CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Proproject DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project included)	000 0619000068
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project included)	ject No. Federal-Ald Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, If necessary	ing need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and
The California Department of Transportation propose Coalinga, Lemoore, and Porterville maintenance stati- right-of-way and there will be no pavement striping to	s to reseal the existing asphalt pavement of the Bodfish, on parking lots. All work would remain within the existing be removed.
Ti de la companya de	
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)	
Not Applicable - Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information	Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, and the above statements, the project is:
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq. Categorically Exempt, Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 153	
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting intapply:	ormation, the following statements are true and exceptions do not
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and off	it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical cially adopted pursuant to law. project and successive projects of the same type in the same place,
 There is not a reasonable possibility that the project we circumstances. 	Ill have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual
 This project does not cause a substantial adverse cha 	t compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). nge In the significance of a historical resource.
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall with possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on it	In an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no e environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)
Shane Gunn	Rene Sanchez
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Print Name: Project Manager
E-mailton Heritar Draiffort Offiel	
Signature Date	8/30/19 Stopperture Date
an 6 4/29/19	8/30/19 Signature Date
Signature Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinated determined that this project:	on of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impactor requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or	on of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinated determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impactor requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) of has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 77	on of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinated determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impactor requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 77 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to make this section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to the section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to the section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Missessing and the responsibility to the section 326 and	on of this proposal and supporting information, the State has on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). In o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from I Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, by 31, 2016, executed between the EHWA and the State. The State
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinated determined that this project: olimical determined that this project: has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 77 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this	on of this proposal and supporting information, the State has on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). In o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from I Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, by 31, 2016, executed between the EHWA and the State. The State
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinated determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant Impact requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) o has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 77 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mahas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under the control of the cont	on of this proposal and supporting information, the State has on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). In o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from 1 Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, by 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State ider:
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinated determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) o has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 77 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mahas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environments	on of this proposal and supporting information, the State has on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and DEPA 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from in Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, by 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State inder: The state determination of the State has determined that the project is a supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a large in the state of th
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinated etermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) o has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 77 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the Natione certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mahas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (c)(on of this proposal and supporting information, the State has on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and DEPA 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from in Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, by 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State inder: The state determination of the State has determined that the project is a supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a large in the state of th
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinated determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant Impact requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) o has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 77 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mahas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(on of this proposal and supporting information, the State has on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from a Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, by 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State of the supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a lar review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the and executed by FHWA and Saltrans.
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examinated determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant Impact requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) o has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 77 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated Mahas determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(on of this proposal and supporting information, the State has on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 1.117(b). The significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from a Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, by 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State of the supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a lar review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the and executed by FHWA and Saltrans.

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).