CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

11-IMP-186	0.0 to 0.1	0R0042/11170	00003	***************************************	
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid	Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: activities involved in this box. <i>Use</i>	(Briefly describe pro Continuation Sheet, i	ject including need if necessary.)	, purpose, location, li	mits, right-of-way requirements, and	
The project consists of a va State Route 186 (SR 186)/A	cation of property Ilgodones Road i	y on a portion o in Imperial Cou	f state highway e nty, which abuts	easement at the Port of Entry or the Mexican border.	
CALTRANS CEQA DETER	MINATION (Chec	ck one)			
Based on an examination of this pr Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21	oposal, supporting in 080[b]; 14 CCR 1526	Envirus of the formation, and the foot seq.)	onmental Impact Re above statements, th	eport under CEQA ne project is:	
Based on an examination of the apply:	his proposal and supp	porting information	the following statem	ents are true and exceptions do not	
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,					
 There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Court. Code & 65062.5 ("Cortect List"). 					
 This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Correse List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].) 					
Shay Lynn M. Harrison		Mich	nael Hank		
Print Name: Senior Environmental P Environmental Branch Chief	lanner or	Print I	Name: Project Manager	1	
Signature Signature	5.00 9/s	5/19 Signa	ture	9/5/19 Date	
NEPA COMPLIANCE					
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:					
does not individually or cumulati requirements to prepare an Env	ANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) publicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: pt by Statute, (PRC 21080(b); 14 CCR 15:260 et seq.) portically Exempt. Class 12. Surplus Government Property Sales. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15:300 et seq.) of on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: pt by Statute, (PRC 21080(b); 14 CCR 15:260 et seq.) portically Exempt. Class 12. Surplus Government Property Sales. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15:300 et seq.) of on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not if this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant numblative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65982.5 (*Cortese List*). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Non Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no bility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment and sense of the substantial exemption of the proposal and supporting information, the State has that this project and an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has defined by NEPA, and here are no unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 C				
CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)					
that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)()					
23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.					
N/A					
Print Name: Senior Environmental F Environmental Branch Chief	'lanner or	Print			
				05 2019	
Signature	Date				
Date of Categorical Exclusion Che	cklist completion:	Date	of ECR of equivalen	EAKINGHOUSE	

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).