## 2020030827

## **Notice of Exemption**

Appendix E

<b>To:</b> Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113	From: (Public Agency): CA. Dept. of Transportation 1750 E. Fourth Street, Ste. 100		
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	Santa Ana, Ca. 92705		
County Clerk	(Addross)		
County of:	(Address)		
Project Title: Safety project			
Project Applicant: California Departmen	nt of Transportation		
Project Location - Specific: Interstate 5 between the interchange of I- 31.4)	-5/State Route 55 (PM 30.3) and 0.4 mile south of Grand Avenue (PM		
Project Location - City: Santa Ana, Tu	stin Project Location - County: Orange		
	by improving traction in the area via resurfacing the travel lanes, y, the pavement delineation will be refreshed, loop detectors replaced		
Name of Public Agency Approving Project	ct. CA. Dept. of Transportation		
Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out	Project: CA. Dept. of Transportation		
Exempt Status: (check one):			
☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15	268);		
☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 2108	30(b)(3); 15269(a));		
☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080)	(b)(4); 15269(b)(c)); Class 1 (c) Section 15301		
☑ Categorical Exemption. State typ	pe and section number: Class 1 (c), Section 15301		
☐ Statutory Exemptions. State cod	e number:		
Reasons why project is exempt:  No significant environmental impacts.			
Load Agency			
Lead Agency Contact Person: Smita Deshpande	Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 657-328-6151		
If filed by applicant:			
<ol> <li>Attach certified document of exem</li> </ol>			
	led by the public agency approving the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
Signature: The Pyra	Date: 3/25/19 Title: Senior Env. Planner		
■ Signed by Lead Agency  □ S	Signed by Applicant		
Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, F			

