Tommy Gong San Luis Obispo

County Clerk-Recorder Main Office: (805) 781-5080

Atascadero: (805) 461-6041

www.slovote.com

Receipt: 19-48135

ProductNameExtendedFISHFISH AND\$2,404.75

WILDLIFE FILING

Pages 28

Document 40-12312019-356

#

Document. COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO Info: DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND

BUILDING

Filing Type ND

Total \$2,404.75

Tender (On Account) \$2,404.75

Account# CTY

Account Name JE except TX & DSS

Delegation of Caroopt 12 of Doc

Balance \$18,780.50

Comment 1002062146

PLEASE KEEP FOR REFERENCE

12/31/19 2:50 PM gugalde San Luis Obispo

	1			
		RECEIPT NUM		
		40-123120		
				MBER (If applicable)
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.		201910907	1	
LEAD AGENCY	LEADAGENCY EMAIL		DATE	
COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO DEPARTMENT OF	kmorais@co.slo.ca.us		12/31/201	9
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO			DOCUMENT	NUMBER
PROJECT TITLE	···			
QUAGLINO PROPERTIES MITIGATED NEGATIVE DEC	CLARATION (PREVIOUS	SLY APPRO\	/ED); DRC20	18-
PROJECT APPLICANT NAME	PROJECT APPLICANT EM	AIL	PHONE NUM	IBER
MATT QUAGLINO	mq@quaglino.com		(805) 543-	0561
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	
815 FIERO LANE	SAN LUIS OBISPO	CA	93408	
PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)				
Local Public Agency School District	Other Special District	State /	Agency	Private Entity
CHECK APPLICABLE FEES: ☐ Environmental Impact Report (EIR) ☑ Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND) ☐ Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment due d	\$	3,271.00 \$ 2,354.75 \$ 1,112.00 \$		\$2,354.75
☐ Notice of Exemption (attach)				
□ CDFW No Effect Determination (attach)				
☐ Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy)			
☐ Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources	Control Board only)	\$850.00 \$		
County documentary handling fee		\$		\$50.00
☐ Other		\$		
PAYMENT METHOD:				¢0 404 75
☐ Cash ☐ Credit ☐ Check 🖄 Other	TOTAL RE	CEIVED \$		\$2,404.75
SIGNATURE AGEN	CY OF FILING PRINTED NAI	ME AND TITLE		
DO III (Helle	briela Ugalde, Deputy Co			

Filed in County Clerk's Office

Tommy Gong San Luis Obispo - County Clerk-Recorder

40-12312019-356

12/31/2019 FISH Pages: 28 Fee: \$ 2404.75

Fee: \$ 2404.75

TO:	\boxtimes	COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO 1055 MONTEREY STREET, STE D120 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93408
		OFFICE OF PLANNING & RESEARCH P.O. BOX 3044 SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-3044
FROM:		XZANDREA FOWLER ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR COUNTY PLANNING & BUILDING DEPT. 976 OSOS STREET, ROOM 200 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93408 (County Clerk Use - Received file date stamp)
SUBJEC		ING OF NOTICE OF DETERMINATION IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 21108 OR 21152 OF JBLIC RESOURCES CODE
STATE LEAD A APPLIC PROJEC and ma approx Commo	CLEARI AGENCY AGENCY CANT N 81 CT LOCA CT DESC Innately ercial Sc	COUNTY PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT 976 OSOS STREET, ROOM 200 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93408-2040 CONTACT: Kip Morais / kmorais@co.slo.ca.us TELEPHONE: (805)781-5136 CAME/CONTACT INFO: Matt Quaglino/ mq@quaglino.com / 805-543-0561 5 Fiero Lane, San Luis Obispo, CA, 93401 ATION: 760 Fiero Lane; San Luis Obispo County CRIPTION: Request by Quaglino Properties for a Minor Use Permit to allow a two-story office uring building totaling 38,929 square feet. The project will result in the disturbance of 103,803 square feet on an approximately 2.3-acre parcel. The proposed project is within the ervice land use category and is located at 760 Fiero Lane approximately 610 feet west of Broad
of the S	San Luis	nt to and south of the City of San Luis Obispo. The site is in the San Luis Obispo North sub area obispo planning area.
This is 1	to advis	HE PLANNING DIRECTOR: The that the County of San Luis Obispo as Lead Agency has Proved the above-described project of the has made the following determination regarding the above-described project:
2.	☐ An 図 A N	oject will have a significant effect on the environment. Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA ion measures were made a condition of the approval of the project.
Signatu Date	re (Pub	olic Agency) How Title LAM USE TECHNICIAN Title LAM USE TECHNICIAN Date received for filing at OPR: Governor's Office of Planning & Research

JAN 02 2020



Initial Study Summary - Environmental Checklist

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING 976 OSOS STREET + ROOM 200 + SAN LUIS OBISPO + CALIFORNIA 93408 + (805) 781-5600

Proje	ct Title & No. Quaglii	no Properties Minor Use	e Permit	ED19-095(D	(ver 5.10).seg Fo RC2018-00239)	
ENVIE "Poter to the	RONMENTAL FACTORS ntially Significant Impact" for attached pages for discus its to less than significant I	POTENTIALLY AFFEC or at least one of the envir sion on mitigation measu	CTED: The onmental factories or project	ctors checked		refe
Ag Aii Bi	esthetics gricultural Resources r Quality ological Resources ultural Resources	Geology and Soils Hazards/Hazardous Noise Population/Housing Public Services/Utili		Wastev	ortation/Circulat vater Hydrology	ion
DETER	RMINATION: (To be comp	eleted by the Lead Agency	y)			
On the	basis of this initial evalua	tion, the Environmental C	oordinator fi	nds that:		
	The proposed project C NEGATIVE DECLARATION		nificant effe	ect on the e	environment, ar	nd a
	Although the proposed property be a significant effect in the to by the project proponer	is case because revisions	s in the proje	ct have beer	n made by or agi	
	The proposed project ENVIRONMENTAL IMPA			on the env	ironment, and	an
	The proposed project Munless mitigated" impact analyzed in an earlier daddressed by mitigation sheets. An ENVIRONME effects that remain to be a	on the environment, but ocument pursuant to ap measures based on the NTAL IMPACT REPORT	at least one plicable leg earlier ana	e effect 1) ha al standards lysis as des	as been adequa , and 2) has b cribed on attac	ately been ched
	Although the proposed protentially significant effective DECLARATION pursuant pursuant to that earlier Emeasures that are imposed	cts (a) have been analyze t to applicable standards EIR or NEGATIVE DECL	d adequatel s, and (b) h ARATION, i	y in an earlie ave been a ncluding rev	r EIR or NEGAT voided or mitiga risions or mitiga	TVE ated
Kip M	orais (kmorais@co.slo.ca.us)		Marie.		10/2/10	
Prepa	red by (Print) Date	Signature 7	· co car		10/1/19	
, <i>/</i>			Karen Nal Supervisir	l, ng Planner		
1	aun Nath	10/7/2	019			

Reviewed by (Print)
Date

Signature

(for)

Project Environmental Analysis

The County's environmental review process incorporates all of the requirements for completing the Initial Study as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the CEQA Guidelines. The Initial Study includes staff's on-site inspection of the project site and surroundings and a detailed review of the information in the file for the project. In addition, available background information is reviewed for each project. Relevant information regarding soil types and characteristics, geologic information, significant vegetation and/or wildlife resources, water availability, wastewater disposal services, existing land uses and surrounding land use categories and other information relevant to the environmental review process are evaluated for each project. Exhibit A includes the references used, as well as the agencies or groups that were contacted as a part of the Initial Study. The County Planning Department uses the checklist to summarize the results of the research accomplished during the initial environmental review of the project.

Persons, agencies or organizations interested in obtaining more information regarding the environmental review process for a project should contact the County of San Luis Obispo Planning Department, 976 Osos Street, Rm. 200, San Luis Obispo, CA, 93408-2040 or call (805) 781-5600.

A. PROJECT

DESCRIPTION: Request by Quaglino Properties for a Minor Use Permit to allow a two-story office and manufacturing building totaling 38,929 square feet. The project will result in the disturbance of approximately 103,803 square feet on an approximately 2.3-acre parcel. The proposed project is within the Commercial Service land use category and is located at 760 Fiero Lane approximately 610 feet west of Broad Street, adjacent to and south of the City of San Luis Obispo. The site is in the San Luis Obispo North sub area of the San Luis Obispo planning area.

ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER(S): 076-412-003

Latitude: 35 degrees 14' 40.88008" N Longitude: 120 degrees 38' 847388" W

SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT #3

B. EXISTING SETTING

PLAN AREA: San Luis Obispo

SUB: San Luis Obispo(North)

COMM: San Luis Obispo

LAND USE CATEGORY: Commercial Service

COMB. DESIGNATION: Airport Review

PARCEL SIZE: 2.3 acres

TOPOGRAPHY: Nearly level VEGETATION: Urban-built up

EXISTING USES: Vacant, mostly undeveloped

SURROUNDING LAND USE CATEGORIES AND USES:

North: Office and Professional;	East: Commercial Service;
South: Commercial Service;	West: Commercial Service;

C. ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

During the Initial Study process, at least one issue was identified as having a potentially significant environmental effects (see following Initial Study). Those potentially significant items associated with the proposed uses can be minimized to less than significant levels.



COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST

1.	AESTHETICS Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a)	Create an aesthetically incompatible site open to public view?			\boxtimes	
b)	Introduce a use within a scenic view open to public view?			\boxtimes	
c)	Change the visual character of an area?			\boxtimes	
d)	Create glare or night lighting, which may affect surrounding areas?		\boxtimes		
e)	Impact unique geological or physical features?				
f)	Other:				

Aesthetics

Setting. The project site is located on a vacant parcel within the Commercial Service land use category on the north side of Fiero Lane. Surrounding properties to the south, east, and west are zoned Commercial Service. The properties immediately to the north are within the City limits of the City of San Luis Obispo. The Commercial Service properties are developed with manufacturing and office uses. The site is relatively flat. A portion of the East Fork of the San Luis Obispo Creek runs along the northern portion of the property.

Impact. Although the site is visible from Fiero Lane, development along this corridor includes warehouses and offices. Therefore, future development, given the land use category, will be compatible with surrounding uses. The proposed plans show buildings with gray plaster and slate gray metal siding with white and terra cotta accent with landscaping along the Fiero Lane frontage. Lighting will have to be low intensity, shielded and directed downward onto the site to avoid creating a hazard for aircraft, because the site is located in close proximity to the airport.

Mitigation/Conclusion. Mitigation measures for lighting have been included. No further measures are considered necessary.

2.	AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable		
a)	Convert prime agricultural land, per NRCS soil classification, to non-agricultural use?						
b)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance to non-agricultural use?						
c)	Impair agricultural use of other property or result in conversion to other uses?			\boxtimes			
d)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or Williamson Act program?						
e)	Other:						
•	Agricultural Resources						

for agricultural production:

Land Use Category: Commercial Service

Historic/Existing Commercial Crops: None

State Classification: Prime Farmland if irrigated

In Agricultural Preserve? Yes, Edna Valley Ag

Preserve Area

Under Williamson Act contract? No

The soil type(s) and characteristics on the subject property include:

<u>Cropley clay</u> (0 - 2% slope). This nearly level soil is considered very poorly drained. The soil has moderate erodibility and high shrink-swell characteristics, as well as having potential septic system constraints due to: slow percolation. The soil is considered Class IV without irrigation and Class II when irrigated.

Salinas silty clay loam (0 - 2 % slope). This nearly level fine loamy bottom soil is considered not well drained. The soil has moderate erodibility and moderate shrink-swell characteristics, as well as having potential septic system constraints due to: slow percolation. The soil is considered Class III without irrigation and Class I when irrigated.

Impact. The project is located in a predominantly non-agricultural area with no agricultural activities occurring on the property or immediate vicinity. The subject area is zoned Commercial Service and is intended for more urbanized development. No significant impacts to agricultural resources are anticipated.

Mitigation/Conclusion. No mitigation measures are necessary.

3.	AIR QUALITY Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a)	Violate any state or federal ambient air quality standard, or exceed air quality emission thresholds as established by County Air Pollution Control District?				
b)	Expose any sensitive receptor to substantial air pollutant concentrations?			\boxtimes	
c)	Create or subject individuals to objectionable odors?			\boxtimes	
d)	Be inconsistent with the District's Clean Air Plan?				\boxtimes
e)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant either considered in non-attainment under applicable state or federal ambient air quality standards that are due to increased energy use or traffic generation, or intensified land use change?				
GI	REENHOUSE GASES				
f)	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			\boxtimes	
g)	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			\boxtimes	
h)	Other:				
Air	· Quality				

Setting.

The project proposes to disturb soils that have been given a wind erodibility rating of 6, which is considered "moderately high."

The Air Pollution Control District (APCD) has developed and updated their CEQA Air Quality Handbook (2012) to evaluate project specific impacts and help determine if air quality mitigation measures are needed, or if potentially significant impacts could result. To evaluate long-term emissions, cumulative effects, and establish countywide programs to reach acceptable air quality levels, a Clean Air Plan has been adopted (prepared by APCD).

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions are said to result in an increase in the earth's average surface temperature. This is commonly referred to as global warming. The rise in global temperature is associated with long-term changes in precipitation, temperature, wind patterns, and other elements of the earth's climate system. This is also known as climate change. These changes are now thought to be broadly attributed to GHG emissions, particularly those emissions that result from the human production and use of fossil fuels.

The passage of AB32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act (2006), recognized the need to reduce GHG emissions and set the greenhouse gas emissions reduction goal for the State of California into law. The law required that by 2020, State emissions must be reduced to 1990 levels. This is to be accomplished by reducing greenhouse gas emissions from significant sources via regulation, market mechanisms, and other actions. Subsequent legislation (e.g., SB97-Greenhouse Gas Emissions bill) directed the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to develop statewide thresholds.

In March 2012, the San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District (APCD) approved thresholds for GHG emission impacts, and these thresholds have been incorporated the APCD's CEQA Air Quality Handbook. APCD determined that a tiered process for residential / commercial land use projects was the most appropriate and effective approach for assessing the GHG emission impacts. The tiered approach includes three methods, any of which can be used for any given project:

- 1. Qualitative GHG Reduction Strategies (e.g. Climate Action Plans): A qualitative threshold that is consistent with AB 32 Scoping Plan measures and goals; or,
- 2. Bright-Line Threshold: Numerical value to determine the significance of a project's annual GHG emissions; or,
- 3. Efficiency-Based Threshold: Assesses the GHG impacts of a project on an emissions per capita basis.

For most projects the Bright-Line Threshold of 1,150 Metric Tons CO2/year (MT CO2e/yr) will be the most applicable threshold. In addition to the residential/commercial threshold options proposed above, a bright-line numerical value threshold of 10,000 MT CO2e/yr was adopted for stationary source (industrial) projects.

It should be noted that projects that generate less than the above mentioned thresholds will also participate in emission reductions because air emissions, including GHGs, are under the purview of the California Air Resources Board (or other regulatory agencies) and will be "regulated" either by CARB, the Federal Government, or other entities. For example, new vehicles will be subject to increased fuel economy standards and emission reductions, large and small appliances will be subject to more strict emissions standards, and energy delivered to consumers will increasingly come from renewable sources. Other programs that are intended to reduce the overall GHG emissions include Low Carbon Fuel Standards, Renewable Portfolio standards and the Clean Car standards. As a result, even the emissions that result from projects that produce fewer emissions than the threshold will be subject to emission reductions.

Under CEQA, an individual project's GHG emissions will generally not result in direct significant impacts. This is because the climate change issue is global in nature. However, an individual project could be found to contribute to a potentially significant cumulative impact. Projects that have GHG emissions above the noted thresholds may be considered cumulatively considerable and require mitigation.

Impact. As proposed, the project will result in the disturbance of approximately 103,803 square feet. This will result in the creation of construction dust, as well as short- and long-term vehicle emissions. The APCD evaluated the construction impacts of this project using the most recent CalEEMod computer model for estimating construction emissions related to the development of land uses (see attached APCD letter dated May 14, 2019). The modeling results indicate that the construction phase impacts will likely be less than the APCD's significance threshold values identified in Table 2-1 of the CEQA Air Quality Handbook (April 2012). As identified by the APCD, air quality impacts during construction include: fugitive dust, hydrocarbon contaminated soil, potential release of naturally occurring asbestos during grading, and unpermitted developmental burning. These items are summarized as follows:

Fugitive Dust (PM10). Implementation of the proposed project would result in the generation of dust, potentially affecting local residents and businesses in close proximity to the project site. complaints could result in violation of the APCD's nuisance rules, a potentially significant air quality impact.

Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil. Because of the previous storage of vehicles and heavy equipment on the project site, construction could result in the disturbance of hydrocarbon contaminated soil, potentially affecting people and the creek in close proximity to the project site. The unmitigated disturbance of hydrocarbon contaminated soil could result in a potentially significant air quality impact.

Naturally Occurring Asbestos. According to the APCD, the project site is located in an area containing potentially naturally occurring asbestos, serpentine or ultramafic rock. The State Air Resources Board considers asbestos a toxic air contaminant. If asbestos is present within the soil underlying the project site, future grading and site disturbance activities would release the asbestos into the air, resulting in a potentially significant air quality impact.

Developmental Burning. On February 5, 2000, the APCD prohibited developmental burning of vegetative material within San Luis Obispo County; however, in certain situations where no technically feasible alternative is available, limited burning under restrictions may be allowed. Unregulated burning would result in a potentially significant air quality impact.

Based on the most recent CalEEMod computer model, the operational phase would likely be less than the APCD's significance threshold values identified in Table 3-2 of the CEQA Air Quality Handbook (April 2012).

Clean Air Plan Consistency. The proposed project would be located within an existing urban area, and proposes typical uses expected to be within this land use category and is therefore considered consistent with the Clean Air Plan.

This project is a two-story building with manufacturing on the first floor and office space on the first and second floors. Using the GHG threshold information described in the Setting section, the project is expected to generate less than the Bright-Line Threshold of 1,150 metric tons of GHG emissions. Therefore, the project's potential direct and cumulative GHG emissions are found to be less significant and less than a cumulatively considerable contribution to GHG emissions. Section 15064(h)(2) of the CEQA Guidelines provide guidance on how to evaluate cumulative impacts. If it is shown that an incremental contribution to a cumulative impact, such as global climate change, is not 'cumulatively considerable', no mitigation is required. Because this project's emissions fall under the threshold, no mitigation is required.

Mitigation/Conclusion. Based on the project's previously identified air quality impacts, the applicant has agreed to implement the following measures to reduce air quality impacts to less than significant levels:

Fugitive Dust (PM10). To minimize nuisance dust impacts, the applicant is required to implement APCD fugitive dust mitigation measures including reducing the amount of disturbed area where possible, the use of water trucks or sprinkler systems to water down airborne dust, daily spraying of dirt stock-pile areas, paving of applicable surfaces as soon as possible after grading, laying of building pads as soon as possible.

Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil. To minimize impacts from hydrocarbon contaminated soils, the applicant is required to notify the APCD as soon as possible after affected material is discovered to determine if an APCD permit will be required. Should contaminated soils be discovered, the applicant is also to maintain covers on storage piles of soil not actively involved in addition or removal and segregate clean soil from contaminated soil. Contaminated soil is to be covered with a TPH-nonpermeable barrier, and covered piles are to be designed in a way to eliminate erosion due to wind or water. In the event of hydrocarbon contaminated soil discovery, the air quality impacts from the excavation and haul trips associated with removing the contaminated soil would need to be evaluated

and mitigated if total emissions exceed the APCD's construction phase thresholds.

Naturally Occurring Asbestos. Prior to grading or site disturbance, the applicant has agreed to retain a qualified individual to conduct a geologic investigation for naturally occurring asbestos. If asbestos is present, the applicant would comply with Asbestos Air Toxin Control Measures for Construction, Grading, Quarrying, and Surface Mining Operations. These requirements include, but are not limited to, implementation of an Asbestos Dust Mitigation Plan and an Asbestos Health and Safety Program.

<u>Developmental Burning</u>. To minimize the effects of vegetative burning on regional air quality, the applicant is required by regulation to avoid burning, or if no alternative is available, obtain a burn permit from the APCD and County Fire/California Department of Forestry, and comply with all conditions required by these agencies.

Implementation of the mitigation measures described above and listed in Exhibit B would mitigate all identified air quality impacts to less than significant levels.

4.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a)	Result in a loss of unique or special status species* or their habitats?				\boxtimes
b)	Reduce the extent, diversity or quality of native or other important vegetation?			\boxtimes	
c)	Impact wetland or riparian habitat?		\boxtimes		
d)	Interfere with the movement of resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, or factors, which could hinder the normal activities of wildlife?		\boxtimes		
e)	Conflict with any regional plans or policies to protect sensitive species, or regulations of the California Department of Fish & Wildlife or U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service?				
f)	Other:				

Biological Resources

Setting. The following are existing elements on or near the proposed project relating to potential biological concerns:

On-site Vegetation: graded outdoor storage area, eucalyptus

Name and distance from blue line creek(s): A section of the East Fork of the San Luis Obispo Creek is approximately 45 feet north of the parcel.

Habitat(s): Riparian – A section of the East Fork of San Luis Obispo Creek runs approximately 45 feet north of the property. The site is mostly denuded of vegetation due to its informal use as an outdoor storage facility. Vegetation on site is dominated by ruderal species common to

^{*} Species – as defined in Section15380 of the CEQA Guidelines, which includes all plant and wildlife species that fall under the category of rare, threatened or endangered, as described in this section.

disturbed areas. The majority of the area has been graded and the vegetation removed with the exception of the northeast corner of the property.

Impact. The primary area of concern on the site is the East Fork of San Luis Creek that is located just north of the property boundary. This area contains riparian vegetation including a large sycamore tree on the parcel to the north. Development in or near the riparian habitat could result in impacts to plant or animal species. The riparian vegetation provides suitable habitat for nesting birds, so removal of trees during nesting season should be avoided to protect migratory bird species. Fish and Game Code 3503 protects birds, their eggs and nests from disturbance or destruction from construction activities. In addition, a smaller 14" Sycamore lies just within the northern property line and overhangs the proposed parking area. The applicant is requesting to be able to work within the dripline of this tree, possibly impacting the root system.

Mitigation/Conclusion. Mitigation measures are recommended to address grading within the dripline of any riparian vegetation, providing construction fencing at the edge of the riparian vegetation during any site disturbance, and avoiding removal of vegetation or any other ground disturbance between February 15 and September 15 to avoid impacts to native breeding and nesting birds. If construction activities during this period cannot be avoided, a county-approved biologist shall survey all breeding and nesting habitat on the site and adjacent sites for breeding and/or nesting birds no more than two weeks prior to construction or site disturbance activities. Results of the surveys shall be submitted to County Planning for review and approval. If nesting and/or breeding birds are found, appropriate mitigation measures shall be developed and reviewed and approved by the County in consultation with the CDFW and the applicant shall adhere to these measures during all construction activities on the site.

5.	CULTURAL RESOURCES Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a)	Disturb archaeological resources?			\boxtimes	
b)	Disturb historical resources?			\boxtimes	
c)	Disturb paleontological resources?			\boxtimes	
d)	Cause a substantial adverse change to a Tribal Cultural Resource?			\boxtimes	
e)	Other:				
٠I4	unel Deservess	_			

Cultural Resources

Setting. The project is located in an area historically occupied by the Obispeno Chumash. No historic structures are present and no paleontological resources are known to exist in the area. The project is within 300 feet of a blue line creek. Potential for the presence or regular activities of the Native American increases in close proximity to reliable water sources.

In order to meet AB52 requirements, outreach to four Native American tribes groups had been conducted (Salinan, Xolon Salinan, Yak Tityu Tityu Northern Chumash, and the Northern Chumash Tribal Council). Comments were received from one of the tribal groups (Salinan) on May 2, 2019 requesting a subsurface test be conducted to insure that buried resources would not be impacted by the project.

Impact. An extended (subsurface) phase I survey was conducted on July 23, 2019 by Albion. The

subsurface investigation involved five mechanically excavated trenches that were placed in the project footprint. Albion's subsurface investigation did not produce any cultural materials and failed to produce any anthropogenic soils or archaeological deposits. The study revealed a history of disturbance in the project area extending to depths as much as 4.5 feet, and no artifacts were observed on the surface or recovered in the five mechanically excavated trenches.

Mitigation/Conclusion. No significant cultural resource impacts are expected to occur, and no mitigation measures are necessary.

6.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable		
a)	Result in exposure to or production of unstable earth conditions, such as landslides, earthquakes, liquefaction, ground failure, land subsidence or other similar hazards?			\boxtimes			
b)	Be within a California Geological Survey "Alquist-Priolo" Earthquake Fault Zone", or other known fault zones*?				\boxtimes		
c)	Result in soil erosion, topographic changes, loss of topsoil or unstable soil conditions from project-related improvements, such as vegetation removal, grading, excavation, or fill?						
d)	Include structures located on expansive soils?		\boxtimes				
e)	Be inconsistent with the goals and policies of the County's Safety Element relating to Geologic and Seismic Hazards?				\boxtimes		
f)	Preclude the future extraction of valuable mineral resources?			\boxtimes			
g)	Other:						
• Pe	Per Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication #42						
Set	Setting. The following relates to the project's geologic aspects or conditions:						
•	Topography: Nearly level						
,	Within County's Geologic Study Area?: No						
	Landslide Risk Potential: Low						

Liquefaction Potential: Low

Nearby potentially active faults?: Yes Distance? 0.76 miles

Area known to contain serpentine or ultramafic rock or soils?: Unlikely

Shrink/Swell potential of soil: Low to high Other notable geologic features? None

Geology and Soils

Impact. As proposed, the project will result in the disturbance of approximately 103,805 square feet. The site has a low risk of liquefaction and a low to high shrink/swell potential based on the soils report provided with the application.

Expansive soils. Expansive soils tend to swell with seasonal increases in soil moisture and shrink during the dry season as soil moisture decreases. The volume changes that the soils undergo in this cyclical pattern can stress and damage structures if precautionary measures are not incorporated into design and construction. Based on the soils report, the "shrink-swell" potential of the project's soil(s) are considered 2 and 143 indicating very low expansion potential of the clayey sand fill and very high expansion potential of the native fat clay alluvium.

In addition, stormwater runoff from the development may adversely impact the creek to the north, including soil erosion and sedimentation. Drainage and stormwater management measures are recommended to mitigate this impact.

The project has the potential to reduce the soil's ability to absorb rainfall by covering ground with impervious surfaces. Increased impervious areas have the potential to result in downstream flooding. higher peak flows, and carry polluted runoff.

Mitigation/Conclusion. The applicant is required to comply with the NPDES program. In addition, pursuant to the Land Use Ordinance (LUO) and California building code, the applicant is required to prepare and implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, a drainage plan, and erosion and sedimentation control plan. Based on compliance with existing LUO standards, building code and NPDES requirements, impacts resulting from expansive soils and drainage, erosion, and sedimentation would be less than significant. There is no evidence that measures above what will already be required by ordinance or codes are needed

7.	HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a)	Create a hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			\boxtimes	
<i>b)</i>	Create a hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				

7.	HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within ½-mile of an existing or proposed school?				\boxtimes
d)	Be located on, or adjacent to, a site which is included on a list of hazardous material/waste sites compiled pursuant to Gov't Code 65962.5 ("Cortese List"), and result in an adverse public health condition?				
e)	Impair implementation or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response or evacuation plan?				
f)	If within the Airport Review designation, or near a private airstrip, result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
g)	Increase fire hazard risk or expose people or structures to high wildland fire hazard conditions?				
h)	Be within a 'very high' fire hazard severity zone?				\boxtimes
i)	Be within an area classified as a 'state responsibility' area as defined by CalFire?			\boxtimes	
j)	Other:				

Hazards and Hazardous Materials

Setting. The project is not located in an area of known hazardous material contamination. Due to location, fire hazard severity data is unavailable. Based on the County's fire response time map, it will take approximately 0-5 minutes to respond to a call regarding fire or life safety. Refer to the Public Services section for further discussion on Fire Safety impacts.

The project is within the County's Airport Review combining designation (AR). The AR is used to recognize and minimize the potential conflict between new development around the San Luis Obispo County Regional Airport and the ability of aircraft to safely and efficiently maneuver to and from this airport. This includes additional standards relating to limiting structure/vegetation heights as well as avoiding airport operation conflicts (e.g., exterior lighting, radio/electronic interference, etc.). The Airport Land Use Plan (ALUP) provides guidance for and limitations to the type of development allowed within the AR designation. Per the ALUP, the proposed use is considered "conditionally

approvable".

Impact. The project was reviewed and conditionally approved by the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) on May 15, 2019. The ALUC included the following recommendations for this development: the project will incorporate sufficient requirements to ensure noise will be mitigated, manufacturing uses including those involving hazardous, corrosive, or flammable chemicals will be prohibited, nonresidential density will be limited to 242 people, building coverage will be limited to 38%, and the maximum height of structures on site will be limited to 35 feet. In addition, no structure shall constitute an obstruction or hazard to air navigation, and any use that could interfere with takeoff or landing shall be prohibited. All projects within the AR designation are required to obtain an avigation easement to secure avigable airspace. All owners, potential purchasers and occupants shall receive full disclosure regarding noise, safety, and overflight impacts associated with Airport operations.

The project is located in the Airport Review area and development at this location could affect the safe and efficient use of the airport by aircraft. The project is a shell building and does not identify what commercial tenants will lease the property. There is a possibility that some of these commercial uses may involve the use of hazardous materials. The use or storage of any hazardous materials at a future date is subject to State and local regulations including a Fire Safety Plan and possible Hazardous Materials Business Plan. The project itself does not propose the use of hazardous materials, or the generation of hazardous wastes. The project does not present a significant fire safety risk. The project is not expected to conflict with any regional emergency response or evacuation plan.

Mitigation/Conclusion. No significant impacts as a result of hazards or hazardous materials are anticipated. The recommendations of the Airport Land Use Commission have been incorporated as conditions of approval.

8.	NOISE Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a)	Expose people to noise levels that exceed the County Noise Element thresholds?				
b)	Generate permanent increases in the ambient noise levels in the project vicinity?				
c)	Cause a temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise in the project vicinity?			\boxtimes	
d)	Expose people to severe noise or vibration?			\boxtimes	
e)	If located within the Airport Review designation or adjacent to a private airstrip, expose people residing or working in the project area to severe noise levels?				

8.	NOISE Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
f)	Other:				
Nois	se				
noise airpo cono	ing. The project is within close proximity of e contours in the Airport Land Use Plan sho ort noise contour. The Noise Element dictat ditionally acceptable with mitigation required. The possibly could generate additional noise.	w the project si tes that exterio	ite is located w r noise exposu	ithin the projective levels over (ted 60 dB 60 dB are
spac	act. The manufacturing tenant spaces are reserves require some level of mitigation to ensure ls from the San Luis Regional Airport. An ac	e that employed	es will not be e	exposed to unac	cceptable
by t	gation/Conclusion. Compliance with curre he Uniform Building Code to achieve the sures are considered necessary.				
9.	POPULATION/HOUSING Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a)	Induce substantial growth in an area either directly (e.g., construct new homes or businesses) or indirectly (e.g., extension of major infrastructure)?				
b)	Displace existing housing or people, requiring construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
c)	Create the need for substantial new			\boxtimes	

Population/Housing

d) Other: _

housing in the area?

Setting In its efforts to provide for affordable housing, the county currently administers the Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program and the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program, which provides limited financing to projects relating to affordable housing throughout the county. The County's Inclusionary Housing Ordinance requires provision of new affordable housing in conjunction with both residential and nonresidential development and subdivisions.

Impact. The project will not result in a need for a significant amount of new housing and will not displace existing housing.

Mitigation/Conclusion. No significant population and housing impacts are anticipated. The project will mitigate its cumulative impact to the shortage of affordable housing stock by payment of the housing impact fee. No mitigation measures are necessary.

1	PUBLIC SERVICE? Will the project have an ef result in the need for new services in any of the follo	fect upon, or or altered public	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a)	Fire protection?			\boxtimes		
b)	Police protection (e.g.,	Sheriff, CHP)?		\boxtimes		
c)	Schools?			\boxtimes		
d)	Roads?			\boxtimes		
e)	Solid Wastes?				\boxtimes	
f)	Other public facilities?	•			\boxtimes	
g)	Other:					
Settir	ng. The project area is se	ved by the follow	ing public serv	ices/facilities:		
<u>Polic</u>	e: County Sheriff	Location: S north)	LO County She	eriff Civil (Appro	ximately 2.9 mil	es to the
Fire:	Cal Fire (formerly CDF)	Hazard Se Unavailable	•	Data Respons	e Time: 0-5 mir	nutes
	Location: (Approximately 0.6	6 miles to the south	east)			
Scho	ol District: San Luis Coastal	Unified School Dist	trict			

Public Services

For additional information regarding fire hazard impacts, go to the 'Hazards and Hazardous Materials' section

Impact. No significant project-specific impacts to utilities or public services were identified. This project, along with others in the area, will have a cumulative effect on police/sheriff and fire protection, and schools. The project's direct and cumulative impacts are within the general assumptions of allowed use for the subject property that was used to estimate the fees in place.

Mitigation/Conclusion. Regarding cumulative effects, public facility (County) and school (State Government Code 65995 et seq.) fee programs have been adopted to address this impact and will reduce the cumulative impacts to less than significant levels. These fees are to be paid prior to building permit issuance.

11.	RECREATION Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a)	Increase the use or demand for parks or other recreation opportunities?			\boxtimes	
b)	Affect the access to trails, parks or other recreation opportunities?			\boxtimes	
c)	Other				
	eation	aia at ia within			ha luan
Bautis	ng. Based on the County Trails Map, the prosta de Anza National Historic Trail. The prost improvements are necessary.				
	ct. The proposed project will not create a signational resources.	gnificant need	for additional pa	ark, Natural Are	a, and/or
_	ation/Conclusion. No significant recreation ecessary.	n impacts are a	anticipated, and	no mitigation n	neasures
12.	TRANSPORTATION/CIRCULATION Will the project:	N Potentially Significan	t & will be	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
	ncrease vehicle trips to local or areawide	е 🗌	mitigated		
b) I	circulation system? Reduce existing "Level of Service" on oublic roadway(s)?			\boxtimes	
c) (Create unsafe conditions on public roadways (e.g., limited access, design reatures, sight distance, slow vehicles)?			\boxtimes	
	Provide for adequate emergency access	?		\boxtimes	
(Conflict with an established measure of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system considering all modes of transportation (e.g. LOS, mass transit, etc.)?				
•	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program?				\boxtimes

12	2. TRANSPORTATION/CIRCULATION Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
g)	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				
h)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns that may result in substantial safety risks?			\boxtimes	
i)	Other:				

Transportation

Setting. The County has established the acceptable Level of Service (LOS) on roads for this urban area as "D" or better. The existing road network in the area (including the project's access street Fiero Lane) is operating at acceptable levels. Based on existing road speeds and configuration (vertical and horizontal road curves), sight distance is considered [acceptable].

Referrals were sent to County Public Works. The project is subject to the County Road Fee for the State Route 227 Corridor Traffic Mitigation Program, which addresses cumulative impacts to County roads in the area. No significant traffic-related concerns were identified.

The project is located within the City of San Luis Obispo Sphere of Influence per Memorandum of Agreement (MOA). A referral response was received from the City on April 26, 2019 stating the project is subject to traffic impact fees, and noting that these fees should be payed prior to building permit issuance.

Airport Review Combining Designation. The project is within the County's Airport Review combining designation (AR). The AR is used to recognize and minimize the potential conflict between new development around San Luis Obispo Regional Airport and the ability of aircraft to safely and efficiently This includes additional standards relating to limiting maneuver to and from this airport. structure/vegetation heights as well as avoiding airport operation conflicts (e.g., exterior lighting, radio/electronic interference, etc.). The Airport Land Use Plan (ALUP) provides guidance for and limitations to the type of development allowed within the AR designation. Per the ALUP, the proposed use is considered conditionally approvable.

Impact. The project was reviewed by the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) on May 15, 2019. The ALUC included the following recommendations for this development: prohibiting manufacturing uses identified in Table 5.3 of the ALUP, limiting the density to 242 people, limiting maximum building coverage to 38%, limiting the maximum height to 35 feet or less, prohibiting obstructions and hazards to air navigation, prohibiting uses that could interfere with the takeoff, landing, or maneuvering of aircraft, recording avigation easements, and requiring disclosure to all owners and occupants regarding airport noise, safety, or overflight impacts.

According to the Central Coast Transportation Consulting Trip Generation Memo dated January 9, 2019, the proposed project is estimated to generate about 193 trips per day, with 46 AM trips and 22 PM trips based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual (2017). While the project does not generate a significant number of new trips; cumulatively, the additional traffic generated further impacts the Highway 227 corridor and Broad Street within the City of San Luis Obispo.

Mitigation/Conclusion. A referral response from the County Public Works Department requires the applicant to enter into a State Route 227 Corridor Traffic Mitigation Fee agreement with the Department of Public Works per Board Resolution 2017-266 to mitigate for impacts to Highway 227. Upon referral of the project to the City of San Luis Obispo, the City requested the project pay into the City of San Luis Obispo's transportation impact fee program to address cumulative impacts to City transportation facilities.

Potentially

Impact can

Insignificant

Not

11	Will the project:	Significant	& will be mitigated	Impact A	pplicable
a)	Violate waste discharge requirements or Central Coast Basin Plan criteria for wastewater systems?				
b)	Change the quality of surface or ground water (e.g., nitrogen-loading, day-lighting)?				
c)	Adversely affect community wastewater service provider?			\boxtimes	
d)	Other:				
Set Mei	stewater ting. The project is currently served by the C morandum of Agreement that was extended by				
lmp Bas	nexation. Pact. The project proposes to use a commused on the proposed project, the proposed comulitional effluent.				
Mitigation/Conclusion . Given that the system is currently operating at acceptable levels and that it has been designed to handle the proposed effluent, no mitigation measures are necessary.					
14.	WATER & HYDROLOGY Will the project:	Potentia Significa		n Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
•	ALITY		П	\boxtimes	
•	iolate any water quality standards?				
a	Discharge into surface waters or otherwise liter surface water quality (e.g., turbidity, rediment, temperature, dissolved oxygen.				

etc.)?

13. WASTEWATER

14	I. WATER & HYDROLOGY	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
	Will the project:	0.g	mitigated	puot	, (b)
c)	Change the quality of groundwater (e.g., saltwater intrusion, nitrogen-loading, etc.)?			\boxtimes	
d)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide additional sources of polluted runoff?				
e)	Change rates of soil absorption, or amount or direction of surface runoff?		\boxtimes		
f)	Change the drainage patterns where substantial on- or off-site sedimentation/ erosion or flooding may occur?		\boxtimes		
g)	Involve activities within the 100-year flood zone?				\boxtimes
QL	JANTITY				
h)	Change the quantity or movement of available surface or ground water?			\boxtimes	
i)	Adversely affect community water service provider?			\boxtimes	
j)	Expose people to a risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding (e.g., dam failure, etc.), or inundation by seiche, tsunami or mudflow?			\boxtimes	
k)	Other:				
\A	lator				

watei

Setting.

The proposed project is within the San Luis Obispo/Avila water planning area. The project has obtained a will-serve letter from Fiero Lane Water Company. On March 26, 2019 Fiero Lane Water Company provided a statement that they currently source their water from the City of San Luis Obispo per the existing Memorandum of Agreement. The project lies within a larger area that is set to be annexed into the City of San Luis Obispo. The proposed manufacturing and office uses are infill development as the rest of Fiero Lane has been developed. The City of San Luis Obispo transitioned from using groundwater for potable purposes in April 2015.

The topography of the project is nearly level. The closest creek from the proposed development is approximately 45 feet away. As described in the NRCS Soil Survey, the soil surface is considered to have low to moderate erodibility.

Projects involving more than one acre of disturbance are subject to preparing a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to minimize on-site sedimentation and erosion. When work is done in the rainy season, the County's Land Use Ordinance requires that temporary erosion and sedimentation measures to be installed.

DRAINAGE – The following relates to the project's drainage aspects:

Within the 100-year Flood Hazard designation? No

Closest creek? East Fork of San Luis Creek Distance? Approximately 45 feet

Soil drainage characteristics: Well drained

For areas where drainage is identified as a potential issue, the Land Use Ordinance (LUO Sec. 22.52.110) includes a provision to prepare a drainage plan to minimize potential drainage impacts. When required, this plan would need to address measures such as: constructing on-site retention or detention basins or installing surface water flow dissipaters. This plan would also need to show that the increased surface runoff would have no more impacts than that caused by historic flows.

SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION – Soil type, area of disturbance, and slopes are key aspects to analyzing potential sedimentation and erosion issues. The project's soil types and descriptions are listed in the previous Agriculture section under "Setting". As described in the NRCS Soil Survey, the project's soil erodibility is as follows:

Soil erodibility: Low to moderate

A sedimentation and erosion control plan is required for all construction and grading projects (LUO Sec. 22.52.120, CZLUO Sec. 23.05.036) to minimize these impacts. When required, the plan is prepared by a civil engineer to address both temporary and long-term sedimentation and erosion impacts. Projects involving more than one acre of disturbance are subject to the preparation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), which focuses on controlling storm water runoff. The Regional Water Quality Control Board is the local extension who monitors this program.

Impact - Water Quality/Hydrology

With regards to project impacts on water quality the following conditions apply:

- ✓ Approximately 103,805 square feet of site disturbance is proposed and the movement of approximately 2,908 cubic yards of material;
- ✓ The project will be subject to standard County requirements for drainage, sedimentation and erosion control for construction and permanent use;
- ✓ The project will be disturbing over an acre and will be required to prepare a SWPPP, which will be implemented during construction;
- ✓ The project is not on highly erodible soils, nor on moderate to steep slopes;
- ✓ The project is not within a 100-year Flood Hazard designation;
- ✓ All disturbed areas will be permanently stabilized with impermeable surfaces and landscaping;
- ✓ Parking area drainage inlets will be fitted with hydrocarbon filters;
- ✓ Bioswales will be installed as a part of the drainage plan;
- ✓ Stockpiles will be properly managed during construction to avoid material loss due to erosion;
- ✓ The project is subject to the County's Plumbing Code (Chapter 7 of the Building and Construction Ordinance [Title 19]), and/or the "Water Quality Control Plan, Central Coast Basin" for its wastewater requirements, where wastewater impacts to the groundwater basin will be less than significant;
- ✓ All hazardous materials and/or wastes will be properly stored on-site, which include secondary containment should spills or leaks occur;

Water Quantity

Based on a will-serve letter and letter of support from Fiero Lane Mutual Water Company, domestic and landscape water demands are expected to be in the range of 0.5 to 1.0 acre-ft per year. The source of water supply for Fiero Lane Mutual Water Company is the City of San Luis Obispo under the terms of an existing Memorandum of Agreement and no additional groundwater will be pumped to service the subject property.

Mitigation/Conclusion. As specified above for water quality, existing regulations and/or required plans will adequately address surface water quality impacts during construction and permanent use of the project. No additional measures above what are required or proposed are needed to protect water quality. Based on the proposed amount of water to be used and the water source, no significant impacts from water use are anticipated.

15. LAND USE Will the project:	Inconsistent	Potentially Inconsistent	Consistent	Not Applicable
a) Be potentially inconsistent with land use, policy/regulation (e.g., general plan [County Land Use Element and Ordinance], local coastal plan, specific plan, Clean Air Plan, etc.) adopted to avoid or mitigate for environmental effects?				
b) Be potentially inconsistent with any habitat or community conservation plan?			\boxtimes	
c) Be potentially inconsistent with adopted agency environmental plans or policies with jurisdiction over the project?			\boxtimes	
d) Be potentially incompatible with surrounding land uses?			\boxtimes	
e) Other:				

Land Use

Setting/Impact. Surrounding uses are identified on Page 2 of the Initial Study. The proposed project was reviewed for consistency with policy and/or regulatory documents relating to the environment and appropriate land use (e.g., County Land Use Ordinance, Local Coastal Plan, etc.). Referrals were sent to outside agencies to review for policy consistencies (e.g., CAL FIRE for Fire Code, APCD for Clean Air Plan, etc.). The project was found to be consistent with these documents (refer also to Exhibit A on reference documents used).

The project is not within or adjacent to a Habitat Conservation Plan area. The project is consistent or compatible with the surrounding uses as summarized on page 2 of this Initial Study.

The proposed project is subject to the following Planning Area Standard(s) as found in the County's LUO:

- 1. LUO Section 22.96.060 A4 Airport Area
- 2. LUO Section 22.96.060 C4 Commercial Service-Business parks
- 3. LUO Section 22.96.040 San Luis Obispo Sub Area Standards
- 4. LUO Section 22.96.060 San Luis Obispo Urban Area Standards
- 5. LUO Section 22.96.020 A Airport Review Area

6. LUO Section 22.96

The Airport Land Use Commission determined that as conditioned, the project was consistent with the Airport Land Use Plan.

Mitigation/Conclusion.

No inconsistencies were identified and therefore no additional measures above what will already be required were determined necessary.

16.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE Will the project:	Potentially Significant	Impact can & will be mitigated	Insignificant Impact	Not Applicable
a)	Have the potential to degrade the quali- habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cau sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate or restrict the range of a rare or endang examples of the major periods of	se a fish or wi a plant or ani	ildlife populat mal communi	ion to drop be ty, reduce the	low self- number
	California history or pre-history?			\boxtimes	
b)	Have impacts that are individually limit ("Cumulatively considerable" means the considerable when viewed in connection other current projects, and the effects	at the increm	ental effects o	of a project are	
	of probable future projects)		\boxtimes		
c)	Have environmental effects which will obeings, either directly or indirectly?	cause substar	ntial adverse o	effects on hum	nan
Cou	further information on CEQA or the County's web site at "www.sloplanning.org" rironmental Resources Evaluation System California Environmental Quality Act.	under "Enviror	nmental Inform	nation", or the	California

Exhibit A - Initial Study References and Agency Contacts

The County Planning Department has contacted various agencies for their comments on the proposed project. With respect to the subject application, the following have been contacted (marked with an \square) and when a response was made, it is either attached or in the application file:

<u>Con</u>	tacted <u>Agency</u>		<u>Response</u>
\boxtimes	County Public Works Department		Attached
\boxtimes	County Environmental Health Services	i	None
	County Agricultural Commissioner's Of	ffice	Not Applicable
	County Airport Manager		Not Applicable
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Airport Land Use Commission		Attached
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Air Pollution Control District		Attached
同	County Sheriff's Department		Not Applicable
\Box	Regional Water Quality Control Board		Not Applicable
П	CA Coastal Commission		Not Applicable
П	CA Department of Fish and Wildlife		Not Applicable
\boxtimes	CA Department of Forestry (Cal Fire)		None
	CA Department of Transportation		Not Applicable
Ħ	Community Services District		Not Applicable
図	Other Tribal Consultation		In File
Ħ	Other		Not Applicable
لـــا	** "No comment" or "No concerns"-type resp	onses	•
prop	following checked ("⊠") reference materials hosed project and are hereby incorporated be mation is available at the County Planning and	y refe	erence into the Initial Study. The following
	Project File for the Subject Application hty documents Coastal Plan Policies Framework for Planning (Coastal/Inland) General Plan (Inland/Coastal), includes all maps/elements; more pertinent elements: Agriculture Element Conservation & Open Space Element Economic Element Housing Element Noise Element Parks & Recreation Element/Project List		Design Plan Specific Plan Annual Resource Summary Report Circulation Study er documents Clean Air Plan/APCD Handbook Regional Transportation Plan Uniform Fire Code Water Quality Control Plan (Central Coast Basin – Region 3) Archaeological Resources Map Area of Critical Concerns Map
	Safety Element Land Use Ordinance (Inland/Coastal) Building and Construction Ordinance Public Facilities Fee Ordinance Real Property Division Ordinance Affordable Housing Fund San Luis Obispo Airport Land Use Plan Energy Wise Plan San Luis Obispo Area Plan/ San Luis Obispo Sub Area		Special Biological Importance Map CA Natural Species Diversity Database Fire Hazard Severity Map Flood Hazard Maps Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for SLO County GIS mapping layers (e.g., habitat, streams, contours, etc.) Other

Exhibit B - Mitigation Summary Table

Per Public Resources Code Section 21081.6, the following measures also constitute the mitigation monitoring and/or reporting program that will reduce potentially significant impacts to less than significant levels. These measures will become conditions of approval (COAs) should the project be approved. The Lead Agency (County) or other Responsible Agencies, as specified in the following measures, are responsible to verify compliance with these COAs.

Aesthetics

AE-1. At the time of application for construction permits, the applicant shall provide a lighting plan for review and approval. The lighting plan shall show low intensity lighting, shielded lighting and lighting directed downward onto the project site to avoid creating a hazard for low-flying aircraft using the runway.

Air Quality

- AQ-1. **During construction/ground disturbing activities**, the applicant shall implement the following particulate (dust) control measures. These measures shall be shown on the grading and building plans. In addition, the contractor or builder shall designate a person or persons to monitor the dust control program and to order increased watering, as necessary, to prevent transport of dust off-site. Their duties shall include holiday and weekend periods when work may not be in progress. The name and telephone number of such persons shall be provided to the APCD prior to commencement of construction.
 - a. Reduce the amount of the disturbed area where possible;
 - b. Use of water trucks or sprinkler systems in sufficient quantities to prevent airborne dust from leaving the site and from exceeding the APCD's limit of 20% opacity for greater than 3 minutes in any 60-minute period. Increased watering frequency would be required whenever wind speeds exceed 15 mph. Reclaimed (non-potable) water should be used whenever possible. Please note that when water use is a concern due to drought conditions, the contractor or builder shall consider the use of an APCD-approved dust suppressant where feasible to reduce the amount of water used for dust control. For a list of suppressants, see Section 4.3 of the CEQA Air Quality Handbook;
 - c. All dirt stockpile areas should be sprayed daily and covered with tarps or other dust barriers as needed;
 - d. Permanent dust control measures identified in the approved project revegetation and landscape plans should be implemented as soon as possible, following completion of any soil disturbing activities;
 - e. Exposed ground areas that are planned to be reworked at dates greater than one month after initial grading should be sown with a fast germinating, non-invasive grass seed and watered until vegetation is established;
 - f. All disturbed soil areas not subject to revegetation should be stabilized using approved chemical soil binders, jute netting, or other methods approved in advance by the APCD;
 - g. All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be paved should be completed as soon as possible. In addition, building pads should be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used;
 - h. Vehicle speed for all construction vehicles shall not exceed 15 mph on any unpaved surface at the construction site;
 - All trucks hauling dirt, sand, soil, or other loose materials are to be covered or should maintain at least two feet of freeboard (minimum vertical distance between top of load and top of trailer) in accordance with CVC Section 23114;

- j. To prevent 'track out', install and operate a 'track-out prevention device' where vehicles enter and exit unpaved roads onto paved streets. 'Track-Out' is defined as sand or soil that adheres to and/or agglomerates on the exterior surfaces of motor vehicles and/or equipment (including tires) that may then fall onto any highway or street as described in California Vehicle Code Section 23113 and California Water Code 13304. The 'track-out prevention device' can be any device or combination of devices that is effective at preventing track out, located at the point of intersection of an unpaved area and a paved road. Rumble strips or steel plate devices need periodic cleaning to be effective.
- k. Sweep streets at the end of each day if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent paved roads. Water sweepers shall be used with reclaimed water used where feasible. Roads shall be pre-wetted prior to sweeping when feasible;
- I. All PM₁₀ mitigation measures required should be shown on grading and building plans; and,
- m. The contractor or builder shall designate a person or persons to monitor the fugitive dust emissions and enhance the implementation of the measures as necessary to minimize dust complaints and reduce visible emissions below the APCD's limit of 20% opacity for greater than 3 minutes in any 60-minute period. Their duties shall include holidays and weekend periods when work may not be in progress. The name and telephone number of such persons shall be provided to the APCD Compliance Division prior to the start of any grading, earthwork or demolition.
- AQ-2. Developmental burning of vegetative material within San Luis Obispo County is prohibited. However, under certain circumstances where no technically feasible alternatives are available, limited developmental burning under restrictions may be allowed. Any such exception must complete the following prior to any burning: APCD approval; payment of fee to APCD based on the size of the project; and issuance of a burn permit by the APCD and the local fire department authority. As a part of APCD approval, the applicant shall furnish them with the study of technical feasibility (which includes costs and other constraints) at the time of application.
- AQ-3. **Prior to any site disturbance**, the applicant shall have a geologic evaluation completed to determine if naturally occurring asbestos (NOA) is present within the area of disturbance. If NOA is not present, an exemption request shall be filed with the APCD. If NOA is present, the applicant shall comply with all requirements of the Air Toxics Control Measure.
- AQ-4 To minimize impacts from hydrocarbon contaminated soils, the applicant is required to notify the APCD as soon as possible after affected material is discovered to determine if an APCD permit will be required. Should contaminated soils be discovered, the applicant is also to maintain covers on storage piles of soil not actively involved in addition or removal and segregate clean soil from contaminated soil. Contaminated soil is to be covered with a TPH-non-permeable barrier, and covered piles are to be designed in a way to eliminate erosion due to wind or water. In the event of hydrocarbon contaminated soil discovery, the air quality impacts from the excavation and haul trips associated with removing the contaminated soil would need to be evaluated and mitigated if total emissions exceed the APCD's construction phase thresholds.

Biological Resources

BR-1. The applicant shall avoid removal of vegetation or any other ground disturbance between February and September 15 to avoid impacts to native breeding and nesting birds. If construction activities during this period cannot be avoided, a county-approved biologist shall survey all breeding and nesting habitat on the site and adjacent sites for breeding and/or nesting birds no more than two weeks **prior to construction or site disturbance activities**. Results of the surveys shall be submitted to the Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) for concurrence with the report. If nesting and/or breeding birds are found, appropriate mitigation

- measures shall be developed in consultation with the CDFW and the applicant shall adhere to these measures during all construction activities on the site.
- BR-2. At the time of application for construction permits on all parcels, the applicant shall show the use of a planter or other pervious material within the dripline of the 14" Sycamore as well as remedial plantings at a 2:1 ratio of California Sycamore or other native species of trees. All trenching or grading within the dripline of riparian vegetation will be with hand tools and under the supervision of an International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) certified arborist.
- BR-3. **Prior to any site disturbance**, the applicant shall provide construction fencing at the edge of the riparian vegetation on the project site. This area will be marked by orange construction fencing which shall be installed **prior to any site disturbance** and remain in place throughout the grading and construction phases.

Transportation and Circulation

- TR-1. **Prior to building permit issuance,** the applicant shall pay road improvements fees for State Route 227 Corridor Mitigation. The Trip Generation Report dated January 9, 2019 determined a net PHT of 7 with an estimated SR 227 mitigation fee of \$23,912.00.
- TR-2. **Prior to building permit issuance,** the applicant shall pay traffic/transportation impact fees as calculated by the City of San Luis Obispo. Preliminary calculations based on the City's current fee schedule are calculated at: 193 daily trips x \$605.20 per trip = \$116,803.60.