## 2019058181

## **Notice of Exemption**

Appendix E

То:	Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	From: (Public Agency): State of California Department of Transportation-District 11 San Diego		
			County Clerk County of:	(Address)
Proje	ect Title: Murray Drive Park and Ride Str	eet Lighting and Pavement Rehab, EA 3A254		
Proje	ect Applicant: State of California - Depart	ment of Transportation, District 11		
Proje	ect Location - Specific:			
At I-8	3 [PM 11.8] at the Murray Drive Park and Rido	e in the City of La Mesa, in San Diego County.		
Proje	ect Location - City: La Mesa	Project Location - County: San Diego		
The insta	cription of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiarie project proposes to construct improvement	es of Project: is to the Murray Drive Park and Ride consisting of the all of existing pavement and replacement with Type G		
Nam	ne of Public Agency Approving Project: Calif	fornia Department of Transportation - District 11 t: California Department of Transportation - District 11		
Nam	ne of Person or Agency Carrying Out Projec	t: California Department of Transportation - District 11		
	mpt Status: (check one):			
	<ul> <li>Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);</li> <li>□ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3);</li> <li>□ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4);</li> <li>□ Categorical Exemption. State type and</li> <li>□ Statutory Exemptions. State code numbers</li> </ul>			
In co		within current Caltrans right of way and consists of repair, ublic facilities. The project involves no expansion of the existing		
	d Agency tact Person: Sonnier Francisco	Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 619-688-6763		
		inding. the public agency approving the project? ⊠ Yes □ No  Date: _5/9/19   Title: Assoc. Env. Planner		
	E Cigned by Load Agancy E Cigned	/		

Signed by Lead Agency □ Signed by Applicant

Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code, Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Code.

Date Received for filing at OPR: Office of Planning & Research

MAY 09 2019

STATECLEARINGHOUSE

2019058181

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Project No. Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No. PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.)  Caltrans proposes to construct improvements to the Murray Drive Park and Ride consisting of the installation of solar panel street lighting, removal of existing pavement and replacement with Type G Rubberized Hot Mix Asphalt (RHMA-G); and pavement restriping. Please see the attached Environmental Commitments Record for a list of commitments. Caltrans Environmental must be notified of any changes in project scope or footprint.  CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)  Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency  Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA  Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:  Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)	11-SD-8	11.8	3A254	1119000137					
adiabities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, If necessary).  Cattrans proposes to construct improvements to the Murray Drive Park and Ride consisting of the installation of solar panel street lighting, removal of existing pavement and replacement with Type C Rubbertzed Hot Mix Asphatt (RRMA-G); and pavement restripting. Please see the attached Environmental Commitments Record for a list of commitments. Caltrans Environmental must be notified of any changes in project scope or footprint.  CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)  Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency  Environmental impact Report under CEQA  Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:  Categorically Exempt. Class 21. (PRC 21844 4 CQR 1530 et seq.)  Categorically Exempt. Class 21. (PRC 21844 4 CQR 1530 et seq.)  Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:  • If this project lails within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.  • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.  • There is not a ressonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to urusual cincumstances and teams are as easternant adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  • The project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significant effect on the environment of a historical resource.  • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significant effect on the environment of a historical resource.  • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significant effect on the environment of a historical resource.  • This project does not cumulatively have a significant impa	DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)								
Caltrans proposes to construct improvements to the Murray Drive Park and Ride consisting of the installation of solar panel street lighting, removal of existing pavement and replacement with Type G Rubberlzed Hot Mix Asphat (RRMA-G); and pavement restriping, Please see the attached Environmental Commitments Record for a list of commitments. Caltrans Environmental must be notified of any changes in project scope or footprint.  CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)  Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency	PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and								
of solar panel street lighting, removal of existing pavement and replacement with Type G Rubbertzed Hot Mix Asphati (RRMA-G); and pavement restripting). Please see the attached Environmental Commitments Record for a list of commitments. Caltrans Environmental must be notified of any changes in project scope or footprint.  CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)  Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency    Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental impact Report under CEQA Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above Statements, the project is.  Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21084[p); 14 CCR 15280 et seq.)  Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:  • If this project falls within evernal class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, preclasely mapped, and officially adopted parsuant to law.  • There will not be a significant cumulative direct by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place.  • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.  • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  • This project is not located on a sile induced on any list compiled pursuant to GOYL. Code § 69805.2 ("Cortese List").  • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.  • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  • This project has not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  • This project has no seem to the project and the significant effect on the environmental frame that the resource with certainty that there is no possibility that the act									
Not Applicable - Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency	of solar panel street lighting, removal of existing pavement and replacement with Type G Rubberized Hot Mix Asphalt (RHMA-G); and pavement restriping. Please see the attached Environmental Commitments Record for a								
Not Applicable - Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency	OAL TRANS OF OA DETER	EINIATION			***************************************				
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)   Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:   • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.   • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.   • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.   • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.   • This project does not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 (*Cortese List*).   • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.   Common Sense Exemption. (This project does not fall within an exemptic class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061b)[3].   Bruce April	Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency  Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA								
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:  • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.  • There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.  • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.  • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  • This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  • This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)  Bruce April  Print Name. Senior Environmental Plannardo  Environmental Brands Chief  **Signature**  **Date**  **Date**  **Patrick Jenkins**  Print Name Project Manager  **Print Name Pro					,				
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.  There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.  There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.  This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project tool tocated on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 69962.5 ("Cortese List").  This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15081[b][3].)  Bruce April  Bruch April  Bruch April  Bruch April  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branes, Chief  Print Name: Project Manager  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:  A desen on the dividually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  33 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act, The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the	Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not								
There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.  This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project list not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").  This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  Common Sense Exemption. This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainly that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)  Bruce April  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Brander Chief  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Brander Chief  Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental supporting information, the State has determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environmental impact Statement (EIS), and  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  2 SUSC 236: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter's of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determ	<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> </ul>								
This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)  Bruce April  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner-00  Environmental Braneh Chief  Patrick Jenkins  Print Name: Project Manager  Print Name: Project Manage	over time.  • There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual								
possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)  Bruce April  Prin Name: Senior Environmental Plannes of Environmental Plannes of Environmental Branek, Chief  Prin Name: Senior Environmental Plannes of Environmental Branek, Chief  Patrick Jenkins  Prin Name: Project Manager  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer	<ul> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").</li> </ul>								
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner on Environgental Branea Chief    Print Name: Project Manager   Print Name: Project Manager	Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no								
Environmental Braneh Chief    Signature	Bruce April		Patri	ck Jenkins					
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:  • does not individually to cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (c)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  n/a  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature Date Signature Date		Planner of	Print N	ame: Project Manager	,				
NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 USC 327: activity (c)() 23 USC 371.117(d): activity (c)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  n/a  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer  Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  Date	Environmental Blames Criter	(/			11.1116				
NEPA COMPLIANCE  In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 USC 327: activity (c)() 23 USC 371.117(d): activity (c)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  n/a  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer  Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  Date	1 Jan July	/4//	5/19/2	rechmoso	7/16/17				
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  n/a  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature		, , D	ate Signati	ure /	Date				
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  n/a  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature Date Signature Date		7 and based on a	n examination of this	proposal and supporting information	the State has				
requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)()  32 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  n/a  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature Date Signature Date	determined that this project:								
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() 4 Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  n/a  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  Date  Signature  Date  Date	does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and								
that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() 24 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() 25 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  1/2  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  Date  Signature  Date  Date	CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)								
Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  n/a	that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)()								
23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.    n/a	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	e MOU between FHV	/A and the State					
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer	23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the								
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature Date Signature Date	n/a			n/a					
Signature Date Signature Date		Planner or	Print N	lame: Project Manager/DLA Engineer					
	and the second second second				*				
Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: n/a Date of ECR or equivalent : 4/12/2019	Signature	D	ate Signal	ure ·	Date				
	<b> </b>								

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).