Notice of Exemption

2019048413

Appendix E

Office of Planning and Research From:	: (Public Agency) Caltrans, Dist. 10
P.O. Box 3044, Room 113	1976 E. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	Stockton CA 95205
County Clerk	
County of: N/A	
Project Title: D 10 MVDS replacement and upgrade (10-1G7	50)
Project Applicant: California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)
Project Location - Specific: San Joaquin (SJ), Merced (MER), Stanislaus (STA) County, Stat	te Routes (SR) 5, 59, 99, 152, Post Miles (PM) various
Project Location - City: Various Project	oject Location - County: SJ, MER, STA
Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project	
The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes vehicle detection system (MVDs) at various locations in the Merc	
State Routes (SR) 5, 59, 99, and 152. These MVDs are used to p	
and presence detection to meet the demands of transportation. T	
operations with reliable TMS. This project is needed to bring out	dated MVD's to current standards and to increase
accuracy and efficiency of transportation management.	
Name of Public Agency Approving Project: California De	epartment of Transportation
Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: Califor	nia Department of Transportation
Exempt Status: (check one):	
☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);	
Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a));	
 ☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c)); ☑ Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: 	14 CCP15201: Evipting Equilities, Class 1
☐ Statutory Exemption. State type and section number.	14 CCR15301; Existing Facilities -Class 1
Reason why project is exempt:	
The project involves the deployment of electronics as component	ts of a fully integrated system. There will be operation
maintenance, and/or minor alteration of existing structures, witho	
Lead Agency Contact Person: Divine Yang Area Code	e/Telephone/Extension: (209) 948-7690
Contact Person: Divine rang Area Code	e/Telephone/Extension: (209) 948-7690
If filed by applicant:	
Attach certified document of exemption finding. Has a Nation of Exemption bean filed by the public	agency approving the project?
Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public	agency approving the project? ☐ YES ☐ NO
Signature: Date: 4/19/	Title: Environmental Planner
Signed by Lead Agency Signed by Applica	nt
Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code.	Date Received for filing at ORR) ffice of Planning & Re

Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Co

APR 22 2003

STATE CLEARING HOUSE

.

en la companya de la La companya de la companya de

2019048413 CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

10/Mer,Sta,SJ/5, 59, 99, 152 VAR 10-1G75	104200000
10/Mer,Sta,SJ/5, 59, 99, 152 VAR 10-1G75 DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Proje	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project includir	o need, purpose location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary	.)
The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) prop	poses to restore the inefficient and demaged migravious
vehicle detection system (MVDs) at various locations in the	Merced Stanislaus and San Janguin counting along the
State Pourtes (SP) 5 50 00 and 153 Those MVDs are use	wereed, Stanislaus, and San Joaquin counties along the
State Routes (SR) 5, 59, 99, and 152. These MVDs are use	d to provide enhanced speed monitoring, traffic counting,
and presence detection to meet the demands of transportat	ion. The purpose is to enhance the freeway and highway
operations with reliable TMS. This project is needed to bring	g outdated MVD's to current standards and to increase
accuracy and efficiency of transportation management.	
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)	
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency	☐ Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information,	and the above statements, the project is:
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)	
Categorically Exempt. Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 1530)	l naz ta l
	mation, the following statements are true and exceptions do not
apply:	mation, the following statements are true and exceptions do not
 If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it 	does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical
concern where designated, precisely mapped, and office	ally adopted pursuant to law.
over time.	roject and successive projects of the same type in the same place,
 There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will circumstances. 	have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual
 This project does not damage a scenic resource within a 	an officially designated state scenic highway.
 This project is not located on a site included on any list 	compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List")
 This project does not cause a substantial adverse change 	ge in the significance of a historical resource.
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the	an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no
Jaycee Azevedo	Jin Zhen
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Print Name: Project Manager
Environmental Branch Chief	Print Name? Project Manager
Environmental Branch Chief	3/29/19
Environmental Branch Chief 3/29/19 Signature Date	Signature Date
Environmental Branch Chief 3/29/19 Signature Date	3/29/19 Signature Date
Environmental Branch Chief 3/29/19 Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination	3/29/19 Signature Date
Environmental Branch Chief 3/29/19 Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
Environmental Branch Chief 3/29/19 Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on	Signature Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the
Environmental Branch Chief 3/29/19 Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 8 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has necessarian and the state of the state o	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).
Environmental Branch Chief 3/29/19 Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 8 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR	Signature Date Of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). O significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from
Environmental Branch Chief 3/29/19 Signature Date	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned and hereby
Environmental Branch Chief 3/29/19 Signature Date	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned and hereby
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby attermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States Code
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby elemination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er:
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby elemination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er:
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). O significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er: en FHWA and the State exporting information, the State has determined that the project is a
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). O significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er: en FHWA and the State exporting information, the State has determined that the project is a
Environmental Branch Chief Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CI the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Excertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have left and the project are being.	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby attermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er: en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable peen, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby attermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er: en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable peen, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Date Of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). O significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er: OF FHWA and the State proporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable oven, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 8 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 Cft the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Bic certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discettion 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(21_) Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU betwee 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and stocategorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and Jaycee Azevedo	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er: en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the diexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans. Jin Zhen
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Date Of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). O significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er: OF FHWA and the State proporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable oven, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 8 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 Clean the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Bit ocertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CI and the responsibility to make this discertifies that the project are being or have the following that the project are being, or have the following that the project are being, or have the following that the project are being, or have the following that the project are being, or have the following that the project are being or have the following that the project are being or have the following that the project are being or have the following that the project	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er: en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the diexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans. Jin Zhen
Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State er: en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the diexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans. Jin Zhen
Signature Date NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 8 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 Clean the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Bit ocertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discertifies that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CI and the responsibility to make this discertifies that the project are being or have the following that the project are being, or have the following that the project are being, or have the following that the project are being, or have the following that the project are being, or have the following that the project are being or have the following that the project are being or have the following that the project are being or have the following that the project	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby etermination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State error en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is a review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans. Jin Zhen Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 8 has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one) 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 Cfr requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Bit certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this discettion 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(21_) Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU betwee 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and succategorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and Jaycee Azevedo Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Signature Date of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b). o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby elemination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State error en FHWA and the State areview, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the dexecuted by FHWA and Caltrans. Jin Zhen Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Continuation Sheet

