Appendices

Appendix F Traffic Study

Appendices

This page intentionally left blank.

Transportation Assessment – Crescenta Valley High School Field Improvement Project

La Crescenta-Montrose, CA

January 2021

Prepared For:

Placeworks 3 MacArthur Place Drive, Suite 1100 Santa Ana, CA 92707

JB81118

Prepared by:



1100 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 201 Monterey Park, CA 91754 (323) 260-4703

TABLE OF CONTENT

| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|---|----------------|
| 1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 1.2 PROJECT STUDY AREA 1.3 ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY | 1 2 5 |
| 2. EXISTING CONDITIONS | 7 |
| 2.1 EXISTING ROADWAY SYSTEM 2.2 EXISTING TRANSIT SERVICE 2.3 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES | 7 7 9 |
| 3. PROJECT TRAFFIC | 11 |
| 3.1 PROJECT TRIP GENERATION 3.2 PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTION 3.3 PROJECT TRIP ASSIGNMENT | 11 11 11 |
| 4. EXISTING WITH PROJECT CONDITIONS | 14 |
| 5. FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITIONS | 16 |
| 5.1 AMBIENT GROWTH 5.2 AREA PROJECTS | 16 16 |
| 6. FUTURE WITH PROJECT CONDITIONS | 23 |
| 7. VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED | 25 |
| 8. PARKING IMPACT ANALYSIS | 26 |
| 9. ANALYSIS SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION | 30 |

FIGURES

| FIGURE 1 – PROJECT SITE PLAN | 3 |
|--|----|
| FIGURE 2 – STUDY INTERSECTION LOCATIONS | 4 |
| FIGURE 3 – EXISTING LANE CONFIGURATION | 8 |
| FIGURE 4 – EXISTING PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES | 10 |
| FIGURE 5 – PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTION | 12 |
| FIGURE 6 – PROJECT TRIP ASSIGNMENT | 13 |
| FIGURE 7 – EXISTING WITH PROJECT – PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES | 15 |
| FIGURE 8 - LOCATION OF AREA PROJECTS | 20 |
| FIGURE 9 – AREA PROJECTS TRIP ASSIGNMENT –PM PEAK HOUR | 21 |
| FIGURE 10 – FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT –PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES | 22 |
| FIGURE 11 – FUTURE WITH PROJECT – PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES | 24 |
| FIGURE 12 – PARKING SUPPLY AND OCCUPANCY – PRE-PROJECT CONDITIONS | 28 |
| FIGURE 13 – PARKING SUPPLY AND OCCUPANCY – POST-PROJECT CONDITIONS | 29 |

TABLES

| TABLE 1 – EXISTING TRANSIT SERVICE SUMMARY | 9 |
|--|----|
| TABLE 2 – AREA PROJECTS TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATE | 17 |
| TABLE 3 – PARKING AVAILABILITY WITHIN STUDY AREA | 27 |

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – TRAFFIC COUNT DATA APPENDIX B – ON-SITE PARKING AND CIRCULATION ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS

1. INTRODUCTION

This report documents the traffic assessment prepared for the Crescenta Valley High School Field Improvement Project, proposed by the Glendale Unified School District. The study uses vehicle miles traveled (VMT) as the basis for determining transportation effects per the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Senate Bill (SB) 375. Other information provided in this study regarding street segments, level of service (LOS), traffic volumes, trip distribution, and trip assignment is provided for informational purposes only, for other use in the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) being prepared for the project (i.e., for assessing air quality and noise impacts).

The proposed Project will include addition of 3,442 bleachers and lighting for night games to the school's existing track and field facility. The Project will be located within the existing school campus, situated between Ramsdell Avenue and Glenwood Avenue, in the unincorporated community of La Crescenta-Montrose.

The following sections examine the traffic volumes and potential parking impacts of Project-related activities on a typical Friday evening, between 5:00 pm to 7:00 pm, which would be the peak period for both project activities and street traffic and parking demand on area roadways. The findings of this analysis will be used in the preparation of the Project environmental documentation. The project study area for traffic volumes encompasses six intersections.

A weekday evening parking survey was conducted to document the location and general availability of unoccupied parking spaces at nearby on-street parking areas (within an approximate quarter-mile distance, primarily within local residential areas) during the same peak period.

1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project site is located within the unincorporated community of La Crescenta-Montrose, in the County of Los Angeles. The proposed site is bounded by residential land uses in the immediate vicinity, with commercial land uses along Foothill Boulevard, two blocks to the north. The main access points will be from the existing gate locations at Ramsdell Avenue, Archway Drive and Prospect Avenue. Regional access to the site is provided via the I-210 freeway, directly to the south of the site.

The Project site is currently occupied by the existing track-and-field facility within the school campus. The proposed Project involves the development of new bleachers with 3,442 seats, field lighting, a concession stand, and a team room.

Figure 1 provides the proposed site plan. Figure 2 illustrates the traffic volumes study area and the site location in relation to the surrounding street system

1.2 PROJECT STUDY AREA

The project volume analysis area includes the following six study intersections:

- 1. Ramsdell Avenue & Foothill Boulevard
- 2. Glenwood Avenue & Foothill Boulevard*
- 3. La Crescenta Avenue & Foothill Boulevard
- 4. Ramsdell Avenue & Community Avenue
- 5. La Crescenta Avenue & Prospect Avenue
- 6. La Crescenta Avenue & Altura Avenue*
- * Two-way-stop controlled intersection

Figure 2 illustrates the study area and the locations of the included intersections.

FIGURE

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL FIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Site Plan



GLENDALE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

21016.00

949,673,030





FIGURE 2

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL FIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT Study Area and Intersections





1.3 ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

This report was prepared to provide scenario traffic volumes for the environmental analysis, and also to provide a review of anticipated vehicle miles traveled (VMT) effects of the project.

Volume Scenarios

Traffic volumes were analyzed at the study intersections for the weekday evening peak period. The study included the analysis of the following scenarios:

- Existing
- Existing with-Project
- Future (Year 2020) with Ambient Growth and Area Projects
- Future with-Project (Year 2020) with Ambient Growth, Area Projects and the Proposed Project

Existing Conditions

New traffic counts were conducted at all of the study intersections. Fieldwork within the study area was undertaken to identify the condition of key study area roadways, including traffic control, approach lane configurations, and on-street parking restrictions at each study intersection.

Project Trip Generation

Forecast Project trip generation was derived from the scoping document submitted to City staff. The new track and field bleachers will have a capacity of 3,442 seats. The maximum trip generation assumptions included an 85 percent factor for spectators arriving and departing via automobile and an average vehicle occupancy of 2.5 persons per vehicle. These factors were considered to be typical of sporting facility operations of this type. Parking demand calculations were based on this same methodology.

It was also assumed that 50% of the generated vehicle trips will arrive during the busiest hour within the 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. timeframe, and 10% of the vehicles will leave (as the departing portion of a drop off trip) during the busiest hour.

The methodology utilized for Project trip distribution calculations is discussed in Section 3 of this report.

Existing with-Project Conditions

Based on the Project trip generation and the traffic count totals, an Existing plus-Proposed Project volumes scenario was analyzed.

Future without-Project Conditions

In order to account for traffic growth in the study area, an ambient/background traffic growth rate was applied to the existing traffic counts. Traffic from related/area projects (approved and pending developments) was then added to these future background volumes.

Future with-Project Conditions

Project trip generation was added to the future without-Project volumes to define the future with-Project traffic volumes.

Vehicles Miles Traveled

On September 27, 2013, SB 743 was signed into law. The legislature found that with the adoption of SB 375, the state had signaled its commitment to encourage land use and transportation planning decisions and investments that reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT)

On January 20, 2016, the Governor's Office of Planning and Research released proposed revisions to its CEQA guidelines for the implementation of SB 743. OPR developed alternative metrics and thresholds based on VMT. The guidelines were certified by the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency in December 2018, and automobile delay, as described solely by level of service of similar measures of vehicular capacity or traffic congestion, shall not be considered a significant impact on the environment.

As of July 1, 2020, lead agencies are required consider VMT as the metric for determining transportation impacts. The guidance provided relative to VMT significance criteria is focused on primarily on land use projects such as residential, office, and retail uses. However, as noted in the updated CEQA Guidelines, agencies are directed to choose metrics that are appropriate for their jurisdiction to evaluate the potential impacts of a project in terms of VMT.

The District has not adopted a VMT threshold for use in determining significant transportation impacts under CEQA. This DEIR considers the four criteria identified in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3(b)(1-4) to analyze the project's transportation impacts. To determine how the project should be evaluated, each of the criteria was considered.

