CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

111—SD-67 PROJECT DESCRIPTION: EAPProject No. EAPProject No								
ROLECT DESCRIPTION: Caltrans proposes pavement rehabilitation on State Route 67 (SR-67) from 0.2 miles north of the San Diego River Bridge in the City of Lakeside to the State Route 78 Junction in the City of Ramona (between post miles R6.0 and 24.4), San Diego County, California. The project also includes asset management principles at various locations, including drainage system restoration (slig bining or inverte), podestrian upgrades (control ramp upgrades complying to the Americans with Disabilities Act), and collision severity reduction (metal beam guardrail and end treatment upgrades). All work will occur within State right of-way. See attached Environmental Commitments Record for project conditions. CEQA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects only) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply (See 14 CCR 15500 et seq.): If this project falls within evempt class 3.4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. If the project falls within evempt class 3.4, 5 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. If this project close not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. If his project oles not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. If his project closes not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. If his project closes not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) In Activity and the contraction of this proposal supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen w	11 - SD - 67 DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	R6.0 – 24.4 P.M./P.M.				al Project)/Project No.		
Bridge in the City of Lakeside to the State Route 78 Junction in the City of Ramona (between post miles R6.0 and 24.4). San Diego County, California. The project also includes asset management principles at various locations, including drainage system restoration (slip lining or invert paving of culverts), pedestrian upgrades (curb ramp upgrades complying to the Americans with Disabilities Act), and collision severity reduction (metal beam guardrall and end treatment upgrades). All work will occur within State right-of-way. See attached Environmental Commitments Record for project conditions. CECA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects only) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply (Seed CR 1530 de sen): The state of the State Projects only) Based on an examination of the proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply (CR 1530 de sen): The result in the Based on the same send officially adopted pursuant to law. **There will not be a significant curvalitative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. **There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. **This project lose not cause a see scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. **This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. **CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)** This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. **CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)** Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: **Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity m								
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply (See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, if does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same byte in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project is not located on a self included on any list compiled pursuant to 6 ovol. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable — Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Not Applicable — Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Not Applicable — Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Exempt by Statute. (*PRC 21080 b]: 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (*PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (*CCR 15061 b][3].) Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name. Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE Date	Caltrans proposes pavement rehabilitation on State Route 67 (SR-67) from 0.2 miles north of the San Diego River Bridge in the City of Lakeside to the State Route 78 Junction in the City of Ramona (between post miles R6.0 and 24.4), San Diego County, California. The project also includes asset management principles at various locations, including drainage system restoration (slip lining or invert paving of culverts), pedestrian upgrades (curb ramp upgrades complying to the Americans with Disabilities Act), and collision severity reduction (metal beam guardrail and end treatment upgrades). All work will occur within State right-of-way. See attached Environmental Commitments Record for							
(See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.): If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]: 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. ([This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3]) Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project. determined that this project. determined that this project. determined that this project. does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or IES under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been added from the requirements to prepare an EA or IES under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been adequed. 2 32 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant im	CEQA COMPLIANCE (for Si	ate Projects only)						
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 81084); 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 81084); 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3].) Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project. does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental sefined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 2 3 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or ES under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 325 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion und	Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply (See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.): • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.							
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency				gnificance of a histo	orical resource.			
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]: 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 3. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3].) Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Application of Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Application of Print Name: Project Manager 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Date 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Date 10/14/19 Signature 10/	CALTRANS CEQA DETER	MINATION (Che	eck one)					
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]: 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3].) Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Not Applicable – Caltrans is	not the CEQA Lea						
Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Shay Lynn M. Harrison	Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:							
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Print Name: Project Manager Print Name: Project Manager								
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:	Shay Lynn M. Harrison		;	Shawn Rizzuto				
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(22) □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(□) □ Activity □ listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State □ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer				Print Name: Project M	lanager	40/44/40		
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(22) □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(□) □ Activity □ listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State □ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer	Signature			Signature	<u> </u>			
determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(22) □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(□) □ Activity □ Iisted in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State □ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer			-	V				
 does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:		7, and based on an	examination of	f this proposal and	supporting information,	the State has		
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 GFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(22) 23 GFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Signature Signature Signature Signature		volv hovo o cignific	ant impact on th	o onvironment co	defined by NEDA and is	avaludad frans Na		
 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:	requirements to prepare an Envi	ronmental Assessr	nent (EA) or En	vironmental Impact	t Statement (EIS), and	excluded from the		
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(22) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() 4 Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer 10/14/19 Signature 10/14/19 Signature								
that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(22) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Shay Lynn M. Harrison	CALTRANS NEPA DETER	MINATION (Ch	neck one)					
23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Shay Lynn M. Harrison Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature	that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(22) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()							
Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Shay Lynn M. Harrison Shawn Rizzuto								
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer 10/14/19 Signature Date Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer 10/14/19 Signature	Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the							
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Date Date	Shay Lynn M. Harrison			Shawn Rizzuto				
Show deputy flaion 10/14/19 Signature Date Psignature 10/14/19 Date	1	lanner or		Print Name: Project N	/lanager/DLA Engineer			
	Show Lepen In Hair	10 De	14/19 F	signature	high	10/14/19 Date		
	Date of Categorical Exclusion Che	cklist completion: 1			uivalent : 10/14/19			

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

11 – SD – 67	R6.0 - 24.4	43056	1119000015				
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.				
Continued from page 1:							
See attached Environmental Commitments Record for conditions that apply to this project.							
projecti							

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

OCT 17 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE