CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

11 SD 75 DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	8.9/10 P.M./P.M.	11-43000 E.A/Project No.	1117000113 Federal-Aid Project No. (L	ocal Project\/Project No				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:								
activities involved in this box. Use			, parpood, rodatori, iirito, rigitt or v					
The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes to relinquish State Route 75 from post miles 8.9 to 10.0 in San Diego County to the City of San Diego. This relinquishment will be a title transfer only ("as-is") with no improvements. The purpose of this relinquishment is to eliminate State costs associated with maintenance and operations of owning this highway segment. This relinquishment is consistent with California Assembly Bill 1500.								
Continued on page 2.	Continued on page 2.							
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)								
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency In Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA								
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21	Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)							
Categorically Exempt. Class 12. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:								
 If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place. 								
over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.								
 This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). 								
			e significance of a historical resour					
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)								
Kevin Hovey			l Hsu					
Print Name: Senior Environmental F	Planner	Print	Name: Project Manager	, ,				
	10/2	119	Paul Du	10/3/19				
Signature	Date	Signa	ture	Date				
NEPA COMPLIANCE								
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.17 determined that this project:	17, and based on an e	examination of this	proposal and supporting information	on, the State has				
 does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the 								
			nmental Impact Statement (EIS), ar	nd				
 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 								
		,	ificent impacts on the environment	as defined by NEDA and				
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)()								
☐ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()								
☐ Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State								
23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.								
Wichiofalidam of Oriderstand	or this project are being	ng, or have been,						
N/A	or this project are being	ng, or have been,	uted by FHWA and Caltrans.					
	or this project are being dated December 2	ng, or have been, 23, 2016 and exec	uted by FHWA and Caltrans.					
N/A Print Name: Senior Environmental	or this project are being dated December 2	ng, or have been, 23, 2016 and exec N/A Print	uted by FHWA and Caltrans.					

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

11 SD 75	8.9/10	11-43000	1117000113
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) Continued from page 1:	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.
Continued from page 1.			
Per the September 2019 Historical Memorandum of Understanding Sti	Resources Complian pulation IX.A.2, has o	ice Report (HRCR), Caltra determined a Finding of N	ans, pursuant to Public Resources Code (PRC) 5024 o State-Owned Historical Resources Affected.
An Initial Site Assessment (ISA) wa significant hazardous materials.	s prepared in Septen	nber 2019. Per the ISA, th	nis segment of State Route 75 is considered free of
There are no other environmental of	oncerns.		

Page 2 of 2 January 3, 2019