## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

03-SAC-160	L7.0/ L7.0	03-4H900/031	9000074		
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No.	(Local Project)/Project No.	
<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION:</b>	(Briefly describe proje	ect including nee	d, purpose, location, limits, right-of	-way requirements, and	
activities involved in this box. Use					
A Director's Order was issue	d to repair damage	ed mechanica	I components on the Three N	/lile Slough Bridge	
(Bridge 24-121) on Route 160	in Sacramento Co	ounty at postr	nile L7.0. The scope of work	includes replacing the	
damaged wire rope with new	. In addition, traffic	c control and	COZEEP will be required. The	is project is needed to	
avoid the failure or threat of t	failure to the trans	portation sys	em and to prevent or mitigat	te the loss or	
impairment of life, health, pro	perty, and essent	ial public ser	rices.	,	
All staging would take place within the Caltrans right-of-way. All work is within the Caltrans right-of-way. No					
borrow or disposal is required. No need for consultation or coordination. No permits are required.					
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)					
CALTRANS CEQA DETER	WIINATION (Check	one)			
Not Applicable – Caltrans is	not the CEQA Lead		Not Applicable - Caltrans has p ronmental Impact Report under		
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:					
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)					
Categorically Exempt. Class					
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:					
			not impact an environmental resou dopted pursuant to law.	rce of hazardous or critical	
			and successive projects of the sar	ne type in the same place,	
	le possibility that the p	oroject will have	a significant effect on the environm	nent due to unusual	
	amage a scenic resour	rce within an offi	cially designated state scenic high	way	
			ed pursuant to Govt. Code § 6596		
			ne significance of a historical resou		
l		_	empt class, but it can be seen with		
possibility that the activity may				r seriamity and anorone no	
Julia K. Green		Ant	hony W. Thurman		
Print Name: Senior Environmental P	lanner or				
	iailiei oi	Print	Name: Project Manager		
Environmental Branch Chief	latifier of	Print	Name: Project Manager		
	12/23/19	Print	Name: Project Manager	12/30/19	
	12/23/19 Date	<u> </u>	Name: Project Manager	12/30/19 Date	
Environmental Branch Chief  Julia K Julia Signature	12/23/19	<u> </u>	1.26-	12/30/19 Date	
Environmental Branch Chief  Julia k Julia Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE	12/23/10 Date	3 Sign	A-Z/Jature	Date State has	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11	12/23/10 Date	3 Sign	A-Z/Jature	Date Date Date	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:	Date 7, and based on an ex	Sign	ature s proposal and supporting informat		
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulati	Date  7, and based on an expect of the property of the propert	Sign xamination of this	ature s proposal and supporting informat	and is excluded from the	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Environments.	Date  7, and based on an exvely have a significant frommental Assessment	Sign  kamination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Enviro	ature s proposal and supporting informat nvironment as defined by NEPA, a nmental Impact Statement (EIS), a	and is excluded from the	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmental Assessmental and the company of the company o	Sign camination of this t impact on the e nt (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b	ature s proposal and supporting informat nvironment as defined by NEPA, a nmental Impact Statement (EIS), a	and is excluded from the	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmentances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Check	Sign camination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b	ature s proposal and supporting informat nvironment as defined by NEPA, a nmental Impact Statement (EIS), a	and is excluded from the and	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has defined the state of the stat	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmental Assessmental Assessmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Checkletermined that this property of the content of	sign camination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(book one)	ature s proposal and supporting informat nvironment as defined by NEPA, a nmental Impact Statement (EIS), a	and is excluded from the and the and the and the and the and the angle of the angle	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatire requirements to prepare an Envioration has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmental Assessmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Checketermined that this property and the property an	sign kamination of this t impact on the e of (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77	ature s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a nmental Impact Statement (EIS), a lificant impacts on the environment 1.117(b). As such, the project is ca	and is excluded from the and tas defined by NEPA, and tagorically excluded from	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatire requirements to prepare an Envionance of the considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined the requirements to prepare a	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmental Assessmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Checketermined that this property of the control o	sign camination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Environ CFR 771.117(b ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 National Enviro	ature s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a namental Impact Statement (EIS), a highest statement (EIS).  ifficant impacts on the environment in 117(b). As such the project is can mental Policy Act. The State has	and is excluded from the and tas defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatire requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has dead that there are no unusual circums that the notation t	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Checketermined that this prumstances as describin EA or EIS under the the responsibility to meaning the control of the cont	sign  camination of this timpact on the e th (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b  ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 National Enviro nake this determ	ature s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a namental Impact Statement (EIS), a namental impacts on the environment in 117(b). As such the project is can mental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit	and is excluded from the and tas defined by NEPA, and attegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code,	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has defined that there are no unusual circums that the notation that the notation that	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmental Assessmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Checkletermined that this prumstances as describentances as describentances.	sign  camination of this timpact on the e th (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b  ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 National Enviro nake this determ dated May 31, 2	ature s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a namental Impact Statement (EIS), a highest statement (EIS).  