CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Breify describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. Uso Continuation Shoel, if necessary.) Caltrans proposes to remove and replace 0.25 feet of existing hot mix asphalt and cap with 0.10 feet of Rubberized Hot Mix Asphalt BWC Type G on State Route 41 in both the northbound and southbound direction. The proposed project would include shoulder backing. All work would remain within the existing right-of-way. CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Load Agency Environmental Impact Report under CEQA. Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is. Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21809le); 14 CCR 1520 at seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class. I, (PRC 2184; 41 CCR 1530 at seq.) States on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply. If the project files within everny class 3, 4, 5, or 11, 8 does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects for the same type in the same place over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project with have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not classe a substantial adverse change in the significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not classe a substantial adverse change in the significant impact of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the advisy may have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to project does not course and successive	06-FRE-41	0.0/6.1	06-0Y080		
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one) Not Applicable — Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency — Not Applicable — Caltrans has prepared an initial Study of Environmental Impact Report under CEQA Season on examination of this proposal and supporting information, and the above statements are true and exceptions do not apply. It this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or over time a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment and to over this proposal supporting information, and the salves be project is over time a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment to over time a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances on the caltrans and the above statement to law. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances on the among a scenic resource willin an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not cause a sustenation adverse change in the significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances on the among a scenic resource willin an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not cause a sustenation adverse change in the significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances on the cause as sustenation and exception and the adverse change in the significant effect on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Austral adverse change in the significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Austral adverse change in the significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Austral Environmental Plantace of the environment as defined					
Rubberized Hot Mix Asphalt BWC Type G on State Route 41 in both the northbound and southbound direction. The proposed project would include shoulder backing. All work would remain within the existing right-of-way. The proposed project would include shoulder backing. All work would remain within the existing right-of-way. Based on an examination of this proposal supporting information, and the above statements, the project is Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080]b]; 14 CCR 15290 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal supporting information, and the above statements, the project is Categorically Exempt. Class. 1 (PRC 21081); 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply. • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place over time. • Their is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unvisual circumstances. • This project foes not located on a set included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.6 ("Cortese List"). • This project toose not fause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. • This project shores not fause as a maximal to compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.6 ("Cortese List"). • This project shores not fause as a maximal to Govt. Code § 65962.6 ("Cortese List"). • This project is not located on a set included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.6 ("Cortese List"). • This project is not located on a set included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.6 ("Cortese List"). • This project is not located on a set included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Cod					
Not Applicable – Caltrans Is not the CEQA Leafl Agency Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is. Exempt by Startuc, (PRC 21080[b], 14 CCR 15260 of seq.) Exempt by Startuc, (PRC 21080[b], 14 CCR 15260 of seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1, (PRC 21080[b], 14 CCR 15260 of seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply. • If this project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place over time. • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. • This project does not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Gout Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fail within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Branch Chief **Signature** **NEPA COMPLIANCE** In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project. **Jajarary** **Signature** **Date** **Print Name** **Print Name** **Signature** **Date** **Print Name** **Print Na	Rubberized Hot Mix Asph	alt BWC Type G on	State Route	e 41 in both the northbound and southbound directions.	
Not Applicable – Caltrans Is not the CEQA Leafl Agency Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is. Exempt by Startuc, (PRC 21080[b], 14 CCR 15260 of seq.) Exempt by Startuc, (PRC 21080[b], 14 CCR 15260 of seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1, (PRC 21080[b], 14 CCR 15260 of seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply. • If this project fails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place over time. • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. • This project does not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Gout Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fail within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Branch Chief **Signature** **NEPA COMPLIANCE** In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project. **Jajarary** **Signature** **Date** **Print Name** **Print Name** **Signature** **Date** **Print Name** **Print Na	CALTRANS CEQA DET	ERMINATION (Ch	eck one)		
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 2108bit): 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 2108t; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Rased on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:	Not Applicable – Caltran	s is not the CEQA Le	ad Agency		
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply: If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critica concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption, (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15051[6][3]) Shane Gunn Print Name Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Print Name Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Occurrence of the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements of prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has defined by NEPA, and there certifies that it h					
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project followed on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fail within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].) Shane Gunn Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: A deas not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances privant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 33 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or ES under the National Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental University (d)(Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not				
There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project does not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65952.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption, (This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].) Shane Gunn Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 GFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental sclefned by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and here certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Capatr 5 filte 23, unlited States Code Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (c)()	 If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place. 				
This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65862.6 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].) Shane Gunn Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and here certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Cnapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoricall exclusion under: 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: Pint Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer	 There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. 				
Shane Gunn Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and heret certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 GFR 771.117(c): activity (d)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Date	 This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. 				
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Print Name: Project Mynager					
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Shane Gunn			Rene Sanchez	
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereticertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHIWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 USC 327: Lactivity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Date		ital Planner or		Print Name: Project-Manager	
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereticertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHIWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 USC 327: Lactivity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Date	Simplifies	4/23	1/19	9/23/9	
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: of does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b). CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and heret certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(b): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State has determined that the project is Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature	11	. 02		Signature Date	
CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and heret certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() 24 CS 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Date	In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and				
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and heret certifies that if has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under: 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Date	CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)				
23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Date Date	23 USC 326: The State hat that there are no unusual the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried Section 326 and a Memo has determined that the part of the state	nas determined that this circumstances as designed an EA or EIS under dout the responsibility randum of Understand project is a Categorical (c)()	s project has no cribed in 23 CF r the National E to make this de ing dated May	FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State	
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date Signature Date	23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the				
		ntal Planner or		Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer	
Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: 9/23/19 Date of FCR or equivalent: 9/23/19	Signature	£	Date	Signature Date	
The street of addition and addition and additional addi	Date of Categorical Exclusion	Checklist completion:	9/23/19	Date of ECR or equivalent : 9/23/19	