Environmental Concurrence for CEQA Exempt Projects Water Supply Permits State Water Resource Control Board Division of Drinking Water

Water System Number:1910240Lead Agency:SCV WaterWater System Name:SCVWA-Valencia Water DivisionDate NOE Filed:October 2, 2019County:Los AngelesState Clearinghouse #:pending

Project Title: Well Q2 Perchlorate Removal Facility

Project Location (Address, City): 26477 Bouquet Canyon Rd., Saugus, CA

Project Description: The activity re-installs a perchlorate removal facility very similar to that which existed at this wellsite between 2005 and 2007. This earlier system consisted of two USFilter HP1220HF ion exchange pressure vessels operating in a lead/lag configuration and a bag filter for pre-filtration. The vessels were 12 feet in diameter, approximately 17 feet in height and each contained a selective resin designed for perchlorate removal. In 2007 the perchlorate removal facility was relocated from the wellsite to the adjacent Saugus Perchlorate Treatment Facility (SPTF) because the groundwater no longer required treatment. Subsequently, in 2016, the treatment system was relocated to a different wellsite requiring treatment (Well V201).

In May 2019 Well Q2 was taken offline because groundwater produced from the well contained perchlorate at a concentration of 6.2 ug/L. Subsequent sampling has confirmed perchlorate levels above the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 6.0 ug/L.

This project involves re-constructing a perchlorate removal facility to remove perchlorate from Well Q2 at the location described above. SCV Water is moving forward to re-install Perchlorate Treatment for well Q-2 so that the well can be returned to service. This will consist of 1) ordering two new vessels (HP1220HF Ion Exchange Vessels or similar), 2) installing the vessels at the previous location of the Q-2 vessels (the SPTF), and 3) installing some minor piping at the Q-2 wellhead to connect the well to the vessels.

The lead agency has determined the following: This proposed action qualifies for an exemption under Class 1 Existing Facilities because it is a minor alteration of an existing public facility and it concerns proposed new mechanical equipment involving negligible or no expansion of use, i.e. no additional extraction of water, beyond that existing at the time of the lead agency's determination. This proposed action also qualifies for an exemption under Class 3 New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures because the project construction is limited to construction within the existing footprint of the fenced facility. None of the exceptions listed in Section 15300.2 of the CEQA Guidelines would apply to the proposed action.

CEQA Exemptions: Check all exemptions the project meets per California Code of Regulations Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3:

Chapter 5:			
☐ Article 19, Section 15301: Class 1 Existing Facilities	Operation, repair, maintenance and/or minor alteration of an existing structure		
☐ Article 19, Section 15302: Class 2 Replacement or Reconstruction	Replacement or reconstruction of an existing structure where the new or replacement structure is located on the same site		
	Construction or remodification of a limited number of new or existing small structures		
☐ Article 19, Section 15304: Class 4 Minor Alteration to Land	Minor alteration to the condition of land, water and or vegetation with no negative impact to existing scenic trees		
☐ Article 19, Section 15306: Class 6 Information Collection	Basic data collection and research with no disturbance to an environmental resource		
\square Article 18, Section 15262: Feasibility and Planning Studies	A project involving only feasibility or planning studies		
☐ Article 18, Section 15269: Emergency Projects	A project that is deemed an emergency as described in Section 15269		

1								
	Other			Provide Section	number and description:			
		o NOE: Please mark book "yes" then the NOE does	x indicating whether s not apply – call your D		ies.			
	\boxtimes	Location – Is the project located	l in a particularly sensitive er	vironment where	location exception applies? (for class	3,4,6		
	\boxtimes	Cumulative Impact – Will there it is becoming environmentally s		e projects of the s	same type in the same place, and ove	er tim		
	\boxtimes	to unusual circumstances?			significant effect on the environmen			
	\boxtimes	Scenic Highway – Could the project cause damage to the environment within a highway officially designated as a state scenic highway?						
	\boxtimes	Hazardous Waste Site – Is the project located on a site which is included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962 of the Government Code?						
	\boxtimes	Historical Resources – Could the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource?						
CEQ.	er Sys	the best of my knowledge it will not result in any sig tem Representative:	nificant effect on the e		ct, the project is exempt from	1		
Print: Matthew G. Stone Signature: Myther			Signature: Myther	—	Date: 10/2/19			
		Water Board concurs with for the properties of the following for the properties of t	•	QA determinat	ion listed above:			
Print		,	Signature:		Date:			

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

OCT 02 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE