

Ganahl Lumber Project

Draft Environmental Impact Report

SCH No. 2019050015



City of San Juan Capistrano

January 2020

LSA



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Summary of Location and Setting	1-1
1.3 Summary of Project Description	1-2
1.4 Significant Unavoidable impacts	1-3
1.5 Alternatives	1-4
1.5.1 Alternative 1: No Restaurant Uses	1-4
1.5.2 Alternative 2: 2,000 Square Feet of Restaurant Uses.....	1-4
1.5.3 Alternative 3: 4,000 Square Feet of Restaurant Uses.....	1-5
1.5.4 Alternative 4: No Project Alternative	1-5
1.6 Areas of Controversy.....	1-5
1.7 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	1-6
2.0 INTRODUCTION.....	2-1
2.1 Overview and Project Background.....	2-1
2.2 Purposes and Type of EIR/Intended Uses of the EIR.....	2-1
2.3 Environmental Review Process	2-3
2.3.1 Initial Study and Notice of Preparation	2-3
2.3.2 Scoping Meeting Summary.....	2-4
2.3.3 Public Review Period	2-5
2.4 Scope of This Draft EIR	2-5
2.5 Effects Found Not to be Significant.....	2-6
2.5.1 Agricultural Resources	2-6
2.5.2 Mineral Resources	2-6
2.5.3 Population and Housing	2-7
2.5.4 Public Services	2-7
2.5.5 Recreation	2-9
2.5.6 Wildfire	2-9
2.6 Format of the Draft EIR	2-10
2.7 Incorporation by Reference	2-12
3.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	3-1
3.1 Project Overview	3-1
3.2 Existing Conditions	3-1
3.2.1 Regional Project Location	3-1
3.2.2 Project Vicinity and Surrounding Land Uses	3-2
3.2.3 Existing Project Site	3-2
3.2.4 Existing General Plan and Zoning	3-2
3.3 Proposed Project.....	3-3
3.3.1 Proposed Development Areas A, B, and C.....	3-3
3.3.2 Ganahl Lumber Hardware Store and Lumber Yard.....	3-5
3.3.3 Circulation and Access.....	3-7
3.3.4 Parking.....	3-8
3.3.5 Landscaping	3-8

3.3.6	Signage and Other Site Improvements	3-9
3.3.7	Outdoor Lighting	3-9
3.3.8	Utilities and Drainage	3-9
3.3.9	Conservation and Sustainability Features	3-10
3.3.10	Construction Duration, Phasing, and Grading	3-11
3.3.11	Project Objectives	3-11
3.4	Required Permits, Discretionary Actions, and Approvals	3-12
3.4.1	Discretionary Actions	3-12
3.4.2	Ministerial Approvals	3-12
3.4.3	Future Discretionary and Ministerial Approvals	3-13

4.0 EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES 4-1

4.1	Aesthetics	4.1-1
4.1.1	Scoping Process	4.1-1
4.1.2	Methodology	4.1-1
4.1.3	Existing Environmental Setting	4.1-3
4.1.4	Regulatory Setting	4.1-4
4.1.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.1-6
4.1.6	Project Impacts	4.1-6
4.1.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation	4.1-13
4.1.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures	4.1-13
4.1.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.1-14
4.1.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.1-14
4.1.11	Project Alternatives	4.1-15
4.2	Air Quality	4.2-1
4.2.1	Scoping Process	4.2-1
4.2.2	Methodology	4.2-1
4.2.3	Existing Environmental Setting	4.2-2
4.2.4	Regulatory Setting	4.2-7
4.2.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.2-11
4.2.6	Project Impacts	4.2-12
4.2.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation	4.2-20
4.2.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures	4.2-20
4.2.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.2-21
4.2.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.2-21
4.2.11	Project Alternatives	4.2-22
4.3	Biological Resources	4.3-1
4.3.1	Scoping Process	4.3-1
4.3.2	Methodology	4.3-1
4.3.3	Existing Environmental Setting	4.3-2
4.3.4	Regulatory Setting	4.3-4
4.3.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.3-7
4.3.6	Project Impacts	4.3-8
4.3.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation	4.3-11
4.3.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures	4.3-11
4.3.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.3-14
4.3.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.3-14
4.3.11	Project Alternatives	4.3-15

4.4	Cultural Resources	4.4-1
4.4.1	Scoping Process	4.4-1
4.4.2	Methodology	4.4-1
4.4.3	Existing Environmental Setting.....	4.4-2
4.4.4	Regulatory Setting	4.4-2
4.4.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.4-5
4.4.6	Project Impacts.....	4.4-5
4.4.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation.....	4.4-6
4.4.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures.....	4.4-6
4.4.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.4-8
4.4.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.4-8
4.4.11	Project Alternatives	4.4-9
4.5	Energy.....	4.5-1
4.5.1	Scoping Process	4.5-1
4.5.2	Methodology	4.5-1
4.5.3	Existing Environmental Setting.....	4.5-1
4.5.4	Regulatory Setting	4.5-3
4.5.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.5-7
4.5.6	Project Impacts.....	4.5-7
4.5.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation.....	4.5-10
4.5.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures.....	4.5-11
4.5.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.5-11
4.5.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.5-11
4.5.11	Project Alternatives	4.5-12
4.6	Geology and Soils	4.6-1
4.6.1	Scoping Process	4.6-1
4.6.2	Methodology	4.6-1
4.6.3	Existing Environmental Setting.....	4.6-2
4.6.4	Regulatory Setting	4.6-6
4.6.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.6-8
4.6.6	Project Impacts.....	4.6-9
4.6.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation.....	4.6-14
4.6.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures.....	4.6-14
4.6.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.6-18
4.6.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.6-19
4.6.11	Project Alternatives	4.6-19
4.7	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.7-1
4.7.1	Scoping Process	4.7-1
4.7.2	Methodology	4.7-1
4.7.3	Existing Environmental Setting.....	4.7-1
4.7.4	Regulatory Setting	4.7-3
4.7.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.7-8
4.7.6	Project Impacts.....	4.7-8
4.7.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation.....	4.7-11
4.7.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures.....	4.7-11
4.7.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.7-11
4.7.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.7-13
4.7.11	Project Alternatives	4.7-13
4.8	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4.8-1

4.8.1	Scoping Process	4.8-1
4.8.2	Methodology	4.8-1
4.8.3	Existing Environmental Setting	4.8-3
4.8.1	Regulatory Setting	4.8-3
4.8.4	Thresholds of Significance	4.8-8
4.8.5	Project Impacts	4.8-9
4.8.6	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation	4.8-10
4.8.7	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures	4.8-11
4.8.8	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.8-11
4.8.9	Cumulative Impacts	4.8-11
4.8.10	Project Alternatives	4.8-12
4.9	Hydrology and Water Quality	4.9-1
4.9.1	Scoping Process	4.9-1
4.9.2	Methodology	4.9-1
4.9.3	Existing Environmental Setting	4.9-2
4.9.4	Regulatory Setting	4.9-3
4.9.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.9-14
4.9.6	Project Impacts	4.9-15
4.9.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation	4.9-24
4.9.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures	4.9-24
4.9.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.9-27
4.9.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.9-27
4.9.11	Project Alternatives	4.9-28
4.10	Land Use and Planning	4.10-1
4.10.1	Scoping Process	4.10-1
4.10.2	Methodology	4.10-1
4.10.3	Existing Environmental Setting	4.10-2
4.10.4	Regulatory Setting	4.10-2
4.10.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.10-9
4.10.6	Project Impacts	4.10-13
4.10.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation	4.10-25
4.10.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures	4.10-25
4.10.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.10-25
4.10.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.10-25
4.10.11	Project Alternatives	4.10-26
4.11	Noise	4.11-1
4.11.1	Scoping Process	4.11-1
4.11.2	Methodology	4.11-1
4.11.3	Existing Environmental Setting	4.11-4
4.11.4	Regulatory Setting	4.11-6
4.11.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.11-10
4.11.6	Project Impacts	4.11-10
4.11.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation	4.11-16
4.11.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.11-18
4.11.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.11-18
4.11.11	Project Alternatives	4.11-21
4.12	Transportation	4.12-1
4.12.1	Scoping Process	4.12-1
4.12.2	Methodology	4.12-1

4.12.3	Existing Environmental Setting.....	4.12-5
4.12.4	Regulatory Setting	4.12-7
4.12.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.12-12
4.12.6	Project Impacts.....	4.12-13
4.12.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation.....	4.12-21
4.12.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures.....	4.12-21
4.12.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.12-21
4.12.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.12-21
4.12.11	Project Alternatives	4.12-31
4.13	Tribal Cultural Resources	4.13-1
4.13.1	Scoping Process	4.13-1
4.13.2	Methodology	4.13-1
4.13.3	Existing Environmental Setting.....	4.13-2
4.13.4	Regulatory Setting	4.13-3
4.13.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.13-5
4.13.6	Project Impacts.....	4.13-6
4.13.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation.....	4.13-6
4.13.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.13-8
4.13.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.13-8
4.13.11	Project Alternatives	4.13-8
4.14	Utilities and Service Systems	4.14-1
4.14.1	Scoping Process	4.14-1
4.14.2	Methodology	4.14-2
4.14.3	Existing Environmental Setting.....	4.14-2
4.14.4	Regulatory Setting	4.14-6
4.14.5	Thresholds of Significance	4.14-10
4.14.6	Project Impacts.....	4.14-11
4.14.7	Level of Significance Prior to Mitigation.....	4.14-19
4.14.8	Regulatory Compliance Measures and Mitigation Measures.....	4.14-19
4.14.9	Level of Significance after Mitigation	4.14-21
4.14.10	Cumulative Impacts	4.14-21
4.14.11	Project Alternatives	4.14-23
5.0	ALTERNATIVES	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Proposed Project.....	5-2
5.2.1	Project Characteristics	5-2
5.2.2	Project Objectives.....	5-2
5.2.3	Significant Unavoidable Impacts of the Proposed Project	5-3
5.3	Alternatives Initially Considered but Rejected from Further Consideration	5-4
5.3.1	Reduced Ganahl Lumber Store Project Alternative.....	5-4
5.3.2	Alternative Sites Considered	5-5
5.4	Alternatives under Consideration	5-7
5.4.1	Build Alternatives	5-10
5.4.2	No Build Alternative	5-12
5.5	Identification of Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	5-14
6.0	OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS.....	6-1
6.1	Summary of Significant Unavoidable Impacts	6-1

6.2	Energy Impacts	6-1
6.3	Growth-Inducing Impacts	6-1
6.3.1	Removal of Obstacles to, or Otherwise Foster, Population Growth.....	6-2
6.3.2	Foster Economic Growth	6-3
6.3.3	Other Characteristics	6-4
6.4	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes	6-4
7.0	MITIGATION AND MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM.....	7-1
7.1	Mitigation Monitoring Requirements.....	7-1
7.2	Mitigation Monitoring Procedures	7-1
8.0	SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Traffic Impacts	8-1
9.0	LIST OF PREPARERS AND PERSONS CONSULTED	9-1
9.1	City of San Juan Capistrano	9-1
9.2	EIR Preparers	9-1
9.2.1	LSA	9-1
9.3	Technical Report Preparers	9-1
9.3.1	DMG, Inc.	9-1
9.3.2	ECORP Consulting, Inc.....	9-2
9.3.3	Jim Borer, Certified Arborist #496	9-2
9.3.4	Joseph C. Truxaw and Associates, Inc.	9-2
9.3.5	LSA	9-2
9.3.6	Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County	9-3
9.3.7	Willdan Engineering Geotechnical Group.....	9-3
9.4	Project Applicant	9-3
9.4.1	Ganahl Lumber	9-3
9.5	Persons Consulted	9-3
10.0	REFERENCES	10-1

FIGURES

Figure 3.1:	Regional Project Location	3-15
Figure 3.2:	Project Vicinity	3-17
Figure 3.3:	Site Photographs	3-19
Figure 3.4:	Existing General Plan Land Use Designations	3-21
Figure 3.5:	Existing Zoning Designations.....	3-23
Figure 3.6:	Conceptual Site Plan	3-25
Figure 3.7a:	Enlarged Area A Plan.....	3-27
Figure 3.7b:	Enlarged Area B Plan.....	3-29
Figure 3.7c:	Enlarged Area C Plan	3-31
Figure 4.10.1:	Existing General Plan Land Use Designations	4.10-5
Figure 4.10.2:	Existing Zoning Designations	4.10-11

