

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2020010528

Project Title: Vulcan Materials Company - Area Q Quarry Project

Lead Agency: County of San Bernardino

Contact Name: Steven Valdez

Email: steven.valdez@lus.sbcounty.gov

Phone Number: 909-387-4421

Project Location: Unincorporated Community of Muscoy
City

San Bernardino

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

San Bernardino County has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR) that identifies and evaluates the environmental impacts of the proposed Surface Mining and Reclamation Plan (SMRP), Conditional Use Permit (CUP), and General Plan Amendment (GPA) for Vulcan Materials Company – Western Division's (Vulcan) proposed Area Q Quarry Project.

The proposed quarry is located adjacent to Vulcan's existing Cajon Creek Quarry, west of Cajon Boulevard and north of the community of Muscoy. Once mining is completed at Vulcan's existing Cajon Creek Quarry, Vulcan is proposing to relocate the existing mining operation into an adjacent area, referred to as the Area Q Quarry. The total area of new disturbance associated with the Project would be 187.6 acres. The mining operations at the Project site would be typical of surface aggregate mining operations and would be conducted in the same manner as is currently occurring at Vulcan's existing Cajon Creek Quarry site. Once mining operations are complete, the Project site would be reclaimed to open space. Please see Section 2.0 of the Draft EIR for a detailed Project Description.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Project environmental factors/resource areas found to have either "no impact" or "less than significant impact" with no mitigation necessary include the following:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| - Aesthetics | - Agriculture and Forestry Resources | - Air Quality |
| - Energy | - Greenhouse Gases | - Hazards and Hazardous Materials |
| - Hydrology and Water Quality | - Land Use and Planning | - Mineral Resources |
| - Population and Housing | - Public Services | - Recreation |
| - Transportation | - Wildfire | - Utilities and Service Systems |

Project environmental factors/resource areas found to have a "less than significant impact with the implementation of mitigation measures" include the following:

- Biological Resources: Standard mitigation measures for nesting birds.
- Cultural Resources: Standard mitigation measures for managing a find of cultural resources.
- Geology and Soils: Mitigation measures for slope stability and for managing a find of a paleontological resource.
- Noise and Vibration: Mitigation measure for short-term construction noise.
- Tribal Cultural Resources: Mitigation measures provided by tribes for managing Tribal Cultural Resources.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The attached sheets provide a brief summary of the areas of known controversy or issues of concern based on the comments received during the scoping period (1/27/2020 - 3/27/2020). A public scoping meeting was also held on March 11, 2020. Complete copies of the letters/emails received during the scoping period are provided in the Scoping Report (Appendix A in the Draft EIR). These comments will be incorporated into the EIR Administrative Record for the Project and are addressed in the Draft EIR, as appropriate.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

State Agencies:

- California Department of Conservation (DOC), Division of Mine Reclamation (DMR)
- Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana (Region 8)
- South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)
- Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO)
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal – Attachment

SCH #: 2020010528

Project Title: Area Q Quarry Project

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The following is a list of the key issues that were brought up during the scoping period. The Scoping Report provided in Appendix A of the Draft EIR also addresses the individual letters and specific concerns:

- Tribal cultural resources;
- Traffic and road maintenance;
- Potential need for an Operational Management Plan to be submitted to the County Department of Public Works;
- San Bernardino and Muscoy Community Emissions Reduction Plan (CERP) and Community Plan;
- Potential impacts to storm drains;
- Potential need for a permit from County Flood Control District (FCD);
- Current assessment of flora and fauna within and adjacent to the Project site;
- Potential need for a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement due to drainage concerns;
- Concern with mining operations being permitted by two different jurisdictions, and that having two Reclamation Plans maybe not be consistent with the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA);
- Potential impacts to real estate;
- Height of berms and potential impact to mountain views;
- Additional details regarding conveyor and removing material from site;
- Quality of life;
- Noise from nighttime operations;
- Potential dust impacts during Santa Ana winds;
- Project water use during drought conditions;
- Concerns regarding Valley Fever;
- Hazardous during storms and flooding;
- Potential impacts to water lines; and
- Potential loss of proposed Linden Avenue corridor.