ifficant impacts on the environment in 117(b). As such the project is can mental Policy Act. The State has	and is excluded from the and tas defined by NEPA, and attegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code,	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatire requirements to prepare an Environment of the considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums that the requirement that the notion that the n	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmental Assessmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Checketermined that this promote as describing the responsibility to mum of Understanding ct is a Categorical Exception Experimental Experimental Exception Experimental Experimental Experimental Exception Experimental Experimental Exception Experimental Experimen	sign  camination of this timpact on the e th (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b  ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 National Enviro nake this determ dated May 31, 2	ature s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a namental Impact Statement (EIS), a namental impacts on the environment in 117(b). As such the project is can mental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit	and is excluded from the and tas defined by NEPA, and attegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code,	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums that the requirement that the notice	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmental Assessmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Check tetermined that this promote as describing the responsibility to mum of Understanding ct is a Categorical Exceptivity (c)()	sign  camination of this timpact on the e th (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b  ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 National Enviro nake this determ dated May 31, 2	ature s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a namental Impact Statement (EIS), a namental impacts on the environment in 117(b). As such the project is can mental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit	and is excluded from the and tas defined by NEPA, and attegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code,	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums th	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmental Assessmental Assessmentances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Check tetermined that this promote as describing the responsibility to mum of Understanding ct is a Categorical Executivity (c)()  ctivity (d)()	Sign kamination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b ck one) oject has no signed in 23 CFR 77 National Environake this determinated May 31, 2 clusion under:	ature s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a lificant impacts on the environment 1.117(b). As such the project is can mental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit 1016, executed between the FHWA	and is excluded from the and tas defined by NEPA, and attegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code,	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has defined that there are no unusual circums that there are no unusual circums that there are no unusual circums that the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): acc 23 CFR 771.117(d): acc 23 CFR 771.117(d): acc 24 Activity Iisted in	Date  7, and based on an experimental Assessmentances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Check letermined that this promote as describentances as describentances. Describentances as describentances as describentances as describentances. Desc	Sign  kamination of this timpact on the e tit (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b k one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 National Enviro nake this determ dated May 31, 2 clusion under:	ature s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a lifticant impacts on the environment 1.117(b). As such the project is can mental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit 216, executed between the FHWA	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection 326 FR 771.117(c): according 23 CFR 771.117(d): according 23 USC 327: Based on an exception 320 USC 327:	Date  7, and based on an extension pronunction and that this promote the responsibility to mum of Understanding ct is a Categorical Executivity (c)()  Appendix A of the Mamination of this proper	Sign  camination of this  t impact on the ent (EA) or Environ CFR 771.117(b)  ck one) oject has no signed in 23 CFR 77  National Environake this determinated May 31, 2  clusion under:  Ob between Flosal and support	ature s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a lificant impacts on the environment 1.117(b). As such the project is can mental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit 1016, executed between the FHWA	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby the 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums that the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection in the projection of the projec	Date  7, and based on an exvely have a significant frommental Assessment tances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Check tetermined that this promatances as describent EA or EIS under the the responsibility to mum of Understanding ct is a Categorical Exceptivity (c)()  Stivity (d)()  Appendix A of the Mamination of this proper 3 USC 327. The envi	Sign  kamination of this  t impact on the ent (EA) or Environ CFR 771.117(b)  ck one) oject has no signed in 23 CFR 77  National Environake this determinated May 31, 2  clusion under:  Ob between Flosal and support ronmental review	ature s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a lificant impacts on the environment 1.117(b). As such the project is can mental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit 1016, executed between the FHWA	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby le 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatire requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums that the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according to the control of the co	Date  7, and based on an exvely have a significant frommental Assessment tances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Check tetermined that this promote as describent the responsibility to mum of Understanding ct is a Categorical Exceptivity (c)()  Stivity (d)()  Appendix A of the Mamination of this property of this project are being or this project are being and the significant from the project are being or this project are being and the significant from the significant f	Sign  kamination of this  t impact on the ent (EA) or Environ CFR 771.117(b)  ck one) oject has no signed in 23 CFR 77  National Environake this determinated May 31, 2  clusion under:  Ob between Flosal and support ronmental reviews, or have been,	ature  s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a nimental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tito 16, executed between the FHWA  IWA and the State ing information, the State has determined out by Caltrans pursuant to carried out by Cal	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby le 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums that the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the projection in the projection of the projec	Date  7, and based on an exvely have a significant frommental