2019078133

Notice of Exemption

Appendix E

To: Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113	From: (Public Agency): Caltrans 855 M Street, Suite 200			
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	Fresno, Ca. 93721			
County Clerk County of:	(Address) 06 -0 × 670			
Project Title: Tulare County Bridge Main	tenance			
Project Applicant: Caltrans				
Project Location - Specific: On State Routes: 63/65/99/190 & 198 at Brid 46-0215E and 46-0061.	dge's : 46-0210, 46-025(R,L), 46-0182L, 46-0190E, 46-0225, 46-0158,			
Project Location - City: Porterville	Project Location - County: Tulare			
	ciaries of Project: life of the pavement. The scope of work includes treating bridge concrete overlays, repairing an abutment, constructing a new			
Name of Public Agency Approving Project:	Caltrans			
Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out F	roject: Caltrans			
Exempt Status: (check one): Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 152 Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b) Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b) Categorical Exemption. State type Statutory Exemptions. State code	(b)(3); 15269(a));			
Reasons why project is exempt: Replacement or reconstruction of existing purpose and capacity.	structures or facilities on the same site having substantially the same			
Lead Agency Contact Person: Shane Gunn	Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 559-445-6310			
If filed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemp 2. Has a Notice of Exemption been file	tion finding. ed by the public agency approving the project? □ Yes □ No			
Signature:	Date: 7/25/19 Title: Sr Env Planner			
☑ Signed by Lead Agency ☐ Si	Governor's Office of Planning & Research			
Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public F	lesources Code. Date Received for filing at OPR:			
Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, P	ublic Resources Code. STATE CLEARINGHOUSE			

2019078133

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

	06-0X670						
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No. Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.						
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if	ct including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and necessary.)						
Caltrans proposes a bridge maintenance project	et involving 9 bridges located throughout Tulare County. The						
purpose of the project is to maintain the existing roadway and extend the life of the pavement of the identified							
bridges. The scope of work includes treating bridge decks with methacrylate, placing polyester concrete							
overlays, repairing an abutment, constructing a new wingwall and replacing joint seals. The specific repairs for							
each structures is identified on the table on page	ge z.						
A I PROBLEM A PROPERTY AND A PROPERT							
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)							
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA							
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting info							
Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260							
Categorically Exempt. Class . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:							
 If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, concern where designated, precisely mapped 	6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical and officially adopted pursuant to law.						
over time.	 There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, 						
circumstances.	project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual						
This project is not located on a site included or	ce within an officially designated state scenic highway. n any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").						
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not	erse change in the significance of a historical resource. fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no						
possibility that the activity may have a significant eff	ect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)						
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Print Namé: Project Manager						
Environmental Branch Chief							
Pisatoutioner pretion pinet							
Signature Date	Signature Date						
	Signature Date						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an expension of the complete o	Signature Date camination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project:	namination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant	ramination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project:	amination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the it (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmer has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23	ramination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the at (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b).						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmer has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check	camination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the tt (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b).						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmen • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chector 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this protection that there are no unusual circumstances as described the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to me	ramination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the int (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (CFR 771.117(b)). It is no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and add in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby take this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code,						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmen • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chector 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this protection that there are no unusual circumstances as described the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to me	camination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the at (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). It comes to be a support of the environment as defined by NEPA, and ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby take this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as described the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make the section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding	camination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the at (EA) or Environmental impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). k one) bject has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby take this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmen • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this properties that there are no unusual circumstances as describe the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to me Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding that determined that the project is a Categorical Exceller 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_2) [] 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()	camination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the at (EA) or Environmental impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). k one) bject has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby take this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State lusion under:						
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmer • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chec 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this properties that there are no unusual circumstances as describe the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to measurements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to measurements that the project is a Categorical Exception 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding that determined that the project is a Categorical Exception 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) (_2_) □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d) () □ Activity listed in Appendix A of the Memorandum of the second content of the second c	impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the it (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and (CFR 771.117(b)). It one) Diject has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and add in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby take this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, fated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State dusion under:						
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmen • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project that there are no unusual circumstances as describe the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to m Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding has determined that the project is a Categorical Exc 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_2_) □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() □ Activity listed in Appendix A of the M □ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this propose.	camination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the at (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). It is considered by NEPA, and defined by NEPA, and ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby take this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State dusion under: OU between FHWA and the State as determined that the project is a						
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check 23 USC 326: The State has determined that is protected that there are no unusual circumstances as described the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to me Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding has determined that the project is a Categorical Exception 23 CFR 771.117(a): activity (c)(_2_) □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() □ Activity listed in Appendix A of the Me 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proportion in the project of the properties and the project is a Categorical Exception 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this properties. ■ 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this properties.	camination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the it (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). It one) In or environmental Impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby lake this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State lusion under: OU between FHWA and the State bas determined that the project is a ronmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable to have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the						
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmer • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chec 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this proper that there are no unusual circumstances as described the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to measure the section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding that determined that the project is a Categorical Excession 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c) (_ 2 _) □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d) () □ Activity listed in Appendix A of the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the december 25 under the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that this project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that the project are being Memorandum of Understanding dated December 25 under the determined that the project are determined that the project are determined that the project are determined that the p	camination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the int (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). It is considered by NEPA, and it is considered by NEPA, and ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby take this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State lusion under: OU between FHWA and the State aronmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable 1, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the 1, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.						
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmer • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this protect that there are no unusual circumstances as described the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to mean Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding that determined that the project is a Categorical Exception 326 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(2_) □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() □ Activity □ listed in Appendix A of the Mean Section 327: Based on an examination of this proper Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental laws for this project are being	camination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the it (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). It one) In or environmental Impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby lake this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State lusion under: OU between FHWA and the State bas determined that the project is a ronmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable to have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the						
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmer • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chec 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this properties that there are no unusual circumstances as describe the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to me Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding that determined that the project is a Categorical Excession 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_2_) 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proper Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The envirated Excession State Company (1) State Company (2) Stane Gunn Print Name: Sepior Environmental Planner or	impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the int (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). Impact on the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). In the impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). In the impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). In the impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby lake this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State dusion under: OU between FHWA and the State page and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a ronmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable 3, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the 3, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Maryam Rastegar						
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an exdetermined that this project: • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessmer • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Chec 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this properties that there are no unusual circumstances as describe the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to me Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding that determined that the project is a Categorical Excession 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_2_) 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proper Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The envirated Excession State Company (1) State Company (2) Stane Gunn Print Name: Sepior Environmental Planner or	impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the it (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and CFR 771.117(b). It one is a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and ed in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby take this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State lusion under: OU between FHWA and the State osal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a ronmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable g, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the 3, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans. Maryam Rastegar Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer						