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

MAR 20 2020

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
12-ORA-5 DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	<b>30.3-31.4</b> P.M./P.M.	<b>0Q820/1217000112</b> E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No.	(Local Project)/Project No.		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:						
activities involved in this box. Use			se, location, limits, right-o	i-way requirements, and		
A Safety project to address col 55 (SR 55) and 0.4 mile south collisions are attributed to area SB SR-55 loop connector. The in the area via resurfacing the trefreshed, loop detectors repla (DSA) is anticipated to be less within Caltrans Right of way.	lisions in both dire of Grand Avenue s of standing wate purpose of the pr travel lanes, shoul ced and existing N	ections on Interstate 5 (I in the cities of Santa Ar er, speeding vehicles an oject is to reduce wet pa iders and the connector MBGR and/or concrete I	a and Tustin in the cou d the downward grade avement related accide . Additionally, the pavel parriers upgraded. The	inty of Orange. The geometry of the NB I-5 to ents by improving traction ment delineation will be total Disturbed Soil Area		
CALTRANS CEQA DETER	MINIATION (Cha	als ana)				
	•					
Not Applicable – Caltrans is  Based on an examination of this pr	oposal, supporting i	Environmer nformation, and the above	tal Impact Report under			
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21)	080[b]; 14 CCR 152	60 et seq.)				
Categorically Exempt. Class 1 ©. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)  Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:						
<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.</li> <li>There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.</li> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>This project does not ca</li> </ul>	use a substantial ad	lverse change in the signif	cance of a historical resor	urce.		
Common Sense Exemption. possibility that the activity may				n certainty that there is no		
	hpande		Mike Varipapa			
Smita Desl			wiike varipapa			
Print Name: Senior Environmental P	<del></del>	Print Name: P	roject Manager			
	<del></del>	Print Name: P	<del></del>	3/25/19		
Print Name: Senior Environmental P	<del></del>	Print Name: P	<del></del>	3/25/19 Date		
Print Name: Senior Environmental P Environmental Branch Chief The Print Park Park Park Park Park Park Park Park	<del></del>	5/19 M	<del></del>	3/25/19 Date		
Print Name: Senior Environmental P Environmental Branch Chief  The Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11	lanner or  Substituting the substitution of th	Signature Signature	oject Manager	3/25/19 Dayle tion, the State has		
Print Name: Senior Environmental Prenvironmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environmental Properties of the project in	Date 7, and based on an vely have a significaronmental Assessm	examination of this propose the impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental	al and supporting information as defined by NEPA, a	and is excluded from the		
Print Name: Senior Environmental Prenvironmental Branch Chief  Description of the Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative	Date 7, and based on an vely have a significaronmental Assessm	examination of this propose the impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental	al and supporting information as defined by NEPA, a	and is excluded from the		
Print Name: Senior Environmental P Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environments considered unusual circums	Date 7, and based on an vely have a signification ronmental Assessmitances pursuant to 2	examination of this propose the impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental	al and supporting information as defined by NEPA, a	and is excluded from the		
Print Name: Senior Environmental Prenvironmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulating requirements to prepare an Environmental Programments of the senior of the senior of the senior of the senior of the requirements to prepare and that there are no unusual circulating the requirements to prepare and certifies that it has carried out section 326 and a Memorandic has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according to the senior of th	Date 7, and based on an evely have a significate ronmental Assessmentances pursuant to 2 MINATION (Cheetermined that this purstances as descripted in EA or EIS under the responsibility to urn of Understanding this a Categorical Extivity (c)(27)	examination of this propose the impact on the environmental 23 CFR 771.117(b). Eack one)  project has no significant in the bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b) are National Environmental make this determination per dated May 31, 2016, exe	al and supporting information as defined by NEPA, a Impact Statement (EIS), a mpacts on the environmen. As such, the project is capolicy Act. The State has sursuant to Chapter 3 of Title	and is excluded from the and tas defined by NEPA, and ategorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code.		
Print Name: Senior Environmental Prenvironmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environmental Programments to prepare an Environmental Programments to prepare an Environmental Programmental Programment	Date  7, and based on an evely have a significate ronmental Assessmentances pursuant to 2 min EA or EIS under the responsibility to um of Understanding the county (c)(27) tivity (d)()  Appendix A of the amination of this program of this project are being the county (c)	examination of this propose the impact on the environmental as CFR 771.117(b). The National Environmental make this determination proposed and supporting information under:  MOU between FHWA and posal and supporting information or the control of the National Environmental make this determination proposal and supporting information under:	al and supporting information as defined by NEPA, a Impact Statement (EIS), a mpacts on the environment. As such, the project is capolicy Act. The State has ursuant to Chapter 3 of Titouted between the FHWA the State mation, the State has detected attion, and any other action to by Caltrans pursuant to	and is excluded from the and  It as defined by NEPA, and ategorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code, and the State. The State		
