

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: **2019059010**

Project Title: McCloud-Pit Hydroelectric Project

Lead Agency: State Water Resources Control Board

Contact Name: Savannah Downey

Email: Savannah.Downey@waterboards.ca.gov

Phone Number: (916) 322-1585

Project Location: McCloud

City

Shasta and Siskiyou

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The McCloud-Pit Hydroelectric Project (Project) is located on the McCloud River, Iron Canyon Creek, and the Pit River in Shasta and Siskiyou Counties, California. The 368-megawatt Project consists of three power-generating developments. These developments collectively include two storage reservoirs (McCloud and Iron Canyon), two regulating reservoirs (Pit 6 and Pit 7), one afterbay (Pit 7), three powerhouses (James B. Black, Pit 6, and Pit 7), five dams (Pit 6, Pit 7, Pit 7 Afterbay, Iron Canyon and McCloud), two tunnels, and associated equipment and transmission facilities. The average annual energy generated between 1987 and 2016 from the James B. Black, Pit 6, and Pit 7 Powerhouses was 629.9, 341.2, and 470.3 gigawatt-hours, respectively. The majority of Project lands (45 percent) are managed by the United States Forest Service. The Project also occupies land managed by the United States Bureau of Land Management, as well as land owned by PG&E and other private landholders.

For purposes of the CEQA analysis, the Project being considered by the State Water Resources Control Board includes PG&E's proposed project as described in its application to FERC, conditions proposed by United States Forest Service pursuant to section 4(e) of the Federal Power Act, FERC's final EIS staff alternatives, and conditions of the water quality certification necessary to protect water quality.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Negative Declaration does not identify any significant or potentially significant effects. As such, there are no proposed mitigation measures.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no known areas of controversy regarding the Project.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife, District 1
State Lands Commission
California Department of Parks and Recreation
University of California