CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

	1118000265				
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Proje					
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. <i>Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.</i>)					
Imperial County Transportation Commission (ICTC), Federal Highways (FHWA), and Caltrans along with U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) propose to widen the existing structure of the Calexico East Port of Entry (POE) Bridge over the All-American Canal near the USA/Mexico border to facilitate flow to the existing inspection booths. These traffic operational improvements include adding four new lanes, two each for passenger and commercial vehicles, and modernization of the northbound (NB) pedestrian walkway and other appurtenant structures for bridges and roadways, minimal modification to landscape, drainage, signage, and lighting. Replacement in kind to any items modified by construction activities. The staging and/or Construction area is included and would be located on GSA Property. See the Environmental Commitments Record (ECR) for all required project commitments.					
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)					
Not Applicable − Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Not Applicable − Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA					
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information,					
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)					
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:					
 If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. 					
 There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. 					
 This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. 					
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)					
Shay Lynn M. Harrison	Nicola Bernard				
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Print Name: Project Manager				
Environmental Branch Chief Available 10/2020 4/10/2020	Nicola J. Bernard 4/13/20				
Signature Date	Signature Date	_			
NEPA ČOMPLIANCE					
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:					
 does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). 					
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and				
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and				
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this c Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mahas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion un 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(27)	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, are CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and here determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Cody 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State	m eby de,			
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this c Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mahas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion un 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27_) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU betw 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and s Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmenta	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, are CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and here determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Cody 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State der: The FHWA and the State is supporting information, the State has determined that the project is all review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the	m eby de, e			
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this c Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mahas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion un 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27_) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU betw 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and s Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, are CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and here determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Cody 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State der: The FHWA and the State is supporting information, the State has determined that the project is all review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the	m eby de, e			
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this c Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mahas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion un 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27_) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU betw 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and s Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 ar	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, are CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and here determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Cody 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State der: The FHWA and the State supporting information, the State has determined that the project is all review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable above, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.	m eby de, e			
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this conditions of Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mathas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27_) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU betwow 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and so Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 are Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, ar CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and here determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Cody 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State der: The FHWA and the State is supporting information, the State has determined that the project is all review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable a been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Nicola Bernard	m eby de, e s a le			
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mahas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion un 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27_) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU betw 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and second categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 are Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, ar CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and here determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Cody 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State der: Teen FHWA and the State supporting information, the State has determined that the project is all review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the not executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Nicola Bernard Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer	m eby de, e s a le			

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

11-IMP-7 South of 43050 / 1118000265			
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.

Continued from page 1:

The Environmental Commitments Record (ECR) lists all the required environmental commitments for this project including the following commitments.

NPDES/STORM WATER COMPLIANCE

This project will be designed in conformance with the NPDES Permit Order 2012-0011DWQ and Appendix E of the Caltrans Project Planning and Design Guide (PPDG).

HAZARDOUS WASTE

Adherence to the Standard Specifications for Aerially deposited lead (ADL) and lead compliance plan (LCP).

VISUAL RESOURCES

Visual Impact Analysis Memo project design and construction matters identified will be commitments as identified within the ECR and include material color palette, lighting, landscaping and design guidance.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Adherence to the Standard Specifications that support Caltrans' policy to avoid cultural resources whenever possible.

The following documentation is of the environmental analysis showcasing the compliance on this CE/CE:

- · Biological Resources with the Natural Environmental Study, as revised
- Community Impact Assessment (CIA) with a Memorandum
- Cumulative Impacts with a Memorandum
- Cultural and Historic Resources with the Historic Property Survey Report (HPSR), and First Supplemental
- Hazardous Waste with a Memorandum and Aerial Deposited Lead (ADL) Report
- · Hydrology and Floodplain with the Summary Floodplain Encroachment Report
- Paleontological Resources with a Memorandum
- Visual and Landscape Impact Assessment with a Memorandum
- Air Quality Report that includes GHG and Climate Change
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Memorandum
- Noise Study Report (NSR)
- Section 4(f) with a Memorandum
- Section 6(f) with a Memorandum
- Utilities / Emergency Services with a Memorandum
- Traffic Study
- Water Quality with a Memorandum

Page 2 of 2 January 3, 2019