Assessment tances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Check tetermined that this promote as describent the responsibility to mum of Understanding ct is a Categorical Exceptivity (c)()  Stivity (d)()  Appendix A of the Mamination of this property of this project are being or this project are being and the significant from the project are being or this project are being and the significant from the significant f	Sign  kamination of this  t impact on the ent (EA) or Environ CFR 771.117(b)  ck one) oject has no signed in 23 CFR 77  National Environake this determinated May 31, 2  clusion under:  Ob between Flosal and support ronmental reviews, or have been,	ature  s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a nimental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tito 16, executed between the FHWA  IWA and the State ing information, the State has determined out by Caltrans pursuant to carried out by Cal	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby le 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envioration has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums that the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(d): according a CFR 771.	Date  7, and based on an extended programment of the programment of th	Sign  camination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b) ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 e National Enviro nake this determ dated May 31, 2 clusion under:  Ob between From the pronound of the pr	ature  s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a mental Impact Statement (EIS), a proposal information.  Inficant impacts on the environment in 117(b). As such, the project is cannental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit 216, executed between the FHWA in the State in the stat	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby le 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has defined that there are no unusual circums that the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according 23 CFR 771.117(d): according 23 USC 327: Based on an exact Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandir	Date  7, and based on an extended programment of the programment of th	Sign  camination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b) ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 e National Enviro nake this determ dated May 31, 2 clusion under:  Ob between From the pronound of the pr	ature  s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a nimental Impact Statement (EIS), a nimental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tito 16, executed between the FHWA  IWA and the State ing information, the State has determined out by Caltrans pursuant to carried out by Cal	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby le 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envioration has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circums that the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(d): according a CFR 771.	Date  7, and based on an extended programment of the programment of th	Sign  camination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b) ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 e National Enviro nake this determ dated May 31, 2 clusion under:  Ob between From the pronound of the pr	ature  s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a mental Impact Statement (EIS), a proposal information.  Inficant impacts on the environment in 117(b). As such, the project is cannental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit 216, executed between the FHWA in the State in the stat	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby le 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has defined that there are no unusual circums that the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according 23 CFR 771.117(d): according 23 USC 327: Based on an exact Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandir	Date  7, and based on an extended programment of the programment of th	Sign  camination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b) ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 e National Enviro nake this determ dated May 31, 2 clusion under:  Ob between From the pronound of the pr	ature  s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a mental Impact Statement (EIS), a proposal information.  Inficant impacts on the environment in 117(b). As such, the project is cannental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit 216, executed between the FHWA in the State in the stat	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby le 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatir requirements to prepare an Environments to prepare an Environment that the project the requirements to prepare an Environmental that the project Individual Prepared Individual Print Name: Senior Environmental Pri	Date  7, and based on an expely have a significant frommental Assessment tances pursuant to 23  MINATION (Check letermined that this promastances as describent as a categorical Exception EA or EIS under the the responsibility to mum of Understanding ct is a Categorical Exceptivity (c)()  Stivity (d)()  Appendix A of the Mamination of this properly and the project are being dated December 23	Sign  camination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b) ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 e National Enviro nake this determ dated May 31, 2 clusion under:  Ob between Flosal and support ronmental review g, or have been, 8, 2016 and exect	ature  s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a mental Impact Statement (EIS), a proposal information in the environment of the project is cannental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit 216, executed between the FHWA and the State in the project is cannental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit 216, executed between the FHWA and the State in the project was allowed by FHWA and any other action carried out by Caltrans pursuant to cate out by FHWA and Caltrans.	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby le 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.11 determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatirequirements to prepare an Envi has considered unusual circums  CALTRANS NEPA DETER  23 USC 326: The State has defined that there are no unusual circums that the requirements to prepare a certifies that it has carried out Section 326 and a Memorand has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according 23 CFR 771.117(d): according 23 USC 327: Based on an exact Categorical Exclusion under 2 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understandir	Date  7, and based on an extended programment of the programment of th	Sign  camination of this t impact on the ent (EA) or Enviro CFR 771.117(b) ck one) oject has no sign ed in 23 CFR 77 e National Enviro nake this determ dated May 31, 2 clusion under:  Ob between Flosal and support ronmental review g, or have been, 8, 2016 and exect	ature  s proposal and supporting informat invironment as defined by NEPA, a mental Impact Statement (EIS), a proposal information.  Inficant impacts on the environment in 117(b). As such, the project is cannental Policy Act. The State has nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Tit 216, executed between the FHWA in the State in the stat	t as defined by NEPA, and tegorically excluded from been assigned, and hereby le 23, United States Code, and the State. The State	