

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: New Later 4 Facility and Deer Creek Check Structure Retrofit

Lead Agency: Pixley Irrigation District

Contact Name: Eric Limas

Email: elimas@ltrid.org Phone Number: 559-686-4716

Project Location: N/A Tulare County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The District recently performed a feasibility study for developing surface water delivery system alternatives for the northwestern portion of the District. This area of the District does not have access to surface water and therefore is entirely reliant on groundwater pumping. The District is pursuing development of the surface water delivery system in phases. The first phase is to develop an open channel, gravity conveyance system beginning from the end of the existing West Main Canal and terminating in one of the basin alternatives. The facility will primarily run along the Avenue 116 alignment starting at Road 116 and end between Road 84 and Road 76, with two basin alternatives. Alternative one is the Road 84 basin, and alternative two is the Road 76 basin. Additionally, as a second phase, the District is pursuing options to retrofit the Deer Creek Check Structure with an automated gate system for better managing Friant water flows in Deer Creek for beneficial use within the District.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Please see attached MMRP.

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

JUL 02 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

Revised September 2011

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No known areas of controversy have been raised by public or agencies. Potential impacts have been address to a less than significant level through the implementation of mitigation measures. Please see attached MMRP.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

None.