

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Crescent Elementary School Construction Project

Lead Agency: Greenfield Union School District

Contact Name: Jesse Avalos

Email: avalosj@gfusd.net

Phone Number: (661) 837-6000

Project Location: Bakersfield, Kern County

City

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Greenfield Union School District (GUSD) is proposing to construct and operate an elementary school (Project) within the unincorporated area of central Kern County at the southern end of San Joaquin Valley, California. The elementary school campus will occupy approximately 23 acres of the Project site. There will be multiple buildings, with an approximate area totaling almost 74,000 square feet (sq. ft.). The school will be completed in phases, with the first phase constructing: an administrative building, a cafeteria/multipurpose room, and 31 classrooms. The initial enrollment capacity will be 750 students with a potential to expand up to 1,080 students. Approximately 52 staff parking spaces and 100 visitor parking spaces will be provided. The site would be primarily accessed from Panama Lane on the northern Project boundary.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

As Lead Agency, the GUSD finds that the Project will not have a significant effect on the environment. The Environmental Checklist or Initial Study identified one or more potentially significant effects on the environment, but mitigation measures have been recommended that reduce all potentially significant impacts less-than-significant levels.

MM AES-1 would reduce aesthetic impacts to a less than significant level.

MM BIO-1 through MM BIO-6 would reduce impacts to biological resources to a less than significant level.

MM CUL-1 and MM CUL-2 would reduce impacts to cultural resources to a less than significant level.

MM GEO-1 through MM GEO-3 would reduce impacts to geology and soils to a less than significant level.

MM HAZ-1 through MM HAZ-4 would reduce impacts related to hazards and hazardous materials to a less than significant level.

MM HYD-1 and MM HYD-2 would reduce impacts to hydrology and water quality to a less than significant level.

MM NSE-1 and MM NSE-2 would reduce impacts resulting from noise to a less than significant level.

MM TRA-1 and MM TRA-2 would reduce impacts to transportation to a less than significant level.

The Lead Agency further finds that there is no substantial evidence that this Project would have a significant effect on the environment.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

N/A

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

CA Department of Education, CA Department of Toxic Substances Control, CA Division of the State Architect, San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board