CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

ALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Environmental Impact Report under CEQA ased on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: It this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. This project does not damage a scenio resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not damage a scenio resource within an exempt class, but it can be seen with cortainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the original place. Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Envi	6-MAD-41/99/145/152	VAR/VAR	06-0X170	5 J. J. V. B. J. J.	
Intrinse involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary. Internal proposes a bridge maintenance project that would include treating bridge decks with methacrylate, placing the joint seals, placing polyester concrete overlay, and repairing spalling on the column base at one cation. See page 2 for all locations. ALTRANS CEGA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEGA sead on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080b); 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 11. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 ot seq.) Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080b); 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the onvironment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenio roscurce within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project soles not damage a scenio roscurce within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Signature Date Signature Date Print Name: Report and supporting information, the State has been assign	istCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P. M/P.M.	E.A/Project No.		
placing the joint seals, placing polyester concrete overlay, and repairing spalling on the column base at one cation. See page 2 for all locations. ALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable — Caltrane has prepared an initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA seed on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080lb): 14 CCR 15280 et seq.) Eased on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the onvironment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenio resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project sone not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. This project does not fall authin an exempt class, but it can be sone with cortainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][5]). **Common Sense Exemption, 17th project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be sone with cortainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental repart is can be sone with cortainty that there is no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances gursuant to 23 CER 771.117(b). **CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION** (Chock one)**	ctivities involved in this box. Use	Continuation Shee	project including need et. if nec.essa.rv.)	u, purpose, location, limits, righ	n-or-way requirements, and
Not Applicable — Caltrans has prepared an initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA seed on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080)bj. 14 CCR 15200 of seq.) Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080)bj. 14 CCR 15200 of seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, tho following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant offoct on the onvironment due to unusual circumstances. This project soes not damage a scenio rosource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project sons not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical rosource. Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with corrainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061 [b][3].) **EPA COMPLIANCE** Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Enanch Chief Signature** Print Name: Project Matignate and exemptions on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). Scattering that the project is a Categorical yesoluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Planner or Environmental Environmental Planner or Environmental Environmental Planner or Environmental	eplacing the joint seals, pla	cing polyester	oject that would concrete overlay,	include treating bridge de and repairing spalling on	cks with methacrylate, the column base at one
Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080lb): 14 CCR 15280 et seq.) Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080lb): 14 CCR 15280 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. Clase ii. (PRC 21084: 14 CCR 15300 ot seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely impoed, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant orfact on the onvironment due to unusual circumstancess. This project does not damage a scenio resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not acuse a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption, [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with cortainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15056 [10]3). **Signature** Print Name: Sanoir Environmental Pianner or Environmental Branch Child** Signature** Date **Signature** Date **Signature** **Date This Project is a Categorical Exclusion under the sequence of the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 25 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorical Exclusion under the secondar durinusual circumstances as described in 25 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the secondarial Environme	ALTRANS CEQA DETER	RMINATION (C	heck one)		
Categorically Exempt. Class (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 of seq.)			Env	ironmental Impact Report un	der CEQA
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, tho following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the onvironment due to unusual circumstances. This project be not damage a scenio resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not damage a scenio resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with cortainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 1506 [big3].) Here Competition of the project has not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and that there are no unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chock one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically evoluded from the requirements to prepare on a EA or EiS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereb certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, S		*			
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the onvironment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenio resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Corlese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. Filts project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with cortainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061 [b][3].) First Name: Seriot Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Print Name: Project Ambred Print Name: Project Ambred Signature Print Name: Project Ambred Signature Print Name: Project Ambred Signature Print Name: Project Name Print Name: Project Name Print Name: Project Name Print Name: Project Name Signature Print Name: Project Name Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer	Based on an examination of				true and exceptions do not
over time. The Is not a reasonable possibility that the project with have a significant offect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenio resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Corlese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption, This project does not fall within an exemptic class, but it can be seen with cortainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061 [b][3].) Print Name: Sanior Environmental Pianner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Print Name: Project-Miningfe Bettermined that this project: does not Individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and here of the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 USC 326 And a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has detormined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327 are environmental travier or project information of the project is a Categor	concern where designate	ated, precisely ma	pped, and officially a	dopted pursuant to law.	
This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with cortainty that the religion possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 1506 [16][3]). Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Print Name: Project-Miningger Print N	over time. There is not a reasona circumstances. This project does not of	able possibility that	the project will have	a significant effect on the onvir	ronmont duo to unusual
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE n accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has letermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant Impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statemont (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chock one) 23 USC 365: The State has determined that this project has no significant Impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and here certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has dotormined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Fedoral environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Callrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated Decembor 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Print Name: Sonior Environmental Planner or Environmental Diranch Chilef Signature Date Signature Date	This project does not of Common Sense Exemption	cause a substantia n. [This project doe	l adverse change in t es not fall within an e	ho significance of a historical recempt class, but it can be seen	osourco.
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE n accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, tho State has letermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chock one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, tho project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereb certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (c)(possibility that the activity ma	ay have a significa	nt effect on the envir	7 0 "	162
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, tho State has letermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chock one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereb certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) □ □ □ Activity □ Itsted in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has dotormined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Fedoral environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Calirans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated Decembor 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Calirans. Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Date		Planner or	Prin		11
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, tho State has letermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chock one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereb certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) □ □ □ Activity □ Itsted in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has dotormined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Fedoral environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Calirans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated Decembor 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Calirans. Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Date	1-6	- 8/1	6/2019	Pay W	3/19/19
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, tho State has letermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereb certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 USC 327: activity (c) 33 USC 327: Islated in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has dotormined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 3 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Fedoral environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Calirans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Calirans. Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Date			Date Sigi	naturo	Date
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereb certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: [23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)	In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumula requirements to prepare an Er	atively have a signi nvironmental Asse	Ificant Impact on the ossment (EA) or Enviro	environment as defined by NEF onmontal Impact Statement (El	PA, and is excluded from the
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereb certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: [23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)					
□ Activity Ilsted in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Calirans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Calirans. Print Name: Sonior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Date	23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual clithe requirements to prepare certifles that it has carried a Section 326 and a Memora has determined that the pro	s determined that to counstances as de to an EA or EIS und but the responsibility and understand oject is a Categoric activity (c)	his project has no sign escribed in 23 CFR 7 ler the National Envir by to make this determ ading dated May 31, 3	71.117(b). As such, the project onmental Policy Act. The State nination pursuant to Chapter 3	is categorically excluded from has been assigned, and hereby of Title 23, United States Code,
Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Calirans. Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signaturo Date	Activity Ilsted 23 USC 327: Based on an Categorical Exclusion unde	in Appendix A of examination of this or 23 USC 327. Th	s proposal and suppo e environmental revie	rting information, the State has ew, consultation, and any other	actions required by applicable
Environmental Branch Chlef Signature Date Signaturo Date					
The state of the s		al Planner of	PI	int Name: Project Manager/OLA En	gineer
Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: 8/16/19 Date of ECR or equivalent: 81/6/19	Signature		Date SI	gnaturo	Dato
	Date of Categorical Exclusion C	hecklist completion	n: 8/16/19 D	ate of ECR or equivalent: 8316	319

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

06-MAD-	41/99/145/152	VAR/VAR	06-0X170	
DistCoF	Rte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project
Continued	from page 1:			
1	Mad-41-0.077	SAN	JOAQUIN RIVER OVERFLOW	41-0040
2	Mad-99-0.080		SAN JOAQUIN RIVER	41-0090
3	Mad-99-2.230		AVENUE 8 OC & OH	41-0060
4	Mad-99-6.148		AVENUE 11 OC & OH	41-0061
5	Mad-99-10.761		WEST SIXTH STREET OC	41-0048
6	Mad-99-11.65		FRESNO RIVER	41-0052
7	Mad-99-12.752		AVENUE 16 OC	41-0058
8	Mad-99-17.852		BERENDA CREEK	41-0004
9	Mad-23.770		AVENUE 24 OC	41-0054
10	Mad-145-5.390		COTTONWOOD CREEK	41-0087
11	Mad-152-12.355		BERENDA SLOUGH	41-009R
12	Mad-152-12.355		BERENDA SLOUGH	41-009L