Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

California Public Resources Code Section 21003 (f) states: "...it is the policy of the state that...[a]ll persons and public agencies involved in the environmental review process be responsible for carrying out the process in the most efficient, expeditious manner in order to conserve the available financial, governmental, physical, and social resources with the objective that those resources may be better applied toward the mitigation of actual significant effects on the environment." This policy is reflected in the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines (Guidelines) Section 15126.2(a), which states that "[a]n EIR [Environmental Impact Report] shall identify and focus on the significant environmental impacts of the proposed project" and Section 15143, which states that "[t]he EIR shall focus on the significant effects on the environment." The Guidelines allow use of an Initial Study to document project effects that are less than significant (Guidelines Section 15063[a]). Guidelines Section 15128 requires that an EIR contain a statement briefly indicating the reasons that various possible significant effects of a project were determined not to be significant and were therefore not discussed in detail in the Draft EIR.

8.1 ASSESSMENT IN THE INITIAL STUDY

The Initial Study prepared for the proposed project May 24, 2019 determined that impacts listed below would be less than significant. Consequently, they have not been further analyzed in this Draft EIR (DEIR). Please refer to Appendix A for explanation of the basis of these conclusions. Impact categories and questions below are summarized directly from the CEQA Environmental Checklist, as contained in the Initial Study.

Table 8-1 Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

Environmental Issues		Initial Study Determination		
I. A	I. AESTHETICS. Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project:			
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	Less Than Significant Impact		
b)	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	No Impact		
c)	In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?	Less Than Significant Impact		
d)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	Less Than Significant Impact		

February 2020 Page 8-1

8. Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

Table 8-1	Impacts Found Not to	Be Significant

	Environmental Issues	Initial Study Determination				
II. A	AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES. In determining whether	impacts to agricultural resources are				
	nificant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the Californ					
	Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing					
	impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of					
	estry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land					
	sessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and fore					
pro	vided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Bo	pard. Would the project:				
b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	Less Than Significant Impact				
c)	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))?	Less Than Significant Impact				
d)	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	Less Than Significant Impact				
III	AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by					
	pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following de					
	Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a	lemmations, would the project.				
d)	substantial number of people?	Less Than Significant Impact				
IV.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
e)	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources,	Less Than Significant Impact				
	such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	Less Than Significant Impact				
f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural					
	Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state	Less Than Significant Impact				
	habitat conservation plan?					
V C	CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
v. c	oction is necocinoso, modia ino projecti					
c)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated	Less Than Significant Impact				
c)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries?	Less Than Significant Impact				
c)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:	Less Than Significant Impact				
c)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the	Less Than Significant Impact				
c)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:					
c)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the					
c)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	Less Than Significant Impact				
c) VII. a)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides?	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact				
c) VII. a)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	Less Than Significant Impact				
c) VII. a)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact				
c) VII. a) b) d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property?	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact				
c) VII. a)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property? Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact				
c) VII. a) b) d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property?	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact				
b) d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property? Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact				
b) d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property? Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact				
b) d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property? Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project: Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact No Impact				
b) d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property? Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project: Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact				
b) d) e)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property? Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project: Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact No Impact No Impact				
b) d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property? Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project: Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact No Impact				
b) d) e) IX. c)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property? Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project: Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact No Impact No Impact Less Than Significant Impact				
b) d) e)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. iv) Landslides? Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property? Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project: Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency	Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact Less Than Significant Impact No Impact No Impact				

Page 8-2 PlaceWorks

8. Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

Table 8-1 Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

-10	Se o-1 impacts i duna Not to be Significant	Initial Charles Datamain ation				
V	Environmental Issues	Initial Study Determination				
	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:	I				
b)	Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?	Less Than Significant Impact				
c)	Substantially alter the drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:	-				
	i) result in a substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site;	Less Than Significant Impact				
XI.	XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:					
a)	Physically divide an established community?	No Impact				
XII	MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be a value to the region and the residents of the state?	Less Than Significant Impact				
b)	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	Less Than Significant Impact				
ΧI\	Y. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:					
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	Less Than Significant Impact				
	ilities, the construction of which could cause significant environment vice ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any o Schools?	f the public services:				
		Less Than Significant Impact				
<u>d)</u>	Parks?	Less Than Significant Impact				
e)	Other public facilities?	Less Than Significant Impact				
	I. RECREATION.					
a)	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	Less Than Significant Impact				
b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	Less Than Significant Impact				
ΧV	II. TRANSPORTATION. Would the project:					
d)	Result in inadequate emergency access?	Less Than Significant Impact				
ΧIX	(. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:					
e)	Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	Less Than Significant Impact				
	. WILDFIRE. If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands clanes, would the project:	assified as very high fire hazard severity				
a)	Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	Less Than Significant Impact				
b)	Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?	No Impact				

February 2020 Page 8-3

8. Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

Table 8-1 Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

	Environmental Issues	Initial Study Determination
c)	Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?	Less Than Significant Impact
d)	Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?	Less Than Significant Impact

Page 8-4

PlaceWorks