			
Amador County		Х		Siskiyou County			Х
Calaveras County	Х			Remainder of Air Basin		Х	
El Dorado County (portion)	х			SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN			
Mariposa County				Shasta County			Х
- Yosemite National Park	х			Remainder of Air Basin	Х		
- Remainder of County		Х		SALTON SEA AIR BASIN	Х		
Nevada County	х			SAN DIEGO AIR BASIN	Х		
Placer County (portion)	Х			SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN	Х		
Plumas County	Х			SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN	Х		
Sierra County	Х			SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN	Х		
Tuolumne County		Х		SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN	Х		



California Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designations for Fine Particulate Matter (PM2.5)

	Ν	U	Α		Ν	U	Α
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN			Х	SALTON SEA AIR BASIN			
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN			Х	Imperial County			
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN			Х	- City of Calexico (3)	Х		
MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN				Remainder of Air Basin			Х
San Bernardino County				SAN DIEGO AIR BASIN	Х		
- County portion of federal Southeast			x	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN	Х		
Desert Modified AQMA for Ozone (1)			^	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN	Х		
Remainder of Air Basin		Х		SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN				San Luis Obispo County			Х
Plumas County				Santa Barbara County		Х	
- Portola Valley (2)	Х			Ventura County			Х
Remainder of Air Basin		Х		SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN	Х		
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			Х				
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN			Х				
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN			Х				
SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN							
Butte County	Х						
Colusa County			Х				
Glenn County			Х				
Placer County (portion)			Х				
Sacramento County			Х				
Shasta County			Х				
Sutter and Yuba Counties			Х				
Remainder of Air Basin		Х					

(1) California Code of Regulations, title 17, section 60200(b)

(2) California Code of Regulations, title 17, section 60200(c)

(3) California Code of Regulations, title 17, section 60200(a)




2018 Area Designations for State Ambient Air Quality Standards CARBON MONOXIDE

California Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designation for Carbon Monoxide*

	N	NA-T	U	Α		N	NA-T	U	Α
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN					SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN				
Alpine County			Х		Butte County				Х
Inyo County				Х	Colusa County			Х	
Mono County				Х	Glenn County			Х	
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN				Х	Placer County (portion)				Х
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN				Х	Sacramento County				Х
MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN					Shasta County			Х	
Kern County (portion)			Х		Solano County (portion)				Х
Los Angeles County (portion)				Х	Sutter County				Х
Riverside County (portion)			Х		Tehama County			Х	
San Bernardino County (portion)				Х	Yolo County				Х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN					Yuba County			Х	
Amador County			Х		SALTON SEA AIR BASIN				Х
Calaveras County			Х		SAN DIEGO AIR BASIN				Х
El Dorado County (portion)			Х		SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN				Х
Mariposa County			Х		SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN				
Nevada County			Х		Fresno County				Х
Placer County (portion)			Х		Kern County (portion)				Х
Plumas County				Х	Kings County			Х	
Sierra County			Х		Madera County			Х	
Tuolumne County				Х	Merced County			Х	
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN					San Joaquin County				Х
Monterey County				Х	Stanislaus County				Х
San Benito County			Х		Tulare County				Х
Santa Cruz County			Х		SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN				Х
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN					SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN				Х
Del Norte County			Х						
Humboldt County				Х					
Mendocino County				Х					
Sonoma County (portion)			Х						
Trinity County			Х						
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN			Х						

* The area designated for carbon monoxide is a county or portion of a county



California Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designation for Nitrogen Dioxide

	Ν	U	Α		Ν	U	Α
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN			Х	SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN			Х	SALTON SEA AIR BASIN			Х
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN			Х	SAN DIEGO AIR BASIN			Х
MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN			Х	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN			Х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN			Х	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			Х	SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			Х
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN			Х	SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN			
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN			х	CA 60 Near-road Portion of San Bernardino, Riverside, and Los Angeles Counties	х		
				Remainder of Air Basin			Х



California Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designation for Sulfur Dioxide*

	Ν	U/A		Ν	U/A
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN		Х	SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN		Х
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN		Х	SALTON SEA AIR BASIN		Х
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN		Х	SAN DIEGO AIR BASIN		Х
MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN		Х	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN		Х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN		Х	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN		Х
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		Х	SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		Х
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN		Х	SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN		Х
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN		Х			

* The area designated for sulfur dioxide is a county or portion of a county



California Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designation for Sulfates

	Ν	U	Α		Ν	U	Α
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN			Х	SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN			Х	SALTON SEA AIR BASIN			Х
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN			Х	SAN DIEGO AIR BASIN			Х
MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN			Х	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN			Х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN			Х	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			Х	SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			Х
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN			Х	SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN			Х
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN			Х				



2018 Area Designations for State Ambient Air Quality Standards LEAD

California Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designations for Lead (particulate)*

	Ν	U	Α		Ν	U	Α
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN			Х	SALTON SEA AIR BASIN			Х
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN			Х	SAN DIEGO AIR BASIN			Х
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN			Х	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN			Х
MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN			Х	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN			Х	SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			Х
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			Х	SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN			Х
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN			Х				
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN			Х				
SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х				

* The area designated for lead is a county or portion of a county. Since all areas in the State are in attainment for this standard, air basins are indicated here for simplicity.



California Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designation for Hydrogen Sulfide*

	Ν	NA-T	U	Α		Ν	NA-T	U	Α
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN		-			NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			Х	
Alpine County			Х		NORTH COAST AIR BASIN				
Inyo County				Х	Del Norte County			Х	
Mono County				Х	Humboldt County				Х
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN				Х	Mendocino County			Х	
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN			Х		Sonoma County (portion)				
MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN					- Geyser Geothermal Area (2)				Х
Kern County (portion)			Х		- Remainder of County			Х	
Los Angeles County (portion)			Х		Trinity County			Х	
Riverside County (portion)			Х		NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN			Х	
San Bernardino County (portion)					SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х	
- Searles Valley Planning Area (1)	Х				SALTON SEA AIR BASIN			Х	
- Remainder of County			Х		SAN DIEGO AIR BASIN			Х	
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN					SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN			Х	
Amador County					SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х	
- City of Sutter Creek	Х				SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN				
- Remainder of County			Х		San Luis Obispo County				Х
Calaveras County			Х		Santa Barbara County				Х
El Dorado County (portion)			Х		Ventura County			Х	
Mariposa County			Х		SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN			Х	
Nevada County			Х						
Placer County (portion)			Х						
Plumas County			Х						
Sierra County			Х						
Tuolumne County			Х						

* The area designated for hydrogen sulfide is a county or portion of a county

(1) 52 Federal Register 29384 (August 7, 1987)

(2) California Code of Regulations, title 17, section 60200(d)

FIGURE 10



2018 Area Designations for State Ambient Air Quality Standards VISIBILITY REDUCING PARTICLES

California Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designation for Visibility Reducing Particles

	N	NA-T	υ	Α		Ν	NA-T	U	Α
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN			Х		SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х	
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN				Х	SALTON SEA AIR BASIN			Х	
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN			Х		SAN DIEGO AIR BASIN			Х	
MOJAVE DESERT AIR BASIN			Х		SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN			Х	
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN			Х		SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х	
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			Х		SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN			Х	
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN			Х		SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN			Х	
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN			Х						

Area Designations for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards

The following maps and tables show the area designations for each pollutant with a national ambient air quality standard. Additional information about the federal area designations is available on the U.S. EPA website:

https://www.epa.gov/green-book

Over the last several years, U.S. EPA has been reviewing the levels of the various national standards. The agency has already promulgated new standard levels for some pollutants and is considering revising the levels for others. Information about the status of these reviews is available on the U.S. EPA website:

https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants

Designation Categories

Suspended Particulate Matter (PM_{10}). The U.S. EPA uses three categories to designate areas with respect to PM_{10} :

- Attainment
- Nonattainment
- Unclassifiable

Ozone, Fine Suspended Particulate Matter ($PM_{2.5}$), Carbon Monoxide (CO), and Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂). The U.S. EPA uses two categories to designate areas with respect to these standards:

- Nonattainment
- Unclassifiable/Attainment

The national 1-hour ozone standard was revoked effective June 15, 2005, and the area designations map reflects the 2015 national 8-hour ozone standard of 0.070 ppm. Original designations were finalized on August 3, 2018.

On December 14, 2012, the U.S. EPA established a new national annual primary $PM_{2.5}$ standard of 12.0 µg/m³. New area designations reflecting this revised standard became final in December 2014. The current designation map reflects the most recently revised (2012) annual average standard of 12.0 µg/m³ as well as the 24-hour standard of 35 µg/m³, revised in 2006.

On January 22, 2010, the U.S. EPA established a new national 1-hour NO₂ standard of 100 parts per billion (ppb) and retained the annual average standard of 53 ppb. Designations for the primary NO₂ standard became effective on February 29, 2012. All areas of California meet this standard.

Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂). The U.S. EPA uses three categories to designate areas with respect to the 24-hour and annual average sulfur dioxide standards. These designation categories are:

- Nonattainment,
- Unclassifiable, and
- Attainment/Unclassifiable.

