| To   | Office of Planning and Research   | From: (Public Agend     | City of Los Banos                              |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|
|  | P.O. Box 3044, Room 212   |                         | 520 J Street                                   |  |  |
|  | Sacramento, CA 95812-3044   |                         | Los Banos, CA 93635                            |  |  |
|  | County Clerk  |                         |  |  |  |
|  | County of Merced  |                         |  |  |  |
|  | 2222 M Street   |                         |  |  |  |
| -  | Merced, CA 95340  |                         |  |  |  |
| -  | William, Cri 755+0  | -                       |  |  |  |
| Projec   | Jose Tellez 1101 F Street, Site Plan Re   | view #2019-03           |  |  |  |
| Projec   | et Location - Specific 1101 F Street, APN 02  | 5-152-009               |  |  |  |
|  | et Location - City Los Banos  |                         | ct Location - County Merced                    |  |  |
|  | ption of Nature, Purpose, and Beneficiaries of  |                         | an Review #2019-03 for the development of      |  |  |
| one (1   | ) 5,156 square foot commercial structure along w  | ith site improvements.  |  |  |  |
| Name   | of Public Agency Approving Project City   | of Los Banos            |  |  |  |
|  | of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project  | Jose Tellez             |  |  |  |
| Exem   | pt Status (check one)   |                         |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080 (b) (1); 15268);</li> <li>☐ Declared Emergency (sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a);</li> <li>☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c)).</li> <li>☑ Categorical Exemption – Sec. 15332 In-fill Development Projects</li> <li>☐ Statutory Exemption – State code number:</li> </ul> |   |                         |  |  |  |
| Reaso  | ns why project is exemptCEQA provides sev   | eral "categorical exem  | nptions" which are applicable categories of    |  |  |
| projec   | ts and activities that the Lead Agency has determine  | ined generally do not p | pose a risk of significant impacts on the      |  |  |
| Environment. The project consists of the development of one (1) 5,156 square foot commercial structure along with  |   |                         |  |  |  |
| associa  | ated site improvements within the General Comm  | ercial Zoning District  | of the City of Los Banos. The Project is       |  |  |
| exemp  | t under Section 15332 of the State CEQA Guidel  | ines (Class 32-Infill D | evelopment Projects). The project meets        |  |  |
| the con  | nditions for an infill exemption described in Secti   | on 15332 of the State   | CEQA Guidelines as explained below.            |  |  |
| (a) The  | e project is consistent with the applicable general   | plan designation appli  | cable policies and applicable zoning           |  |  |
| design   | ation and regulations. The subject site's land use  | designation is Comme    | ercial in the City's 2030 General Plan and is  |  |  |
| zoned  | General Commercial. The General Plan Industria  | l designation permits   | small scale retail uses as proposed. Thus, the |  |  |
| projec   | t is consistent with the General Plan policies and  | the Zoning Ordinance.   |  |  |  |
| (b) Th   | e proposed project will occur within the City limi  | ts on an approximate    | 19,588 parcel that is surrounded by            |  |  |
| reside   | ntial development and uses along with commercia   | al development and use  | es. As fore mentioned the proposed project     |  |  |
| occurs   | within the city limits of Los Banos on an approx  | imate 19,588 acre parc  | cel, and thus, is less than the maximum five   |  |  |
| (5) acr  | e site specified in Section 15332 (b) for this exen   | nption.                 |  |  |  |
| (c) The project has no value as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species. The site has an existing non-conforming  |   |                         |  |  |  |
| single   | single family residential structure. The subject site is surrounded by low density residential development and commercial |                         |  |  |  |

development and contains no sensitive habitat or habitat for special status species. Thus the project site has no value for endangered, rare or threatened species. (d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality. The project does not warrant for a traffic study in accordance to the 2030 General Plan Circulation Element Implementing Action C-I-12 which states "Require traffic impact studies for all proposed new developments that will generate significant amounts of traffic (100 or more peak hour trips)." The project will not have a significant impact on traffic circulation as the project consists of a commercial structure for a dine in restaurant and smog shop along with Associated utility and land improvements. Historically, dine in restaurants do not generate high traffic and/or high trip numbers due to the type of business. Thus the project will not have a significant impact on traffic. The project is consistent with the impact reducing policies from the 2030 General Plan emphasized in the 2030 General Plan EIR. The project uses will not result in a generation of substantial noise levels and would not result in significant noise impacts. The project site is located adjacent to existing residential uses in an area where future ambient noise levels are projected to be 50-60 decibels according to the City's 2030 General Plan EIR, which is within the "normally acceptable" noise range for residential uses. Additionally, as stated in the City's 2030 General Plan EIR, Impact 3.11-1: new development under the proposed 2030 General Plan will result in population and employment increases and more automobile and truck use. This activity will contribute to raising ambient noise levels to the noise levels shown on the future noise contours. However, the future noise contours suggest that even at buildout there is virtually no land, other than directly on the road ways, being exposed to noise levels above 60 dB. In addition, use of noise attenuation measures such as increased screening, sound-proofing and double-glazing windows will help buffer or mask increases in ambient noise, thereby reducing potential impacts to levels that exceed standards for land use compatibility. The project would not result in significant air emissions and is below the level of development (9,000 square feet) cited in the San Joaquin Valley air Pollution Control District's "Small Project Analysis Level" Table 5-3 (c) Retail development (2017) in accordance with the Guidance for Assessing and Mitigating Air Quality Impacts (GAMAQI) that could potentially result in a significant impact. The proposed project complies with City storm water requirements and includes "Low Impact Development" measures, and thus, will not result in significant water quality impacts. (e) The project has been reviewed by City staff, and can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. The City has further considered whether the project is subject to any of the exceptions to the use of a categorical exemption found at CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2. This section prohibits the use of categorical exemptions under the following circumstances: (a) for certain classes of projects (Classes 3,4,5,6 and 11) due to location where the project may impact an environmental resource or hazardous or critical concern; (b) when the cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time, is significant; (c) where there is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances; (d) where the project may result in damage to scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, historic buildings rock croppings, or similar resources within a highway officially designated as a state scenic highway; (e) where the project is located on a state designated hazardous waste site; and (f) where the project may cause a substantial averse change in the significance of a historical resource. Section 15300.2(a) does not apply to the Class 32 category exemptions. Nonetheless, the project site is not located in an

| area of sensitive or critical concern.   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| With regard to Section 15300.2(b) there is no ev   | vidence of a potential significant cumulative impact because successive        |  |  |  |
| projects of the same type in the same place have   | e not been approved and are not currently proposed. Cumulative impacts         |  |  |  |
| related to development accommodated by the C   | City's General Plan were found to be less than significant in the 2030         |  |  |  |
| General Plan EIR. The project will not result in   | significant cumulative impacts with regards to the project and                 |  |  |  |
| potential General Plan buildout.   |  |  |  |  |
| Regarding Section 15300.2(c), the project woul   | d not result in any significant effects on the environment due to unusual      |  |  |  |
| circumstances. The project would not result in a   | any significant effects on the environment due to unusual circumstances.       |  |  |  |
| The project site is surrounded by urban develop  | oment and not located within a sensitive resource area. The project site is    |  |  |  |
| located and mapped in a non-hazardous area, as   | s is all of the surrounding area.  |  |  |  |
| Section 15300.2(d) does not apply to the projection  | t site as the site is not located adjacent to or visible from a designated     |  |  |  |
| scenic highway.  |  |  |  |  |
| Section 15300.2(e) does not apply because the s  | site is not a state-designated hazardous waste site. The project site is       |  |  |  |
| located in a mapped non-hazardous area as is al  | Il of the surrounding area.  |  |  |  |
|  | e are no historic resources or structures located within or around the project |  |  |  |
| site.  |  |  |  |  |
| For the reasons cited above, the project will not  | result in a significant environmental impact and qualifies for a               |  |  |  |
|  | 32 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines.              |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| The second secon |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Rudy Luqu  |  |  |  |  |
| Leady Agency Contact Person Associate P  | Planner Area Code/Telephone/Extension (209) 827-2432                           |  |  |  |
| If filed by applicant:   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Attach certified document of exemp  |  |  |  |  |
| Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? Yes No  |  |  |  |  |
| 14/25  | 11/1/19 ASSOCIATE PUANNER  |  |  |  |
| Signature  | Date Title   |  |  |  |
| ☑ Signed by Lead Agency  | Governor's Office of Planning & Research                                       |  |  |  |
| ☐ Signed by Lead Agency ☐ Signed by Applicant  | Date received for filing at OPR:NOV 01 2019                                    |  |  |  |
| 1.75 (5 E1.75)   |  |  |  |  |

**STATE CLEARINGHOUSE**