DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR P.O. BOX 942873, MS-49 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 PHONE (916) 654-6130 FAX (916) 653-5776 TTY 711 www.dot.ca.gov



Governor's Office of Planning & Research

NOV 20 2019

November 18, 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

State Clearing House Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 03-NEV-080 PM: 31.4-31.8 EA: 03-2H690 EFIS: 0317000172

To Whomever it May Concern:

Attached is a copy of the Categorical Exemption (CEQA) / Categorical Exclusion (NEPA) and 2 copies of Notice of Exemption (NOE) for the County Line Rock Fall Protection Project. Please return a stamped copy of the NOE in the SASE provided.

For additional information or questions concerning this environmental approval, please contact me at (530) 741-4404.

Sincerely,

Sandeep Sandhu, Associate Environmental Planner North Region Office of Environmental Management, M5

Enclosures: CE and 2 copies of NOE

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

 does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)							
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, In accessary.) The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is proposing a project to improve roadway maintainability and reduce the risk to the traveling public due to rock falls on interstate floute 80 between Post Miles (PM) 31.4 to 31.8 in Newtad County. The purpose of this project is to install double twisted wire mesh drapery on five cut slopes, which will control the failing of rock and depositing them at the slope toe for safer removal by Maintenance Crews. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable - Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency International Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency International Caltrans is prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exampt by Statute, (PRC 21080)bj. 14 COR 1580 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. • There will not be a significant currulative diefect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, circumstances. • This project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project is not					/Local Project\/Project No.		
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.) The California Department of Transportation (California) is proposing a project to improve roadway maintainability and roduce the risk to the traveling public due to rock falls on interstate Route 80 between Post Millies (PM) 31.1 to 31.8 in Neveda County. The purpose of this project is to install double twisted where mesh drapery on five cut slopes, which will control the failing of rock and depositing them at the slope toe for safer removal by Maintenance Crews. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Mot Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21880)bj. 4 CCR 15260 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1 . (PRC 21886); 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. • There will not be a significant currulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over firm. • Their is an a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual over firms. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and hat there are no unusual circumstances as described in a CERT 71.117(purpose, location, limits, right-	of-way requirements, and		
maintainability and reduce the risk to the traveling public due to rock falls on Interstate Route 80 between Post Miles (PM) 31.4 to 31.8 in Nevada County. The purpose of this project is to install double twisted wire mesh drapery on five cut slopes, which will control the falling of rock and depositing them at the slope toe for safer removal by Maintenance Crews. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable — Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Stautte, (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15280 et seq.) Categorically Exempt, Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: * If the project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. * They will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. * Their is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. * This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. * This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. * This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. * This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. * This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. * This project does not damage a scenic resource. Date The Project Marysiger Report Marysiger Report Marysiger Report Marysiger Report Marysiger Report Marysiger	activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.)						
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency	The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is proposing a project to improve roadway maintainability and reduce the risk to the traveling public due to rock falls on Interstate Route 80 between Post Miles (PM) 31.4 to 31.8 in Nevada County. The purpose of this project is to install double twisted wire mesh drapery on five cut slopes, which will control the falling of rock and depositing them at the slope toe for safer						
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080 b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Categorically Exempt, Class 1 . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: Categorically Exempt, Class 1 . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: or the properties of the same type in the same place, over time. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption, [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 1506(fb)[5].) Encanta Engleby	CALTRANS CEQA DETER	MINATION (Ch	eck one)				
Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080 b); 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)			Enviro	nmental Impact Report unde	er CEQA		
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, procisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. • This project does not damage a scenic resource with an accerdance with an officially and the significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 1506[b][3].) • Encanta Engleby Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner	Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21)			above statements, the project is	s.		
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project fool tocated on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].) Encanta Engleby Print Name: Senice Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: Abe ose not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this	Categorically Exempt. Class 1 . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not						
There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical scource. Common Sense Exemption. This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].) Encanta Engleby Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project. does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 2 3 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 USC 327: Based on an exami	 If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. 						
This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historial resource. Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].) Encanta Engleby Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Date Martin Clark Print Name: Project Manager Date Martin Clark Print Name: Project Manager Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the solute has been mind that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding	over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual						
Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].) Encanta Engleby Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Cpief Signature Date Martin Clark Print Name: Project Manager Print Name: Project Manager Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The state has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 32 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understa	 This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). 						
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Print Name: Project Manager	Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no						
Signature Date Date Signature Date Date Date Date Date Date Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) ☑ 3 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: ☐ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Encanta Engleby Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Date				N. C. T. C.			
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) ☑ 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: ☐ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() ☐ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Encanta Engleby Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Print Name: Project Manager/DIA Engineer Signature Date Date		lanner or	Print N	ame: Project Manager	65		
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(1-1	11-18-1	9 W	Unt Clue	11/13/19		
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(_13) Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Encanta Engleby Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Celef 1/-18 Jg Signature Date		Da	te Signat	ire	Date		
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(_13) 34 Cativity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Encanta Engleby Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Martin Clark Print Name: Project Manager/DTA Engineer Signature Date	In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and						
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(_13) 34 Cativity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Encanta Engleby Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Martin Clark Print Name: Project Manager/DTA Engineer Signature Date	CALTRANS NEPA DETER	MINATION (C	heck one)				
23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Encanta Engleby	23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(_13_)						
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief 1	23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the						
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief 1	Encanta Engleby		Mart	in Clark			
11-18-19 Mat Uluc 11/18/19 Signature Date Signature Date Date	Print Name: Senior Environmental P	lanner or		CONTRACTOR	er		
and the second of the second o	1. En	11-18-	19	Jut Cluc	11/18/19		
		TANKS MANUAL	Avera		Date		

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

OOMMINGERON CHICK						
03-NEV-80	31.4-31.8	03-2H690	0317000172			
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.			
Continued from page 1:						

Scope of work:

The project proposes to install double twisted wire mesh drapery on five cut slopes, which will control the falling of rock and depositing them at the slope toes for Maintenance Crew removal.

Staging

 Existing pullout and shoulder were identified as a staging area in the current project plan, which is within the Caltrans Right-of-Way.

Disposal/Borrow

 The project is expecting to generate approximately 591.1 CY of excess material, which will to be relinquished to the contractor for disposal. No borrow would be required.

Right-of-Way

All work will occur in the Caltrans Right-of-Way.

Consultation/Coordination

No Consultation/Coordination is required.

Permits

· No permits were required.

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

NOV 20 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

Page 2 of 2 January 3, 2019

Notice of Exemption

2019110406

Appendix E

P.O. Box 304	Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113	From: (Public Agency): Caltrans 703 B Street			
	Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	Marysville, CA 95901			
County Clerk County of:		(Address)			
	-	·			
Proje	ect Title: County Line Rockfall Protection	(03-2H690)			
Proje	ect Applicant: California Department of Tra	ansportation			
	ect Location - Specific:				
The	project is located on Interstate Highway 80 (I	-80) in Nevada County between PM 31.3 to 31.8.			
Proje	ect Location - City: Kingvale / Soda Sprin	gs Project Location - County: Placer / Nevada			
The redu	ice the risk to the traveling public due to rock				
Nam	e of Public Agency Approving Project: Caltr	ans			
Nam	e of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project	Caltrans			
Exer	mpt Status: (check one):				
	☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);				
	Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3):				
☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c)); ☐ Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: Class 1					
☐ Statutory Exemptions. State code number:					
The	sons why project is exempt: project is exempt because this is a maintena potential to have an adverse effect on the en	nce project for an existing highway facility and does not have vironment.			
	d Agency tact Person: Encanta Engleby	Area Code/Telephone/Extension: (530) 741-4538			
		the public agency approving the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
Sign	nature: 2 2	Date: 11/18/2019 Title: Sr. Environmental Planner			
	■ Signed by Lead Agency □ Signed	by Applicant Governor's Office of Planning & Research			

Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Code. Date Received for filing at OPR: NOV 20 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE