Appendix B

Environmental Checklist for Later Vegetation Treatment Projects

1 INTRODUCTION

The Wildland Vegetative Fuel Management Plan (WVFMP) directs the treatment of vegetation that could become fire fuel within the UC Berkeley Hill Campus (or Plan Area). The WVFMP serves as one component of UC Berkeley's range of actions to reduce wildfire risk and minimize the potential for harmful effects of wildfire on people, property, and natural resources within the Plan Area. The Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the WVFMP evaluates the environmental impacts of the WVFMP. The EIR includes both a project level and program level analysis; additional CEQA consideration is not required for project-level components. The discussion below focuses on the program level analysis and the reference to a Program EIR (PEIR) is intended to address those components of the overall project not covered at a project level.

The WVFMP is described in Chapter 2, "Project Description" of the EIR. The Program EIR has been prepared under the direction of CEQA lead agency, UC Regents, as delegated to UC Berkeley (the university), in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code [PRC] Section 21000 et seq.) and the State CEQA Guidelines. The document functions as a Program EIR in accordance with State CEQA Guidelines Section 15168 for streamlining of CEQA review of later activities consistent with the WVFMP.

Using this Environmental Checklist in reliance on the Program EIR, the university must evaluate the later activities associated with each future vegetation treatment project to determine whether such activities are within the scope of this EIR. Such evaluations must ascertain whether these future vegetation treatment projects are consistent with the activities contained in the WVFMP and would have effects that were analyzed in the EIR. If the UC Regents find that the impacts were analyzed in the EIR and no new or substantially more severe significant effects could occur or no new mitigation measures would be required for a later treatment project, the project can be found to be within the scope of this EIR. In this circumstance, no additional CEQA documentation would need to be prepared or publicly circulated (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15168[c][2] and [4]). The documentation used to substantiate the "within the scope" finding would provide the substantial evidence required to reach that conclusion. For the WVFMP, this documentation would be provided in the Environmental Checklist for Later Treatment Projects Under the WVFMP (see Appendix B of this EIR). The university may act on the proposed later treatment project using this documentation and the EIR for CEQA compliance purposes. If the later activity is approved, the university would file a Notice of Determination.

Under this CEQA compliance approach, the university must incorporate from the Program EIR into the later vegetation treatment project all environmental protection measures (EPMs) relevant to the later project and all feasible mitigation measures in response to significant impacts caused by the later project. If a later vegetation treatment project would have impacts that were not covered by the Program EIR (and therefore would not qualify for a within the scope finding), then additional documentation would need to be prepared that accompanies the Program EIR, and focused on those impacts not covered by the Program EIR, to demonstrate the project's CEQA compliance (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15168(c)(1)). If additional documentation is needed, it may be a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or an EIR, depending on the new or additional environmental impacts associated with the later activity. In this situation, the Checklist serves the same function as an initial study to identify which impacts were not covered by (and are therefore not within the scope of) the Program EIR and, therefore, must be addressed in a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, mitigated Negative Declaration, the Checklist serves the same function as an initial study to identify which impacts were not covered by (and are therefore not within the scope of) the Program EIR and, therefore, must be addressed in a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or an EIR, as well as documenting those impacts which are within the scope of the PEIR.

1.1 Treatments Addressed in the Program EIR

Proposed treatment projects qualifying as within the scope of the Program EIR must be consistent with the treatments covered in the WVFMP, which are summarized in this section, and the geographic extent of the WVFMP, which is encompassed in the boundaries of the Plan Area. Refer to Program EIR Chapter 2, "Project Description" for a detailed description of the WVFMP.

TREATMENT TYPES

The WVFMP treatment types are:

- Evacuation Support Treatments: roadside treatments within up 100-200 feet along either side of emergency evacuation routes throughout the Hill Campus focused on removing all trees prone to torching that could potentially block access if they fall and removing understory shrubs and small trees that could enable torching.
- **Temporary Refuge Areas**: created in strategic locations to provide temporary refuge from wildfire for evacuees and firefighters and would be typically sited near the intersections of roads and fire trails.
- **Fuel Breaks:** strategically-located linear strips where vegetation has been treated or removed to aid in the containment of a fire and reduce the likelihood of crown fire transition.
- Fire Hazard Reduction: focused on reducing hazardous fire conditions in the Plan Area to help promote landscape resiliency and improve native habitat; these projects would be primarily implemented in areas where eucalyptus trees were previously removed but regrowth occurred because of ineffective follow-up treatments.

TREATMENT ACTIVITIES

The treatment types would be implemented using various treatment "activities" that may be applied singularly or in combination. The WVFMP treatment activities are:

- Prescribed Burning: Includes pile burning (prescribed burning of piles of vegetative material to reduce fuel and/or remove biomass following treatment) and broadcast burning (prescribed burning to reduce fuels over a larger area or restore fire resiliency in target fire-adapted plant communities; these activities would be conducted under specific conditions related to fuels, weather, and other variables).
- Mechanical Treatment: Use of motorized equipment to cut, uproot, crush/compact, or chop existing vegetation.
- Manual Treatment: Use of hand tools and hand-operated power tools to cut, clear, or prune herbaceous or woody species.
- **Prescribed Herbivory**: Use of domestic livestock to reduce a target plant population thereby reducing fire fuels or competition of desired plant species.
- Herbicides: Chemical application designed to inhibit growth of target plant species.

1.2 EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

The Environmental Checklist provided herein is to be used to determine whether later vegetation treatment projects in the Hill Campus have been covered in the Program EIR to allow for approval without further environmental review and documentation (beyond what is needed to complete the Checklist), or whether additional CEQA documentation is required (i.e., a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration or EIR).

1.2.1 Determining Whether a Proposed Treatment is Within the Scope of the Program EIR

The purpose of the Environmental Checklist is to guide the university in its determination of whether a proposed vegetation treatment project is within the scope of the WVFMP Program EIR. A proposed vegetation treatment project is within the scope of the Program EIR when it meets all of the following qualifications:

- Treatment Methods. The proposed treatment methods are consistent with the treatment types and activities described in Chapter 2, "Project Description" of the Program EIR.
- Geographic Area. The proposed treatment site is within the Hill Campus (the geographic extent of the WVFMP).
- ► Environmental Impacts. The environmental effects of the proposed treatment have been covered in the Program EIR and none of the criteria for preparation of subsequent CEQA documentation are met (State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15168(c)(2), 15162).

1.2.2 Documenting Whether Impacts of a Proposed Treatment Projects are Within the Scope of the Program EIR

For the Checklist to adequately document the impacts that are within the scope of this Program EIR and do not require additional CEQA review and documentation, the Checklist must identify the following:

- **Relevant Program EIR analysis.** Identify the specific sections, impact numbers, and page numbers from this Program EIR that contain information relevant to the proposed treatment project.
- Additional Studies Prepared and References Cited. Attach to the Checklist any site-specific studies, reports, and survey results used in support of the within-the-scope finding. Include copies of references cited in the Checklist, which will be made available to the public by the university upon request.
- Environmental Protection Measures. Identify each EPM that is relevant to the treatment, which will demonstrate that the EPM will be integrated into treatment design.
- Environmental Impacts. Identify which impacts in the Program EIR would occur from implementation of the proposed vegetation treatment project. Because the intent of the Program EIR is to disclose potentially significant impacts that are reasonably foreseeable to occur from any of the treatments within the extent of the Hill Campus, it is expected that, due to site-specific conditions or for smaller treatment projects, proposed vegetation treatment projects may result in impacts less severe than those identified in the Program EIR. The university may rely on the significant impact determination in the Program EIR, and for significant impacts and impacts that were found to be reduced to less than significant, apply the relevant mitigation measures. Alternatively, if an impact identified as significant in the Program EIR would be less than significant for the later treatment project, the university may demonstrate with substantial evidence in the Checklist that the project impact is less than significant and mitigation measure(s) are not needed.
- Mitigation Measures. Identify each mitigation measure from the Program EIR that is relevant to the proposed treatment project. In the Checklist, explain any components of the mitigation measures that are not applicable to the treatment, and for any significance determination that is different than the Program EIR, describe how each measure will address site-specific conditions and reduce the impact of the proposed vegetation treatment project.

1.2.3 Providing Substantial Evidence

The impact determinations and within-the-scope findings in the Checklist must be based on substantial evidence (defined in the CEQA Guidelines as "facts, reasonable assumptions predicted upon facts, and expert opinion supported by facts"). Therefore, the Checklist will include analytical discussions of the conclusions reached. Portions of the Program EIR relied on for conclusions should be identified by section number and page number. Ancillary information (e.g., results of site-specific surveys) not included in the Program EIR but relied on for conclusions or required by Program EIR measures will be attached to the Checklist. A list of references cited in the Checklist will be included with the Checklist and copies of such references made available to the public by the university upon request.

1.2.4 Project-Specific Analysis

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION MEASURES, MITIGATION MEASURES, AND MONITORING AND REPORTING

The analysis must consider the measures identified in the Program EIR that will avoid, reduce, or otherwise mitigate potential impacts of the later vegetation treatment project. These measures take the form of EPMs and mitigation measures. Some EPMs and mitigation measures apply to all projects, while others only apply to projects that include specific treatment types, treatment activities, locations, or resources. The project proponent must prepare a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for each later vegetation treatment project to verify that all applicable EPMs and mitigation measures will be implemented, identify the timing of implementation, and identify the entity responsible for implementing and verifying or enforcing each measure.

RESOURCE AREAS

The environmental resource areas in the Checklist are the same as those analyzed in Chapter 3, "Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures", of the EIR. The university will review the environmental analysis in the Program EIR for each corresponding resource area in the Checklist. The university will consider whether required EPMs and mitigation measures would be effective in avoiding, reducing, or mitigating environmental impacts of the project considering the proposed activities and site-specific characteristics. EPMs are intended to be integrated into treatment design and implementation; therefore, the university will determine if it is necessary to implement the EPM during preparation of the Checklist, prior to treatment, or during treatment implementation.

Written explanations supporting all conclusions should be provided in the discussion following the checklist questions for each resource area.

CHECKLIST ANSWERS

After verifying that the proposed treatment activities, treatment types, and geographic location of the treatment project are consistent with the Program EIR, the primary functions of the checklist are to determine:

- whether any of the significant impacts of the later treatment project would be substantially more severe than those covered in the Program EIR;
- whether the later treatment project would result in any new impacts that were not covered in the Program EIR; and
- the type of CEQA document, if any, that is appropriate to examine impacts that are not within the scope of the Program EIR.

Accordingly, the checklist questions presented for each resource area identify, for each impact addressed in the Program EIR, whether the impact applies to the treatment project and if so, identify the EPMs and mitigation measures that are applicable to the treatment project. The checklist is also intended to identify whether the impact significance determination for the treatment project is different than the impact significance determination in the Program EIR; if it is different, the checklist will identify whether the difference constitutes a substantially more severe significant impact and is therefore not within the scope of the Program EIR. If it is determined that a substantially more severe significant impact that cannot be mitigated to the same level, or lower level than, as was identified in the Program EIR, an EIR must be prepared, unless one or more mitigation measures incorporated into the project would mitigate the effects to a point where no significant effect on the environment would occur, in which case an MND would be appropriate The MND or EIR may be limited to examining the impacts that are not within the scope of the PEIR.

