Meeting Minutes

Meeting: San Jacinto River - Stage III Advisory Committee Meeting No. 2

Date: May 31, 2016

Time: 1:30 PM -3:00 PM

Place: RCFC&WCD

1. Welcome/Review – **General Manager Jason Uhley opened the meeting by thanking everyone for their continued participation and provided a brief review of what the group is working to accomplish. General Manager turned the meeting over to WEBB to review prior information requested and new information developed.**

2. Objectives Ranking Summary – **WEBB provided an overview the Objective Goals of the San Jacinto River Stage 3 Planning and then reviewed the rankings that were provided by the group. Individual responses were kept anonymous. WEBB presented the ranking system that was developed in conjunction with the District. Discussion was held about the ranking scale and the group was asked to further review the ranking as a follow-up to the meeting.**

3. Alternatives Decision Scoring Matrix – **WEBB then presented the Alternatives Scoring Matrix that had been developed in conjunction with the District. The scoring matrix and scoring scales were discussed in detail with the group and the group was asked to further review the scoring as a follow-up to the meeting.**

4. Mystic Lake and Multi-Day Flooding - **WEBB presented an overview of the work that was done under the District's review for the analysis of the Mystic Lake storage and hydrologic modeling. Of the various models reviewed, it was determined that the initial condition for the storage within the Mystic Lake would be assumed to be full and that the single-day storm event versus the multi-day storm event was the hydrologic model to use for modeling effect that Mystic Lake has on the floodplain.**

5. Prior Alternatives Scoring – **As a follow-up to the discussion on the Alternatives Scoring Matrix, WEBB provided a sample scoring matrix for the original 5 alternatives developed with the prior work. Each Alternative was reviewed in detail along with the scoring decision. Based on the proposed scoring matrix, it was shown that the 5 alternative scored approximately 67%. Additional discussion was held about the scores.**

6. Preliminary Project Alternatives – **WEBB provided an overview of the various Alternative Elements that could be combined to form a Planning Alternative. The elements were based on a variety of structural elements (i.e., flow control structures) or managed floodplain elements (i.e., shallow pond conservation. At the end of the discussion, WEBB provided an initial list of alternatives that they would reviewing that provide the group with some initial feedback for the next meeting. Initial models would an Enhanced Environmental Scenario, Development Scenario, Transportation/Infrastructure Scenario, MSHCP Consistency Scenario, and a Cost Efficient Scenario.**

7. Next Meeting – **The meeting was concluded and the group was asked to provide any information on the Alternatives Scoring and the Alternatives Elements/Options to WEBB by the 20 of June so the preliminary Alternative's evaluation could be distributed prior to the June meeting. The next meeting was scheduled for Thursday June 30th @ 1:30 PM – 3:00 PM – RCFC&WCD**