Print Name: Senior Environmental Prenvironmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envienas considered unusual circums:  CALTRANS NEPA DETERI  23 USC 326: The State has destinated there are no unusual circumsed that the requirements to prepare and certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorandinas determined that the project and a Categorial Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an exa Categorical Exclusion under 25 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understanding	Date  7, and based on an vely have a signification ronmental Assessmances pursuant to 2  MINATION (Cheetermined that this purnstances as descripted to the responsibility (c)(27) tivity (d)()  Appendix A of the remination of this project are being dated December 2	examination of this propose the impact on the environmental as CFR 771.117(b). The National Environmental make this determination proposed and supporting information under:  MOU between FHWA and posal and supporting information or the control of the National Environmental make this determination proposal and supporting information under:	al and supporting information as defined by NEPA, a Impact Statement (EIS), a mass such, the project is capolicy Act. The State has ursuant to Chapter 3 of Ticuted between the FHWA Inthe State has detected in the State has detected by Caltrans pursuant to FHWA and Caltrans.	and is excluded from the and  It as defined by NEPA, and ategorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code, and the State. The State		
Print Name: Senior Environmental Prenvironmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environmental circumsters.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERI  23 USC 326: The State has described out secretifies that it has carried out section 326 and a Memorandic has determined that the project section 326 and a Memorandic has determined that the project section 326 and a Memorandic has determined that the project section 326 and a Memorandic has determined that the project section 325 CFR 771.117(d): acc 23 CFR 771.117(d): acc 23 USC 327: Based on an exact categorical Exclusion under 2: Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandin Smita Des	Date  7, and based on an vely have a signification ronmental Assessmant tances pursuant to 2  MINATION (Cheetermined that this purstances as descripted that the responsibility to the off Understanding the EA or EIS under the responsibility to the off Understanding the EA or EIS under the properties a Categorical Estivity (c)(27)  Appendix A of the amination of this properties a USC 327. The entry this project are being dated December 2.	examination of this propose the impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental CS CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Ea	al and supporting information as defined by NEPA, a Impact Statement (EIS), a mpacts on the environment. As such, the project is capolicy Act. The State has ursuant to Chapter 3 of Titouted between the FHWA the State mation, the State has detected attion, and any other action to by Caltrans pursuant to	and is excluded from the and  It as defined by NEPA, and ategorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code, and the State. The State emined that the project is a ons required by applicable to 23 USC 327 and the		
Print Name: Senior Environmental Prenvironmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envienas considered unusual circumstive has considered unusual circumstive has considered unusual circumstive requirements to prepare an exertifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorandinas determined that the projection 326 GFR 771.117(c): activity listed in Activity	Date  7, and based on an vely have a signification ronmental Assessmant tances pursuant to 2  MINATION (Cheetermined that this purstances as descripted that the responsibility to the off Understanding the EA or EIS under the responsibility to the off Understanding the EA or EIS under the properties a Categorical Estivity (c)(27)  Appendix A of the amination of this properties a USC 327. The entry this project are being dated December 2.	examination of this propose the impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental CS CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b).  Eack one)  Ea	al and supporting information as defined by NEPA, a Impact Statement (EIS), a manager of the project is capolicy Act. The State has ursuant to Chapter 3 of Titouted between the FHWA and any other action and any other action to the State has determined in the State of the State	and is excluded from the and  It as defined by NEPA, and ategorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code, and the State. The State emined that the project is a ons required by applicable to 23 USC 327 and the		
Print Name: Senior Environmental Prenvironmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environmental circumsters.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERI  23 USC 326: The State has described out secretifies that it has carried out section 326 and a Memorandic has determined that the project section 326 and a Memorandic has determined that the project section 326 and a Memorandic has determined that the project section 326 and a Memorandic has determined that the project section 325 CFR 771.117(d): acc 23 CFR 771.117(d): acc 23 USC 327: Based on an exact categorical Exclusion under 2: Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandin Smita Des	Date  7, and based on an vely have a signification ronmental Assessmant tances pursuant to 2  MINATION (Cheetermined that this purstances as descripted that the responsibility to the off Understanding the EA or EIS under the responsibility to the off Understanding the EA or EIS under the properties a Categorical Estivity (c)(27)  Appendix A of the amination of this properties a USC 327. The entry this project are being dated December 2.	examination of this propose not impact on the environment (EA) or Environmental 23 CFR 771.117(b). eck one) project has no significant in bed in 23 CFR 771.117(b) ne National Environmental make this determination proposal and supporting information and supporting information proposal and executed by Print Name: P	al and supporting information as defined by NEPA, a Impact Statement (EIS), a manager of the project is capolicy Act. The State has ursuant to Chapter 3 of Titouted between the FHWA and any other action and any other action to the State has determined in the State of the State	and is excluded from the and  It as defined by NEPA, and ategorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code, and the State. The State emined that the project is a ons required by applicable to 23 USC 327 and the		

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Continuation Sheet							
12-ORA-5	30.3-31.4	0Q820/1217000112					
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.				
Continued from page 1:							
Each project alternative includes, as applicable, the following standardized features that are							
to a boule of a money of the amount of the amount of the form of the amount of the amo							

included as part of the project description (http://www.dot.ca.gov/des/oe/construction-contractstandards.html) Standardized features (such as Best Management Practices [BMPs]) are those features that are generally applied to most or all Department projects. These standardized or preexisting features allow little discretion regarding their implementation and are not specific to the circumstances of a particular project.

No significant environmental consequences are anticipated with the proposed project, however, measures that must be implemented throughout the life of the project are on the Environmental Commitment Record (ECR).