

# Sample Summary for Electronic Document Submittal

15 copies of this document may be included when a Lead Agency is submitting electronic copies of environmental impact reports, negative declarations, mitigated negative declarations, or notices of preparation to the SCH. The SCH will still accept other summaries, such as an EIR summary prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123, attached to the electronic copies of the document.

SCH # 2019049098

Lead Agency: City of Pleasant Hill

Project Title: Providence Development Corporation - McKissick Vesting Tentative Parcel Map - Subdivision

Project Location: Pleasant Hill Contra Costa  
*City* *County*

Please provide a Project Description (Proposed Actions, location, and/or consequences).

The project includes a vesting tentative parcel map, to create four parcels ranging in size from 10,549 to 12,262 net square feet and an architectural review permit to allow 4 single-family two-story homes on these lots. The overall site area is approximately 1.19-acres (comprised of two existing legal parcels). Project improvements would include stormwater treatment and retention facilities, and new street paving. The project would include construction of an on-site access road with a hammerhead turnaround and three guest parking spaces. Additionally, the project includes installation of a new storm drain along existing McKissick Street and Hubbard Avenue. Project construction would take between 12 to 18 months.  
This is a recirculation.

Please identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Air Quality - Mitigation measures would reduce impacts of air quality during construction activities.  
Biological - Mitigation measures would reduce impacts on migratory and nesting birds/owls/bats, as well as on Sacramento splittail should they be discovered during project construction and tree removal impacts.  
Cultural - Mitigation measures would reduce potential impacts on archaeological and historic resources.  
Geological - Mitigation measures would reduce impacts of expansive soil to ensure that surface waters are directed away from the houses and other structures by adopting the Building Code grades as a minimum and would reduce potential paleontological resources.  
Hydrology - Mitigation measures would reduce impacts on stormwater runoff with the incorporation of stormwater management, erosion control, and storage facilities. Mitigation measures would reduce the impacts on the environment from the proposed new stormwater drainage facilities.  
Tribal Cultural Resources - Mitigation measures would reduce impacts to any discovered human remains.  
Utilities and Service Systems - Mitigation measures would reduce impacts of stormwater runoff and erosion control.

If applicable, please describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Issues raised: Sizes of homes, wildlife, views, privacy, drainage, noise, archaeological and cultural artifacts/remains, solid waste, hazardous waste, and installation of a fire hydrant.

Please provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Contra Costa Public Works: Flood Control & Water Conservation District  
Central Contra Costa Sanitary District  
Contra Costa Health Services  
Contra Costa Water District  
Contra Costa County Fire Protection District  
California Department of Fish and Wildlife