In summary, when additional environmental documentation is needed to augment the Program EIR for CEQA compliance, the Checklist and accompanying analysis would serve the same function as an initial study that defines the topics to be addressed in the EIR, MND, or ND to cover the impacts that are not within the scope of the Program

EIR, as directed by State CEQA Guidelines Section 15168(d)(1). Pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15168(d), a later ND could be prepared, if the new impact would be less than significant, or MND, if the new impact or substantially more severe significant impact could be clearly mitigated to less than significant. The analysis of any new impact to support adoption of an ND or MND, along with the analysis of impacts that are within the scope, would be documented in the Checklist. If a later EIR is prepared, it could be limited in its scope to the new significant impact(s) or substantially more severe significant impact(s), with the remainder of the impacts that are within the scope of the Program EIR being documented in the Checklist.

PROJECT-SPECIFIC CEQA FINDINGS AND OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS

When the university approves a vegetation treatment project using a within the scope finding for all environmental impacts, it must adopt CEQA findings pursuant to Section 15091 of the State CEQA Guidelines, and if needed, a statement of overriding considerations, pursuant to Section 15093 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

WVFMP VEGETATION TREATMENT PROJECT INFORMATION

- 1. Project title:
- Project location: 2. The Regents of the University of California Lead agency's name and address: 3. 1111 Franklin Street Oakland, CA 94607 4. Contact person: Raphael Breines, Senior Planner Physical & Environmental Planning 510-642-6796 5. Project sponsor's name and address University of California, Berkeley Capital Strategies - Physical & Environmental Planning 300 A&E Building Berkeley, California 94720-1382
- 6. Description of Project: (Describe the whole action involved, including any phasing of initial treatments as well as planned treatment maintenance, including equipment to be used and planned duration of treatments.)

[insert text here]

7. Regional Setting and Surrounding Land Uses: [insert text here] (Briefly describe the project's surroundings)

8. Other Public Agencies Whose Approval is Required: (e.g., permits)

[insert text here; note status of any required approvals (permits)]

9. Native American Consultation. For treatment projects that are within the scope of the WVFMP PEIR, AB 52 consultation for AB 52 compliance has been completed. (*Note to reviewers of the Draft EIR* AB 52 consultation is in process as of Draft EIR publication, but will conclude prior to EIR certification and use of this Checklist.) The UC Regents conducted consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1 during preparation of the Program EIR. For treatment projects with impacts not within the scope of the Program EIR, pursuant to PRC Sections 21080.3.1, 21080.3.2, and 21082.3, the university must notify any California Native American tribe who has submitted written request for notification of a project in the area of the treatment site if preparing a ND, MND or EIR.

[insert text here]

DETERMINATION

On the basis of this Environmental Checklist and the substantial evidence supporting it:

I find that all of the effects of the proposed project (a) have been covered in the WVFMP Program EIR, and (b) all applicable Environmental Protection Measures and mitigation measures identified in the WVFMP Program EIR will be implemented. The proposed project is, therefore, **WITHIN THE SCOPE** of the WVFMP Program EIR. **NO ADDITIONAL CEQA DOCUMENTATION** is required.

I find that the proposed project will have effects that were not covered in the WVFMP Program EIR. These effects are less than significant without any mitigation beyond what is already required pursuant to the WVFMP Program EIR. A **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project will have effects that were not covered in the WVFMP Program EIR or will have effects that are substantially more severe than those covered in the WVFMP Program EIR. Although these effects may be significant in the absence of additional mitigation beyond the WVFMP Program EIR's measures, revisions to the proposed project or additional mitigation measures have been agreed to by the project proponent that would avoid or reduce the effects so that clearly no significant effects would occur. A **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project will have significant environmental effects that are (a) new and were not covered in the WVFMP Program EIR and/or (b) substantially more severe than those covered in the WVFMP Program EIR. Because one or more effects may be significant and cannot be clearly mitigated to less than significant, an **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** will be prepared.

Signature

Date

Printed Name

Title

Agency

AESTHETICS AND VISUAL RESOURCES

Impact in t	the PEIR			Р	roject-Spe	cific Checkl	ist	
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	Identify Impact Significance in the PEIR	Identify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	Identify Impact Significance for Treatment Project	Would this be a Substantially More Severe Significant Impact than Identified in the PEIR?	Is this Impact Within the Scope of the PEIR?
Would the project:								
Impact AES-1: Result in Short- Term, Substantial Degradation of a Scenic Vista or Visual Character or Quality of Public Views from Treatment Activities								
Impact AES-2: Result in Long- Term, Substantial Degradation of a Scenic Vista or Visual Character or Quality of Public Views from Implementation of the Treatment Types								
Impact AES-3: Create a New Source of Substantial Light or Glare, Which Would Adversely Affect Day or Nighttime Views of the Area								

¹NA: not applicable; there are no EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact. None: there are EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact, but none are applicable to the treatment project.

Aesthetic and Visual Resource Impacts: Would the treatment result in other impacts to aesthetics and visual resources that are not evaluated in the WVFMP PEIR?	□ Y	es	<u></u> и	0		olete row(s) below discussion
			otentially gnificant	Signi M	ess Than ificant with itigation orporated	Less than Significant
[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]						

AIR QUALITY

Impact in t	he PEIR			P	roject-Spe	cific Check	list	
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	Identify Impact Significance in the PEIR	ldentify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	Identify Impact Significance for Treatment Project	Would this be a Substantially More Severe Significant Impact than Identified in the PEIR?	Is this Impact Within the Scope of the PEIR?
Would the project:								
Impact AQ-1: Generate Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors during Treatment Activities that Would Contribute to the Exceedances of the NAAQS and CAAQS								
Impact AQ-2: Expose People to Toxic Air Contaminants Emitted by Prescribed Burns and the Related Health Risk								
Impact AQ-3: Expose People to Diesel Particulate Matter Emissions and Related Health Risk								
Impact AQ-4 Expose People to Objectionable Odors from Equipment Exhaust								
Impact AQ-5: Expose People to Objectionable Odors from Smoke During Prescribed Burning								

¹NA: not applicable; there are no EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact. None: there are EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact, but none are applicable to the treatment project.

Air Quality Impacts: Would the treatment result in other impacts to aesthetics and visual resources that are not evaluated in the WVFMP PEIR?	Ye	es	□ N	0		olete row(s) below discussion
		Potentially Significant		Signi M	ess Than ificant with itigation prporated	Less than Significant
[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]						

ARCHAEOLOGICAL, HISTORICAL, AND TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES

Impact in t	he PEIR			Project-Specific Checklist							
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	ldentify Impact Significance in the PEIR	Identify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	Identify Impact Significance for Treatment Project	Would this be a Substantially More Severe Significant Impact than Identified in the PEIR?	Is this Impact Within the Scope of			
Would the project:											
Impact CUL-1: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of Unique Archaeological Resources or Subsurface Historical Resources											
Impact CUL-2: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource											
Impact CUL-3: Disturb Human Remains											

¹NA: not applicable; there are no EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact. None: there are EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact, but none are applicable to the treatment project.

Archaeological, Historical, and Tribal Cultural Resources Impacts : Would the treatment result in other impacts to aesthetics and visual resources that are not evaluated in the WVFMP PEIR?	- Y	es	□ N	0		olete row(s) below discussion
			otentially gnificant	Sign M	ess Than ificant with itigation orporated	Less than Significant
[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]						

BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Impact in t	Impact in the PEIR					cific Check	ist	
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	Identify Impact Significance in the PEIR	Identify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	ldentify Impact Significance for Treatment Project	Would this be a Substantially More Severe Significant Impact than Identified in the PEIR?	Is this Impact Within the Scope of the PEIR?
Would the project:								
Impact BIO-1: Substantially Affect Special-Status Plant Species Either Directly or Through Habitat Modifications								
Impact BIO-2: Substantially Affect Special-Status Wildlife Species Either Directly or Through Habitat Modifications								
Impact BIO-3: Result in Degradation or Loss of Riparian Habitat or Other Sensitive Natural Communities								
Impact BIO-4: Substantially Adversely Affect State or Federally Protected Wetlands								
Impact BIO-5: Substantially Interfere with Wildlife Movement Corridors or Impede Use of Nurseries								
Impact BIO-6: Conflict with Local Policies and Ordinances		NANA- (-l						

¹NA: not applicable; there are no EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact. None: there are EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact, but none are applicable to the treatment project.

