Meeting Minutes

Meeting: San Jacinto River - Stage III Advisory Committee Meeting No. 5

Date: December 12, 2016

Time: 1:30 PM -3:30 PM

Place: RCFC&WCD

1. Welcome/Review – RCFC&WCD/WEBB – (1:30-1:35) Jason Uhley, Chief Engineer, opened the meeting by thanking everyone for their continued participation and provided a brief review of what the group is working to accomplish. The District turned the meeting over to WEBB to review prior information requested and new information developed.

2. Review Action Items from October 13th Meeting – WEBB - (1:35-1:45) **WEBB provided follow-up to the items that the committee had requested since the previous meeting. These items included a review of the refinement to Hybrid 4, a discussion on the layout and timing of draft report, and a discussion on the continued coordination with the various resource agencies.**

3. Discuss Comments Received During Additional Outreach – WEBB - (1:45-2:00) **WEBB had a few more inquiries from some of the smaller landowners along the River. WEBB informed the group that basic information about the planning effort was provided to these landowners and that once the report was completed, that they would have access to the report. WEBB/District continues to have discussions with representatives of USFWS, CDFW, and the USACOE to enlist feedback about the planning effort. This included detailed discussion of the Ethanac Bridge crossing with the RCA.**

4. Discuss Refinements for Hybrid Model – WEBB/RCFC&WCD - (2:00 – 3:00) **WEBB presented the refinements to the new hybrid model. These refinements included updated cost estimates and how the project could be phased to allow for an initial phase that provides the health safety benefits and a second phase that could provide for the recovery of land for development and the conservation of land. The Group agreed that Hybrid 4 should be the recommended alternative based on the refinements.**

5. Next Meeting – TBD – Mid-January @ 1:30 PM – 3:00 PM – RCFC&WCD **It was decided that since the next steps for the Group would be to review the Draft Report for comments, the Group did not need to meet and that comments would be circulated electronically. If there were any major discrepancies in the Draft Report, then the Group would reconvene to address these items.**