

Posting Requested By:

City of Turlock
Planning Division
156 S. Broadway, Suite 120
Turlock, CA 95380-5456
Phone: (209) 668-5640

FILED

2019 NOV -8 PM 2: 34

When Posted Mail To:

Same as above

STANISLAUS CO. CLERK-RECORDER
ASHIKA NARAYAN

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR CLERK'S USE ONLY

November 8, 2019

**CITY OF TURLOCK
NOTICE OF DETERMINATION**

Mitigated Negative Declaration

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (if applicable): 2019080095

PROJECT TITLE: MINOR DISCRETIONARY PERMIT 2019-06 (TURLOCK ONE STOP VALERO)

PROJECT APPLICANT: AMARPREET KAUR & AMAN SACHDEVA

PROJECT LOCATION: The proposed project is located at 2500 Fulkerth Rd., Turlock CA (Stanislaus County APN: 089-019-021)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Turlock One-Stop Valero has submitted an application requesting approval to construct a gas station with a 6-pump fuel island and canopy (3,572 square feet), an approximately 5,500 square foot convenience mart with a drive-through for a yet unidentified quick serve restaurant, and an approximately 2,400 square foot drive-through car wash with vacuum stalls and canopy (approximately 1,800 square feet). On-and off-site improvements will include paving, parking, parking stall striping, trash enclosure, landscaping, curb, gutter and sidewalks. The applicant will also be applying for a Type 21 alcohol license to allow the sale of beer, wine, and distilled spirits off the premises

FINDINGS:

Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080(c)(2) and CEQA Guidelines Section 15168(c)(1), the City of Turlock, as lead agency for the proposed project, has prepared an initial study to make the following findings:

1. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15162, the proposed activity is adequately described and is within the scope of the General Plan EIR and the Northwest Triangle Specific Plan Mitigated Negative Declaration.
2. All feasible mitigation measures developed in the General Plan EIR and the Northwest Triangle Specific Plan Mitigated Negative Declaration have been incorporated into the project.
3. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Sections 21080(c)(2) and 21157.5, the initial study prepared for the proposed project has identified potential new or significant effects that were not adequately analyzed in the General Plan EIR and the Northwest Triangle Specific Plan Mitigated Negative Declaration, but feasible mitigation measures have been incorporated to revise the proposed subsequent project to avoid or mitigate the identified effects to a point where clearly no significant effects would occur.
4. There is no substantial evidence before the lead agency that the subsequent project, as revised, may have a significant effect on the environment.
5. The analyses of cumulative impacts, growth inducing impacts, and irreversible significant effects

-
- on the environment contained in the General Plan EIR and the Northwest Triangle Specific Plan Mitigated Negative Declaration are adequate for this subsequent project.
6. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15093, a Statement of Overriding Considerations was adopted for the General Plan EIR (City Council Resolution 2012-156). As identified in the Turlock General Plan EIR, development in the project area would result in significant, and unavoidable, impacts in the areas of noise, regional air quality, and the eventual loss of agricultural land. The magnitude of these impacts can be reduced, but not eliminated by the mitigation measures referenced in the initial study prepared for this project and General Plan EIR. Therefore, mitigation measures identified in the General Plan EIR, and its respective Statements of Overriding Considerations, are adequate to mitigate the impacts from the proposed project where feasible, and are hereby incorporated by reference.
 7. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21157.6(a), having reviewed the General Plan EIR and the Northwest Triangle Specific Plan Mitigated Negative Declaration, the City of Turlock finds and determines that:
 - a. No substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the General Plan EIR and the Northwest Triangle Specific Plan Mitigated Negative Declaration were certified, and
 - b. That there is no new available information which was not and could not have been known at the time Documents used in preparation of this Proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration, are available for public review at the address below.
 8. Whereas, on June 13, 2017, the City of Turlock adopted minor changes, deletions, and additions to the project described in the Northwest Triangle Specific Plan Amendment 2017 and certified an Addendum to the Mitigated Negative Declaration demonstrating that the preparation of a Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was not required, pursuant to Section 15162 of the California Environmental Quality Act, because none of the following findings could be made:
 - (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;
 - (2) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or
 - (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete, shows any of the following:
 - (A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR;
 - (B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;
 - (C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or
 - (D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

PROJECT APPROVAL DATE: November 7, 2019
APPROVAL: Minor Discretionary Permit 2019-06

DETERMINATION: This is to advise that the City of Turlock has approved and has made the following determinations regarding the project as described above.

1. The project **will not** have a significant effect on the environment.
2. An Environmental Impact Report **was not** prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. A Mitigated Negative Declaration **was**, prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
4. Mitigation measures **were** made a condition of the approval of the project.

-
5. A mitigation monitoring plan/program was adopted for this project.
 6. A statement of Overriding Considerations was not adopted for this project.
 7. Findings were made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that documentation for the **Mitigated Negative Declaration** Final Environmental Impact Report with comments and responses and record of project approval is available to the general public at:

City of Turlock - Planning Division
City Hall, 156 S. Broadway, Suite 120
Turlock, CA 95380-5456
TELEPHONE: (209) 668-5640

This documentation can also be found on our website at
<http://ci.turlock.ca.us/buildinginturlock/planninglandusepermitting/planningprojects/activeprojects.asp>

BY: 
KATIE QUINTERO

DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES/PLANNING MANAGER

Environmental Review

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

Date Received for filing at OPR: _____

NOV 08 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE



State of California - Department of Fish and Wildlife
2019 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT
 DFW 753.5a (REV. 12/01/18) Previously DFG 753.5a

Print **StartOver** **Finalize&Email**

RECEIPT NUMBER:
 50 — 2019 — 200
 STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (If applicable)
 2019080095

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.

