## **Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal**

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

Project Decription (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed action is the approval of a Conditional Use Permit for the Aquamarine Solar Project, which is located on an ~1,825-acre site in central Kings County, and is planned to generate at total of 250 MW of electrical output from solar photovoltaic (PV) modules. The project is planned to be constructed over an 18-month period commencing in 2019. The project will include a substation at the southwest corner of the site which will step up the project power from 34.5-kV to 230-kV for transmission.

Included in the CUP application is the Kings County portion of a 230-kV gen-tie line which will convey the power generated by the Aquamarine Solar project to the Point of Interconnection (POI) with the PG&E system at the Gates Substation. The gen-tie line will follow the 25th Avenue alignment south for 2.5 miles to Nevada Avenue where it will turn west and extend an additional 6.2 miles to the Fresno County line. (The Fresno County segment of the gen-tie line is the subject of a separate Conditional Use Permit application to the County of Fresno. The entire gen-tie project received programmatic CEQA review in the Program EIR on the Westlands Solar Park Master Plan and Gen-Tie Corridors Plan, which as certified in January 2018. The proposed Aquamarine Solar Project also received program-level review in that PEIR, which covered a total of 20,938 acres of planned solar development.)

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Agriculture - The western 872 acres on the site are Farmland of Statewide Significance and are under Williamson Act or FSZ contracts. The required mitigation will include implementation of an Agricultural Management Plan to maintain agricultural productivity within this area for the life of the project. Upon decommissioning, required mitigation includes implementation of a Soil Reclamation Plan and Financial Assurances applicable to the entire Aquamarine project. Air Quality - Construction dust will be mitigated through implementation of a Dust Control Plan required by the Air District. Construction exhaust emissions will be mitigated through use of clean fleet equipment and implementation of ISR emission reduction requirements to be implemented on-site or off-site through payment of mitigation fees. Biological Resources - Potential impacts to protected species will be mitigated through implementation of preconstruction surveys, and species-specific measures to be implemented in the event protected species are found on the project site. Cultural/Tribal Resources - Potential impacts to previously undiscovered cultural resources will be mitigated through construction monitoring, employee briefings, and compliance with State Law requirements for any human remains. Geology/Soils - Expansive soils to be mitigated through soils engineering measures. Paleontological resources to be avoided if discovered and mitigated per paleontologist's recommendations if found.

Hazardous Materials - Potential impacts from hazardous materials will be mitigated through implementation of County-required HMMP and hazards provisions of SWPPPs. Valley Fever mitigations to be implemented as required.

Hydrology/Water Quality - Potential erosion/sedimentation impacts will be mitigated through implementation of SWPPPs. Transportation - Traffic disruption during construction will be mitigated through implementation of traffic control plans. Aesthetics; Energy; GHG Emissions; Land Use/Planning; Mineral Resources; Noise/Vibration; Population/Housing; Public Services; Recreation; Utilities/Public Services; Wildfire; Mandatory Findings - No potentially significant impacts.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy know agencies and the public.	vn to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by
There are no known areas of controversy related to the proposed Ad	quamarine Solar Project and Gen-Tie Line that are
known to the Lead Agency.	
8	
Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.	
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)	
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (CCRWQCB)	
California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)	
California Highway Patrol (CHP) Kings County Community Development Agency	
langs county community bevelopment Agency	
	Governor's Office of Planning & Research
	MAY 17 2019
	STATECLEARINGHOUSE
	SIMIE CLEARING TOUGH