2019078062

Notice of Exemption

To: Office of Planning and Research From: (Public Agency) Caltrans, Dist. 06 P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 855 M Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 Fresno CA 93721 County Clerk County of: Pixley-Earlimart Bridge Rail Project Title: Project Applicant: California Department of Transportation Project Location - Specific: The project is located on Highway 99, post miles 6.15, 12.6, 12.8, on the Avenue 48 overcrossing, Br. #46-0165, Davis Ave overcrossing, Br.# 46-0172, and Avenue 100 overcrossing, Br.#46-0173. Project Location - City: Project Location - County: Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project: The purpose of this project is to replace nonstandard bridge railings with standard bridge railings and install standard approach railings as needed on three bridges, Avenue 48 OC, Br. #46-0165, Davis Ave OC, Br.# 46-0172, and Avenue 100 OC, Br.#46-0173. Any bridge widening to accommodate the new barrier or increased shoulder width requirements will be incorporated into the project and will be developed in the APS (Advanced Planning Study). Name of Public Agency Approving Project: California Department of Transportation Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: California Department of Transportation Exempt Status: (check one): ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268); Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a)); Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c)); Class 1, PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seg. ▼ Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: ☐ Statutory Exemption. State code number: Reason why project is exempt: The project is exempt because it involves replacing existing bridge railings on existing bridges. Lead Agency Contact Person: Jeff Sorensen Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 559-445-6447 If filed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemption finding. 2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? ☐ YES ☐ NO Title: Senior Environmental Planner Signature: Signed by Lead Agency Signed by Applicant Date Received for filling at OPR's Office of Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Co

STATE CLEA Revised 2011

Appendix E

2019078062

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

06-TUL-99 DistCoRte	. (or Local Agency)	6.15, 12.6, 12. P.M./P.M.	8 06-0U270 E,A/Project No.		00295 II-Ald Project No. (Loca	Project/Project No.		
PROJECT	DESCRIPTION:	(Briefly describe	project including need		lon, limits, right-of-way			
activities invo	ilved in this box. Use	Continuation Shee	at, if necessary.)					
approach ra 100 OC, Br	illings as needed or	n three bridges, Ige widening to	Avenue 48 OC, Br. accommodate the r	#46-0165, Danew barrier or	rd bridge railings an avis Ave OC, Br.# 46 increased shoulder d Planning Study).	3-0172, and Avenue		
CALTRAN	IS CEQA DETER	MINATION (C	heck one)					
	Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA							
	Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)							
Categor	Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not							
or Ti	oncern where designa here will not be a sign	ted, precisely maj	oped, and officially ad	opted pursuant	to law.	of hazardous or critical ype in the same place,		
⊌ Ti	over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.							
 This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List"). This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. 								
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)								
Jeff Son	enson 🗸	•	Gill					
				erto Baca	***************************************			
	: Senior Environmental F	Planner or		Name: Project Ma	inager			
Environme	: Senior Enylron/mental F	7/1	2/19	Name: Project Ma	nager Byuc	7/12/19		
Environmen Signalistic	: Senior Environmental F ntal Branch Chief	7/1		Name: Project Ma	gnager Bywa	7/12/19 Date		
Environment Signalifie NEPA CO	: Senior Environmental F ntal Branch Chief MPLIANCE	7/1	Print 2/19 Dele Sign	Name: Project Ma Halled ature	Byra	:5		
Signal Merita CO In accordance determined to	Senior Environmental F ntal Branch Chief MPLIANCE e with 23 CFR 771.11 hat this project:	7// / 1	Print 2/19 Sign an examination of this	Name: Project Ma	Bywe supporting information,	the State has		
Signal Si	Senior Environmental F ntal Branch Chief MPLIANCE with 23 CFR 771.11 nat this project; ndividually or cumulat	7/10 17, and based on lively have a significanmental Asses	Print 2//9 Sign an examination of this ficant impact on the e sment (EA) or Enviro	Name: Project Ma	Byra	the State has		
Signature Signature NEPA CO In accordance determined ti does not in requireme has considered.	Senior Environmental F ntal Branch Chief MPLIANCE with 23 CFR 771.11 hat this project; ndividually or cumulat nts to prepare an Env	7// 17, and based on ively have a signi- fronmental Asses stances pursuant	Print Z/9 Sign an examination of this ficant impact on the e sment (EA) or Enviro to 23 CFR 771.117(b	Name: Project Ma	Supporting Information, defined by NEPA, and	the State has		
Signey Signey NEPA CO In accordance determined ti does not in requireme has consid CALTRAN 23 USC that the the requirement section has det	MPLIANCE with 23 CFR 771.11 hat this project; hat it prepare an Environment to prepare at that it has carried our 326 and a Memorance amined that the project	7/17, and based on ively have a signifronmental Assesstances pursuant MINATION (determined that the umstances as dean EA or EIS under the responsibility dum of Understaniot is a Categorica	Print 2/9 Sign an examination of this ficant impact on the e sment (EA) or Enviro to 23 CFR 771.117(b Check one) his project has no sign scribed in 23 CFR 77 ar the National Enviro to make this determ ding dated May 31, 2	Name: Project Manual	Supporting information, defined by NEPA, and I Statement (EIS), and on the environment as ch, the project is categodet. The State has been act.	the State has s excluded from the defined by NEPA, and orically excluded from an assigned, and hereby		
Signature Signature NEPA CO In accordance determined ti does not in requireme has conside CALTRAN 23 USC that the the requirement section has det	MPLIANCE that this project; and vidually or cumulation to prepare an Environmental Final State has dere are no unusual circums. The area out it has carried out 326 and a Memorance mined that the project is CFR 771.117(c); and CFR 771.117(d); and	17, and based on ively have a significant assess trances pursuant MINATION (determined that frumstances as dean EA or EIS under the responsibility frum of Understant ict is a Categorica ctivity (c)(26) ctivity (d)()	Print 2/9 Sign an examination of this ficant impact on the e sment (EA) or Enviro to 23 CFR 771.117(b Check one) his project has no sign scribed in 23 CFR 77 ar the National Enviro to make this determ ding dated May 31, 2 al Exclusion under:	Name: Project Manual	Supporting information, defined by NEPA, and I Statement (EIS), and son the environment as ch, the project is categode. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 2 between the FHWA and	the State has s excluded from the defined by NEPA, and orically excluded from an assigned, and hereby		
Signey NEPA CO In accordance determined ti does not in requireme has consid CALTRAN 23 USC that the the requirements section has det 23 USC Categor Federal	MPLIANCE with 23 CFR 771.11 hat this project; S NEPA DETER 326: The State has creare no unusual circums is are are no unusual circums is arried out 326 and a Memorance mined that the project; CFR 771.117(c); and CFR 771.117(d); and Activity listed in 327: Based on an exical exclusion under 2	it, and based on lively have a significanmental Assessatances pursuant immortal MINATION (determined that the umstances as dean EA or EIS under the responsibility dum of Understant is a Categorica ctivity (c)(26) ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the translation of this 23 USC 327. The or this project are	Print 2/9 Sign an examination of this ficant impact on the e sment (EA) or Enviro to 23 CFR 771.117(b Check one) his project has no sign scribed in 23 CFR 77 er the National Enviro to make this determ ding dated May 31, 2 hi Exclusion under: the MOU between FI proposal and support e environmental review being, or have been,	Name: Project Manual Acture s proposal and proposal and proposal and proposal and proposal and proposal and s	supporting information, defined by NEPA, and statement (EIS), and on the environment as ch, the project is categode. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 2 tetween the FHWA and tate the State has determined any other actions caltrans pursuant to 23	the State has Is excluded from the defined by NEPA, and orically excluded from an assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, d the State. The State ned that the project is a required by applicable		
Signature Signature NEPA CO In accordance determined ti does not in requireme has conside CALTRAN 23 USC that the the requirement certifies Section has det 23 USC Categor Federal Memora	MPLIANCE that this project: and the project: are are no unusual circums are are are no unusual circums are are	i7, and based on ively have a significant assess transport to the responsibility turn of Understanct is a Categorica ctivity (c)(26) ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the responsibility and the responsibility to the responsibility turn of Understanct is a Categorica ctivity (c)(26) ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the responsibility turn of Understanct is a Categorica ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the responsibility turn of Understance the responsibility (c)(26) ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the responsibility turn of Understance the responsibility (d)() Appendix A of the responsibility of the responsibility (d)()	Print Z/9 Sign an examination of this ficant impact on the e sment (EA) or Enviro to 23 CFR 771.117(b Check one) his project has no sign scribed in 23 CFR 77 or the National Enviro to make this determ ding dated May 31, 2 al Exclusion under: the MOU between FI proposal and support e environmental review being, or have been, our 23, 2016 and execu-	Name: Project Manual	supporting information, defined by NEPA, and Statement (EIS), and on the environment as the chapter 3 of Title 2 setween the FHWA and tate the State has determined any other actions caltrans pursuant to 23 and Caltrans.	the State has Is excluded from the defined by NEPA, and orically excluded from an assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, d the State. The State ned that the project is a required by applicable		
Signature Signature Signature NEPA CO In accordance determined ti does not in requireme has conside CALTRAN 23 USC that the the requirement of th	MPLIANCE with 23 CFR 771.11 hat this project; S NEPA DETER 326: The State has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a	i7, and based on ively have a significant assess transport to the responsibility turn of Understanct is a Categorica ctivity (c)(26) ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the responsibility and the responsibility to the responsibility turn of Understanct is a Categorica ctivity (c)(26) ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the responsibility turn of Understanct is a Categorica ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the responsibility turn of Understance the responsibility (c)(26) ctivity (d)() Appendix A of the responsibility turn of Understance the responsibility (d)() Appendix A of the responsibility of the responsibility (d)()	Print Z/9 Sign an examination of this ficant impact on the e sment (EA) or Enviro to 23 CFR 771.117(b Check one) his project has no sign scribed in 23 CFR 77 or the National Enviro to make this determ ding dated May 31, 2 al Exclusion under: the MOU between FI proposal and support e environmental review being, or have been, our 23, 2016 and execu-	Name: Project Manual	supporting information, defined by NEPA, and statement (EIS), and on the environment as ch, the project is categode. The State has been to Chapter 3 of Title 2 tetween the FHWA and tate the State has determined any other actions caltrans pursuant to 23	the State has Is excluded from the defined by NEPA, and orically excluded from an assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, d the State. The State ned that the project is a required by applicable		
Signature Signature NEPA CO In accordance determined ti does not in requireme has conside CALTRAN 23 USC that the the requirement of the requireme	MPLIANCE that this project: and the project: are are no unusual circums are are are no unusual circums are are	i7, and based on ively have a significant in a session in	Print Z/9 Sign an examination of this ficant impact on the e sment (EA) or Enviro to 23 CFR 771.117(b Check one) his project has no sign scribed in 23 CFR 77 er the National Enviro to make this determ ding dated May 31, 2 al Exclusion under: the MOU between FI proposal and support e environmental review being, or have been, per 23, 2016 and exec	Name: Project Manual	supporting information, defined by NEPA, and Statement (EIS), and on the environment as the chapter 3 of Title 2 setween the FHWA and tate the State has determined any other actions caltrans pursuant to 23 and Caltrans.	the State has Is excluded from the defined by NEPA, and orically excluded from an assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, d the State. The State ned that the project is a required by applicable		
Signeture Signeture Signeture NEPA CO In accordance determined ti does not in requireme has consid CALTRAN 23 USC that the the requ certifies Section has det 23 USC Categor Federal Memora Jeff Sor Print Name Environme	MPLIANCE with 23 CFR 771.11 hat this project; S NEPA DETER 326: The State has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a re are are no unusual circums if the state has a	ively have a significant and a session and a	Print 2/9 Sign an examination of this ficant impact on the e sment (EA) or Enviro to 23 CFR 771.117(b Check one) his project has no sign scribed in 23 CFR 77 er the National Enviro to make this determ ding dated May 31, 2 hi Exclusion under: he MOU between Fi- proposal and support e environmental review being, or have been, per 23, 2016 and exec- Gil Prir	Name: Project Manager Project	supporting information, defined by NEPA, and Statement (EIS), and on the environment as the chapter 3 of Title 2 setween the FHWA and tate the State has determined any other actions caltrans pursuant to 23 and Caltrans.	the State has Is excluded from the defined by NEPA, and orically excluded from an assigned, and hereby 3, United States Code, d the State. The State ned that the project is a required by applicable		

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

2019078062

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Continuation Silect							
06-TUL-99	6.15, 12.6, 12.8	06-0U270	0615000295				
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Ald Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.				
Continued from page 1:							

Blology:

- · Preconstruction surveys will be conducted for San Joaquin kit fox.
- If SJKF dens are found within the project Right of Way or SJKF are encountered coordination with USFWS may be required and could cause a delay in construction.
- If construction work occurs during migratory bird nesting season (Feb1-Sept 30) and active nests are identified within the project area the Caltrans biologist will be notified, an ESA fence buffer will be necessary, and a biological monitor may be necessary.
- Preconstruction environmental awareness training by a qualified biologist for San Joaquin kit fox and Swainson's Hawk will be required.
- Any additional vegetation removal outside of the already established highway shoulder areas are to occur only at the three bridge locations identified for this project.
- No staging or parking will be permitted off the paved road way, and should be restricted to established roads, construction areas or designated areas

Hazardous Waste:

SSP 14-11.13 - Use for removal or disturbance of paint containing lead, chrome, etc., on bridges,

SSP 7-1.02K(6)(j)(iii) - Requires a lead compliance plan for soil disturbance when lead concentrations are non-hazardous.

SSP 36-4 or 84-9.03C - Requires a lead compliance plan for removal when residue is definitely non-hazardous. Used for new yellow paints and all other colors of paint.

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

JUL 15 20

STATECLEARINGHOUSE