- Land Use Projects. VMT exceeding thresholds can indicate a significant impact. Projects within ½
 mile of transit in in high quality transit areas would be less-than-significant, as would projects
 that decrease VMT. As discussed above, there are two bus routes that run within the vicinity of
 the project site. However, the proposed project is not located within 0.5 miles of a high quality
 transit area. The transit service in the vicinity of the proposed project does not meet these
 criteria, and the presumption would not apply to this project.
- 2. Transportation Projects. This criteria is not applicable to the proposed project as it is not a transportation-related criteria.
- 3. Qualitative Analysis. If models or methods are not available to estimate a project's VMT, a lead agency may address impacts qualitatively, considering factors such as transit, proximity to other destinations, etc. Special events such as full-capacity sporting events are temporary occurrences that are already occurring within the District and immediate vicinity. The proposed project would allow for Crescenta Valley HS events that generate VMT, which are currently held off-campus, to be relocated back to the campus serving those students. Therefore, for the purposes of this project, it has been determined that a qualitative analysis is appropriate.
- 4. Methodology. The lead agency has discretion to choose the most appropriate methodology to evaluate VMT impacts, and assumptions should be documented and explained.

2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

This section describes the existing conditions within the study area in terms of roadway facilities and transit service.

2.1 EXISTING ROADWAY SYSTEM

The key roadways within the study area are described here. The discussion is limited to specific roadways that traverse the study intersections and serve the Project site. Figure 3 illustrates the existing traffic controls and approach lane geometries at the study intersections.

<u>Foothill Boulevard</u> is classified as an east-west Major Highway in the Los Angeles County Highway Plan. In the study area, the roadway provides two through travel lanes and a Class II bicycle lane in each direction. On-street parking is permitted on both sides of the roadway. The posted speed limit is 40 miles per hour.

<u>Glenwood Avenue</u> is classified as a north-south local street in the Los Angeles County Highway Plan. This roadway provides one travel lane in each direction. On-street parking is generally permitted on both sides of the roadway. The posted speed limit is 30 miles per hour.

La Crescenta Avenue is classified as a north-south Secondary Highway by the Los Angeles County Highway Plan. This roadway provides two travel lanes in each direction south of Foothill Boulevard and one travel lane in each direction north of Foothill Boulevard. On-street parking is generally permitted on both sides of the roadway. The posted speed limit ranges from 25 to 35 miles per hour.

<u>Prospect Avenue</u> is classified as an east-west Local Street in the Los Angeles County Highway Plan. This roadway provides one through travel lane in each direction. On-street parking is permitted on both sides of the roadway east of La Crescenta Avenue and west of Ramsdell Avenue: Between Glenwood Avenue and La Crescenta Avenue, on-street parking is restricted during school hours. The posted speed limit is 25 miles per hour.

<u>Ramsdell Avenue</u> is classified as a north-south Local Street in the Los Angeles County Highway Plan. This roadway provides one through travel lane in each direction. On-street parking is generally permitted on both sides of the roadway, with parking prohibited on the east side of the street between Mary Street and Foothill Boulevard and on the west side of the street between Mary Street and Community Avenue. The posted speed limit ranges from 25 to 30 miles per hour.

2.2 EXISTING TRANSIT SERVICE

The Project study area is served by bus transit lines operated by Los Angeles County Metro and the Glendale Beeline. Table 1 summarizes the area transit services.

FIGURE

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL **FIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT**



#1 Ramsdell Avenue & Foothill Boulevard





& Foothill Boulevard

Ŧ

httr

#3 La Crescenta Avenue #4 Ramsdell Avenue & #5 La Crescenta Avenue Community Avenue









#6 La Crescenta Avenue & Altura Avenue





| | | таріст Ехізсін | g mansie bei viee | Sammary | |
|------------------|------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------------|
| Agency | Line | From | То | Via | Peak Frequency |
| Metro | 91 | Downtown LA | Olive View-UCLA Medical Center | La Crescenta Avenue, Foothill Boulevard | 30-50 minutes |
| Glendale Beeline | 3 | Glendale Galleria | Jet Propulsion Laboratory | Verdugo Road, Honolulu Avenue, La Crescenta Avenue, Foothill Boulevard | 15-30 minutes |

Table 1 – Existing Transit Service Summary

2.3 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Vehicle turning movement counts were collected at the study intersections on Friday, May 3, 2019. Counts were conducted from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. The existing peak-hour traffic turn movement volumes are illustrated on Figure 4 of this report.

The traffic count data sheets are provided in Appendix A.

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL **FIGURE** FIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT 4



xx/xx AM/PM turning movement volumes















3. PROJECT TRAFFIC

This section defines the traffic that would be generated by the proposed Project in a three-step process including trip generation, trip distribution and trip assignment. This information has been provided for informational purposes and for use in the environmental document air quality and noise analyses, but has not been used for impact analysis in this report.

3.1 PROJECT TRIP GENERATION

As indicated in Section 1, the forecast Project trip generation was derived from the scoping document submitted to City staff. The new bleachers at the track-and-field facility will have a capacity of 3,442 seats.

The typical sports event trip generation assumptions included the following. These factors were considered to be typical of sporting facility operations of this type:

- 85% of spectators arriving and departing via automobiles
- An average vehicle occupancy of 2.5 persons per vehicle

Parking demand calculations were based on this same base methodology.

It was assumed that 50% of the vehicle trips will arrive during the busiest hour of the 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. timeframe, and 10% of the vehicles will depart the site (as part of a drop-off trip) within that hour.

The total net peak hour trips would be as follows:

- Inbound: 585 total inbound trips (122 headed to the two school parking lots, 114 headed to overflow parking areas, and 349 headed to on-street parking areas.)
- Outbound: 59 outbound trips (all departing the pick-up/drop-off area)

The total peak-hour trip generation would therefore equate to 644 trips (585 inbound trips and 59 outbound trips).

3.2 PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTION

Trip distribution is the process of assigning the directions from which traffic will access the Project site. Trip distribution is dependent upon the land use characteristics of the Project, the local roadway network, and the general locations of other land uses to which Project trips would originate or terminate. Figure 5 illustrates the trip distribution percentages at the study intersections.

3.3 PROJECT TRIP ASSIGNMENT

Based on the trip generation and distribution assumptions described above, Project traffic was assigned to the roadway system. The peak hour Project trip assignment is illustrated on Figure 6.

FIGURE 5

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL FIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Project Trip Distribution



xx% Project Trip Distribution















TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOLFIGUREFIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Project Trip Assignment



xx/xx AM/PM turning movement volumes



6













4. EXISTING WITH PROJECT CONDITIONS

This section documents existing traffic volumes at the study intersections with the addition of Projectgenerated traffic. Traffic volumes for these conditions were derived by adding Project trips to the existing traffic volumes.

The existing with-Project traffic volumes are illustrated on Figure 7.

FIGURETRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOLFIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Existing with Project - PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



xx/xx AM/PM turning movement volumes















5. FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITIONS

This section provides an analysis of future traffic volumes in the study area with area/related project trips and background growth added, but without Project traffic. The proposed Project is anticipated to be completed by 2020, and the future analysis was based on that year.

5.1 AMBIENT GROWTH

In order to acknowledge regional population and employment growth outside of the study area, an ambient traffic growth rate of one percent per year was applied to the existing traffic counts. Area project trips were also added to this scenario, as discussed below.

5.2 AREA PROJECTS

In addition to ambient traffic growth, traffic from cumulative/area projects (approved and pending developments) was included in the year-2020 traffic volumes analysis. A total of 97 projects in the community of La Crescenta-Montrose and the cities of Glendale and La Cañada Flintridge were identified for inclusion in the analysis.

Table 2 provides the trip generation estimates for the related area projects. The project locations are illustrated on Figure 8.

