## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

06-KER-155 49.5/R60.0 06-0	77177777
DistCoRite. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/P	roject No. Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No. uding need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necess	eary.)
The California Department of Transportation propo	ses to overlay State Route 155 in Kern County near
Glennville from 2.4 miles north of Sandy Creek Fire	Road to 0.12 mile south of Adams Road. The overlay
will be 0.20' HMA (Type A) and will include 2 feet of	f shoulder backing on both sides. In addition, damaged
areas of the pavement will be repaired by removing	g and replacing the existing pavement (dig-outs). The
purpose of this project is to rehabilitate the roadwa deteriorating. No additional right-of-way is required	y and is needed because the existing pavement is
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)	
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency  Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA	
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et se	on, and the above statements, the project is:
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 1	5300 et seg.)
apply:	nformation, the following statements are true and exceptions do not
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and c	it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical officially adopted pursuant to law.     is project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,
over time.	will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual
<ul> <li>circumstances.</li> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource wit</li> </ul>	nin an officially designated state scenic highway.
<ul> <li>This project is not located on a site included on any</li> <li>This project does not cause a substantial adverse c</li> </ul>	list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").
	thin an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no
Shane Gunn	Maryam Rastegar
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Print Name: Project Manager
Environmental prantiti onlei	120000000000000000000000000000000000000
6 6 10/4/19	1014,19
Signature 10/4/19	Signature Date
Signature 10/4/19 NEPA COMPLIANCE	
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examina determined that this project:	ution of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examina determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impart	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examina determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparrequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has at on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examina determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparrequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examina determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparrequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has set on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examina determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparrequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA).  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one	attion of this proposal and supporting information, the State has bet on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).  The state has been defined by NEPA, and as no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and as CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examina determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparrequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project in that there are no unusual circumstances as described in the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Company (Company Company Compa	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).  as no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 3 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from nal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparrequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project in that there are no unusual circumstances as described in a the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Nation certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has bet on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (771.117(b)).  The state is categorically excluded from the environment as defined by NEPA, and (3 CFR 771.117(b)). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impair requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project if that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 2 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natic certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has bet on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (771.117(b)).  The state is categorically excluded from the environment as defined by NEPA, and (3 CFR 771.117(b)). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impair requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project if that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 25 that there equirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natic certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has bet on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (771.117(b)).  The state is categorically excluded from the environment as defined by NEPA, and (3 CFR 771.117(b)). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparrequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project in that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 2 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natic certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion  □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)  □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (771.117(b)).  as no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and (3 CFR 771.117(b)). As such, the project is categorically excluded from nal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby is determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State under:
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant imparrequirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project in that there are no unusual circumstances as described in a the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Nation certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make it Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion  □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)  □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(□)  □ Activity □ listed in Appendix A of the MOU but a Unique standing of this proposal activity of this proposal activity of this proposal activity of this proposal activity under the standard of this proposal activity under the MOU but activity of the MOU but	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has bet on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (771.117(b)).  The state is a supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a determined that the project is a determined that the project is a determined information, the State has determined that the project is a determined information, the State has determined that the project is a determined information, the State has determined that the project is a determined information, the State has determined that the project is a dete
NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinal determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impair requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project if that there are no unusual circumstances as described in a the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Nation certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion  □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)  □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(□)  □ Activity □ listed in Appendix A of the MOU but a Use 3 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environments	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has bet on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (771.117(b)).  as no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 3 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from nal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State under:
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impair requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project if that there are no unusual circumstances as described in a the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Nation certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make it Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion  □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)  □ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environment Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or here.	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has bet on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (771.117(b)).  as no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and (3 CFR 771.117(b)). As such, the project is categorically excluded from neal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State under:  Stween FHWA and the State and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a intal review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable ave been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impair requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project if that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 2 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Nation certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion  □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26) □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(□) □ Activity □ listed in Appendix A of the MOU be 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental laws for this project are being, or he Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016	attion of this proposal and supporting information, the State has et on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).  as no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 3 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from nal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State under:  Atween FHWA and the State and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a intal review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable ave been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the 5 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impair requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project if that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 25 that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 26 that the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natic certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU be 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal as Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental environmental laws for this project are being, or homeomorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016  Shane Gunn  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	attion of this proposal and supporting information, the State has bet on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (771.117(b)).  as no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and (3 CFR 771.117(b)). As such, the project is categorically excluded from neal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby his determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State under:  Stween FHWA and the State and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a intal review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable ave been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impair requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA).  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project if that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 2 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Nation certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU be 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environment Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or homeomorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016  Shane Gunn	attion of this proposal and supporting information, the State has  ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).  as no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 3 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from nal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby sis determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State under:  State has determined that the project is a intal review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable ave been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the stand executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  Maryam Rastegar  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impair requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA).  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project if that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 25 that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 26 that the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natic certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU be 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal as Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environment Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or homograndum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016  Shane Gunn  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	ation of this proposal and supporting information, the State has  at on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).  as no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 3 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from nal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby als determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State under:  atween FHWA and the State at supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a intal review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable ave been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the stand executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  Maryam Rastegar  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinate determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impair requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA)  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project if that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 25 that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 26 that the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natic certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU be 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal as Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental environmental laws for this project are being, or homeomorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016  Shane Gunn  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	attion of this proposal and supporting information, the State has  ct on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 771.117(b).  as no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and 3 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from nal Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby sis determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State under:  State has determined that the project is a intal review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable ave been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the stand executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  Maryam Rastegar  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

06-KER-155 49.5/R60.0 06-0Y070 0619000150 Dist.-Co.-Rte. (or Local Agency)
Continued from page 1: P.M./P.M. E.A/Project No. Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No. Please include the following SSP's SSP 14-6.03A Species Protection Surveys required if work is done during the nesting season (Feb. 1 - Sept. 30). SSP 7-1.02K(6)(j)(iii) Earth Material Containing Lead 36-4 Residue Containing Lead from Paint and Thermoplastic Governor's Office of Planning & Research OCT 04 2019 **STATE CLEARINGHOUSE**