LEAD AGENCY City of Turlock	LEAD AGENCY EMAIL	DATE 11/08/2019
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING Stanislaus	DOCUMENT NUMBER 2019-200	

PROJECT TITLE

Minor Discretionary Permit 2019-06 (Turlock One Stop Valero)

PROJECT APPLICANT NAME Amarpreet Kaur & Aman Sachdeva	PROJECT APPLICANT EMAIL	PHONE NUMBER (209) 668-5640
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS 2500 Fulkerth Road	CITY Turlock	STATE CA
		ZIP CODE 95380

PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)

- Local Public Agency School District Other Special District State Agency Private Entity

CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:

- | | | | |
|---|------------|----|----------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Impact Report (EIR) | \$3,271.00 | \$ | 0.00 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND) | \$2,354.75 | \$ | 2,354.75 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment due directly to CDFW | \$1,112.00 | \$ | 0.00 |
|
 | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Exempt from fee | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Exemption (attach) | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CDFW No Effect Determination (attach) | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy) | | | |
| <hr/> | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources Control Board only) | \$850.00 | \$ | 0.00 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> County documentary handling fee | | \$ | 57.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | \$ | |

PAYMENT METHOD:

- Cash Credit Check Other

TOTAL RECEIVED \$ 2,411.75

SIGNATURE <i>X Ashika Narayan</i>	AGENCY OF FILING PRINTED NAME AND TITLE Ashika Narayan, Legal Clerk
--------------------------------------	--



State of California - Department of Fish and Wildlife
2019 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT
DFW 753.5a (REV. 12/01/18) Previously DFG 753.5a

NOTICE

Each project applicant shall remit to the county clerk the environmental filing fee before or at the time of filing a Notice of Determination (Pub. Resources Code, § 21152; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4, subdivision (d); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 753.5). Without the appropriate fee, statutory or categorical exemption, or a valid No Effect Determination issued by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), the Notice of Determination is not operative, vested, or final, and shall not be accepted by the county clerk.

COUNTY DOCUMENTARY HANDLING FEE

The county clerk may charge a documentary handling fee of fifty dollars (\$50) per filing in addition to the environmental filing fee (Fish & G. Code, § 711.4, subd. (e); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 753.5, subd. (g)(1)). A county board of supervisors shall have the authority to increase or decrease the fee or charge, that is otherwise authorized to be levied by another provision of law, in the amount reasonably necessary to recover the cost of providing any product or service or the cost of enforcing any regulation for which the fee or charge is levied (Gov. Code, § 54985, subd. (a)).

COLLECTION PROCEDURES FOR COUNTY GOVERNMENTS

Filing Notice of Determination (NOD):

- Collect environmental filing fee or copy of previously issued cash receipt. *(Do not collect fee if project applicant presents a No Effect Determination signed by CDFW. An additional fee is required for each separate environmental document. An addendum is not considered a separate environmental document. Checks should be made payable to the county.)*
- Issue cash receipt to project applicant.
- Attach copy of cash receipt and, if applicable, previously issued cash receipt, to NOD.
- Mail filing fees for CRP document to CDFW prior to filing the NOD or equivalent final approval (Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 14, § 753.5 (b)(5)). The CRP should request receipt from CDFW to show proof of payment for filing the NOD or equivalent approval. Please mail payment to address below made attention to the Cash Receipts Unit of the Accounting Services Branch.

If the project applicant presents a **No Effect Determination** signed by CDFW, also:

- Attach No Effect Determination to NOD *(no environmental filing fee is due)*.

Filing Notice of Exemption (NOE) *(Statutorily or categorically exempt project (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §§ 15260-15285, 15300-15333))*

- Issue cash receipt to project applicant.
- Attach copy of cash receipt to NOE *(no environmental filing fee is due)*.

Within 30 days after the end of each month in which the environmental filing fees are collected, each county shall summarize and record the amount collected on the monthly State of California Form No. CA25 (TC31) and remit the amount collected to the State Treasurer. Identify the remittance on Form No. CA25 as "Environmental Document Filing Fees" per Fish and Game Code section 711.4.

The county clerk shall mail the following documents to CDFW on a monthly basis:

- ✓ A photocopy of the monthly State of California Form No. CA25 (TC31)
- ✓ CDFW/ASB copies of all cash receipts (including all voided receipts)
- ✓ A copy of all CDFW No Effect Determinations filed in lieu of fee payment
- ✓ A copy of all NODs filed with the county during the preceding month
- ✓ A list of the name, address and telephone number of all project applicants for which an NOD has been filed. If this information is contained on the cash receipt filed with CDFW under California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 753.5, subdivision (e)(6), no additional information is required.

DOCUMENT RETENTION

The county shall retain two copies of the cash receipt (for lead agency and county clerk) and a copy of all documents described above for at least 12 months.

RECEIPT NUMBER

- # The first two digits automatically populate by making the appropriate selection in the County/State Agency of Filing drop down menu.
- # The next eight digits automatically populate when a date is entered.
- # The last three digits correspond with the sequential order of issuance for each calendar year. For example, the first receipt number issued on January 1 should end in 001. If a county issued 252 receipts for the year ending on December 31, the last receipt number should end in 252. CDFW recommends that counties and state agencies 1) save a local copy of this form, and 2) track receipt numbers on a spreadsheet tabbed by month to ensure accuracy.

DO NOT COMBINE THE ENVIRONMENTAL FEES WITH THE STATE SHARE OF FISH AND WILDLIFE FEES.

Mail to:

California Department of Fish and Wildlife
Accounting Services Branch
P.O. Box 944209
Sacramento, California 94244-2090