| | | | | • | | | | F | M Peak Hour | |
|----------|---|--|--------------------------|--|-------------|----------------------------------|----------|------|-------------|-------|
| No_ | Project Name | Address | City | Land Use | Intensity | Units | Daily | Rate | % In | % Out |
| 18 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2418 Cross Street, La Crescenta CA 91214 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 19 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2410 Laughlin Avenue, La Crescenta CA 91214 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 20 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2763 Fairmount Avenue, La Crescenta CA 91214 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 21 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2700 Los Olivos Lane, La Crescenta CA | La Crescenta Montroso | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 21 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2315 Caracas Street, La Crescenta CA | La Crescenta | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 22 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 4950 Rosemont Avenue, La Crescenta CA | La Crescenta | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 23 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 91214 2512 Los Amigos Street, La Crescenta CA | Montrose La Crescenta | Single-Family Homes | 10 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 91214 2542 Kemper Avenue, La Crescenta CA | Montrose La Crescenta | Single Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwolling Units | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 25 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 91214 3057 Gertrude Avenue, La Crescenta CA | Montrose La Crescenta | Cingle Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 26 | Accessory Dwening Units | 91214 2713 Mayfield Avenue, La Crescenta CA | Montrose La Crescenta | Single-ramity Homes | 1.0 | Dweiling Units | 9 | 1 | | 0 |
| 27 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 91214 3122 Los Olivos Lane La Crescenta CA | Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 28 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 91214 | Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 29 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 4266 Pennsylvania Avenue, La Crescenta CA 91214 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 30 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2334 Del Mar Road, Montrose CA 91020 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 31 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2404 Mayfield Avenue, Montrose CA 91020 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 32 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2502 Community Avenue, Montrose CA 91020 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 33 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2575 Mayfield Avenue, Montrose CA 91020 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2923 Community Avenue, La Crescenta CA | La Crescenta Montroso | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 34 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 4128 Ramsdell Avenue, La Crescenta CA | La Crescenta | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 35 | Accessory Dwelling Units | 2264 Luana Lane, Montrose CA 91020 | La Crescenta | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 36 | Single-family Development | 5919 Canyonside Road, La Crescenta CA | Montrose La Crescenta | Single-Family Homes | 10 | Dwelling Linits | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 37 | Single family Development | 91214 2805 Orange Avenue, La Crescenta CA | Montrose La Crescenta | Cingle Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 38 | | 91214 2322 Orange Cove Avenue. La Crescenta | Montrose La Crescenta | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dweiling Units | у | 1 | - | 0 |
| 39 | Single-family Development | CA 91214 | Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 40 | Single-family Development | 2440 Cross Street, La Crescenta CA 91214 | Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 41 | Single-family Development | 91214 | Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 42 | Single-family Development | 4927 El Sereno Avenue, La Crescenta CA 91214 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 43 | Single-family Development | 4825 Briggs Avenue, La Crescenta CA 91214 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 44 | Single-family Development | 2620 El Caminito St. La Crescenta- Montrose, CA 91214 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 45 | retail to office (1.653 KSF) | 3825 Ocean View Boulevard 1/2 CA 91020 | La Crescenta | General Office | 1.7 | KSF | 16 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| - | Single-family Development | 2535 Piedmont Avenue, Montrose CA | La Crescenta | Single-Family Homes | 1.7 | Dwelling Units | -02 | -0 | -5 | -5 |
| 46 | New 4 unit, two story apartments | 91020 2231 Mira Vista Avenue, Montrose CA | Montrose La Crescenta | Multifamily Housing | | | | | | |
| 47 | over single story parking garage | 91020 | Montrose | (Low Rise) | 4.0 | Dwelling Units | 29 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 48 | building | 91020 | Montrose | (Mid-Rise) | 9.0 | Dwelling Units | 49 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 49 | Single-family Residence | 2740 Prospect Avenue, La Crescenta CA 91214 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 50 | Single-family Residence | 2716 Mary Street, La Crescenta CA 91214 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 51 | 3 unit low-rise multi-family (replacing 1 sfr) | 2500 Hermosa Avenue, Montrose CA 91020 | La Crescenta Montrose | Multifamily Housing (Low Rise) | 3.0 | Dwelling Units | 22 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| \vdash | Proposed (n) 8 unit apt house w/ 16 | 2219 Montroso Averus 1/2 Mantroso A | la Crosser : | Single-Family Homes Multifamily Housing | - 1.0 | Dwelling Units | -9 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| 52 | covered parking spaces demo (e) 2323 sq. ft. SFR. | 91020 | Montrose | (Low Rise) Single-Family Homes | 8.0 -1.0 | Dwelling Units Dwelling Units | 59 -9 | 4 | 3 -1 | 1 |
| 53 | Single-family Residence | 2644 Prospect Avenue, La Crescenta CA 91214 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | | 2906 Fairmount Avenue La Crescenta CA | La Crescenta | Multifamily Housing | | | | | | |
| 54 | STORY APARTMENT BUILDING OVER | 91214 | Montrose | (Low Rise) | 10.0 | Dwelling Units | 73 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| ,4 | Single-family Residence | 4329 BRIGGS Avenue, MONTROSE CA | La Crescenta | Single-Family Homes | 4.0 | Dwelling Units | 38 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 55 | 5 UNIT NEW MULTIFAMILY | 91020 2314 Montrose Avenue, Montrose CA | Montrose La Crescenta | Multifamily Housing | | | | | | |
| 56 | APARTMENTS; SUB PARKING GARAGES. | 91020 | Montrose | (Low Rise) | 5.0 | Dwelling Units | 37 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Build a new two-story, 4 unit apartment build and connect to | 4360 Ocean View Boulevard, Montrose CA | La Crescenta | Multifamily Housing | 4.0 | Dwelling Units | 29 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 57 | existing two-story, 2 unit apartment. | 91020 | wontrose | (LOW RISE) Multifamily Housing | | - | | | | |
| 50 | 5-Linit Apt Rida Poplacing a CCP | 4036 Rosemont Avenue, Montrose CA | La Crescenta- | (Low Rise) | 5.0 | Dwelling Units | 37 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| δι | new construction for three stories 6- | 4520 Rosemont Avenue, La Crescenta CA | La Crescenta | Multifamily Housing | 60 | Dwelling Units | 44 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 59 | unit apartment building | 91214 | Montrose | (Low Rise) | 0.0 | Dweinig Ulits | -74 | 2 | - | · · |

| Table 2 – | Area Pro | jects Trip | Generation | Estimate |
|-----------|----------|------------|------------|----------|
|-----------|----------|------------|------------|----------|

| | | | | | Intensity Units | | | | PM Peak Hour | |
|-----|--|--|---------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|----------|------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| No_ | Project Name | Address | City | Land Use Medical/Dental | Intensity | Units | Daily | Rate | % In | % Out |
| 60 | doctor's office replaces cleaners | 3067 Foothill Boulevard, La Crescenta CA | La Crescenta- | Office | 0.5 | KSF | 18 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| | (.508 KSF) Proposed new 6 units town house | 91214 | Montrose La Crescenta | Shopping Center Multifamily Housing | -0.5 | KSF | -19 | -2 | -1 | -1 |
| 61 | apartment building. | 1961 Waltonia Drive, Montrose CA 91020 | Montrose | (Low Rise) | 6.0 | Dwelling Units | 44 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 62 | Single-family Residence | 2461 Florencita Drive, Montrose CA 91020 | La Crescenta Montrose | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 62 | new offices -710 sf | 2540 Foothill Boulevard, La Crescenta CA | La Crescenta | Conoral Office | 0.7 | VCE | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 05 | | 51214 | Montrose | Medical/Dental | 0.7 | K3F | / | | 0 | |
| 64 | med office (minus existing office)- | 4141 Ocean View Boulevard #Suite # 4148, Montrose CA 91020 | La Crescenta- Montrose | Office General Office | -1.6 | KSF | -15 | -2 | 1 | -2 |
| | Single-family Residence | 3115 Los Olivos Lane, La Crescenta CA | La Crescenta | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 65 | 16 two-story apartment units with 37 | 91214 2454 MONTROSE Avenue, MONTROSE CA | Montrose La Crescenta | Multifamily Housing | | | | | | - |
| 66 | parking spaces. | 91020 | Montrose | (Low Rise) | 16.0 | Dwelling Units | 117 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| | New detached 496 SF ADU at rear | 4908 TREND TERRACE GLENDALE CA 91214 | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 67 | | | | Shonning Contor | 20.0 | VCE | 1 469 | 149 | 71 | 77 |
| 68 | Mixed-used development (Retail | | | Multifamily Housing | 50.9 | K3F | 1,400 | 140 | · | |
| 69 | and Apartments) | 3950 FOOTHILL BLVD 3700 PONTIAC ST GLENDALE CA 91214 | Glendale | (Mid-Rise) Single-Family Homes | 30.0 | Dwelling Units | 163 9 | 13 | 8 | 5 |
| 05 | Accessory Dwelling Unit | 4419 LOWELL AVE GLENDALE CA 91214 | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 70 | ·····y -····y -···· | 4144 LOWELL AVE GLENDALE CA 91214 | | | | | - | | | - |
| _ | Detached ADU at the rear | | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| /1 | | 3628 2ND AVE GLENDALE CA 91214 | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | 416 SF Garage Conversion to ADU | | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 12 | Convert existing 398 square-foot | | | | | | | | | |
| | detached garage into an ADU and to | 91214 | Clandala | Single Family Homes | 10 | Dwelling Units | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| | to the ADU. DJOE | | Gienuale | Single-ramily Homes | 1.0 | owening Units | , , | | ' | Ŭ |
| 73 | | 3515 COMMUNITY AVE CLENDALE CA | | | | | | | | |
| | convert portion of existing sfr into | 91214 | Clandala | Single Family Homes | 10 | Dwelling Units | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 74 | ADO | | Gieridale | Single-ranning nomes | 1.0 | Dwennig onits | 5 | ' | ' | 0 |
| /4 | | 3425 MARYANN ST GLENDALE CA 91214 | | | | | | | | |
| 75 | 281 SE ADLL attached to existing gar | | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 15 | Convert existing guest house 499 sf | 3315 MARY ST GLENDALE CA 91214 | | | | | | | | |
| 76 | and add 101 sf at the rear | | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | to construct a 410 square-foot | | | | | | | | | |
| | accessory dwelling unit addition to an existing 400 square-foot | 3257 PROSPECT AVE GLENDALE CA 91214 | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| _ | detached two car garage. DJOE | | | 5 , | | 5 | | | | |
| // | NEW 265 S.F. ACCESSORY | 3445 MONTROSE AVE GLENDALE CA | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | DWELLING UNIT | 91214 | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| /6 | convert existing garage into ADU | | | | | | | | | |
| | and add 221 square feet to the rear (transit stop within half mile of | 3210 MILLS AVE GLENDALE CA 91214 | Glandala | Single-Family Homes | 10 | Dwelling Units | ٩ | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | property) | | Giendale | Single-ranning nomes | 1.0 | Dwennig onits | 5 | ' | ' | 0 |
| 79 | Garage conversion to ADII (3919 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ramsdell Avenue). Conversion of SF | 3912-3919 Ramsdell Avenue Glendale CA | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 3.0 | Dwelling Units | 28 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 80 | home to adu and construction of 2nd SF home (3912 Ramsdell) | 91214 | | | | | | - | - | |
| | 38-unit multi-family affordable | 2817 Montrose Avenue Glendale CA 91214 | Glendale | Multifamily Housing | 38.0 | Dwelling Units | 207 | 17 | 10 | 7 |
| 81 | housing project Conversion of garage and addition | 2848 MANHATTAN AVE Glendale CA | | (Mid-Rise) | | | | | | |
| | to garage for a total of 599 SF ADU. | 91214 | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 82 | convert existing 240 square feet and | | | | | | | | | |
| | car garage into an accessory | | | | | | | | | |
| | dwelling unit (ADU) and to construct | 2941 PIEDMONT AVE GLENDALE CA 91214 | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | (total 476 square-foot ADU). | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Construct a new 18-unit affordable residential housing project(15% | | | | | | | | | |
| | affordable to very low income | 2941 Honolulu Avenue GLENDALE CA | | Multifamily Housing | | | | | | |
| | nouseholds - rental) that includes the demolition of (e) commercial | 91214 | Glendale | (Low Rise) | 18.0 | Dwelling Units | 132 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| | building built in 1983. | | | | | | | | | |
| 64 | To demolish an existing one-story, | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,140 square-foot single-family | | | | | | | | | |
| | garage (constructed 1940), and to | 2700 HERIVIOOR AVE GLENDALE CA 91020 | Glendale | Multifamily Housing | 6.0 | Dwelling Units | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | construct a three-story, 8,373 square- foot, six-unit, multi-family residential | | | (1110-1130) | | | | | | |
| 85 | buildin | | | | | | | | | |
| | DRB, New 2-story house on a through-lot | 2636 MANHATTAN AVE | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 86 | | | | . , | | | | | | |
| | conversion of the existing detached garage and addition of 110 sq.ft to | 1539 BROADVIEW DR GLENDALE CA 91208 | Class 1.1 | Circle Fee 1. 11 | 10 | Durallia 11-11 | | | | |
| 07 | the garage for the proposed ADU. | | Giendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dweiling Units | 9 | 1 | | υ |
| 87 | 448 SF 2nd-story ADU on top of new | 3457 BUENA VISTA AVE GLENDALE CA | | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | detached garage | 91208 | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 68 | Convert 465 cafe and the AD | 3310 SPARR BLVD | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Convert 405 sq.rt. garage to ADU. | GLENDALE CA 91208 | Glendale | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 35 | 588sf ADU attached to existing | 1068 FILINITA AVE GLENDALE CA 91208 | Glepdale | Single-Family Homes | 10 | Dwelling Units | ٩ | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 90 | detached garage | Contraction of the second seco | Gieridale | surgic ranny nomes | | o weaking office | | · · | <u> </u> | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| | ft. residence and 598 sq. ft. garage | 1307 Olive Ln, La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011 | La Canada Flintridoe | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 91 | on existing lot | | | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |

| | | | | | | | | | AM Peak Hou | r | PM Peak Hour | | |
|-----|--|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-----|----------------|-----|------|-------------|-------|--------------|------|-------|
| No_ | | | City | | | | | Rate | | % Out | Rate | % In | % Out |
| 92 | Demolition of existing building (1650 SF), construction of new 2250 sf building | 1401 Foothill Blvd, La Canada Flintridge, 91011 | La Canada Flintridge | General Office | 0.6 | KSF | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 93 | Build new 2-story office/commercial/retail building with restaurant at 1st floor above 1 level basement parking. (Total 6,200 sf) | 2236 Foothill Blvd, La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011 | La Canada Flintridge | Shopping Center | 6.2 | KSF | 234 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 24 | 12 | 12 |
| 95 | Two new houses | 2000 Chimneysmoke Rd, La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011 | La Canada Flintridge | Single-Family Homes | 2.0 | Dwelling Units | 19 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 96 | Description: Construct a new 2 story residence | 1307 Olive Ln, La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011 | La Canada Flintridge | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 97 | Description: Proposed new two story Single Family Residence with attached 2 car garage: 5 bedrooms, 5.5 bathrooms Total area 5,828 S.F. | 4698 Leir Drive | La Canada Flintridge | Single-Family Homes | 1.0 | Dwelling Units | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | | Totals | | 3.658 | 196 | 54 | 142 | 355 | 212 | 143 | | | |

The area projects were separated into zones that could be included in geographic groups within the analysis. The area project trips were added to the surrounding street system using a distribution and assignment methodology based on the area roadway network and freeway access points. Additional adjustments were made for area projects near the edges of the study area

The area project trip assignment volumes for the Friday p.m. peak hour are provided on Figure 9.

FIGURE 8

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL FIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Location of Area Projects





TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL FIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Area Projects Trip Assignment - PM Peak Hour



xx/xx AM/PM turning movement volumes



Signal

٦

0 🔳

1 በ

11 0 3

FIGURE

9











FIGURETRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOLFIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECTFuture without Project- PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



xx/xx AM/PM turning movement volumes















6. FUTURE WITH PROJECT CONDITIONS

This section documents future traffic volumes at the study intersections with the addition of Projectgenerated traffic. Traffic volumes for these conditions were derived by adding project trips to the future without-Project scenario volumes.

The future with-Project traffic volumes for the weekday p.m. peak hour are illustrated on Figure 11.

FIGURETRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOLFIGUREFIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECTFuture with Project- PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



xx/xx AM/PM turning movement volumes















7. VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED

Estimated project transportation impacts under California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines for the proposed project. The Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) metric was considered in the project analysis, as stipulated under recent CEQA guidelines changes that required such analysis for CEQA by July 1, 2020.

As discussed previously, the proposed project would serve the existing and future students at Crescenta Valley HS. The proposed project would allow for already occurring larger sporting events such as varsity football games that are currently held at Glendale High School, approximately 7 miles from Crescenta Valley HS, to be relocated to Crescenta Valley HS, serving its own student population. The change in VMT as a result of this shift in vehicle trips cannot be precisely predicted. These trips are intermittent and infrequent in nature (depending on sports season, and no events during much of the calendar year). Any project-generated operational change in VMT would generally be associated with the redistribution of trips to and from these existing larger sporting events. With the implementation of the proposed project, trips generated by the football games would originate and conclude at Crescenta Valley HS instead of Glendale High School. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a shift in travel patterns among local streets rather than an overall increase in trips compared to existing traffic levels.

The proposed project is a local-serving use, providing an improved sports facility primarily for teams and spectators from the local school district. The proposed project would not create any new regional trips, even for playoff and championship games, and those are contained within one season of the year. Travel by the visiting team and spectators would simply be to a new facility, rather than the current facility, and no new regional trips would be created, and average trip lengths would not increase. VMT would not increase as local spectators would be closer to the event, and for the visiting team spectators the regional trips generated would not be new trips and many of those trips would be shorter in length than they were before the project. The presence of an additional facility to serve its own student population would make most trips more efficient and lessened in length. Overall trips would be shorter in length and VMT would be lower, as the District and local area would now have an additional destination for larger sporting events that are already occurring.

For typical daily operations of the school, the proposed project would have no measurable effect on VMT. The project would not generate any outside vehicle trips when events are not scheduled, and would only be supporting the school use as an ancillary facility. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in an increase in VMT from existing conditions by allowing local spectators to be closer to the events. VMT impacts of the project would be less than significant and mitigation is not required.

Cumulative impacts of VMT are required to be analyzed under CEQA. Cumulative changes in VMT would be caused by other development and roadway and transit infrastructure projects in the region, separate from project effects on VMT. As the project would not create VMT impacts that would be specific to the project, it would not be contributing to any significant cumulative VMT impacts in the region.

8. PARKING IMPACT ANALYSIS

This section provides a discussion of proposed project site parking and local circulation. Project parking demand was evaluated to determine the adequacy of the parking supply available on and off-site for spectators on Friday evenings.

For this analysis, parking lots within the school property, overflow parking at nearby school sites, and onstreet parking spaces on surrounding streets were included as the potential supply for Friday evening event attendees.

A. PARKING DEMAND ANALYSIS

In order to assess parking demand at the proposed parking area, sweeps were conducted on a Friday evening, during the same peak period as the traffic counts. The parking occupancy was monitored during this period in order to assess how much parking would likely be available for facility attendees, both in area on-street and available off-street campus parking spaces.

<u>Supply</u>

The proposed parking supply was split between parking lots and on-street parking spaces. There is a total of 1,097 on-street parking spaces, and these spaces are located primarily within residential areas.

The parking zones used to conglomerate data and provide a picture of sub-areas of the total parking study area were as follows:

- Zone 1: On-street parking on roadways that directly border the campus, including Ramsdell Avenue, Community Avenue, Prospect Avenue and Altura Avenue.
- Zone 2: Local roadways one to two blocks away from the campus, extending to the east side of Cloud Avenue in the west, the south side of Foothill Boulevard in the north and La Crescenta Avenue (both sides) in the east.
- Zone 3: Local roadways west of Cloud Avenue (including the west side of Cloud Avenue), north of Foothill Boulevard (including the north side of Foothill Boulevard) and east of La Crescenta Avenue.

There are also 236 off-street parking spaces potentially available for use by facility attendees, including 122 parking spaces within the school campus and 114 overflow parking spaces. When combined, there is a total of 1,333 parking spaces potentially available for use.

Existing Demand

The occupancy counts demonstrated that for the on-street parking spaces, there was a total occupancy of 39.4%. A total of 665 on-street parking spaces were therefore available.

Project Parking Demand

The parking demand assumptions for the project with a 3,442-seat capacity included the following assumptions:

- 85% of spectators arriving and departing via automobiles
- An average vehicle occupancy of 2.5 persons per vehicle
- A 10 percent reduction due to assumed pick-up/drop activity (vehicles not parking)

The total estimated event parking demand is 1,053 spaces based on these calculations.

The parking study data provided in Table 3 provides an overview of occupancy based on the parking survey. The occupancy value represents the estimated utilized percent of parking supply for existing pre-project conditions (without added parking demand from major events).

Parking conditions with the added parking demand of a major school sporting event are discussed after the table.

| | Total | Occupied | Unoccupied | Occupancy % |
|--------------------------------|-------|----------|------------|---------------|
| Zone 1 | 202 | 104 | 98 | 51% |
| Zone 2 | 537 | 259 | 278 | 48% |
| Zone 3 | 358 | 69 | 289 | 19% |
| School and Overflow Lots | 236 | 0 | 236 | 0% |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 1,333 | 432 | 901 | 32.0% overall |

Table 3 – Parking Availability within Study Area

The estimated event parking demand is 1,053 spaces. With the 236 spaces that can be provided in school or overflow parking facilities, the estimated demand spillover onto adjacent on-street parking areas within the neighborhood is 817 vehicles without additional arrangements. Based on distances form the school site, the following potential distribution to the parking study zones would occur:

- 98 vehicles to Zone 1 Resulting in 100 percent occupancy, increased from 51 percent
- 278 vehicles to Zone 2 Resulting in 100 percent occupancy, increased from 48 percent
- 289 vehicles to Zone 3 Resulting in 100 percent occupancy, increased from 19 percent

The overall area parking occupancy would be 100 percent. It is recommended that the District contract with the Community Life Church, located on La Crescenta Avenue to the northeast of the school site, to provide additional off-street parking supply during events.

The pre-project parking study area occupancy percentages are illustrated on Figure 12. The post-project occupancy percentages (during major sporting events) are illustrated on Figure 13

It is recommended that the District implement a parking and pedestrian access plan for full-capacity events, documenting all available off-street parking supplies and providing for proper pathways for pedestrian travel from parking areas to the site.

FIGURE 12

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL FIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Parking Supply and Occupancy – Pre-Project Conditions



FIGURE **13**

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY | CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL FIELD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Parking Supply and Occupancy – Post-Project Conditions



9. ANALYSIS SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The following summarizes the traffic study results, conclusions and recommendations:

<u>Traffic</u>

- As proposed, the project would include 3,442 bleacher seats and lighting for night games to the Crescenta Valley High School Football/Track-and-field facility.
- It is estimated that on Friday evenings, with a major sporting event, the Project would generate 644 p.m. peak-hour trips, with 585 inbound and 59 outbound.

<u>VMT</u>

- The presence of an additional facility to serve its own student population would make most trips more efficient and lessened in length. Overall trips would be shorter in length and VMT would be lower, as the District and local area would now have an additional destination for larger sporting events that are already occurring.
- For typical daily operations of the school, the proposed project would have no measurable effect on VMT. The project would not generate any outside vehicle trips when events are not scheduled. The proposed project would not result in an increase in VMT from existing conditions by allowing local spectators to be closer to the events. VMT impacts of the project would be less than significant and mitigation is not required.
- Cumulative impacts of VMT are required to be analyzed under CEQA. Cumulative changes in VMT would be caused by other development and roadway and transit infrastructure projects in the region, separate from project effects on VMT. As the project would not create VMT impacts that would be specific to the project, it would not be contributing to any significant cumulative VMT impacts in the region.

Parking

- There are an estimated 1,097 on-street parking spaces within the area of the parking survey, and these spaces are located primarily within residential areas.
- There are also 236 off-street parking spaces potentially available for use by facility attendees, including 122 parking spaces within the school campus and 114 overflow parking spaces.
- When combined, there are a total of 1,333 parking spaces potentially available for use, based on this inventory.
- With the 236 spaces that can be provided on the school site and at overflow parking facilities, the estimated demand spillover onto adjacent on-street parking areas within the neighborhood is 817 vehicles.
- All three sub-areas of the overall on-street parking survey area would have occupancy rate (parked vehicles versus available space) changes due to demand from major sporting events. Existing occupancy rates range from 19 percent to 51 percent. With major events, the occupancy rates would increase to an overall area average of 100 percent.

Recommendations

- As the study area parking occupancy is estimated to be 100 percent with sporting events, as
 estimated by the analysis, other parking supplies should be acquired through parking lease
 agreements. It is recommended that the District contract with the Community Life Church, located
 on La Crescenta Avenue to the northeast of the school site, to provide additional off-street parking
 supply during events.
- The proposed project impacts would only occur during full capacity events, which would occur five to ten nights each year.
- It is recommended that the District implement a parking and pedestrian access plan for full-capacity events, documenting all available off-street parking supplies and providing for proper pathways for pedestrian travel from parking areas to the site.

APPENDIX A Traffic Count Data

National Data & Surveying Services Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: Ramsdell Ave & Foothill Blvd City: La Crescenta

Control: Signalized

Project ID: 19-05252-001 Date: 2019-05-03

| _ | | Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|------------|----------|-------|-------------------|--------|---------|-------|---------------|--------|-------|-------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| NS/EW Streets: | | Ramsde | ell Ave | | | Ramsde | ell Ave | | Foothill Blvd | | | | Foothill Blvd | | | | |
| | | NORTH | BOUND | | | SOUTH | BOUND | | | EASTB | OUND | | | WESTBOUND | | | |
| PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| 5:00 PM | 22 | 20 | 17 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 156 | 18 | 3 | 14 | 298 | 19 | 1 | 622 |
| 5:15 PM | 33 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 33 | 16 | 20 | 0 | 22 | 185 | 16 | 0 | 19 | 320 | 26 | 0 | 726 |
| 5:30 PM | 31 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 149 | 19 | 1 | 12 | 332 | 26 | 1 | 660 |
| 5:45 PM | 27 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 13 | 185 | 18 | 1 | 17 | 333 | 28 | 0 | 703 |
| 6:00 PM | 26 | 12 | 15 | 0 | 31 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 24 | 167 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 279 | 36 | 0 | 643 |
| 6:15 PM | 29 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 170 | 17 | 1 | 23 | 245 | 30 | 0 | 606 |
| 6:30 PM | 27 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 23 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 192 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 172 | 17 | 1 | 535 |
| 6:45 PM | 20 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 29 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 175 | 33 | 1 | 15 | 212 | 27 | 0 | 576 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| TOTAL VOLUMES : | 215 | 130 | 99 | 0 | 208 | 97 | 113 | 0 | 138 | 1379 | 152 | 8 | 129 | 2191 | 209 | 3 | 5071 |
| APPROACH %'s : | 48.42% | 29.28% | 22.30% | 0.00% | 49.76% | 23.21% | 27.03% | 0.00% | 8.23% | 82.23% | 9.06% | 0.48% | 5.09% | 86.53% | 8.25% | 0.12% | |
| PEAK HR : | | 05:15 PM - | 06:15 PM | | 05:15 PM | | | | Obsitio PM | | | | | | | | TOTAL |
| PEAK HR VOL : | 117 | 66 | 49 | 0 | 108 | 45 | 71 | 0 | 77 | 686 | 68 | 3 | 61 | 1264 | 116 | 1 | 2732 |
| PEAK HR FACTOR : | 0.886 | 0.825 | 0.766 | 0.000 | 0.818 | 0.662 | 0.888 | 0.000 | 0.802 | 0.927 | 0.895 | 0.750 | 0.803 | 0.949 | 0.806 | 0.250 | 0.041 |
| | | 0.84 | 41 | | 0.812 0.935 0.954 | | | | | | | | 0.941 | | | | |

Ramsdell Ave & Foothill Blvd

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count



National Data & Surveying Services Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: Glenwood Ave & Foothill Blvd City: La Crescenta

Control: 2-Way Stop (NB/SB)

Project ID: 19-05252-002 Date: 2019-05-03

| | | Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|----------|-------|----------|---------|--------|-------|---------------|--------|-------|-------|---------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| NS/EW Streets: | | Glenwoo | od Ave | | | Glenwoo | od Ave | | Foothill Blvd | | | | Foothill Blvd | | | | |
| | | NORTH | BOUND | | | SOUTH | BOUND | | EASTBOUND | | | | WESTBOUND | | | | |
| PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 200 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 338 | 9 | 1 | 575 |
| 5:15 PM | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 216 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 368 | 8 | 0 | 618 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 192 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 360 | 6 | 1 | 587 |
| 5:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 194 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 375 | 14 | 0 | 610 |
| 6:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 225 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 335 | 7 | 2 | 593 |
| 6:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 193 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 299 | 13 | 0 | 528 |
| 6:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 229 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 204 | 5 | 0 | 467 |
| 6:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 193 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 238 | 10 | 0 | 482 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| TOTAL VOLUMES : | 10 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 50 | 1642 | 36 | 8 | 32 | 2517 | 72 | 4 | 4460 |
| APPROACH %'s : | 21.74% | 0.00% | 78.26% | 0.00% | 28.30% | 0.00% | 71.70% | 0.00% | 2.88% | 94.59% | 2.07% | 0.46% | 1.22% | 95.89% | 2.74% | 0.15% | |
| PEAK HR : | (|)5:15 PM - | 06:15 PM | | 05:15 PM | | | | 05:15 PM | | | | | | | | TOTAL |
| PEAK HR VOL : | 6 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 827 | 19 | 2 | 15 | 1438 | 35 | 3 | 2408 |
| PEAK HR FACTOR : | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.556 | 0.000 | 0.400 | 0.000 | 0.625 | 0.000 | 0.714 | 0.919 | 0.792 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 0.959 | 0.625 | 0.375 | 0.074 |
| | | 0.72 | 22 | | | 0.7 | 19 | | 0.931 0.953 | | | | | 0.974 | | | |

Glenwood Ave & Foothill Blvd

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count



National Data & Surveying Services Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: La Crescenta Ave & Foothill Blvd City: La Crescenta Control: Signalized

Project ID: 19-05252-003 Date: 2019-05-03

| | | Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|----------|-------|-------------------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|----------|-------|-------|---------------------------------------|
| NS/EW Streets: | | La Cresce | nta Ave | | | La Cresce | nta Ave | | | Foothill | Blvd | | | Foothill | Blvd | | |
| | | NORTH' | BOUND | | | SOUTH' | BOUND | | | EASTBOUND | | | | WESTB | OUND | Ē | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| PM | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 ' |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ΕT | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| 5:00 PM | 99 | 50 | 44 | 0 | 22 | 47 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 148 | 63 | 0 | 26 | 253 | 14 | 0 | 792 |
| 5:15 PM | 78 | 50 | 36 | 0 | 9 | 40 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 151 | 53 | 0 | 36 | 300 | 15 | 0 | 782 |
| 5:30 PM | 76 | 37 | 41 | 0 | 9 | 39 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 139 | 51 | 0 | 33 | 301 | 12 | 0 | 754 |
| 5:45 PM | 74 | 56 | 31 | 0 | 15 | 38 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 131 | 51 | 0 | 26 | 291 | 9 | 0 | 740 |
| 6:00 PM | 110 | 69 | 42 | 0 | 8 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 159 | 68 | 0 | 24 | 231 | 9 | 0 | 777 |
| 6:15 PM | 84 | 71 | 45 | 0 | 14 | 33 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 145 | 42 | 0 | 26 | 226 | 9 | 0 | 710 |
| 6:30 PM | 71 | 55 | 47 | 0 | 4 | 45 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 160 | 58 | 0 | 20 | 137 | 3 | 0 | 612 |
| 6:45 PM | 65 | 46 | 23 | 0 | 13 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 150 | 45 | 0 | 31 | 176 | 6 | 0 | 596 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ľ | 1 ' |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| TOTAL VOLUMES : | 657 | 434 | 309 | 0 | 94 | 314 | 63 | 0 | 64 | 1183 | 431 | 0 | 222 | 1915 | 77 | 0 | 5763 |
| APPROACH %'s : | 46.93% | 31.00% | 22.07% | 0.00% | 19.96% | 66.67% | 13.38% | 0.00% | 3.81% | 70.50% | 25.69% | 0.00% | 10.03% | 86.50% | 3.48% | 0.00% | <u> </u> ' |
| PEAK HR : | (|)5:00 PM - | 06:00 PM | | | | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL |
| PEAK HR VOL : | 327 | 193 | 152 | 0 | 55 | 164 | 42 | 0 | 32 | 569 | 218 | 0 | 121 | 1145 | 50 | 0 | 3068 |
| PEAK HR FACTOR : | 0.826 | 0.862 | 0.864 | 0.000 | 0.625 | 0.872 | 0.750 | 0.000 | 0.667 | 0.942 | 0.865 | 0.000 | 0.840 | 0.951 | 0.833 | 0.000 | 0.040 |
| | | 0.87 | 70 | | 0.786 0.918 0.937 | | | | | | | ľ | 0.968 | | | | |

La Crescenta Ave & Foothill Blvd

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count



National Data & Surveying Services Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: Ramsdell Ave & Community Ave City: La Crescenta

Control: Signalized

Project ID: 19-05252-004 Date: 2019-05-03

| - | Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|--------------|------------|--------|---------------|-----------|----------|---------------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| NS/EW Streets: | s: Ramsdell Ave | | | Ramsdell Ave | | | Community Ave | | | Community Ave | | | | | | | |
| | NORTHBOUND | | | | SOUTHBOUND | | | EASTBOUND | | | WESTBOUND | | | | | | |
| PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ΕT | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 39 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 129 |
| 5:15 PM | 2 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 38 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 137 |
| 5:30 PM | 2 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 121 |
| 5:45 PM | 2 | 39 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 134 |
| 6:00 PM | 1 | 36 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 115 |
| 6:15 PM | 4 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 125 |
| 6:30 PM | 1 | 43 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 150 |
| 6:45 PM | 1 | 35 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 150 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| TOTAL VOLUMES : | 14 | 313 | 78 | 0 | 33 | 328 | 22 | 0 | 15 | 32 | 30 | 0 | 104 | 51 | 41 | 0 | 1061 |
| APPROACH %'s : | 3.46% | 77.28% | 19.26% | 0.00% | 8.62% | 85.64% | 5.74% | 0.00% | 19.48% | 41.56% | 38.96% | 0.00% | 53.06% | 26.02% | 20.92% | 0.00% | |
| PEAK HR : | (| 06:00 PM - | 07:00 PM | | 06:00 PM | | | | 06:30 PM | | | | | | | | TOTAL |
| PEAK HR VOL : | 7 | 143 | 46 | 0 | 19 | 173 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 64 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 540 |
| PEAK HR FACTOR : | 0.438 | 0.831 | 0.575 | 0.000 | 0.679 | 0.832 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.750 | 0.536 | 0.000 | 0.842 | 0.594 | 0.833 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | 0.766 0.828 | | | | 0.696 | | | 0.920 | | | | 0.900 | | | | | |

Ramsdell Ave & Community Ave

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count



National Data & Surveying Services Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: La Crescenta Ave & Prospect Ave City: La Crescenta Control: Signalized

