CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

11-SD-5 R56.34/R56.38 3A1951/1	119000007			
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Project				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION : (Briefly describe project including				
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.)				
This project is to remove the existing asphalt concrete (AC) and replace it with approach slabs and Portland cement concrete				
(PCC) slabs. The project site is located on northbound I-5 at the				
57 007R), just north of Oceanside, CA. The existing AC has severe cracking and degradation, and this proposed project will				
extend the life of the pavement. There will be no staging area, a				
location. The type of equipment will include an excavator to remo the area, small hand vibratory equipment, and a concrete truck to				
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION	place the concrete in place.			
	Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA			
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, an	iu the above statements, the project is.			
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)	20			
Categorically Exempt. <u>Class 1(c)</u> . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 153) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting inform				
apply:				
	loes not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical			
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.				
• There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,				
over time.				
 There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. 				
 This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. 				
 This project does not damage a scene resource within an onicially designated state scene nighway. This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). 				
This project does not cause a substantial adverse change				
Exempt by General Rule. [This project does not fall within an e	exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no			
possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the e	environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)			
Shay Lynn M. Harrison	Harwell Ontoy			
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Print Name: Project Manager			
Environmental Branch Chief				
	1/ 11 Mar phalais			
Environmental Branch Chief Shay Mon & Am 12/20/19	ffmul Oy 12/20/2019			
Environmental Branch Chief <u> <u> </u> </u>	Jundy 12/20/20/9 Signature Date			
Environmental Branch Chief <u>hay Mynn</u> <u>John 12/20/19</u> Signature <u>NEPA © MPLIANCE</u>	Signature 12/20/20/9 Date			
Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination	Signature 12/20/20/9 Date			
Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:	Signature 12/20/20/9 Date Date			
Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on	Signature 12/20/20/9 Date Date Date			
Environmental Branch Chief <u>Signature</u> NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E	And			
Environmental Branch Chief <u>Signature</u> <u>NEPA GOMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1	And			
Environmental Branch Chief <u>Signature</u> NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E	And			
Environmental Branch Chief <u>Signature</u> <u>Signature</u> <u>NEPA SOMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has new	And			
Environmental Branch Chief <u>Signature</u> <u>Signature</u> <u>NEPA COMPLIANCE</u> In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CF	Additional supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b).			
 Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E 	Additional supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b).			
 Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has muthat there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state of the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the state has carried out the responsibility to make this determined the state has the state has	Additional supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b).			
 Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has mathematical circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determined that the other content of the responsibility to make this determined that the other content of the responsibility to make this determined that the other content of the responsibility to make this determined that the other content of the responsibility to make this determined that the other content of the responsibility to make this determined that the other content of the responsibility to make this determined that the other content of the responsibility to make this determined that the other content of the responsibility to make this determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined that the other content of the responsibility to make the determined the determi	Add by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (I7(b).			
 Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA SOMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has methat there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this de Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 	Add by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (I7(b).			
 Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has matched there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this defined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the section and the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the section and the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the section and the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the project is a Categorical Exclusion u	Add by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (I7(b).			
 Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.4 Cettines that it has carried out the responsibility to make this de Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under Context (C)(26) 	Additional supporting information, the State has of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and R 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from nvironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby thermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er:			
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE Date In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this de Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the activity (c)(26) 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (d)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and successing the propersite of the section of this proposal and successing the propersite of the section of this proposal and successing the propersite of the section the termined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the section activity (d)(Additional states and the State has determined that the project is a determined that the project is			
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE Date In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this de Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and succategorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental for the propert of the propert of the propert of the propert of the proposal and succategorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental for the propert of the prope	Additional supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). Designificant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b).			
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE Date In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this de Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (d)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and su Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have the section of this proposal and su Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental laws for this project are being, or have the section of this proper the section of this proper to a section of the section of the section of the section of this proper to a section of the	Additional and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impact sis significant impact signi			
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE Date In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this de Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and succategorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental for the propert of the propert of the propert of the propert of the proposal and succategorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental for the propert of the prope	Additional and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 17(b). o significant impact sis significant impact signi			
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this ded Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and succategorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have the section of this project are being, or have the section of this project are being, or have the section of this project are being, or have the section of this project are being, or have the section of this project are being, or have the section of this project are being, or have the section of this project are being, or have the section of this project are being, or have the section of this project are being.	Additional supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and R 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from nvironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby termination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State err: en FHWA and the State poorting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the			
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE Date In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.11 Certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this descetion 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26) 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and su Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have to Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have to Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and Mem	Jump Jup Jup Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b). or significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and retrinction orignificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and retrinction orignificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and retrinction orignificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and retrinction orignificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and retrinction orignificant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and retrinction project is categorically excluded from nvironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby retrinction pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er: en FHWA and the State porting information, and any other actions required by applicable peen, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the lexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.			
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE Date In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has me that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this de Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under La 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26) 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and su Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have to Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and N/A	Additional supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b). Support of the project is categorically excluded from nvironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby termination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State review, consultation, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable peen, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the lexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans. N/A			
Environmental Branch Chief Jate NEPA SOMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CF the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this de Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and su Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have to Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and N/A Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Additional supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b). Support of the project is categorically excluded from nvironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby termination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State review, consultation, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable peen, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the lexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans. N/A			
Environmental Branch Chief Date NEPA SOMPLIANCE Date In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has me that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this de Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and su Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and Memorandum of Un	Monthead State Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer			
Environmental Branch Chief Jate NEPA SOMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.1 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CF the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this de Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and su Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have to Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and N/A Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Additional supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the nvironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 17(b). Significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and respectively as such, the project is categorically excluded from nvironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby termination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State rereview, consultation, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable poen, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the lexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans. N/A			

