CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

	Y480			
	Project No. Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.			
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if neces	luding need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and			
	adera County south of Fish Camp near the old Sugar			
Pine Railroad at post mile 1.45D. The project	would replace an existing 18-inch diameter			
corrugated steel pipe with a 36-inch diameter	reinforced concrete pipe. A concrete headwall would			
e 1	ert. Approximately 4 cubic yards of rock slope			
protection (using small rocks) would be place	d below the culvert outlet. (continued on next page)			
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)				
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agen	cy Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA			
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting informat				
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)				
X Categorically Exempt. Class1(c) (PRC 21084; 14 CCR				
	information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not			
	1, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical			
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and	officially adopted pursuant to law.			
. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,				
over time.				
 There is not a reasonable possibility that the project circumstances. 	t will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual			
 This project does not damage a scenic resource with the second sec	thin an officially designated state scenic highway			
	list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").			
 This project does not cause a substantial adverse of 				
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall v	vithin an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no			
possibility that the activity may have a significant effect or				
Juergen Vespermann	Rene Sanchez			
Juergen Vespermann Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Rene Sanchez Print Name Project Manager			
Juergen Vespermann Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Print Name: Project Manager			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief				
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief 3-3-20				
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief 3-3-20 Signature Date				
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief 3-3-20				
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examin				
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project:	Print Name: Project Manager SI/3/20 Date ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examin determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impa	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examin determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>J-3-2-20</u> Signature <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examin determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impa requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examin determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>Signature</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examin determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impa requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>3-3-20</u> Signature <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examin determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impa requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 1	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>3-3-20</u> Signature Date <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examin determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impa requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio	Print Name: Project Manager S/3/20 Date ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from onal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>3-3-20</u> Signature Date <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examine determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the	Print Name: Project Manager SI/S/20 Date ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) mas no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from mal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code,			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>Jate</u> <u>Signature</u> <u>Date</u> <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR <u>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION</u> (Check one <u>23 USC 326:</u> The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from onal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>Jate</u> <u>Signature</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examine determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natic certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make to Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from onal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>3-3-20</u> Signature Date <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examine determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impare requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natic certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion [] 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)()	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from onal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief J-3-200 Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examine determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impare requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion [] 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() [] 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()	Print Name: Project Manager SJ/3/20 Date ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) mas no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from moral Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State n under:			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>J-3-20</u> Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natic certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion [] 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() [] 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()	Print Name: Project Manager SI/3/20 Date ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from onal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State in under: etween FHWA and the State			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>J-3-22</u> Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project in that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Nation certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion [] 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() [] Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU bb [] 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal a	Print Name: Project Manager SI /3/20 Date ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from onal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State in under: etween FHWA and the State nd supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>Jate</u> <u>Signature</u> <u>Date</u> <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR <u>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION</u> (Check one <u>23 USC 326:</u> The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion <u>23 USC 327:</u> Based on an examination of this proposal a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environment	Print Name: Project Manager SI/3/20 Date ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from onal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State in under: etween FHWA and the State			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>Jate</u> <u>Signature</u> <u>Date</u> <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR <u>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION</u> (Check one <u>23 USC 326:</u> The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion <u>23 USC 327:</u> Based on an examination of this proposal a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environment	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from onal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State in under: etween FHWA and the State nd supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a ental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>Jate</u> <u>Signature</u> <u>Date</u> <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR <u>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION</u> (Check one <u>23 USC 326:</u> The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion <u>23 USC 327:</u> Based on an examination of this proposal a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environment Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or the Example the section of this project are being, or the section of this proposal and Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environment Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or the section of this proposal and the section of this project are being, or the section of this proposal and the section of this proper the section of this proper the section of this proposal and the section of this proposal and the section of this proper the section of this proposal and the section of this proper the section of the section of this proper the section of the se	Print Name: Project Manager Signature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from onal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State in under: etween FHWA and the State nd supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a ental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief 3-3-200 Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examine determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion [] 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() [] 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() [] Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU b 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal an Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environment Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 201	Print Name: Project Manager Standard State State Manager Standard State State Manager Standard State Manager Standard State Manager Standard State Manager Standard State Manager State Manager S			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>Signature</u> <u>Date</u> <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR <u>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION</u> (Check one <u>23 USC 326:</u> The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Nation certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion <u>23 USC 327:</u> Based on an examination of this proposal al Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environment Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or the Example the section of this project are being, or the section of this project are being.	Print Name: Project Manager 3/3/20 bignature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from binal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State in under: etween FHWA and the State nd supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a ental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>J-3-20</u> Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental laws for this project are being, or the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 201 Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Print Name: Project Manager 3/3/20 bignature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from big determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State in under: etween FHWA and the State nd supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a ental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the 6 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>J-3-20</u> Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental laws for this project are being, or the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 201 Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Print Name: Project Manager 3/3/20 bignature ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from big determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State in under: etween FHWA and the State nd supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a ental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the 6 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief <u>J-3-22</u> Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examined determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natio certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environment Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 201 Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Print Name: Project Manager SI (3/20) Date ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).) has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from binal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State in under: etween FHWA and the State nd supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a ental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the 6 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.			

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Continuation Sheet				
06-MAD-41	1.45D	06-0Y480		
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.	
Continued from name 1				

All work would take place within Caltrans right-of-way. During construction, one highway lane would be available for traffic at all times. No work would be done at night.

The project will apply for the following permits:

Streambed Alteration Agreement 1602 permit from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Section 401 Water Quality Certification from the Regional Quality Control Board, and Section 404 Non-reporting permit (Pre-Construction Notification) from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Avoidance and minimization measures will include the following:

Biological Resources

- Botanical surveys will be performed this spring and summer, prior to construction, at the project area.
- These measures are proposed for any special status plants (California Native Plant Societylisted): if identified within the project footprint during surveys, the location will be noted prior to any soil disturbance. The plants will be excavated along with enough surrounding soil as to ensure the root structure remains intact. The plants and soil will be placed in a safe location near the work site and kept moist. Upon completion of the work, the plants will be carefully replaced within or as close to their original location as possible.
- Preconstruction surveys of the project area for plants and animals would be completed 30 days or less before the start of construction.
- If any nesting birds are found within or adjacent to the project footprint, a 100-foot buffer zone would be established for any migratory birds except for raptors, which would have a 500-foot buffer.
- Worker Environmental Awareness Training would be performed by a qualified biologist for all workers to inform them of the special status species potentially within the work area, protective measures, reporting procedures, and consequences of violating environmental laws and permit requirements.

Water Quality

- Caltrans Stormwater Best Management Practices are to be selected and implemented for the project.
- Because less than one acre of soil would be disturbed by construction, a Water Pollution Control Plan will be prepared by the contractor and approved by Caltrans prior to construction.

Hazardous Waste

• A Lead Compliance Plan is required to be prepared by the contractor.