

## Notice of Determination

## Appendix D

## To:

☒ Office of Planning and Research  
 U.S. Mail: Street Address:  
 P.O. Box 3044 1400 Tenth St., Rm 113  
 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 Sacramento, CA 95814

☐ County Clerk

County of: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: \_\_\_\_\_

## From:

Public Agency: Caltrans District 4  
 Address: 111 Grand Ave  
 Oakland, CA 94612  
 Contact: Wahida Rashid  
 Phone: 510-286-5395

Lead Agency (if different from above): \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

**SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.**

State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearinghouse): 2019099072

Project Title: State Route 29/ State Route 221 Soscol Junction Improvement Project

Project Applicant: California Department of Transportation

Project Location (include county): Napa County, State Route 29/221, PM R5.6-R6.7/0.0-0.4

## Project Description:

Caltrans, in partnership with the Napa Valley Transportation Authority, proposes to reconfigure the existing intersection of State Route (SR) 29 and SR 221 in Napa County from a signalized intersection to a full-diamond interchange, with two roundabout intersections on either side of SR 29. This proposed project is to improve traffic operations, alleviate congestion between Napa Valley and towards Interstate 80 and SR 37, and improve bicycle and pedestrian connectivity access on SR 29 and SR 221. +

This is to advise that the California Department of Transportation has approved the above  
 (☒ Lead Agency or ☐ Responsible Agency)

described project on 2/13/2020 and has made the following determinations regarding the above  
 (date)  
 described project.

1. The project [☐ will ☒ will not] have a significant effect on the environment.
2. ☐ An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.  
☒ A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. Mitigation measures [☒ were ☐ were not] made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [☒ was ☐ was not] adopted for this project.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [☐ was ☒ was not] adopted for this project.
6. Findings [☒ were ☐ were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at:

111 Grand Ave, Oakland, CA 94612

Signature (Public Agency): Wahida Rashid Title: Senior Environmental Planner

Date: 2/13/2020 Date Received for filing at OPR: \_\_\_\_\_