Project ID: 19-05252-005 Date: 2019-05-03

| | Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|--------------|----------|-------|------------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|-------|--------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
| NS/EW Streets: | | La Crescei | nta Ave | | | La Cresce | nta Ave | | | Prospec | t Ave | | | Prospec | t Ave | | |
| | | NORTHE | BOUND | | SOUTHBOUND | | | EASTBOUND | | | WESTBOUND | | | | | | |
| PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ΕT | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| 5:00 PM | 10 | 183 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 364 |
| 5:15 PM | 16 | 164 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 336 |
| 5:30 PM | 13 | 152 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 120 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 307 |
| 5:45 PM | 16 | 171 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 338 |
| 6:00 PM | 18 | 242 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 156 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 448 |
| 6:15 PM | 13 | 203 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 350 |
| 6:30 PM | 13 | 176 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 128 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 343 |
| 6:45 PM | 18 | 141 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 288 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| TOTAL VOLUMES : | 117 | 1432 | 44 | 0 | 16 | 1028 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 63 | 0 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 2774 |
| APPROACH %'s : | 7.34% | 89.89% | 2.76% | 0.00% | 1.52% | 97.90% | 0.57% | 0.00% | 7.69% | 11.54% | 80.77% | 0.00% | 33.96% | 30.19% | 35.85% | 0.00% | |
| PEAK HR : | (| 05:45 PM - (| 06:45 PM | | 05:45 PM | | | | 06:00 PM | | | | | | | | TOTAL |
| PEAK HR VOL : | 60 | 792 | 31 | 0 | 5 | 516 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 34 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 1479 |
| PEAK HR FACTOR : | 0.833 | 0.818 | 0.775 | 0.000 | 0.417 | 0.827 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.375 | 0.438 | 0.708 | 0.000 | 0.600 | 0.563 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.925 |
| | | 0.81 | 8 | | | 0.820 | | | | 0 733 | | | 0.675 | | | | 0.825 |

Prepared by National Data & Surveying Services

La Crescenta Ave & Prospect Ave

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count



National Data & Surveying Services Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: La Crescenta Ave & Altura Ave City: La Crescenta Control: 2-Way Stop (EB/WB)

Project ID: 19-05252-006 Date: 2019-05-03

| | Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------|-------|-------|------------|---------|-------|------------|-----------|--------|-------|------------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|
| NS/EW Streets: | | La Crescer | nta Ave | | | La Cresce | nta Ave | | | Altura | Ave | | | Altura | Ave | | |
| | NORTHBOUND | | | | I | SOUTHBOUND | | | | EASTBOUND | | | | WESTBOUND | | | / |
| PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 187 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 157 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 370 |
| 5:15 PM | 3 | 174 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 122 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 320 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 167 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 128 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | , 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 309 |
| 5:45 PM | 6 | 206 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 140 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 366 |
| 6:00 PM | 2 | 250 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420 |
| 6:15 PM | 0 | 229 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 4 | 1 | ı O | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 372 |
| 6:30 PM | 2 | 170 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 125 | 2 | 0 | I O | 0 | 1 | 0 | , O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 |
| 6:45 PM | 3 | 164 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 294 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | / | |
| | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| TOTAL VOLUMES : | 19 | 1547 | 36 | 2 | 10 | 1064 | 19 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 2755 |
| APPROACH %'s : | 1.18% | 96.45% | 2.24% | 0.12% | 0.91% | 97.08% | 1.73% | 0.27% | 60.87% | 0.00% | 39.13% | 0.00% | 34.38% | 6.25% | 59.38% | 0.00% | |
| PEAK HR : | (|)5:30 PM - (| 06:30 PM | | | | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL |
| PEAK HR VOL : | 8 | 852 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 548 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1467 |
| PEAK HR FACTOR : | 0.333 | 0.852 | 0.750 | 0.000 | 0.625 | 0.878 | 0.438 | 0.500 | 0.583 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.563 | 0.000 | 0.583 | 0.000 | 0.972 |
| | 0.861 0.895 | | | | 0.65 | 38 | | | 0.80 | 00 | / | 0.073 | | | | | |

Prepared by National Data & Surveying Services

La Crescenta Ave & Altura Ave

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count



APPENDIX B On-Site Parking and Circulation Analysis Worksheets

Location: Crescenta Valley High School Football Field/Track City: Crescenta,CA

Parking Study

5/3/2019 Date: Day: Friday

| | Segment | Street | From | То | Restriction | 6:00 PM | Supply | Pre-event (Obse |
|-----------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------|------------------|
| 1 | 001E | Cloud Ave | Prospect Ave | Community Ave | None | 7 | 12 | Fie-event (Obser |
| 2 | 001W | Cloud Ave | Community Ave | Prospect Ave | None | 4 | 6 | |
| 3 | 002E | Cloud Ave | Evelyn St | Prospect Ave | None | 3 | 7 | |
| 4 | 002W | Cloud Ave | Prospect Ave | Evelyn St | None | 3 | 7 | |
| 5 | 003E | Cloud Ave | Altura Ave | Evelyn St | None | 4 | 8 | |
| 6 | 003W | Cloud Ave | Evelyn St | Altura Ave | None | 3 | 9 | |
| 7 | 004E | Cloud Ave | Encinal Ave | Altura Ave | None | 6 | 9 | |
| 8 | 004W | Cloud Ave | Altura Ave | Encinal Ave | None | 4 | 8 | |
| 9 10 | 005E | Ramsdell Ave | Mary St | Footnill Biva | Red | 0 | C | - |
| 10 | 005W | Ramsdell Ave | Footnill Bivd | Mary St | None | Z | 0 | |
| 11 12 | 006W | Ramsdell Ave | Mary St | | No Stopping Apytime | 0 | 0 | |
| 13 | 007E | Ramsdell Ave | Encinal Ave | Community Ave | None | 5 | 33 | |
| 14 | 007W | Ramsdell Ave | Community Ave | Prospect Ave | No parking 7-5 AM | 4 | 4 | |
| 15 | 007W.2 | Ramsdell Ave | Prospect Ave | Evelyn St | None | 8 | 6 | |
| 16 | 007W.3 | Ramsdell Ave | Evelyn St | Altura Ave | None | 6 | 6 | |
| 17 | 007W.4 | Ramsdell Ave | Altura Ave | Encinal Ave | None | 4 | 6 | |
| 18 | 008E | Glenwood Ave | Sanborn Ave | Fairmount Ave | None | 1 | 8 | |
| 19 | 008W | Glenwood Ave | Fairmount Ave | Foothill Blvd | None | 2 | 18 | |
| 20 | 009E | Glenwood Ave | Foothill Blvd | Sanborn Ave | None | 1 | 7 | |
| 21 | 010E | Glenwood Ave | Mary St | Foothill Blvd | None | 1 | 10 | |
| 22 | 010W | Glenwood Ave | Foothill Blvd | Mary St | None | 2 | 8 | |
| 23 | 011E | Glenwood Ave | Community Ave | Mary St | None | 2 | 7 | |
| 24 | 011W | Glenwood Ave | Mary St | Community Ave | None | 1 | 7 | |
| 25 | 012E | Glenwood Ave | Prospect Ave | Community Ave | p Parking 7 AM-5 PM,School Da | 0 | 2 | |
| 26 | 012E | Glenwood Ave | Prospect Ave | Community Ave | None | 3 | 5 | |
| 27 20 | 012W | Glenwood Ave | Community Ave | Prospect Ave | None | 1 | 5 | |
| 28 20 | 01200 | Glenwood Ave | Epothill Rhyd | Sanborn Ave | Nono | 0 | 2 | |
| 29 20 | 014E | Dyer St | Saphorn Ave | Ecothill Blvd | None | 2 | 0 | |
| 30 31 | 014W | Dyer St | Mary St | Foothill Blvd | None | 2 | 9 | |
| 32 | 015W | Dver St | Foothill Blvd | Mary St | None | 3 | 11 | |
| 33 | 016E | La Crescenta Ave | Community Ave | Mary St | No Parking of Vehicles for Sale | 2 | 7 | |
| 34 | 016W | La Crescenta Ave | Mary St | Community Ave | None | 0 | 4 | |
| 35 | 017E | La Crescenta Ave | Prospect Ave | Community Ave | Red | 0 | | - |
| 36 | 017W | La Crescenta Ave | Community Ave | Prospect Ave | No Parking of Vehicles for Sale | 1 | 6 | |
| 37 | 018E | La Crescenta Ave | Altura Ave | Prospect Ave | No Parking of Vehicles for Sale | 5 | 6 | |
| 38 | 018W | La Crescenta Ave | Prospect Ave | Altura Ave | Passenger Loading 7AM-5 PM | 1 | 1 | |
| 39 | 018W | La Crescenta Ave | Prospect Ave | Altura Ave | No Parking of Vehicles for Sale | 4 | 12 | |
| 40 | 019N | Foothill Blvd | Glenwood Ave | Ramsdell Ave | arking Anytime,Commercial Vel | 0 | 18 | |
| 41 | 0195 | Foothill Blvd | Ramsdell Ave | Glenwood Ave | ing 7AM-6 PM, No Parking of Ve | 7 | 12 | |
| 42 | 0195 | Foothill Blvd | Ramsdell Ave | Glenwood Ave | One Hour Parking 7AM-6 PM | 1 | 7 | |
| 43 | 020N | Foothill Blvd | Dyer St | Glenwood Ave | One Hour Parking 7AM-6 PM | 4 | 7 | |
| 44 45 | 020N | Foothill Bivd | Dyer St | Glenwood Ave | No Parking of Venicles for Sale | 0 | 11 | |
| 45 46 | 0205 | Foothill Blvd | Glenwood Ave | Dyer St | No Parking of Vahicles for Sale | 3 | 11 | |
| 40 17 | 0203 021N | Foothill Blvd | | Dyer St | No Parking of Vehicles for Sale | 1 | 5 | |
| 47 48 | 0215 | Foothill Blvd | Dver St | La Crescenta Ave | One Hour Parking 7AM-6 PM | 5 | 7 | |
| 49 | 0215 | Foothill Blvd | Dver St | La Crescenta Ave | No Parking of Vehicles for Sale | 0 | , | |
| 50 | 022N | Mary St | Glenwood Ave | Ramsdell Ave | None | 12 | 27 | |
| 51 | 0225 | Mary St | Ramsdell Ave | Glenwood Ave | None | 13 | 25 | |
| 52 | 023N | Mary St | Dyer St | Glenwood Ave | None | 6 | 11 | |
| 53 | 023N.2 | Mary St | La Crescenta Ave | Dyer St | None | 6 | 7 | |
| 54 | 0235 | Mary St | Glenwood Ave | La Crescenta Ave | None | 8 | 25 | |
| 55 | 024N | Community Ave | Ramsdell Ave | Cloud Ave | None | 19 | 26 | |
| 56 | 024S | Community Ave | Cloud Ave | Ramsdell Ave | None | 10 | 16 | |
| 57 50 | 025N | Community Ave | Gienwood Ave | Kamsdell Ave | HIKING 8.30 AM-3.30 PM,School | 13 | 21 | |
| 20 20 | 0255 | Community Ave | Ramsdell Ave | Glenwood Ave | One Hour Darbing 7AM 4 DM | / Q | 22 | |
| 60 | 0255 026N | | la Crescenta Δινε | Glenwood Ave | | 11 | 4 25 | |
| 61 | 026N | Community Ave | La Crescenta Ave | Glenwood Ave | Passenger Loading Only | 0 | 1 | |
| 62 | 0265 | Community Ave | Glenwood Ave | La Crescenta Ave | None | 9 | 19 | |
| 63 | 027N | Community Ave | Sharon Ave | La Crescenta Ave | None | 3 | 10 | |
| 64 | 027N.2 | Community Ave | Raymond Ave | Sharon Ave | None | 1 | 6 | |
| 65 | 027S | Community Ave | La Crescenta Ave | Raymond Ave | None | 4 | 24 | |
| 66 | 028N | Prospect Ave | Ramsdell Ave | Cloud Ave | One Hour Parking 8 AM-3 PM | 7 | 23 | |
| 67 | 0285 | Prospect Ave | Cloud Ave | Ramsdell Ave | One Hour Parking 8 AM-3 PM | 9 | 22 | |
| 68 68 | 029N | Prospect Ave | La Crescenta Ave | Glenwood Ave | b Parking 7 AM-5 PM,School Da | 21 | 26 | |
| ь9 70 | 0295 | Prospect Ave | | La Crescenta Ave | rassenger Loading /AMI-3.30 PM | 9 | 17 | |
| 7U 71 | 030N | Prospect Ave | | La Crescenta Ave | None | <u> </u> | 21 | |
| /⊥ 7つ | 0305 | Frolup St | | IVIIU BIOCK Dennsylvania Ave | None | 5 7 | 23 | |
| 2 ، 73 | 0315 | Evelyn St | Pennsylvania Δve | | None | , 6 | 10 | |
| , J 74 | 032N | Evelyn St | Ramsdell Ave | Cloud Ave | None | 15 | 24 | |
| 75 | 0325 | Evelvn St | Cloud Ave | Ramsdell Ave | None | 17 | 23 | |
| 76 | 033N | Altura Ave | Cloud Ave | Pennsylvania Ave | None | 3 | 24 | |
| 77 | 0335 | Altura Ave | Pennsylvania Ave | Cloud Ave | None | 2 | 26 | |
| 78 | 034N | Altura Ave | Ramsdell Ave | Cloud Ave | None | 18 | 25 | |
| 79 | 034S | Altura Ave | Cloud Ave | Ramsdell Ave | None | 19 | 24 | |
| 80 | 035N | Altura Ave | La Crescenta Ave | Dead End | None | 6 | 17 | |
| 81 | 0355 | Altura Ave | Dead End | La Crescenta Ave | None | 9 | 26 | |
| 82 | 036N | Encinal Ave | Cloud Ave | Pennsylvania Ave | None | 1 | 24 | |
| 83 | 036N.2 | Encinal Ave | Ramsdell Ave | Cloud Ave | None | 10 | 27 | |
| 84 | 036S | Encinal Ave | Pennsylvania Ave | Ramsdell Ave | None | 10 | 55 | |

