CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

01-HUM-36	5.29/30.98	01-0F840	Federal-Aid Project I	Number			
District-County-Route	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.					
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Briefly describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, and right-of-way requirements.							
The proposed project would rehabilitate eight culverts on Route 36 between post miles (PM) 5.29 and 30.98 in Humboldt County. The project is being proposed to replace or rehabilitate drainage systems identified by the Culvert Inspection Program (CIP) as deteriorated and beyond their useful life, and without such repair or replacement, the roadway could be subject to washout requiring more extensive roadway construction. Work would include culvert replacement and culvert barrel lining. Culverts requiring replacement would be replaced as close to the existing alignment (horizontal/vertical) as possible. All work would occur within the State right of way. All work would be done from the roadway, and ample shoulder and turnout areas are available throughout the project limits for temporary material and equipment storage. Biological, cultural, visual, water quality, and hazardous materials reviews have been completed. CD							
		or additional project inf	ormation.				
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA							
Based on an examination of this p		mation, and the above st		*			
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21							
Based on an examination of	Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not						
 apply: If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. 							
 There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual 							
circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.							
 This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. 							
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)							
Jason J. Meyer		Sherry Cons	stancio				
Senior Environmental Planner	_/	Project Manager		1 1			
- Stin	3/18/	zoro Shew	Confaure 3	3/18/2020			
Signature	3/18/ Date	Zozo Signature	Confame	3/18/2020 Date			
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumula requirements to prepare an Em	17, and based on an exa tively have a significant i vironmental Assessment	Signature mination of this proposal mpact on the environmer (EA) or Environmental In	and supporting information, the				
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumula requirements to prepare an Envelopment of the considered unusual circum	17, and based on an exactively have a significant invironmental Assessment stances pursuant to 23 0	Signature	and supporting information, the				
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Enventure of the considered unusual circum CALTRANS NEPA DETER	17, and based on an exactively have a significant invironmental Assessment stances pursuant to 23 CRMINATION (Check	mination of this proposal mpact on the environment (EA) or Environmental IncFR 771.117(b).	and supporting information, the t as defined by NEPA, and is expact Statement (EIS), and	coluded from the			
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Envelope has considered unusual circum CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic has determined that the projection 326 CFR 771.117(c): a	tively have a significant invironmental Assessment stances pursuant to 23 cm. RMINATION (Check determined that this proportion EA or EIS by ser the last the responsibility of many dum of Understand direct is a Categorical Executivity (c)	signature Signature mination of this proposal mpact on the environment (EA) or Environmental In CFR 771.117(b). one) ect has no significant input	and supporting information, the t as defined by NEPA, and is expact Statement (EIS), and acts on the environment as defined such, the project is categoricalicy Act. The State has been as ant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, U	ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby United States Code,			
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Environment of the second complete in the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried out the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorant has determined that the projection 32 CFR 771.117(c): a	tively have a significant invironmental Assessment stances pursuant to 23 camerates and the stances pursuant to 23 camerates and the stances of the stances of the stances of the standard of	signature	and supporting information, the t as defined by NEPA, and is expact Statement (EIS), and acts on the environment as defined so such, the project is categoricalicy Act. The State has been as yent to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Under the State of the State of the State has been as yent to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Under the State of the S	ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby United States Code,			
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Environment of the second of the se	tively have a significant invironmental Assessment stances pursuant to 23 camerates and the stances pursuant to 23 camerates and the stances of the stances of the stances of the stance	signature	and supporting information, the t as defined by NEPA, and is expect Statement (EIS), and acts on the environment as defined so such, the project is categoricalicy Act. The State has been as and to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Under the State ation, the State has determined the state ation, and any other actions requit by Caltrans pursuant to 23 US	ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby United States Code, a State. The State			
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circum CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic has determined that the projection 326 are grant and a circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic has determined that the projection and the carried in the carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic has determined that the projection and the carried in the carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic section and the carried in the carried in the carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic section and the carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic section and the carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic section and the carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic section and the carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic section and the carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic section and the carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic section and the carried out Section 326 and a Memoranic section 326 and a Memora	tively have a significant invironmental Assessment stances pursuant to 23 camerates and the stances pursuant to 23 camerates and the stances of the stances of the stances of the stance	signature	and supporting information, the t as defined by NEPA, and is expect Statement (EIS), and acts on the environment as defined so such, the project is categoricalicy Act. The State has been as and to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Under the State ation, the State has determined the state ation, and any other actions requit by Caltrans pursuant to 23 US	ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby United States Code, a State. The State			
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumula requirements to prepare an Env. has considered unusual circum CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried ou Section 326 and a Memoranchas determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranchas determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranchas determined that the projection 32 CFR 771.117(c): a Categorical Exclusion under Federal environmental laws for the section 327: Based on an exception of the section 328 of the section 329 of the section 329 of the section 326 and a Memoranchas determined that the projection 320 of the section	tively have a significant invironmental Assessment stances pursuant to 23 camerates and the stances pursuant to 23 camerates and the stances of the stances of the stances of the stance	mination of this proposal mpact on the environment (EA) or Environmental In CFR 771.117(b). Tone) The contract of the environment of the contract of the environment of the contract of the	and supporting information, the tas defined by NEPA, and is expect Statement (EIS), and sacts on the environment as defined so such, the project is categoricalicy Act. The State has been as and to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Ut between the FHWA and the state ation, the State has determined the state ation, and any other actions requited by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USHWA and Caltrans.	ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby United States Code, a State. The State			
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumula requirements to prepare an Env. has considered unusual circum CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried ou Section 326 and a Memoranhas determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranhas determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranhas determined that the projection 320 CFR 771.117(d): a Categorical Exclusion under Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understand	tively have a significant invironmental Assessment stances pursuant to 23 camerates and the stances pursuant to 23 camerates and the stances of the stances of the stances of the stance	mination of this proposal mpact on the environmer (EA) or Environmental IncFR 771.117(b). Tone) The contract of the contract	and supporting information, the tas defined by NEPA, and is expect Statement (EIS), and sacts on the environment as defined so such, the project is categoricalicy Act. The State has been as and to Chapter 3 of Title 23, Ut between the FHWA and the state ation, the State has determined the state ation, and any other actions requited by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USHWA and Caltrans.	ined by NEPA, and ally excluded from ssigned, and hereby United States Code, a State. The State			

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

01-HUM-36	5.29/30.98	01-0F840		
District-County-Route	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project Number.	
Continued from page 1:				

The following measures have been included as part of the project:

- All work within the jurisdictional waters within the project area would be restricted to June 15 to October 15 of the construction season.
- If nesting birds or roosting bats are found, removal of vegetation or nests would not be allowed until the nesting birds or roosting bats have vacated.
- Activities within the construction zone would be subject to regulatory agency constraints, including the California Department
 of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
 (NCRWQCB), United States Army Corp of Engineers (USACE), and others as applicable.
- No potential marbled murrelet or suitable northern spotted owl nesting trees would be removed during the nesting season (1
 February to 15 September).
- No construction activities would occur within a visual line-of-sight for 131 feet or less from any known nest locations for northern spotted owl or marbled murrelet.
- To prevent attracting corvids (birds of the Corvidae family which include jays, crows and ravens), no trash or foodstuffs would
 be left or stored on-site. All trash must be deposited in a secure container and disposed of at an approved garbage facility.
 Also, on-site workers would not attempt to attract or feed any wildlife.
- From February 1 to August 5, no construction activities generating noise levels greater than 90dB (w/ the exception of backup alarms) or activities generating sound levels of 20 or more dB above ambient sound levels would occur.
- From August 6 to September 15, any sound levels greater than 10dB above ambient noise levels would observe a daily work window beginning two hours post-sunrise and ending two hours pre-sunset.
- From August 16 to January 31, construction work windows would be lifted.
- BMPs to protect water quality.
- Treated wood waste will be addressed and managed.
- Earth material containing lead will managed with a lead compliance plan.