On June 2, 2010, the U.S. EPA established a new primary 1-hour SO₂ standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb). At the same time, U.S. EPA revoked the 24-hour and annual

average standards. Area designations for the 1-hour SO₂ standard were finalized on December 21, 2017 and are reflected in the area designations map.

Lead (particulate). The U.S. EPA promulgated a new rolling 3-month average lead standard in October 2008 of 0.15 μ g/m³. Designations were made for this standard in November 2010.

Designation Areas

From time to time, the boundaries of the California air basins have been changed to facilitate the planning process. CARB generally initiates these changes, and they are not always reflected in the U.S. EPA's area designations. For purposes of consistency, the maps in this attachment reflect area designation boundaries and nomenclature as promulgated by the U.S. EPA. In some cases, these may not be the same as those adopted by CARB. For example, the national area designations reflect the former Southeast Desert Air Basin. In accordance with Health and Safety Code section 39606.1, CARB redefined this area in 1996 to be the Mojave Desert Air Basin and Salton Sea Air Basin. The definitions and boundaries for all areas designated for the national standards can be found in Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Chapter I, Subchapter C, Part 81.305. They are available on the web at:

https://ecfr.io/Title-40/se40.20.81_1305

FIGURE 11



National Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designations for 8-Hour Ozone*

	N	U/A		N	U/A
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN		х	SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN (cont.)		
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN		Х	Yolo County (2)	Х	
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN		Х	Yuba County		Х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN			SAN DIEGO COUNTY	Х	
Amador County	Х		SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN	Х	
Calaveras County	X SAN		SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN	Х	
El Dorado County (portion) (2)	orado County (portion) (2) X SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BAS		SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN (1)		
Mariposa County	Х		San Luis Obispo County		
Nevada County			- Eastern San Luis Obispo County	Х	
- Western Nevada County	Nestern Nevada County X - Remainder of County			Х	
- Remainder of County		Х	Santa Barbara County		Х
Placer County (portion) (2)	Х		Ventura County		
Plumas County		х	- Area excluding Anacapa and San Nicolas Islands	х	
Sierra County	X - Channel Islands (1)			Х	
Tuolumne County	Х		SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN (1)	Х	
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		Х	SOUTHEAST DESERT AIR BASIN		
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN		Х	Kern County (portion)	Х	
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN		Х	- Indian Wells Valley		Х
SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN			Imperial County	Х	
Butte County	Х		Los Angeles County (portion)	Х	
Colusa County		Х	Riverside County (portion)		
Glenn County		Х	- Coachella Valley	Х	
Sacramento Metro Area (2)	Х		- Non-AQMA portion		Х
Shasta County		Х	San Bernardino County		
Sutter County			- Western portion (AQMA)	Х	
- Sutter Buttes	Х		- Eastern portion (non-AQMA)		Х
- Southern portion of Sutter County (2)	х				
- Remainder of Sutter County		Х			
Tehama County					
- Tuscan Buttes	Х				
- Remainder of Tehama County		Х			

* Definitions and references for all areas can be found in 40 CFR, Chapter I, Part 81.305.

NOTE: This map and table reflect the 2015 8-hour ozone standard of 0.070 ppm.

(1) South Central Coast Air Basin Channel Islands:

Santa Barbara County includes Santa Cruz, San Miguel, Santa Rosa, and Santa Barbara Islands.

Ventura County includes Anacapa and San Nicolas Islands.

South Coast Air Basin:

Los Angeles County includes San Clemente and Santa Catalina Islands.

(2) For this purpose, the Sacramento Metro Area comprises all of Sacramento and Yolo Counties, the Sacramento Valley Air Basin portion of Solano County, the southern portion of Sutter County, and the Sacramento Valley and Mountain Counties Air Basins portions of Placer and El Dorado counties.



National Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designations for Suspended Particulate Matter (PM10)*

	Ν	U	Α		Ν	υ	Α
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN				SAN DIEGO COUNTY		х	
Alpine County		Х		SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN		Х	
Inyo County				SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN			Х
- Owens Valley Planning Area	Х			SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		Х	
- Coso Junction			Х	SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN			Х
- Remainder of County		Х		SOUTHEAST DESERT AIR BASIN			
Mono County				Eastern Kern County			
- Mammoth Lake Planning Area			Х	- Indian Wells Valley			Х
- Mono Lake Basin	Х			- Portion within San Joaquin Valley Planning Area	х		
- Remainder of County		Х		- Remainder of County		Х	
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN		Х		Imperial County			
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN		Х		- Imperial Valley Planning Area	Х		
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN				- Remainder of County		Х	
Placer County (portion) (2)	X			Los Angeles County (portion)		Х	
Remainder of Air Basin	X			Riverside County (portion)			
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		х		- Coachella Valley (3)	х		
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN		Х		- Non-AQMA portion		Х	
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN		Х		San Bernardino County			
SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN				- Trona	Х		
Butte County		Х		- Remainder of County	Х		
Colusa County		Х					
Glenn County		Х					
Placer County (portion) (2)		Х					
Sacramento County (1)			Х				Ī
Shasta County		Х					
Solano County (portion)		Х					
Sutter County		Х					
Tehama County		Х					
Yolo County		Х					Γ
Yuba County		Х					