TABLES

Table 1.A: Proposed Building Area	1-2
Table 1.B: Summary of Potential Environmental Impacts, Project Design Features, Mitigation Measures, Compliance Measures, and Levels of Significance	1-7
Table 3.A: Proposed Building Area	3-3
Table 4.1.A: General Plan Policy Consistency Analysis.....	4.1-8
Table 4.1.B: Zoning Code Development Standards Consistency Analysis.....	4.1-11
Table 4.2.A: Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the South Coast Air Basin.....	4.2-6
Table 4.2.B: SCAQMD Regional Significance Thresholds.....	4.2-10
Table 4.2.C: SCAQMD Local Significance Thresholds	4.2-10
Table 4.2.D: Regional Construction Emissions	4.2-14
Table 4.2.E: Regional Operations Emissions.....	4.2-16
Table 4.2.F: Equipment-Specific Grading Rates	4.2-17
Table 4.2.G: Localized Construction Emissions	4.2-18
Table 4.2.H: Localized Operations Emissions	4.2-19
Table 4.2.I: Alternative 1 Regional Operations Emissions.....	4.2-23
Table 4.2.J: Alternative 1 Localized Operations Emissions.....	4.2-23
Table 4.2.K: Alternative 2 Regional Operations Emissions.....	4.2-25
Table 4.2.L: Alternative 2 Localized Operations Emissions	4.2-26
Table 4.2.M: Alternative 3 Regional Operations Emissions	4.2-28
Table 4.2.N: Alternative 3 Localized Operations Emissions	4.2-28
Table 4.3.A: Animal Species Observed On Site.....	4.3-3
Table 4.5.A: Proposed Project Energy Consumption	4.5-8
Table 4.5.B: Alternative 1 Energy Consumption	4.5-13
Table 4.5.C: Alternative 2 Energy Consumption.....	4.5-14
Table 4.5.D: Alternative 3 Energy Consumption	4.5-15
Table 4.7.A: Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	4.7-8
Table 4.7.B: Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.7-9
Table 4.7.C: Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions Per Service Population	4.7-10
Table 4.7.D: Consistency with SCAG's RTP/SCS Goals.....	4.7-12
Table 4.7.E: Alternative 1 Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	4.7-15
Table 4.7.F: Alternative 2 Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	4.7-16
Table 4.7.G: Alternative 3 Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.7-18
Table 4.9.A: Water Quality Objectives	4.9-9
Table 4.10.A: General Plan Policy Consistency Analysis.....	4.10-16
Table 4.11.A: Existing Noise Measurements	4.11-5
Table 4.11.B: Existing Traffic Noise Levels.....	4.11-6
Table 4.11.C: City of San Juan Capistrano Noise Standards for Stationary Noise Sources	4.11-7
Table 4.11.D: City of Dana Point Noise Standards for Stationary Noise Sources.....	4.11-8
Table 4.11.E: Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Environments	4.11-9
Table 4.11.F: City of San Juan Capistrano Interior and Exterior Noise Standards.....	4.11-9
Table 4.11.G: Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels	4.11-11
Table 4.11.H: Existing Plus Project Conditions Predicted Traffic Noise Levels.....	4.11-13
Table 4.11.I: Summary of On-Site Stationary Sources.....	4.11-14

Table 4.11.J: Predicted On-Site Operational Noise from Full Site Operations	4.11-14
Table 4.11.K: Predicted On-Site Operational Noise During Evening and Nighttime Hours	4.11-15
Table 4.11.L: Cumulative Traffic Noise Scenario	4.11-20
Table 4.12.A: Existing Intersection Level of Service Summary (ICU)	4.12-8
Table 4.12.B: Existing Intersection Level of Service Summary (HCM)	4.12-9
Table 4.12.C: Existing Roadway Segment Level of Service Summary	4.12-9
Table 4.12.D: Project Trip Generation Summary	4.12-15
Table 4.12.E: Existing Plus Project Intersection Level of Service Summary (ICU)	4.12-16
Table 4.12.F: Existing Plus Project Intersection Level of Service Summary (HCM)	4.12-17
Table 4.12.G: Existing Plus Project Roadway Segment Level of Service Summary	4.12-18
Table 4.12.H: Cumulative Projects Summary	4.12-22
Table 4.12.I: Existing Plus Project Plus Cumulative Intersection Level of Service Summary (ICU)	4.12-24
Table 4.12.J: Existing Plus Project Plus Cumulative Intersection Level of Service Summary (HCM)	4.12-25
Table 4.12.K: Existing Plus Project Plus Cumulative Roadway Segment Level of Service Summary	4.12-26
Table 4.12.L: Buildout (Project) Intersection Level of Service Summary (ICU)	4.12-28
Table 4.12.M: Buildout (Project) Intersection Level of Service Summary (HCM)	4.12-29
Table 4.12.N: Buildout (Project) Roadway Segment Level of Service Summary	4.12-30
Table 4.14.A: Water Supply and Demand Projections Comparison Third Dry-Year Scenario (2020-2040)	4.14-4
Table 4.14.B: Project-Related Water Demand	4.14-11
Table 4.14.C: Project Related Wastewater Generation	4.14-14
Table 5.A: Summary of Project Alternatives	5-9
Table 5.B: Comparison of the Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Project and Reduced Project Alternatives	5-11
Table 7.A: Mitigation and Monitoring Reporting Program	7-3

APPENDICES

- A: INITIAL STUDY/NOTICE OF PREPARATION
- B: AIR QUALITY AND GREENHOUSE GAS ASSESSMENT
- C: BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES REPORTS
- D: CULTURAL RESOURCES SURVEY
- E: ENERGY CONSUMPTION ANALYSIS
- F: GEOTECHNICAL REPORT AND PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES SURVEY
- G: PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT
- H: HYDROLOGY REPORT AND PRELIMINARY WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN
- I: NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT
- J: TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS
- K: NATIVE AMERICAN CONSULTATION