Biological Resources Impacts : Would the treatment result in other impacts to aesthetics and visual resources that are not evaluated in the WVFMP PEIR?	□ Y	es	No No			olete row(s) below discussion
			otentially gnificant	Signi M	ess Than ificant with itigation orporated	Less than Significant
[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]						

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

Impact in t	he PEIR			Р	roject-Spe	cific Check	list	
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	Identify Impact Significance in the PEIR	Identify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	ldentify Impact Significance for Treatment Project	Would this be a Substantially More Severe Significant Impact than Identified in the PEIR?	Is this Impact Within the Scope of the PEIR?
Would the project:								
Impact GEO-1: Result in Substantial Erosion or Loss of Topsoil								
Impact GEO-2: Result in Increased Risk of Landslide								
¹ NA: not applicable; there are no for this impact, but none are app				r this impact. N	lone: there a	re EPMs and/	or MMs identified	in the PEIR
Geology and Soils Impacts: Wor	Id the treatm	ont recult in oth	or impacts to				fives complete ro	w(c) bolow

Geology and Soils Impacts: Would the treatment result in other impacts to aesthetics and visual resources that are not evaluated in the WVFMP PEIR?	□ Y	es	N	0		olete row(s) below discussion
			otentially gnificant	Signi M	ess Than ificant with itigation orporated	Less than Significant
[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]						

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND CLIMATE CHANGE

Impact in t	he PEIR		Project-Specific Checklist								
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	ldentify Impact Significance in the PEIR	Identify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	ldentify Impact Significance for Treatment Project	Would this be a Substantially More Severe Significant Impact than Identified in the PEIR?	Is this Impact Within the Scope of			
Would the project:											
Impact GHG-1: Conflict with Applicable Plan, Policy, or Regulation of an Agency Adopted for the Purpose of Reducing the Emissions of GHGs											
Impact GHG-2: Generate GHG Emissions through Treatment Activities											

¹NA: not applicable; there are no EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact. None: there are EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact, but none are applicable to the treatment project.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change Impacts: Would the treatment result in other impacts to aesthetics and visual resources that are not evaluated in the WVFMP PEIR?	ΓY	es	□ N	0		olete row(s) below discussion
			otentially gnificant	Signi M	ss Than ficant with itigation prporated	Less than Significant
[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]						

HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Impact in t	Project-Specific Checklist								
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	Identify Impact Significance in the PEIR	Identify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	Identify Impact Significance for Treatment Project	Would this be a Substantially More Severe Significant Impact than Identified in the PEIR?	Is this Impact Within the Scope of	
Would the project:									
Impact HAZ-1: Create a Significant Health Hazard from the Use or Accidental Release of Hazardous Materials									
Impact HAZ-2: Create a Significant Health Hazard from the Use or Accidental Release of Herbicides									

¹NA: not applicable; there are no EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact. None: there are EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact, but none are applicable to the treatment project.

Hazards and Hazardous Materials Impacts: Would the treatment result in other impacts to aesthetics and visual resources that are not evaluated in the WVFMP PEIR?	- Y	es	es 🗌 No			blete row(s) below discussion
			otentially gnificant	Signi M	ess Than ificant with itigation orporated	Less than Significant
[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]						

HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

Impact in t	he PEIR				Project-Spe	ecific Chec	klist		
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	Identify Impact Significance in the PEIR	ldentify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	Significanc	Signifi	ntially evere cant than d in the	Is this Impact Within the Scope of the PEIR?
Would the project:									
Impact HYD-1: Substantially Degrade Surface or Ground Water Quality Through the Implementation of Prescribed Burning									
Impact HYD-2: Substantially Degrade Surface or Ground Water Quality Through the Implementation of Manual or Mechanical Treatment Activities									
Impact HYD-3: Substantially Degrade Surface or Ground Water Quality Through Managed Herbivory									
Impact HYD-4: Substantially Degrade Surface or Ground Water Quality Through the Application of Herbicides									
Impact HYD-5: Violate Water Quality Standards, Waste Discharge Requirements, or Conflict with the Water Quality Control Plan From WVFMP Implementation									
¹ NA: not applicable; there are no for this impact, but none are app				r this impact.	None: there a	are EPMs and	l/or MMs ide	entified	in the PEIR
Hydrology and Water Quality In impacts to aesthetics and visual WVFMP PEIR?	npacts: Would	the treatment	result in othe	r Ye	s [No	If yes, comp and	olete ro discuss	
					Potentially Significan	t Signit	ss Than ficant with tigation		ss than Inificant

[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]

Discussion

Incorporated

NOISE AND VIBRATION

Impact in t		Project-Specific Checklist							
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	ldentify Impact Significance in the PEIR	Identify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	Significanc	Significant	Is this Impact Within the Scope of	
Would the project:									
Impact NOI-1: Temporarily Expose Residences to a Substantial Increase in Noise Generated by Treatment Activities									
¹ NA: not applicable; there are no EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact. None: there are EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact, but none are applicable to the treatment project.									
Noise and Vibration Impacts: Would the treatment result in other in to aesthetics and visual resources that are not evaluated in the WVF PEIR?				Yes		No	If yes, complete ro and discuss		

PEIR?					
		otentially gnificant	Signi M	ess Than ificant with itigation orporated	Less than Significant
[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]					

RECREATION

Impact in t	Project-Specific Checklist								
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	ldentify Impact Significance in the PEIR	Identify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	Significance	Would this be a Substantially More Severe Significant Impact than Identified in the PEIR?	Is this Impact Within the Scope of	
Would the project:									
Impact REC-1: Directly or Indirectly Disrupt Recreational Activities Within Designated Recreation Areas									

¹NA: not applicable; there are no EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact. None: there are EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact, but none are applicable to the treatment project.

Recreation Impacts : Would the treatment result in other impacts to aesthetics and visual resources that are not evaluated in the WVFMP PEIR?	Ye	es	🗌 No			olete row(s) below discussion
			Potentially Significant		ess Than ificant with itigation orporated	Less than Significant
[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]						

WILDFIRE

Impact in t	Project-Specific Checklist									
Environmental Impact Covered In the PEIR	Identify Impact Significance in the PEIR	Identify Location of Impact Analysis in the PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the Treatment Project?	List EPMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	List MMs Applicable to the Treatment Project ¹	Identify Impact Significance for Treatment Project	Would this be a Substantially More Severe Significant Impact than Identified in the PEIR?	Is this Impact Within the Scope of		
Would the project:										
Impact WIL-1: Substantially Exacerbate Fire Risk and Expose People or Structures to Uncontrolled Spread of a Wildfire										
Impact WIL-2: Expose People or Structures to Substantial Risks Related to Post-Fire Flooding or Landslides										

¹NA: not applicable; there are no EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact. None: there are EPMs and/or MMs identified in the PEIR for this impact, but none are applicable to the treatment project.

Wildfire Impacts: Would the treatment result in other impacts to aesthetics and visual resources that are not evaluated in the WVFMP PEIR?	Y	es	5 🗌 No			olete row(s) below discussion
			otentially gnificant	Signi M	ess Than ificant with itigation orporated	Less than Significant
[identify new impact here, if applicable; add rows as needed]						