CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

	****	·0J070/0319000151	
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)			d Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.
			limits, right-of-way requirements, and
activities involved in this box. Use			
A Director's Order was issued to replace the failed groundwater pumping equipment at the Route 50/99/51 interchange near Alhambra Boulevard, in Sacramento County on Route 50 at postmile 0.12. The scope of work includes replacing the failed pumps and the electrical control equipment. This project is needed to avoid the failure or threat of failure to the transportation system and to prevent or mitigate the loss or impairment of life, health, property, and essential public services.			
All staging would take place within the Caltrans right-of-way. All work is within the Caltrans right-of-way. No borrow or disposal is required. No need for consultation or coordination. No permits are required.			
CALTRANS CEQA DETER	MINATION (Check one	e)	
Not Applicable – Caltrans is Based on an examination of this pr		Environmental Impact F	
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)			
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)			
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:			
 If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, 			
over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual			
circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").			
This project does not ca	use a substantial adverse	change in the significance of a h	istorical resource.
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)			
Julia K. Green		Anthony W. Thurmar	1
Print Name: Senior Environmental P	lanner or	Print Name: Project Manage	r
Environmental Branch Chief		1 - /	
Miakufreen	2/14/20	11-1.11	2/14/2020
Cignoture		Signatura	Data
Signature	Date	Signature	Date
NEPA COMPLIANCE	Date		Date
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11	Date		Date orting information, the State has
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:	Date 7, and based on an exam	ination of this proposal and suppo	
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulati	Date 7, and based on an exam vely have a significant imp	ination of this proposal and suppo	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulati	Date 7, and based on an exam vely have a significant impronmental Assessment (E	ination of this proposal and suppo pact on the environment as define A) or Environmental Impact State	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatire requirements to prepare an Envional has considered unusual circums	Date 7, and based on an exam vely have a significant impronmental Assessment (Examples of the second control	ination of this proposal and suppo pact on the environment as define (A) or Environmental Impact State R 771.117(b).	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envi • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER	Date 7, and based on an exam vely have a significant impronmental Assessment (Etances pursuant to 23 CF	ination of this proposal and suppo pact on the environment as define A) or Environmental Impact State R 771.117(b).	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the ement (EIS), and
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Environment of the second o	Date 7, and based on an exam vely have a significant impronmental Assessment (Etances pursuant to 23 CF MINATION (Check or letermined that this project umstances as described in EA or EIS under the Nathe responsibility to make um of Understanding date	ination of this proposal and supposat on the environment as define (A) or Environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The control of the cont	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has done that there are no unusual circums that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand	Date 7, and based on an exam vely have a significant impronmental Assessment (Etances pursuant to 23 CF MINATION (Check or letermined that this project umstances as described in n EA or EIS under the Na the responsibility to make um of Understanding date ct is a Categorical Exclusion	ination of this proposal and supposat on the environment as define (A) or Environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The control of the cont	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the ement (EIS), and element as defined by NEPA, and element is categorically excluded from the State has been assigned, and hereby thapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code,
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envioration has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has done that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projections.	Date 7, and based on an examination welly have a significant impronmental Assessment (Exances pursuant to 23 CFINATION (Check or letermined that this projecumstances as described in EA or EIS under the Nather responsibility to make um of Understanding datect is a Categorical Exclusi	ination of this proposal and supposat on the environment as define (A) or Environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The control of the cont	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the ement (EIS), and element as defined by NEPA, and element is categorically excluded from the State has been assigned, and hereby thapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code,
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envional circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has doubted that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according to the complex control of the	Date 7, and based on an examination welly have a significant impronmental Assessment (Exances pursuant to 23 CF MINATION (Check or letermined that this projecumstances as described in EA or EIS under the Nather responsibility to make um of Understanding date of its a Categorical Exclusivity (c)() ctivity (d)()	ination of this proposal and supposat on the environment as define (A) or Environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The control of the cont	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the ement (EIS), and element as defined by NEPA, and element is categorically excluded from the State has been assigned, and hereby thapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code,
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has done that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according a CFR 771.117(d): according	Date 7, and based on an examination of this proposal 3 USC 327. The environmental Assessment (Exances pursuant to 23 CF MINATION (Check of the company of t	ination of this proposal and support of the environment as define (A) or Environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under: The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under: The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under:	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the ement (EIS), and e environment as defined by NEPA, and e project is categorically excluded from the State has been assigned, and hereby napter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, en the FHWA and the State. The State State has determined that the project is a ny other actions required by applicable as pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi • has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has done that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according a CFR 771.117(d): according	Date 7, and based on an examination of this proposal 3 USC 327. The environmental Assessment (Exances pursuant to 23 CF MINATION (Check of the company of t	ination of this proposal and support of the environment as define (A) or Environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under: The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under: The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under: The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under: The environmental Impact State (D May 31, 2016, executed between under:	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the ement (EIS), and e environment as defined by NEPA, and e project is categorically excluded from the State has been assigned, and hereby napter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, en the FHWA and the State. The State State has determined that the project is a ny other actions required by applicable as pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has done that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according a CFR 771.117(d): according a CF	Date 7, and based on an examination of this proposal a USC 327. The environmental Assessment (Exances pursuant to 23 CF MINATION (Check or letermined that this project umstances as described in nEA or EIS under the Nathe responsibility to make um of Understanding date of is a Categorical Exclusivity (c)() Appendix A of the MOU amination of this proposal 3 USC 327. The environment of this project are being, or possible dated December 23, 20 and a dated December 24 and a dated December	ination of this proposal and support of the environment as define (A) or Environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under: The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under: The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under: The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D May 31, 2016, executed between under: The environmental Impact State (D May 31, 2016, executed between under:	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the ement (EIS), and environment as defined by NEPA, and e project is categorically excluded from the State has been assigned, and hereby hapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, en the FHWA and the State. The State state has determined that the project is a ny other actions required by applicable his pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Saltrans.
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envionance of the second of t	Date 7, and based on an examination of this proposal a USC 327. The environmental Assessment (Exances pursuant to 23 CF MINATION (Check or letermined that this project umstances as described in nEA or EIS under the Nathe responsibility to make um of Understanding date of is a Categorical Exclusivity (c)() Appendix A of the MOU amination of this proposal 3 USC 327. The environment of this project are being, or possible dated December 23, 20 and a dated December 24 and a dated December	ination of this proposal and support of the environment as define (A) or Environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D)	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the ement (EIS), and environment as defined by NEPA, and e project is categorically excluded from the State has been assigned, and hereby hapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, en the FHWA and the State. The State state has determined that the project is a ny other actions required by applicable his pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Saltrans.
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envious has considered unusual circums CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has doubted that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): acc 23 CFR 771.117(d): acc 24 CFR 771.117(d): acc 25 USC 327: Based on an exact Categorical Exclusion under 25 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandir	Date 7, and based on an examination of this proposal a USC 327. The environmental Assessment (Exances pursuant to 23 CF MINATION (Check or letermined that this project umstances as described in nEA or EIS under the Nathe responsibility to make um of Understanding date of is a Categorical Exclusivity (c)() Appendix A of the MOU amination of this proposal 3 USC 327. The environment of this project are being, or possible dated December 23, 20 and a dated December 24 and a dated December	ination of this proposal and support of the environment as define (A) or Environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The environmental Impact State (R 771.117(b)). The environmental Policy Act. To this determination pursuant to Cl (D)	d by NEPA, and is excluded from the ement (EIS), and environment as defined by NEPA, and e project is categorically excluded from the State has been assigned, and hereby hapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, en the FHWA and the State. The State state has determined that the project is a ny other actions required by applicable his pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Saltrans.