* Definitions and references for all areas can be found in 40 CFR, Chapter I, Part 81.305.
(1) Air quality in Sacramento County meets the national PM10 standards. The request for redesignation to

attainment was approved by U.S. EPA in September 2013. (2) U.S. EPA designation puts the Sacramento Valley Air Basin portion of Placer County in the Mountain Counties Air Basin.

(3) Air quality in Coachella Valley meets the national PM10 standards. A request for redesignation to attainment has been submitted to U.S. EPA.

FIGURE 13



Area Designations for National Ambient Air Quality Standards PM2.5

National Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designations for Fine Particulate Matter (PM2.5)*

	Ν	U/A		Ν	U/A
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN		Х	SAN DIEGO COUNTY		Х
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN		Х	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN (2)	Х	
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN		Х	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN	Х	
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN			SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		Х
Plumas County			SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN (3)	Х	
- Portola Valley Portion of Plumas	Х		SOUTHEAST DESERT AIR BASIN		
- Remainder of Plumas County		Х	Imperial County (portion) (4)	Х	
Remainder of Air Basin		Х	Remainder of Air Basin		Х
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		Х			
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN		х			
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN		х			
SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN					
Sacramento Metro Area (1)	Х				
Sutter County		Х			
Yuba County (portion)		Х			
Remainder of Air Basin		Х			

* Definitions and references for all areas can be found in 40 CFR, Chapter I, Part 81.305. This map reflects the 2006 24-hour PM2.5 standard as well as the 1997 and 2012 PM2.5 annual standards.

(1) For this purpose, Sacramento Metro Area comprises all of Sacramento and portions of El Dorado, Placer, Solano, and Yolo Counties. Air quality in this area meets the national PM2.5 standards. A Determination of Attainment for the 2006 24-hour PM2.5 standard was made by U.S. EPA in June 2017.

(2) Air quality in this area meets the national PM2.5 standards. A Determination of Attainment for the 2006 24-hour PM2.5 standard was made by U.S. EPA in June 2017.

(3) Those lands of the Santa Rosa Band of Cahulla Mission Indians in Riverside County are designated Unclassifiable/Attainment.


(4) That portion of Imperial County encompassing the urban and surrounding areas of Brawley, Calexico, El Centro, Heber, Holtville, Imperial, Seeley, and Westmorland. Air quality in this area meets the national PM2.5 standards. A Determination of Attainment for the 2006 24-hour PM2.5 standard was made by U.S. EPA in June 2017.



National Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designations for Carbon Monoxide*

	Ν	U/A		Ν	U/A
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN		Х	SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN		Х
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN		х	SAN DIEGO COUNTY		х
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN		х	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN		Х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN		Х	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN		х
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		х	SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		Х
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN		х	SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN		х
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN		х	SOUTHEAST DESERT AIR BASIN		х


* Definitions and references for all areas can be found in 40 CFR, Chapter I, Part 81.305.



National Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designations for Nitrogen Dioxide*

	N	U/A		Ν	U/A
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN		Х	SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN		Х
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN		х	SAN DIEGO COUNTY		х
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN		х	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN		х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN		Х	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN		х
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		х	SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		х
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN		х	SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN		х
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN		х	SOUTHEAST DESERT AIR BASIN		х

* Definitions and references for all areas can be found in 40 CFR, Chapter I, Part 81.305.



National Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designations for Sulfur Dioxide*

	Ν	U/A		Ν	U/A
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN		Х	SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN		Х	San Luis Obispo County		х
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN		х	Santa Barbara County		х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN		х	Ventura County		х
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		х	Channel Islands (1)		х
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN		х	SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN		х
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN		х	SOUTHEAST DESERT AIR BASIN		
SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN		х	Imperial County		х
SAN DIEGO COUNTY		х	Remainder of Air Basin		х
SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN		х			
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN					
Fresno County		х			
Kern County (portion)		х			
Kings County		х			
Madera County		х			
Merced County		х			
San Joaquin County		х			
Stanislaus County		х			
Tulare County		х			

* Definitions and references for all areas can be found in 40 CFR, Chapter I, Part 81.305.


NOTE: This map and table reflect the 2010 1-hour SO_2 standard of 75 ppb.

