Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2019080313

Project Title: Arcata Community Health Center

Lead Agency: City of Arcata

Contact Name: Joe Mateer

Email: jmateer@cityofarcata.org Phone Number: (707) 825-2139

Project Location: Arcata (*City*) Humboldt (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Open Door Community Health Centers (Open Door) is proposing to construct and operate new consolidated health center (Arcata Community Health Center) west of the intersection of Foster & Sunset Aves. Arcata, CA. The 1.8 acre property is vacant, APN 505-121-031; Zoning: Public Facility with Planned Development & Wetland Protection combining zones. The development will replace & consolidate two existing medical health center sites in Arcata. The applicant seeks a Planned Development Permit and Design Review Permit for the development of a split level, two story health clinic totally about 31,000 sq ft and an unoccupied basement for utilities about 3,000 sq ft in area. The project includes all new utilities, access, parking, landscaping, signs and outdoor lighting. The project will impact two separate areas totally 1,163 sq ft that exhibit 3 parameter wetland characteristics. The on-site wetlands will be eliminated and mitigated off-site at nearby Shay Park. Due to potential transportation impacts, the project will contribute to future off-site improvements at the following locations: Arcata Skate Park (bus stop), Foster and Alliance street intersection, and LK Wood/Sunset/Hwy 101 North on ramp (east of Hwy 101) intersection.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Pursuant to CEQA and CCR Section 15084, the discussion of potential impacts on the environment in the draft EIR focused on those impacts that the City has determined may be potentially significant. The property was part of a former mill site that is now surrounded by development including: newly constructed apartments to the east, Foster Ave extension to the South, Sunset/Foster Ave round-about to the east, & single family residential development to the north. The City has determined that the project could result in potential environmental impacts in the following topic areas, which is further analyzed in the draft EIR.

• Biological Resources – The proposed project will impact the entire project site, including mapped wetlands; therefore, potential biological resource impacts will be analyzed in the EIR. A wetland mitigation site is located nearby that minimizes impacts to less than significant.

• Transportation / Traffic –The project has the potential to increase vehicle delays at near-by intersections during certain times of day; therefore, potential transportation/traffic and cumulative impacts are further analyzed in the EIR.

These issue areas are discussed further in the draft EIR, and where possible, feasible mitigation measures are recommended to reduce any identified potentially significant and significant impacts.

Traffic impacts will be minimized, but not to a less than significant level with the payment of traffic mitigation fees for future traffic improvements.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Tribal Cultural Resources were previously analyzed at the project location and determined not to be present. According to AB 52 formal consultation with the three local Wiyot tribes was conducted. All three tribes declined formal consultation.
Parking is a neighborhood concern, however, the project meets the parking requirements per zoning.
Dept Toxic Substances Control provided comments in response to NOP. The City believes adequate measures are incorporated into the project to further minimize environmental impacts that were considered less than significant to begin with.
Geologic hazards were evaluated and do not require any mitigation.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

CA Dept of Transportation; Humboldt State University; CA Dept of Fish & Wildlife; US Army Corps of Engineers; Regional Water Quality Control Board; CA Water Board