Total Occupied

432 1097

| served) Occupancy | Parking Zone | Spillover Parking | Post-Event Occupancy |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 58% | 2 | 5 | 100% |
| 67% | 3 | 2 | 100% |
| 43% | 2 | 4 | 100% |
| 43% | 3 | 4 | 100% |
| 33% | 3 | 6 | 100% |
| 67% | 2 | 3 | 100% |
| 50% | 3 | 4 | 100% |
| | 2 | 0 | - |
| 33% | 2 | 4 | 100% |
| 50% | 2 | 4 | - |
| 15% | 1 | 28 | 100% |
| 100% | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| 100% | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| 100% | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| 6/% 13% | | 2 | 100% |
| 13% | 3 | 16 | 100% |
| 14% | 3 | 6 | 100% |
| 10% | 2 | 9 | 100% |
| 25% | 2 | 6 | 100% |
| 29% | 2 | 5 | 100% |
| 14% 0% | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| 60% | 1 | 2 | 100% |
| 20% | 1 | 4 | 100% |
| 0% | 1 | 2 | 100% |
| 33% | 3 | 4 | 100% |
| 27% | 2 | 8 | 100% |
| 22% | 2 | 8 | 100% |
| 29% | 2 | 5 | 100% |
| 0% | 2 | 4 | 100% |
| 470/ | 2 | 0 | - |
| 1/% | 2 | 5 | 100% |
| 100% | 2 | 0 | 100% |
| 33% | 2 | 8 | 100% |
| 0% | 3 | 18 | 100% |
| 58% | 2 | 5 | 100% |
| 14% | 2 | 6 | 100% |
| 57% | 2 | 3 | 100% |
| 27% | 2 | 8 | 100% |
| | 2 | 0 | |
| 20% | 3 | 4 | 100% |
| 71% | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| 110/ | 2 | 0 | 100% |
| 52% | 2 | 13 | 100% |
| 55% | 2 | 5 | 100% |
| 86% | 2 | 1 | 100% |
| 32% | 2 | 17 | 100% |
| 73% | 2 | 7 | 100% |
| ნპ% ნეფ | 2 | 6 2 | 100% |
| 32% | 1 | | 100% |
| 100% | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| 44% | 2 | 14 | 100% |
| 0% | 2 | 1 | 100% |
| 47% | 2 | 10 | 100% |
| 17% | 3 | 5 | 100% |
| 17% | 3 | 20 | 100% |
| 30% | 2 | 16 | 100% |
| 41% | 2 | 13 | 100% |
| 81% | 1 | 5 | 100% |
| 53% 1 <i>4</i> % | 1 | 8 19 | 100% |
| 22% | 3 | 18 | 100% |
| 39% | 3 | 11 | 100% |
| 25% | 3 | 18 | 100% |
| 60% | 2 | 10 | 100% |
| 63% | 2 | 10 | 100% |
| 13% 2% | ع م | 21 | 100% 100% |
| 72% | 2 | 7 | 100% |
| 79% | 2 | 5 | 100% |
| 35% | 1 | 11 | 100% |
| 35% | 1 | 17 | 100% |
| 4% 27% | 3 | 23 | 100% |
| 18% | 3 | 45 | 100% |
| 39.4% | On-Street occ (%) Pre | | / |

Single segment with different sets of restrictions

Parking Demand-Off-Street

| nbound Trip | | Off-site Spillover | | | | |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | School | 122 | | | | |
| 1052 | Preschool | 61 | 817 | | | |
| 1055 | LC Elementary | 53 | | | | |
| | Total | 236 | | | | |

| Total Pkg | 1333 | 665 | On-Street Parking Unocc Pre | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------|---|-----------------------------|----------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| No. unoccupied | 901 | | | | | | | | | |
| Overall Occupancy | 32% | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Parking Demand-On-Street | On-Street Parking | | | | | | | |
| | | Zone | Total Spaces | Occupied | Unoccupied | Occupancy | No. Parked-Spillover | Post-event Occupancy | | |
| | | 1 | 202 | 104 | 98 | 51% | 98 | 100% | | |
| | | 2 | 537 | 259 | 278 | 48% | 278 | 100% | | |
| | | 3 | 358 | 69 | 289 | 19% | 289 | 100% | | |
| | | | | | | Total Spillover (Original) | 817 | | | |
| | | Total Spillover accommodatedby off-street parking 665 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Total Outstanding | 152 | | | |
| | | | | 152 | | Overall Occupied (%) | 100% | | | |