(1) South Central Coast Air Basin Channel Islands:

Santa Barbara County includes Santa Cruz, San Miguel, Santa Rosa, and Santa Barbara Islands.

Ventura County includes Anacapa and San Nicolas Islands.

Note that the San Clemente and Santa Catalina Islands are considered part of Los Angeles County, and therefore, are included as part of the South Coast Air Basin.



National Ambient Air Quality Standards Area Designations for Lead (particulate)

	Ν	U/A		Ν	U/A
GREAT BASIN VALLEYS AIR BASIN		Х	SAN DIEGO COUNTY		Х
LAKE COUNTY AIR BASIN		Х	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AIR BASIN		Х
LAKE TAHOE AIR BASIN		Х	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN		Х
MOUNTAIN COUNTIES AIR BASIN		Х	SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		Х
NORTH CENTRAL COAST AIR BASIN		Х	SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN		
NORTH COAST AIR BASIN		Х	Los Angeles County (portion) (1)	Х	
NORTHEAST PLATEAU AIR BASIN		Х	Remainder of Air Basin		Х
SACRAMENTO VALLEY AIR BASIN		Х	SOUTHEAST DESERT AIR BASIN		Х

(1) Portion of County in Air Basin, not including Channel Islands

This page intentionally left blank



APPENDIX 3.1:

CALEEMOD CONSTRUCTION UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS



Hi-Desert Water District (Construction - Unmitigated)

San Bernardino-Mojave Desert County, Summer

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	4,055.04	1000sqft	93.09	4,055,040.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.6	Precipitation Freq (Days)	32
Climate Zone	10			Operational Year	2021
Utility Company	Southern California Ediso	n			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	702.44	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - HDWD propses 64 miles (337,920 ft) of pipeline, 1,300 manholes, and 3 lift stations. Pipelines will be 8-12 inches in diameter. For purposes of analysis, 4,055,040 ft of pipeline will be modeled.

Construction Phase - Analysis assumed 1 year of construction.

Off-road Equipment - Per the Project Description, the final types and numbers of equipment has yet to be determined. As a conservative measure, equipment listed is based on similar pipeline construction activities.

Grading - For purposes of analysis, total acres graded per day is based on the equipment specific grading rates (CalEEMod Appendix A) and the equipment list.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	155.00	365.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDaysWeek	5.00	7.00
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	3/19/2021	1/4/2021
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	8/15/2020	1/6/2020
tblGrading	AcresOfGrading	0.00	365.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	LoadFactor	0.29	0.29
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Cement and Mortar Mixers
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Dumpers/Tenders
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Generator Sets
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Off-Highway Trucks
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Other Construction Equipment
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Pavers
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Rollers
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Cranes
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	1.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	1.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	0.00

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	lb/day								lb/day							
2020	6.5147	59.6979	41.3192	0.0969	7.5755	2.6820	10.2575	3.5555	2.5008	6.0562	0.0000	9,213.182 5	9,213.182 5	2.5017	0.0000	9,275.723 7
2021	6.0622	53.5890	40.2016	0.0968	7.5755	2.3843	9.9598	3.5555	2.2244	5.7799	0.0000	9,196.599 1	9,196.599 1	2.4966	0.0000	9,259.015 1
Maximum	6.5147	59.6979	41.3192	0.0969	7.5755	2.6820	10.2575	3.5555	2.5008	6.0562	0.0000	9,213.182 5	9,213.182 5	2.5017	0.0000	9,275.723 7

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/	day							lb/	day		
2020	6.5147	59.6979	41.3192	0.0969	7.5755	2.6820	10.2575	3.5555	2.5008	6.0562	0.0000	9,213.182 5	9,213.182 5	2.5017	0.0000	9,275.723 7
2021	6.0622	53.5890	40.2016	0.0968	7.5755	2.3843	9.9598	3.5555	2.2244	5.7799	0.0000	9,196.599 1	9,196.599 1	2.4966	0.0000	9,259.015 1
Maximum	6.5147	59.6979	41.3192	0.0969	7.5755	2.6820	10.2575	3.5555	2.5008	6.0562	0.0000	9,213.182 5	9,213.182 5	2.5017	0.0000	9,275.723 7
	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Area	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464
Energy	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Mobile	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003	0.0000	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003	0.0000	0.9464

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Area	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464
Energy	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Mobile	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003	0.0000	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003	0.0000	0.9464

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Grading	Grading	1/6/2020	1/4/2021	7	365	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 365

Acres of Paving: 93.09

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Hi-Desert Water District	(Construction - Unmitigated)	- San Bernardino-Mo	jave Desert County, Summer

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Grading	Cement and Mortar Mixers	3	8.00	9	0.56
Grading	Dumpers/Tenders	10	8.00	16	0.38
Grading	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Grading	Excavators	1	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Off-Highway Trucks	3	8.00	402	0.38
Grading	Other Construction Equipment	1	8.00	172	0.42
Grading	Pavers	1	8.00	130	0.42
Grading	Rollers	1	8.00	80	0.38
Grading	Cranes	1	8.00	231	0.29
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Graders	0	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Scrapers	0	8.00	367	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Vehicle	Vendor	Hauling
	Count	Number	Number	Number	Length	Length	Length	Class	Vehicle Class	Vehicle Class
Grading	24	60.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Grading - 2020

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					7.0826	0.0000	7.0826	3.4247	0.0000	3.4247			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	6.2484	59.5378	39.2637	0.0919		2.6787	2.6787		2.4977	2.4977		8,711.353 3	8,711.353 3	2.4861		8,773.504 5
Total	6.2484	59.5378	39.2637	0.0919	7.0826	2.6787	9.7613	3.4247	2.4977	5.9224		8,711.353 3	8,711.353 3	2.4861		8,773.504 5

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.2663	0.1601	2.0555	5.0400e- 003	0.4929	3.3300e- 003	0.4962	0.1307	3.0700e- 003	0.1338		501.8292	501.8292	0.0156		502.2192
Total	0.2663	0.1601	2.0555	5.0400e- 003	0.4929	3.3300e- 003	0.4962	0.1307	3.0700e- 003	0.1338		501.8292	501.8292	0.0156		502.2192

3.2 Grading - 2020

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					7.0826	0.0000	7.0826	3.4247	0.0000	3.4247			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	6.2484	59.5378	39.2637	0.0919		2.6787	2.6787		2.4977	2.4977	0.0000	8,711.353 3	8,711.353 3	2.4861		8,773.504 5
Total	6.2484	59.5378	39.2637	0.0919	7.0826	2.6787	9.7613	3.4247	2.4977	5.9224	0.0000	8,711.353 3	8,711.353 3	2.4861		8,773.504 5

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.2663	0.1601	2.0555	5.0400e- 003	0.4929	3.3300e- 003	0.4962	0.1307	3.0700e- 003	0.1338		501.8292	501.8292	0.0156		502.2192
Total	0.2663	0.1601	2.0555	5.0400e- 003	0.4929	3.3300e- 003	0.4962	0.1307	3.0700e- 003	0.1338		501.8292	501.8292	0.0156		502.2192

3.2 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					7.0826	0.0000	7.0826	3.4247	0.0000	3.4247			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	5.8145	53.4455	38.3111	0.0919		2.3810	2.3810		2.2214	2.2214		8,710.752 2	8,710.752 2	2.4826		8,772.816 3
Total	5.8145	53.4455	38.3111	0.0919	7.0826	2.3810	9.4636	3.4247	2.2214	5.6461		8,710.752 2	8,710.752 2	2.4826		8,772.816 3

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.2477	0.1435	1.8906	4.8800e- 003	0.4929	3.2500e- 003	0.4961	0.1307	3.0000e- 003	0.1337		485.8470	485.8470	0.0141		486.1989
Total	0.2477	0.1435	1.8906	4.8800e- 003	0.4929	3.2500e- 003	0.4961	0.1307	3.0000e- 003	0.1337		485.8470	485.8470	0.0141		486.1989

3.2 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					7.0826	0.0000	7.0826	3.4247	0.0000	3.4247			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	5.8145	53.4455	38.3111	0.0919		2.3810	2.3810		2.2214	2.2214	0.0000	8,710.752 2	8,710.752 2	2.4826		8,772.816 2
Total	5.8145	53.4455	38.3111	0.0919	7.0826	2.3810	9.4636	3.4247	2.2214	5.6461	0.0000	8,710.752 2	8,710.752 2	2.4826		8,772.816 2

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category			<u>.</u>		lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.2477	0.1435	1.8906	4.8800e- 003	0.4929	3.2500e- 003	0.4961	0.1307	3.0000e- 003	0.1337		485.8470	485.8470	0.0141		486.1989
Total	0.2477	0.1435	1.8906	4.8800e- 003	0.4929	3.2500e- 003	0.4961	0.1307	3.0000e- 003	0.1337		485.8470	485.8470	0.0141		486.1989

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Unmitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Avei	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00		

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Other Asphalt Surfaces	9.50	7.30	7.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.549952	0.037123	0.179649	0.119457	0.017229	0.005267	0.017877	0.062669	0.001348	0.001607	0.006000	0.000812	0.001010

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/d	day		
Mitigated	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464
Unmitigated	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003	 - - -	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Unmitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day							lb/day								
Architectural Coating	0.7724					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	1.4363					0.0000	0.0000	1	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	 		0.0000
Landscaping	0.0388	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003	1	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464
Total	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day							lb/day								
Architectural Coating	0.7724					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
	1.4363					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	0.0388	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464
Total	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type Number Hours/Day Days/Year Horse Power Load Factor Fuel Type

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
<u>Boilers</u>						
Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type	
User Defined Equipment						
Equipment Type	Number					
11.0 Vegetation		-				

Hi-Desert Water District (Construction - Unmitigated)

San Bernardino-Mojave Desert County, Winter

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	4,055.04	1000sqft	93.09	4,055,040.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.6	Precipitation Freq (Days)	32
Climate Zone	10			Operational Year	2021
Utility Company	Southern California Ediso	n			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	702.44	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - HDWD propses 64 miles (337,920 ft) of pipeline, 1,300 manholes, and 3 lift stations. Pipelines will be 8-12 inches in diameter. For purposes of analysis, 4,055,040 ft of pipeline will be modeled.

Construction Phase - Analysis assumed 1 year of construction.

Off-road Equipment - Per the Project Description, the final types and numbers of equipment has yet to be determined. As a conservative measure, equipment listed is based on similar pipeline construction activities.

Grading - For purposes of analysis, total acres graded per day is based on the equipment specific grading rates (CalEEMod Appendix A) and the equipment list.

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	155.00	365.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDaysWeek	5.00	7.00
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	3/19/2021	1/4/2021
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	8/15/2020	1/6/2020
tblGrading	AcresOfGrading	0.00	365.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	LoadFactor	0.29	0.29
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Cement and Mortar Mixers
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Dumpers/Tenders
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Generator Sets
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Off-Highway Trucks
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Other Construction Equipment
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Pavers
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Rollers
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Cranes
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	1.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	1.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	0.00

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
2020	6.5088	59.7063	40.9783	0.0964	7.5755	2.6820	10.2575	3.5555	2.5008	6.0562	0.0000	9,161.775 3	9,161.775 3	2.4999	0.0000	9,224.271 4
2021	6.0570	53.5964	39.8845	0.0963	7.5755	2.3843	9.9598	3.5555	2.2244	5.7799	0.0000	9,146.849 7	9,146.849 7	2.4950	0.0000	9,209.225 0
Maximum	6.5088	59.7063	40.9783	0.0964	7.5755	2.6820	10.2575	3.5555	2.5008	6.0562	0.0000	9,161.775 3	9,161.775 3	2.4999	0.0000	9,224.271 4

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/	day							lb/	day		
2020	6.5088	59.7063	40.9783	0.0964	7.5755	2.6820	10.2575	3.5555	2.5008	6.0562	0.0000	9,161.775 3	9,161.775 3	2.4999	0.0000	9,224.271 4
2021	6.0570	53.5964	39.8845	0.0963	7.5755	2.3843	9.9598	3.5555	2.2244	5.7799	0.0000	9,146.849 7	9,146.849 7	2.4950	0.0000	9,209.225 0
Maximum	6.5088	59.7063	40.9783	0.0964	7.5755	2.6820	10.2575	3.5555	2.5008	6.0562	0.0000	9,161.775 3	9,161.775 3	2.4999	0.0000	9,224.271 4
	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Fotal CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
Area	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464
Energy	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Mobile	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	 	0.0000
Total	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003	0.0000	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003	0.0000	0.9464

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Area	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464
Energy	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Mobile	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003	0.0000	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003	0.0000	0.9464

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Grading	Grading	1/6/2020	1/4/2021	7	365	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 365

Acres of Paving: 93.09

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Hi-Desert Water District	(Construction - Unmitigated) - San Bernardino-Mo	jave Desert County, Winter

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Grading	Cement and Mortar Mixers	3	8.00	9	0.56
Grading	Dumpers/Tenders	10	8.00	16	0.38
Grading	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Grading	Excavators	1	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Off-Highway Trucks	3	8.00	402	0.38
Grading	Other Construction Equipment	1	8.00	172	0.42
Grading	Pavers	1	8.00	130	0.42
Grading	Rollers	1	8.00	80	0.38
Grading	Cranes	1	8.00	231	0.29
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Graders	0	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Scrapers	0	8.00	367	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Trip	Vendor Trip	Hauling Trip	Worker Vehicle	Vendor	Hauling
	Count	Number	Number	Number	Length	Length	Length	Class	Vehicle Class	Vehicle Class
Grading	24	60.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Grading - 2020

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					7.0826	0.0000	7.0826	3.4247	0.0000	3.4247			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	6.2484	59.5378	39.2637	0.0919		2.6787	2.6787		2.4977	2.4977		8,711.353 3	8,711.353 3	2.4861		8,773.504 5
Total	6.2484	59.5378	39.2637	0.0919	7.0826	2.6787	9.7613	3.4247	2.4977	5.9224		8,711.353 3	8,711.353 3	2.4861		8,773.504 5

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.2604	0.1685	1.7146	4.5200e- 003	0.4929	3.3300e- 003	0.4962	0.1307	3.0700e- 003	0.1338		450.4220	450.4220	0.0138		450.7669
Total	0.2604	0.1685	1.7146	4.5200e- 003	0.4929	3.3300e- 003	0.4962	0.1307	3.0700e- 003	0.1338		450.4220	450.4220	0.0138		450.7669

3.2 Grading - 2020

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	day		
Fugitive Dust					7.0826	0.0000	7.0826	3.4247	0.0000	3.4247			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	6.2484	59.5378	39.2637	0.0919		2.6787	2.6787		2.4977	2.4977	0.0000	8,711.353 3	8,711.353 3	2.4861		8,773.504 5
Total	6.2484	59.5378	39.2637	0.0919	7.0826	2.6787	9.7613	3.4247	2.4977	5.9224	0.0000	8,711.353 3	8,711.353 3	2.4861		8,773.504 5

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.2604	0.1685	1.7146	4.5200e- 003	0.4929	3.3300e- 003	0.4962	0.1307	3.0700e- 003	0.1338		450.4220	450.4220	0.0138		450.7669
Total	0.2604	0.1685	1.7146	4.5200e- 003	0.4929	3.3300e- 003	0.4962	0.1307	3.0700e- 003	0.1338		450.4220	450.4220	0.0138		450.7669

3.2 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					7.0826	0.0000	7.0826	3.4247	0.0000	3.4247			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	5.8145	53.4455	38.3111	0.0919		2.3810	2.3810		2.2214	2.2214		8,710.752 2	8,710.752 2	2.4826		8,772.816 3
Total	5.8145	53.4455	38.3111	0.0919	7.0826	2.3810	9.4636	3.4247	2.2214	5.6461		8,710.752 2	8,710.752 2	2.4826		8,772.816 3

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.2425	0.1510	1.5735	4.3800e- 003	0.4929	3.2500e- 003	0.4961	0.1307	3.0000e- 003	0.1337		436.0975	436.0975	0.0125		436.4087
Total	0.2425	0.1510	1.5735	4.3800e- 003	0.4929	3.2500e- 003	0.4961	0.1307	3.0000e- 003	0.1337		436.0975	436.0975	0.0125		436.4087

3.2 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Fugitive Dust					7.0826	0.0000	7.0826	3.4247	0.0000	3.4247			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	5.8145	53.4455	38.3111	0.0919		2.3810	2.3810		2.2214	2.2214	0.0000	8,710.752 2	8,710.752 2	2.4826		8,772.816 2
Total	5.8145	53.4455	38.3111	0.0919	7.0826	2.3810	9.4636	3.4247	2.2214	5.6461	0.0000	8,710.752 2	8,710.752 2	2.4826		8,772.816 2

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.2425	0.1510	1.5735	4.3800e- 003	0.4929	3.2500e- 003	0.4961	0.1307	3.0000e- 003	0.1337		436.0975	436.0975	0.0125		436.4087
Total	0.2425	0.1510	1.5735	4.3800e- 003	0.4929	3.2500e- 003	0.4961	0.1307	3.0000e- 003	0.1337		436.0975	436.0975	0.0125		436.4087

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Unmitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Avei	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00		

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Other Asphalt Surfaces	9.50	7.30	7.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.549952	0.037123	0.179649	0.119457	0.017229	0.005267	0.017877	0.062669	0.001348	0.001607	0.006000	0.000812	0.001010

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/o	day							lb/d	day		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	day		
Mitigated	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464
Unmitigated	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003	 - - - -	1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Unmitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day									lb/day						
Architectural Coating	0.7724					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	1.4363		1 1 1 1 1			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	1		0.0000
Landscaping	0.0388	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464
Total	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day										lb/day					
Architectural Coating	0.7724					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	-		0.0000			0.0000
	1.4363					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	0.0388	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464
Total	2.2475	3.8100e- 003	0.4156	3.0000e- 005		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		1.4900e- 003	1.4900e- 003		0.8875	0.8875	2.3600e- 003		0.9464

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
<u>Boilers</u>						
Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type	
User Defined Equipment						
Equipment Type	Number					
11.0 Vegetation						

This page intentionally left blank