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Continuation Sheet				
11-SD-5	R56.34/R56.38	3A1951/1119000007		
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.	
Continued from page 1:				

Please see the Environmental Commitments Record (ECR) for the total commitments regarding this project, which include commitments for Stormwater/NPDES, and Hazardous Waste/Materials.

STORMWATER/NPDES

This project will be designed in conformance with the NPDES Permit Order 2012-0011-DWQ and Appendix E of the Caltrans Project Planning and Design Guide (PPDG). The Project Engineer needs to determine whether a Short Form Storm Water Data Report (SWDR) or a Long Form SWDR shall be prepared for the project. The Disturbed Soil Area (DSA) under or over one acre would determine whether a Water Pollution Control Program (WPCP) or a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) is required prior to the start of construction.

HAZARDOUS WASTE/MATERIALS

Asphalt Waste

Asphalt waste may be recycled for reuse or disposed of at an appropriate commercial facility. If the contractor proposes to use a non-commercial disposal site, a Contractor-Property Owner Agreement shall be completed and placement of asphalt grindings shall be in accordance with, but not limited to:

- Local Ordinance Grading permits for the placement, filling, excavation, storage or disposal of soil or earthen material.
- California Fish and Game Code (Section 1602) "Lake or Stream Bed Alteration Agreement" A permit
 required prior to the placement of material in a location where it can pass into waters of the state, directly
 or indirectly through causes such as erosion or maintenance.
- California Fish and Game Code (Section 5650) A prohibition against the deposition of petroleum products (including asphalt), or any material deleterious to fish, plants, or birds where it can pass into the waters of the state.
- Federal Clean Water Act (Section 301 and 402), "General Permit for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Construction Activity" – A permit is required prior to soil disturbance of an area of one acre or more.
- Federal Clean Water Act (Section 404), "Permit for Discharge of Dredged or Fill Material" A permit from the United States Army Corps of Engineers may be required for discharge of fill material into waters of the United States including wetlands.

Resident Engineer should consult with Environmental Engineering unit before allowing the contractor to use a non-commercial disposal site.

Thermoplastic, traffic stripe, and/or pavement marking

It is anticipated that traffic stripes and pavement markings will be removed with removal of the existing asphalt concrete pavement. Residue from asphalt waste will contain non-hazardous concentrations of lead. Therefore, *SSP 36-4 Residue Containing Lead from Paint and Thermoplastic* must be followed. A lead compliance plan (LCP), prepared by a certified industrial hygienist (CIH), must be prepared and implemented for all workers managing this material.

Lead Compliance Plan (LCP) (SSP 7-1.02K(6)(j)(ii))

An LCP, as described under standard specification 7-1.02K(6)(j)(ii) and prepared by a certified industrial hygienist (CIH), is required and must be provided by the Contractor and implemented for all workers handling hazardous or non-hazardous soil as well as removal/application of any hazardous or non-hazardous lead-based paint, thermoplastic, painted traffic stripe, and/or pavement marking (Bid Item 070030). Regulations containing specific Cal/OSHA requirements when working with lead include 8 CA Code of Regs § 1532.1. The plan must:

- 1. Document the compliance program to prevent or minimize worker exposure to lead.
- 2. Include items listed in 8 CA Code of Regs § 1532.1(e)(2)(B).
- 3. Be sealed and signed by a CIH with knowledge of and experience complying with 8 CA Code of Regs.

Allow 7 days for review. Obtain authorization for the plan before starting any activity that presents the potential for lead exposure.

The Contractor is responsible for identifying the appropriate permitted landfill to receive excavated material and for all associated trucking and disposal costs, including any additional sampling and analysis required by the receiving landfill.