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

2019078133

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

O6-TUL-VAR VAR/VAR 06-0X670

Dist.-Co.-Rte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Project No. Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No. Continued from page 1:

State Route	PM	Description	Bridge No.	Work Description
65	R5.12	White River	46-0210	Prepare concrete bridge deck surface and treat bridge deck with methacrylate.
65	18.72	Tule River	46-0259R	Prepare concrete bridge deck surface and treat bridge deck with methacrylate, place new 1-inch minimum depth polyester concrete overlay, remove existing joint seals, clean expansion joint and place new joint seals, treat cracking and place a polyester concrete overlay on the approach slabs.
65	18.72	Tule River	46-0259L	Prepare concrete bridge deck surface and treat bridge deck with methacrylate, place new 1-inch minimum depth polyester concrete overlay, remove existing joint seals, clean expansion joints and place new joint seals, treat cracking and place a polyester concrete overlay on the approach slabs.
65	23.43	Friant-Kern Canal	46-0182L	Prepare concrete bridge deck surface and treat bridge deck with methacrylate.
190	0.01	Route 190/99 Separation	46-0190E	Prepare concrete bridge deck surface and treat bridge deck with methacrylate, place new 1" minimum depth polyester concrete overlay.
190	R15.21	Route 190/65 Separation	46-0225	Remove chip seal by micro-milling, prepare concrete bridge deck surface and treat bridge deck with methacrylate, repair the settlement at Abutment 3 approach.
99	27.6	Paige Road OC	46-0158	Prepare concrete bridge deck surface and treat bridge deck with methacrylate, remove existing joint seals, clean expansion joints and place new Type-A joint seals at both abutments.
63	L7.96	Route 63/198 Separation	46-0215E	Prepare concrete bridge deck surface and treat bridge deck with methacrylate, place new 1" minimum depth polyester concrete overlay. The sidewalks are excluded from the overlaid area.
198	R12.72	Packwood Creek	46-0061	Remove existing Type-2 barrier railing and construct new concrete barrier Type-842, remove existing wingwall and section of roadway approach, construct new wingwall and new section of roadway approach, construct new footing for concrete barrier Type-842, paint bridge identification, replace asphalt concrete (AC) Roadway

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

JUL 24 2019

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE