## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

12-ORA-55 DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	<b>13.2/R17.9</b> P.M./P.M.	0S040	EFIS 1219000083	o /Local Project\/Project No		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and						
between State Route 22 and Si standard and damaged Metal E (MASH) devices (MGS and cor	) proposes to up tate Route 91 in Beam Guard Rail acrete barrier typo s locations behin	grade traffic s the cities of A (MBGR) and e 60M) at 12	afety devices at various location naheim and Orange. Work inclu replacing with Manual for Asses locations. Project also includes of All work will be within existing Ca	des replacing existing non- ssing Safety Hardware grading embankment		
<b>CALTRANS CEQA DETER</b>	MINATION (Che	eck one)				
Not Applicable – Caltrans is		i	Not Applicable – Caltrans has Environmental Impact Report und	er CEQA		
Based on an examination of this pro  Exempt by Statute. (PRC 210)			d the above statements, the project	is:		
Categorically Exempt. Class Based on an examination of th apply:	1(c). (PRC 2108- is proposal and su	4; 14 CCR 1530 pporting inform	ation, the following statements are to			
<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>over time.</li> <li>There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").</li> <li>This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.</li> </ul>						
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)						
Print Name: Senior Environmental Pl	BAKEI		Print Name: Project Manager	AAN		
Environmental Branch Chief	- /	1/ 25	All Am	1 -41/2-		
Signature	Dat	1/2020	Signature	2/4/2020		
NEPA COMPLIANCE	Dat		Signature	Date		
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project:			f this proposal and supporting inform			
<ul> <li>does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and</li> <li>has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).</li> </ul>						
CALTRANS NEPA DETERM	MINATION (Ch	eck one)				
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_)						
23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.						
Print Name: Senior Environmental Pi	Baker	· .	BOB BAZAR G Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engine	A.V.		
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	7/4 <sub>Dat</sub>	/2020	Bignature Bow	2/4/2020 Date		
Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: 2/3/20 Date of ECR or equivalent: 2/3/26						
or oatogorioai Excitation Office	mor completion.	12/00	Date of Lorr or equivalent.	13/20		

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

12-ORA-55	13.2/R17.9	0S040	EFIS 1219000083
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.
Continued from page 1:			
The project will include, as appl description (http://www.dot.ca.g	icable, the following	g standardized feature: tion-contract-standard	s that are included as part of the project s.html). Standardized features (such as Best
			olied to most or all Department projects. These
standardized or pre-existing fea	tures allow little dis	scretion regarding their	implementation and are not specific to the
			cord (ECR) has been developed for this project
and must be implemented throu			
İ			

Page 2 of 2 January 3, 2019