Lower San Jacinto River Advisory Committee - Objectives Survey
(San Jacinto River Stage 3)

Committee Member Name: _____ **Date:** _____

Agency or Affiliation: _____

Project Objectives		**Additional Information**	**Ranking** Critical Objective = 3 Important Objective = 2 Secondary Benefit = 1 Unclear/Not Necessary = 0	**Notes (e.g. justification for assigned ranking)**
Convey 100-year tributary storm flows under the following roads:	Interstate 215	Interstate 215 currently floods during the 100-Year storm event. How important is flood-proofing Interstate 215?		
	Case Road	Case Road currently floods during the 100-Year storm event. How important is flood-proofing Case Road?		
	Goetz Road	Goetz Road currently floods during the 100-Year storm event. How important is flood-proofing Goetz Road?		
	Ethanac Road	Ethanac Road currently floods during the 100-Year storm event. How important is flood-proofing Ethanac Road?		
	Nuevo Road	Nuevo Road currently floods during the 100-Year storm event. How important is flood-proofing Nuevo Road?		
	Ramona Expressway	Ramona Expressway currently floods during the 100-Year storm event. How important is flood-proofing Ramona Expressway?		
Provide 100-year flood protection to future Ellis Avenue interchange		See attached footprint exhibit.		

Provide 100-year flood protection to RCTC commuter rail station and line alternatives	Station and Line have already been constructed.		
Maintain compatibility with existing San Jacinto River (SJR) MSHCP conservation goals as described in Volume 1 Section 7.3.7	• Hydrology and Hydraulics modeling to show the path way and duration of wet areas during various storm events along SJR in relation to known mapped plant populations. • Provide mapping that shows where the two thread-leaved brodiaea populations are located. • Provide mapping of what a conceptual 1000 foot wide corridor would look like from Ramona Expressway to mouth of Railroad Canyon.		Section 7.3.7 of the MSHCP includes specific criteria that would have to be addressed when a flood control project is proposed along the SJR from Ramona Expressway to mouth of Railroad Canyon. Modeling and mapping of resources will be required in order to analyze whether or not he criteria set forth in Section 7.3.7 can be met. If the criteria can be met, then MSHCP compliance would be achieved.
Avoid Western Riverside MSHCP Criteria Cell Refinement or Minor Amendment	Map of MSHCP Criteria Cells, Map of PQP Lands, Map of RCA/Conserved Lands		Per Section 7.3.7, as long as the criteria set forth can be achieved, a Criteria Refinement may not be needed. It will be in conditions where the criteria in Section 7.3.7 cannot be met, and where the contemplated Cell Criteria are diverted from, that a Criteria Cell Refinement may be required. Section 7.3.7 contemplates a Minor Amendment may also be needed; however the project could strive for avoiding this requirement as well. Wildlife Agency and RCA participation in the analysis of the Section 7.3.7 criteria will be important to consider. Understanding the original plans for conservation via the Cell Criteria will be important to consider.
Facilitate an update of the existing Interim Development Criteria within lower San Jacinto River	Criteria established by County of Riverside (under Resolution 2005-220) and City of Perris (under Resolution Number 3403)		
Accommodate regional trails plans	Map depicting the City of Perris and County of Riverside Regional Trails plans		Knowing where planned trails are proposed will be important to understanding as the Conservation areas and flood control improvements are contemplated. Providing for connectivity, or not precluding connectivity for trails and public access will be important to consider.
Avoid impacts to existing and proposed regional water supply and treatment infrastructure	Exhibit showing facility location/alignments to be provided during first Committee meeting		
Establish a feasible staging and implementation plan			
Minimize overall project costs			
Address waters permit requirements	The SJR floodplain areas would most likely be considered “waters” per the federal and state waters regulations. Ultimately, regulatory waters permits may be required for the project from the Army Corps of Engineers, Regional Water Quality Control Board, and California Department of Fish and Wildlife for flood control improvements. Mapping of jurisdictional areas will be needed so that any conservation and improvement areas can be evaluated against these areas that would trigger permits.		Understanding the permitting process along with the analyses that will need to be undertaken in order to apply for permits in the future will be important. Mitigation options should be discussed early on and streamlined along with any MSHCP and CEQA/NEPA analysis.