Form F

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

3 ext. 1167

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The SCOUP outlines a plan to capitalize on opportunities to obtain beach-quality sand from construction, development, maintenance, or dredging projects in the region for placement as beach nourishment within the City. The program identifies a maximum envelope within which sand may be placed as it becomes available through the implementation of individual projects, usually of relatively small volume. The envelope would extend from the Del Mar bluffs in the north (north of the San Dieguito River inlet) to Powerhouse Park (north of 15th Street towards the center of Del Mar's shoreline). The proposed envelope extends 5,750 feet; however, individual opportunistic placement projects would take place in smaller footprints within the proposed overall SCOUP envelope. Sand volumes available for nourishment at any given time may range from 500 cy to the maximum placement of 180,000 cy/5 years. The beach fill design includes two different nourishment approaches: beach berm and surf zone placement. Sand material is anticipated to be from local or nearby contributions, such as from upland construction, development, or dredging/excavation projects (e.g., wetland restoration, or river inlet/detention basin maintenance). The San Dieguito River is the most likely sand source for beach nourishment. Sand could be delivered either by truck or pipeline, depending on the material source. Nourishment sites would be monitored over time to modify the program for maximum environmental sensitivity and maximum nourishment of the beach and littoral zone. Metrics include beach profiles, surf conditions, turbidity, and trash/debris removal.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Impacts that were shown to have a less than significant impact with mitigation were biological resources, hydrology and water quality, public services, and recreation. Measures to avoid or mitigate the effects would be incorporated into the proposed project to reduce the impacts to below a level of significance.

Mitigation measures include:

Bio-1: Grunion monitoring to ensure sand placement doesn't interfere with grunion spawning.

Hydro-1: Use of training dikes to facilitate sediment deposition.

Public Services-1: Avoid interference with lifeguard services through maintaining line-of-sight from lifeguard towers and relocating mobile towers if necessary.

Recreation-1: Post signs advising public of steep sand slopes if necessary.

Recreation-2: Knock down dangerous scarps that may form.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No issues have been raised to-date.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Potential resposible or trustee agencies include: California Coastal Commission California Department of Fish and Wildlife Office of Historic Preservation Regional Water Quality Control Board State Lands Commission