CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

03-SAC-VAR	0.00/0.00		317000009	All the second	
DistCoRte. (or Local Age		E.A/Project I		al-Aid Project No. (Loca	
PROJECT DESCRIPT activities involved in this box			need, purpose, loca	ation, limits, right-of-way	requirements, and
This project consists of			eters at northbo	ound Route 160 to	northhound Route
51, southbound on I-5					
Route 99 to northboun					
way or increase traffic					
require trenching, sign					
Guardrail System insta	allation and striping.				
CALTRANS CEQA DE	ETERMINATION (Ch	eck one)			
Not Applicable – Caltr	rans is not the CEQA Le			e – Caltrans has prepa act Report under CEQ	
Based on an examination of			the above stateme	ents, the project is:	
	RC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15		()		
	. Class 1. (PRC 21084; on of this proposal and se			statements are true and	exceptions do not
apply:					18-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1
	s within exempt class 3, 4				f hazardous or critical
	esignated, precisely map a significant cumulative				no in the same place
• There will not be over time.	a significant currulative e	enect by this proje	ect and successive	projects of the same ty	pe in the same place,
 There is not a reactive circumstances. 	asonable possibility that t	he project will ha	ive a significant effe	ect on the environment of	lue to unusual
	s not damage a scenic re	source within an	officially designated	d state scenic highway.	
 This project is no 	ot located on a site include	ed on any list con	mpiled pursuant to	Govt. Code § 65962.5 (*	'Cortese List").
	s not cause a substantial				
	ule. [This project does no vity may have a significan				y that there is no
Mike L Bartlett			Douglas H Lang	e	
Print Name: Senior Epoteon	nental Planner or	F	Print Name: Project Ma	anager	- MAG G
Environmental Branch Chief	1/	,		1	
1/1/1/1/	12/2	2/15	Thore	8H. 122	12-2-19
Signature	/ Da	ale s	Signature		Date
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE	/ Di	ale s	Signature	- /-	Date
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR	771.117, and based on a			supporting information, t	
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project:	771.117, and based on a	n examination of	this proposal and s		he State has
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signific	n examination of cant impact on th	this proposal and s	defined by NEPA, and is	he State has
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or co	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi an Environmental Assess	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env	this proposal and s e environment as c vironmental Impact	defined by NEPA, and is	he State has
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or con- requirements to prepare a	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env o 23 CFR 771.11	this proposal and s e environment as c vironmental Impact	defined by NEPA, and is	he State has
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 heck one)	this proposal and s e environment as c vironmental Impact 7(b).	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and	he State has excluded from the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusu	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as designed	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 heck one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR	this proposal and s e environment as o vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 771.117(b). As suc	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as o ch, the project is categor	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusu the requirements to pre-	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as designate an EA or EIS under	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 heck one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR	this proposal and s e environment as o vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 771.117(b). As suc vironmental Policy	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as o ch, the project is categor Act. The State has been	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby
 NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: does not individually or curequirements to prepare a has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusu the requirements to precertifies that it has carr 	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Env to make this dete	this proposal and s e environment as o vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts .771.117(b). As suc vironmental Policy ermination pursuant	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as of ch, the project is catego Act. The State has been t to Chapter 3 of Title 23	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code,
 NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: does not individually or curequirements to prepare a has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusu the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem 	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as designate an EA or EIS under	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Env to make this dete ing dated May 31	this proposal and s e environment as o vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts .771.117(b). As suc vironmental Policy ermination pursuant 1, 2016, executed b	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as of ch, the project is catego Act. The State has been t to Chapter 3 of Title 23	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code,
 NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: does not individually or curequirements to prepare a has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusu the requirements to pre- certifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Men- has determined that the 	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Env to make this dete ing dated May 31	this proposal and s e environment as o vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts .771.117(b). As suc vironmental Policy ermination pursuant 1, 2016, executed b	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as of ch, the project is catego Act. The State has been t to Chapter 3 of Title 23	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code,
 NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: does not individually or curequirements to prepare a has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusu the requirements to pre- certifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mern has determined that the Mass determined that the Mass determined that the Mass determined that the Mass determined that the Mass determined that the Mass determined t	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- pare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)()	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 heck one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Env to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under:	this proposal and s e environment as o vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 771.117(b). As suc vironmental Policy ermination pursuant 1, 2016, executed b	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as of ch, the project is categor Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 retween the FHWA and	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code,