		OCHINI MICHIEL CITE OF	
10/Mer,Sta,SJ/5, 59, 99, 152 DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	VAR P.M./P.M.	10-1G750 E,A/Project No.	1017000036 Federal-Ald Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.
Continued from page 1:			

Environmental Provisions

A. General:

- 1. Revalidation
 - The project is Categorically Exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Categorically Excluded under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) unless: (1) The scope of the project changes to include additional activities or areas; or (2) There is unforeseen discovery of sensitive or cultural resources.
- B. Blology: No Effect on any FESA-listed or CESA-listed animal or plant species.
 - 1. Pre-Construction
 - 2 weeks preconstruction notification to complete MBTA Surveys.
 - All workers must receive Environmental Training prior to start on Project.
 - With the implementation of the following avoidance measures, the proposed construction
 activities proposed for the District 10 TMS Repair Project are not expected to result in the "take"
 of any migratory birds, raptors, or their active nests:
 - o For work that is scheduled for between February 1st and September 30th, a nesting migratory bird/nesting raptor survey would be performed 14 days before the proposed start date of any construction-related activities. Construction work would be approved if survey of the jobsite within 14 working days before the proposed start of the construction activity shows an absence of nesting bird. If activities fail to commence within 14 working days, or if there is a halt to the activities with a delay of more than 14 working days, another nesting migratory bird/nesting raptor survey must be performed before construction activities can recommence.
 - If nesting migratory birds or nesting raptors are found during the pre-construction survey, the appropriate no-work buffer distances for specific bird species are listed below. No work will commence.
 - 2. Construction
 - Stop all work within a 100-foot radius of any active migratory bird nest except as noted in the table below:

	and the second s
Species .	Projective radius (feet)
Raptors	300
Swainson's Hawk	600
Burrowing owl (Apr. 1 to Oct. 15)	565
Burrowing owl (Oct. 16 to Mar. 31)	. 165

- C. Hazardous Waste
 - 1. Pre-Construction
 - There is a potential to encounter non-hazardous concentrations of Aerially Deposited Lead (ADL)
 while working in unpaved areas within the project limits. The Caltrans Standard Special-Provision
 pertaining to Earth Material Containing Lead, 7-1,02K(6)(j)(iii), shall be added to the construction
 contract. A lead compliance plan is required and all soil must remain on site.
- D. Locations: See attachment.

January 3, 2019

Table: Location List and Easement Requirements

l'able:	Locatio	on Lis	st and Has	ement Re	quiremen	its		
MVDS #	County	SR	CA PM	Existing MVDS	Existing MVDS Location	Existing Power Source	Location Description	Proposed Vehicle Detection Area
1	MER	5	0.492	YES	SB	Solar	On Ramp from Rest Area	Mainline
2	MER	-5	0.659	YES	NB	Solar	Off Ramp to Rest Area	NB Off Ramp
3	MER,	Б	0.767	YES	SB	Solar	Off Ramp to Rest Area	SB Off Ramp
4	MER	б	0.86	YES	NB	Solar	On Ramp from Rest Area	NB On Ramp
6	MER	5	5.064	YES	NB	Solar	Off Ramp to Vista Point Rd	Replace MVDS Head Only
6	MER	5	5.39	YES	NB	Solar	Off Ramp to Vista Point Rd	Replace MVDS Head Only
7	MER	5	6.07	YES	NB	Conventional	Off Ramp to Rte 165/Mercy Springs Rd	NB Off Ramp & Mainline at PM 6.0
8	MER	5	6.144	YES	SB	Conventional	On Ramp from Rte 165/Mercy Springs Rd	SB On Ramp
9	MER	5	6.443	YES	NB	Conventional	On Ramp from Rte 165/Mercy Springs Rd	NB On Ramp
10	MER	5	6.443	YES	Both	Conventional	North of (N/O) On Ramp from Rte165/Mercy Springs Rd	SB Off Ramp
11	MER	5	17.288	YES	SB	Conventional	On Ramp from EB Rte 152	On Ramp & Mainline at PM 17.5
12	MER	5	17.388	YES	NB	Conventional	Off Ramp to EB Rte 152	NB Off Ramp
13	MER	6	17.526	YES	NB	Conventional	On Ramp from EB Rte 152	NB On Ramp
14	MER	5	17.585	YES	SB	Conventional	Off Ramp to EB Rte 152	SB Off Ramp
15	MER	5	17.607	YES	NB	Conventional	Off Ramp to WB Rte 152	NB Off Ramp
16	MEA	6	17.643	YES	\$ 8	Conventional	South of (S/O) On Ramp from WB Rte 152	SB On Ramp
17	MER	5	17,78	YES	SB	Conventional	N/O Off Ramp to WB Rte 152	SB Off Ramp
18	MER	5	17.869	YES	NB	Conventional	On Ramp from WB Rte 152	NB On Ramp
		-		***************************************		Samuel and the same of the sam	<u> </u>	.1

wemors Office of Planning & Research

MVDS #	County	SR	CA PM	Existing MVDS	Existing MVDS Location	Existing Power Source	Location Description	Proposed Vehicle Detection Area
19	MER	5	21.714	YES 	SB	Conventional	S/O On Ramp from Rte 33	SB On Ramp & Mainline at PM 22.0
20	MER	.5	21.728	YES	NB	Conventional	Off Ramp to Rte 33	NB Off Ramp
21	MER	5	22.036	YĖS	SB	Conventional	N/O Off Ramp to Rte 33	SB Off Ramp
22	MER	5	22.141	YES	NB	Conventional	N/O On Ramp from Rte 33	NB On Ramp
.23	MER	5	28,324	YES	SB	Solar	S/O On Ramp from Truck Scale	SB On Ramp & Mainline at PM 23.5
24	MER	5	23.364	YES	SB	Solar	N/O Off Ramp to Truck Scale	SB Off Ramp
25	MER	5	23,422	YES	NB	Solar & Conventional	S/O Off Ramp to Truck Scale	NB Off Ramp
26	MER	5	23.695	YES	NB	Solar	N/O On Ramp from Truck Scale	NB On Ramp
27	MER	5	32,204	YES	, NB	Conventional	Off Ramp to Rte 140	NB Off Ramp
28	MER	5	32.204	YES	SB	Conventional	S/O On Ramp from Rte 140	SB On Ramp
29	МЕЯ	59	Fl0.114	YES	SB	Conventional	Off Ramp to Rte 152	SB Off Ramp & Mainline at PM 0.0
80	MER	59	R0.306	YES	NB	Conventional	N/O Rte 152	NB On Ramp
31	MER	99	0,128	YES	NB	Solar	S/O Off Pamp to Truck Inspection	NB Off Ramp
32	MER	99	0.991	YES	NB	Solar	N/O On Ramp from Truck Inspect	NB On Ramp
33	MER	99	10.773	YES	,NB	Solar	S/O Mission Ave	Malnline
34	MER	99	11.075	YES	NB	Solar	S/O Mission Ave	Mainline
35	MER	99	11,306	YES	NB	Solar	S/O Mission Ave	Mainline
36	MER	99	11.583	YES	NB	Solar	S/O Mission Ave	Mainline
37	MER	99	11.623	YES	SB	Solar	S/O Mission Ave	All Ramps & Mainline
38	MER	99	11.867	YES	SB	Solar	N/O Mission Ave	Mainline
39	MER	99	12.109	YES	SB	Solar	S/O Gerard Ave	All Ramps & Mainline at PM 12.0
40	MER	99	18.361	YES	NB	Conventional	S/O Off Ramp to Franklin Rd	Mainline

MVDS #	County	SR	CA PM	Existing MVDS	Existing MVDS Location	Existing Power Source	Location Description	Proposed Vehicle Detection Area
41	MER	99	18.494	YES	NB	Conventional	N/O On Ramp from Franklin Rd	NB On Flamp
42	MER	152	R32.106	YES	ЕВ	Conventional	West of (W/O) Off Ramp to Rte 33	EB Off Ramp & Mainline
43	MER	152	F32,113	YES	WB	Conventional	W/O On Ramp from Rte 33	WB On Ramp
44	MER.	152	R32.615	YES	ЕВ	Conventional	East of (E/O) On Ramp from Rte 33	EB On Ramp
45	MER	152	R32.638	YES	WB	Conventional	E/O Off Flamp to Rte 33	WB Off Ramp
46	SJ	5	27.931	YES	NB	Solar	N/O Monte Diablo Ave UC	Mainline
47	ø	5	28.142	YES	SB	Conventional	S/O Off Ramp to Monte Diablo	Mainline
48	S	5	28.364	YES	NB	Conventional	N/O Smith Canal Bridge	Mainline
49	ુ	5	28.526	YES	SB	Solar	S/O Country Club Blvd UC	Mainline
50	SJ	5	29.026	NO	n/a	n/a	N/O Alpine Ave	Mainline
51	SJ	5	29.526	NO	n/a	n/a	N/O River Rd	Mainline
52	SJ	5	30.026	NO	n/a	n/a	N/O March Lane	Mainline
53	SJ	5	31.526	YES	Both	Conventional	N/O Benjamin Holt Drive UC	Mainline
54	SJ	5	32.026	NO	n/a	n/a	S/O On Ramp from Hammer Lane	Mainline
55	SJ	5	32.526	NO	n/a	n/a	S/O Hammer Lane UC	All Ramps & Mainline
		a sa Zarra						
56	ŞJ 🤭	5	33.472	YES	NB	Solar	S/O White Slough	Mainline
57	SJ	5	33.526	NO	n/a	n/a	Sturgeon Rd	Mainline
5 8	SJ	5	34.026	YES	NB	Solar	S/O Eight Mile Rd	Mainline
59	SJ	5	34.526	YES	NB	Solar	S/O Eight Mile Rd	Mainline
60	SJ	5	41.704	YES	Both	Solar	N/O Turner Rd	Mainline
61	SJ	99	24.089	YES	NB	Conventional	On Ramp from Morada Ln	NB On Ramp & Mainline at PM 24.0
62	SJ	99	25.276	YES	NB	Conventional	Off Ramp to Eight Mile Rd	NB Off/On Ramp & SB Mainline to an existing NB-

MVDS #	County	SR	CAPM	Existing MVDS	Existing MVDS Location	Existing Power Source	Location Description	Proposed Vehicle Detection Area
								TMS at PM 25.174
63	SJ	99	25.299	МО	n/a	n/a	Off Ramp to Eight Mile Rd	SB Off Ramp
64	SJ	99	27,522	YES	, NB	Conventional	Off Ramp to Armstrong Rd	NB Off Ramp
65	SJ	99	27.611	YES	SB	Conventional	Off Ramp to Armstrong Rd	SB Off/On Ramp & Mainline
66	S)	99	31.544	YES	NB	Conventional	On Ramp from Turner Rd	NB Оп Ramp
67	SJ	99	31.61	NO	n/a	n/a	S/O Off Ramp to Turner Rd	SB Off Ramp & Mainline at PM 31.6
68	ន្ធរ	99	31.971	NO	n/a	n/a	Off Ramp to Mokelumne River UC	NB Off Ramp
69	SJ	99	32.049	NO	n/a	n/a	Off Ramp to Mokelumne River UC	SB Off Ramp & Mainline at PM 32,00
	-		¥					
70	SJ	99	32,656	NO	n/a	n/a	N/O Off Ramp to Woodbridge Rd	NB Off Ramp
71	SJ	99	33.456	YES	SB	Conventional	On Ramp from Acampo Rd	SB On Ramp & Mainline at PM 33.5
72a	SJ	99	33.691	YES	SB	Conventional	S/O Off Ramp to Acampo Rd	SB Off Ramp
72b	SJ	99	33.698	YES	NB	Solar	Off Ramp to Acampo Rd	NB Off/On Ramp
73a	SJ	99	34.482	YES	SB	Solar	On Ramp from Peltier Rd	SB On Ramp
73b	SJ	99	34.498	YES	SB	Conventional	Off Ramp to Peltier Rd	SB Off Ramp & Mainline at PM 34,5
74	SJ	99	34.698	YES	NB	Solar	Off Ramp to Peltier Rd	NB Off/On Ramp
75a	SJ	99	35.647	YES	NB	Solar	N/O Off Ramp to Jahant Rd	NB Off Ramp
75b	· SJ	99	35.651	YES	SB	Solar	On Ramp from Jahant Rd	SB On Ramp & Mainline at PM 35.6

MVDS #	County	SR	CA PŃ	Existing MVDS	Existing MVDS Location	Existing Power Source	Location Description	Proposed Vehicle Detection Area
75c	SJ	99	35.688	YES	NB	Solar	On Ramp from Jahant Rd	NB On Ramp
75d	SJ	99	35.786	YES	SB	Solar	S/O Off Ramp to Jahant Rd	SB Off Ramp
76a	SJ	99	36.406	YES	NB	Solar	Off Ramp to Collier Rd	NB Off Ramp
76b	SJ	99	36,526	YES	NB	Solar	S/O On Ramp from Collier Rd	NB On Ramp & Mainline at PM 36,5
77	SJ	99	36.838	YES	SB	Solar	On Ramp from Collier Rd	SB Off/On Ramp
78a	SJ	99	37.696	YES	NB	Solar	Off Ramp to Liberty Rd	NB Off Ramp
78b	SJ	99	37.745	YES	NB	Solar	S/O On Ramp from Liberty Rd	NB On Ramp
79a	SJ	99	37.781	YES	SB	Solar	On Ramp from Liberty Rd	SB On Ramp
79b	SJ	99	37.973	YES	SB	Conventional	S/O Off Ramp to Liberty Rd	SB Off Ramp & Mainline close to the SJ/SAC County lines for Census
80	STA	5	27.401	YES	NB	Conventional	Westley Rest Area	Mainline
-81	STA	. 5	27.901	YES	NB	Conventional	Westley Rest Area	Mainline
82a	STA	99	8,493	YES	SB	Conventional	S/O Falth Home Rd® OC	
82b	STA	99	8.593	YES	NΒ	Conventional	S/O Faith Home Rd OC	Remove and Salvage (RS)
82c	SŢA	99	8.693	YES	SB	Conventional	N/O Faith Home Rd OC	the MVDSs from PM 8.493 to PM 9.193
B2d	STA	99	8 793	YES	NB	Conventional	N/O Faith Home Rd OC	and Install TMS with loops at
82e	STA	99	8.893	YES	SB	Conventional	S/O Mitchell Rd	PM ⊲8.5, PM 9.0 and PM 9.5
83a	STA	99	9.093	YES	NB*	Conventional	S/O Mitchell Rd	
83b -	:STA	89	9.193	YES	SB.	Conventional	S/O Mitchell Rd	
84	STA	99	9.5	NO	n/a	n/a	Esmar Ad	Mainline
85	STA	99	R13.764	No	n/a	n/a	N/O Off Ramp to 9th St	Mainline
86	STA	.99	.R14.7(1	YES (No. SB)	NB	Conventional	S/O Tuolumne Bridge	Måinline