CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Proi	40
	ect No. Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including	ng need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessar	y.)
The California Department of Transportation propose	s culvert work at 10 locations on State Route 33 in
Fresho County. Project locations include post miles 5	7.88, 58.40, 59.00, 59.42, 59.43, 59.59, 60.90, 61.05
and 61.26. Existing culvert pipes would be removed;	and replaced in all locations, with new flare end
sections or headwalls constructed. No new right of w	ay would be required.
	,
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)	
Not Applicable - Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Not Applicable - Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or	
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information,	Environmental Impact Report under CEQA and the above statements, the project is:
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)	
Categorically Exempt. Class 2. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 1530	0 et seq.)
apply:	rmation, the following statements are true and exceptions do not
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and office	does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical ially adopted pursuant to law.
over time.	project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,
circumstances.	have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual
 This project does not damage a scenic resource within This project is not located on a site included on any list 	compiled pursuant to Govt. Code 6 65962 5 ("Cortesa List")
 This project does not cause a substantial adverse chan 	ge in the significance of a historical resource.
possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the	an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)
Shane Gunn	Rene Sanchez
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Print Name: Project Manager
/	
11/19	11/1/19
Signature Date	Signature Date
NEPA COMPLIANCE	
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and ER 771 117(b). As such the project is extensionally excluded from
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771 that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). In o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Polloy Act. The State has been assigned.
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771 that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this or	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and into significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this consideration 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). To significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby letermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 131.2016, executed between the EHWA and the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this considered that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the considered in the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the considered in the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the considered in the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the considered in the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the considered in the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the considered in the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the project is a Categorical Exclusion unde	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). To significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby letermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 131.2016, executed between the EHWA and the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this consideration of the section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 123 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). To significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby letermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 131.2016, executed between the EHWA and the State. The State
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this consideration of the section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 123 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby letermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 131, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State der:
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 123 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and .117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby letermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, v.31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State deer:
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this c Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327.	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and into significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby eletermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, if 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State eleter HWA and the State upporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review consultation, and any other entires required by applicable
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility on make this c Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and s Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this c Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327.	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility on make this c Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and s Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 Cft the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this considered section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (117(b)). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby letermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, v31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State derivative and the State derivative information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultative, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility on make this c Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and s Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has at that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 Cfr requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this considered that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 123 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between the project and the project are being, or have Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (117(b)). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Pollcy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby letermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, v31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State derivative and the State derivative information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultative, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has a that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 C the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this c Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (117(b)). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Pollcy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby letermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, v31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State derivative and the State derivative information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultative, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact or requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has at that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 Cfr requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this considered that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 123 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between the project and the project are being, or have Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	n of this proposal and supporting information, the State has in the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (117(b)). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Pollcy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby letermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, v31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State derivative and the State state derivative, consultative, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Saltrans.

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).