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusued the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the association where the that the cure of the that the cure of the that the cure of the cure of the that the cure of	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of th	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 heck one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Env to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between	this proposal and so the environment as of vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 771.117(b). As success vironmental Policy formination pursuant 1, 2016, executed b	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as d ch, the project is categor Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 between the FHWA and tate	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusued the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mern has determined that the 23 CFR 771.117 □ 23 USC 327: Based or	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this p	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 heck one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Env to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp	this proposal and s e environment as o vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 771.117(b). As suc vironmental Policy mination pursuant 1, 2016, executed b FHWA and the St porting information,	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as of ch, the project is categor Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 between the FHWA and tate the State has determine	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusued the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 23 CFR 771.117 □ 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of the function of the f	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- pare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the nan examination of this p under 23 USC 327. The o	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 heck one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Env to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp	this proposal and s the environment as o vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 771.117(b). As suc vironmental Policy armination pursuant 1, 2016, executed b b FHWA and the St porting information, view, consultation,	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as of ch, the project is categor Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 between the FHWA and tate the State has determine and any other actions re	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusu the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 23 CFR 771.117 23 CFR 771.117 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of Federal environmental	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this p	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env o 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Env to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp environmental rev eng, or have be	this proposal and s the environment as o vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 771.117(b). As suc vironmental Policy mination pursuant 1, 2016, executed b orting information, view, consultation, en, carried out by O	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as of ch, the project is categor Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 between the FHWA and tate the State has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 U	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual C CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusue the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 23 CFR 771.117 □ 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of Federal environmental Memorandum of Under	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- pare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() ated in Appendix A of the n an examination of this p under 23 USC 327. The laws for this project are b	n examination of cant impact on the ment (EA) or Envo 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Envi to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp environmental reviewing, or have bee eng, or have bee	this proposal and some environmental Impact vironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 771.117(b). As success vironmental Policy a emination pursuant 1, 2016, executed b borting information, view, consultation, en, carried out by C executed by FHWA	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as of ch, the project is catego Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 retween the FHWA and the State has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 to and Caltrans.	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or currequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual correquirements to prepare a • has considered unusual correquirements to prepare a • CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusu the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the as a determined that the activity is 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion or Federal environmental Memorandum of Under Mike L Bartlett	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this al circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this p under 23 USC 327. The c laws for this project are b rstanding dated December	n examination of cant impact on the ment (EA) or Envo 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Envi to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing or have bee	this proposal and some environment as convironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 7(b). significant impacts 7(b). significant impacts 10, 2016, executed be filled and the State of the secure of	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as d ch, the project is catego Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 retween the FHWA and the State has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 to and Caltrans.	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual C CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusue the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 23 CFR 771.117 □ 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of Federal environmental Memorandum of Under	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this al circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this pro- laws for this project are b restanding dated December Dental Planner or	n examination of cant impact on the ment (EA) or Envo 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Envi to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing or have bee	this proposal and some environment as convironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 7(b). significant impacts 7(b). significant impacts 10, 2016, executed be filled and the State of the secure of	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as d ch, the project is catego Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 retween the FHWA and the State has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 to and Caltrans.	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusued the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 2 33 CFR 771.117 □ 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of Federal environmental Memorandum of Under Mike L Bartlett Print Name: Sprior Environmental	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this al circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this pro- laws for this project are b restanding dated December Dental Planner or	n examination of cant impact on the ment (EA) or Envo 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Envi to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing or have bee	this proposal and some environment as convironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 7(b). significant impacts 7(b). significant impacts 10, 2016, executed be filled and the State of the secure of	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as d ch, the project is catego Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 retween the FHWA and the State has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 to and Caltrans.	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State ed that the project is a equired by applicable JSC 327 and the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusue the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 23 CFR 771.117 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of Federal environmental Memorandum of Under Mike L Bartlett Print Name: Sentor Environmental Branch Chief	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this al circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this pro- laws for this project are b restanding dated December Dental Planner or	n examination of cant impact on the ment (EA) or Envo 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Environmental Environmental Environmental Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing, or have bee	this proposal and some environment as convironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts (7(b). significant impacts (771.117(b)). As such vironmental Policy (771.1	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as d ch, the project is catego Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 retween the FHWA and the State has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 to and Caltrans.	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State ed that the project is a equired by applicable JSC 327 and the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusued the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 2 33 CFR 771.117 □ 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of Federal environmental Memorandum of Under Mike L Bartlett Print Name: Sprior Environmental	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this al circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this pro- laws for this project are b restanding dated December Dental Planner or	n examination of cant impact on the ment (EA) or Envo 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Environmental Environmental Environmental Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing, or have bee environmental reviewing, or have bee	this proposal and some environment as convironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts 7(b). significant impacts 7(b). significant impacts 10, 2016, executed be filled and the State of the secure of	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as d ch, the project is catego Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 retween the FHWA and the State has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 to and Caltrans.	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State ed that the project is a equired by applicable JSC 327 and the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE ② 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusu the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 23 CFR 771.117 □ 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of Categorical Exclusion of Categorical Branch Chief Mike L Bartlett Print Name: Serior Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date of Categorical Exclusion	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this p under 23 USC 327. The e laws for this project are b restanding dated December pental Planner or	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 heck one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Env to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp environmental reve eing, or have been er 23, 2016 and e	this proposal and some environment as convironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts (7(b). significant impacts (771.117(b)). As such vironmental Policy (771.1	tate the State has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 to and Caltrans.	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State ed that the project is a equired by applicable JSC 327 and the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusued the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 23 CFR 771.117 □ 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of Federal environmental Memorandum of Under Mike L Bartlett Print Name: Sentor Environmental Branch Chief Signature	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this p under 23 USC 327. The e laws for this project are b restanding dated December pental Planner or	n examination of cant impact on th ment (EA) or Env 23 CFR 771.11 heck one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Env to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp environmental reve eing, or have been er 23, 2016 and e	this proposal and some environment as convironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts of 7(b). significant impacts of 7(b). significant impacts of 7(b). significant impacts of 7(b). A FHWA and the Store of the source of the so	tate the State has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 to and Caltrans.	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State ed that the project is a equired by applicable JSC 327 and the <u>12-2-19</u> Date
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusulate the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 23 CFR 771.117 □ 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of Categorical Exclusion of Categorical Exclusion of Signature Date of Categorical Exclusion	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this p under 23 USC 327. The e laws for this project are b restanding dated December pental Planner or	n examination of cant impact on the ment (EA) or Envo 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Environmental Environmental Environmental rev roposal and supp environmental rev er 23, 2016 and e	this proposal and some environment as convironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts of 7(b). significant impacts of 7(b). significant impacts of 7(b). significant impacts of 7(b). A FHWA and the Store of the source of the so	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as of ch, the project is categor Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 letween the FHWA and the tate the State has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 to and Caltrans. In lanager/DLA Engineer	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State ed that the project is a equired by applicable JSC 327 and the <u>12-2-19</u> Date ning & Research
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR determined that this project: • does not individually or curequirements to prepare a • has considered unusual of CALTRANS NEPA DE 23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusued the requirements to precertifies that it has carr Section 326 and a Mem has determined that the 23 CFR 771.117 □ 23 USC 327: Based or Categorical Exclusion of Federal environmental Memorandum of Under Mike L Bartlett Print Name: Sentor Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date of Categorical Exclusion Continued from page 1: Staging	771.117, and based on a umulatively have a signifi- an Environmental Assess circumstances pursuant to ETERMINATION (C e has determined that this ual circumstances as des- epare an EA or EIS under ied out the responsibility morandum of Understand e project is a Categorical 7(c): activity (c)(26) 7(d): activity (d)() sted in Appendix A of the n an examination of this p under 23 USC 327. The e laws for this project are b restanding dated December pental Planner or	n examination of cant impact on the ment (EA) or Envo 23 CFR 771.11 check one) s project has no s cribed in 23 CFR the National Envi to make this dete ing dated May 31 Exclusion under: e MOU between roposal and supp environmental reve eng, or have bee en 23, 2016 and e	this proposal and some environment as covironmental Impact 7(b). significant impacts (7(b). significant impacts (7, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2), As such vironmental Policy (7, 2), and (1, 2), As such vironmental Policy (7, 2), and (1,	defined by NEPA, and is Statement (EIS), and on the environment as of ch, the project is categor Act. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 23 between the FHWA and the state has determine and any other actions re Caltrans pursuant to 23 to and Caltrans. In Banager/DLA Engineer	he State has excluded from the lefined by NEPA, and rically excluded from assigned, and hereby , United States Code, the State. The State ed that the project is a equired by applicable JSC 327 and the <u>12-2-19</u> Date ning & Research

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE